

NREST

Maximilian P.L. Haslbeck

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This entry introduces NREST, a nondeterministic result monad with time, which was spun off a development of a framework for verifying functional correctness and worst-case complexity of algorithms refined down to LLVM, due to Haslbeck and Lammich.

Contents

1	Auxiliaries	2
1.1	Auxiliaries for option	2
1.2	Auxiliaries for enat	3
1.3	Auxiliary (for Sup and Inf)	3
2	NREST	4
3	pointwise reasoning	8
4	Monad Operators	12
5	Monad Rules	15
6	Monotonicity lemmas	16
6.1	Derived Program Constructs	16
6.1.1	Assertion	16
6.2	SELECT	17
7	RECT	18
8	Generalized Weakest Precondition	20
8.1	mm	20
8.2	mii	23
8.3	lst - latest starting time	24
8.4	pointwise reasoning about lst via nres3	25
8.5	rules for lst	28

9	consequence rules	28
10	Experimental Hoare reasoning	31
11	VCG	32
11.1	Progress rules	32
12	rules for whileT	34
13	some Monadic Refinement Automation	36
13.1	Data Refinement	38
13.1.1	Examples	38
13.2	WHILET refine	44
13.3	ASSERT	46
13.4	VCG splitter	47
13.5	mm3 and emb	48
13.6	Setup Labeled VCG	49
13.7	Examples, labeled vcg	59
13.8	progress solver	61
13.9	more stuff involving mm3 and emb	61
13.10	VCG for monadic programs	62
13.10.1	old	62
13.10.2	new setup	62
13.11	setup for <i>refine-vcg</i>	63
13.12	Relators	64
13.13	Auxilliary Lemmas	65
13.14	Definition	65
13.15	Proof Rules	65
13.16	Nres-Fold with Interruption (nfoldli)	67

theory

NREST-Auxiliaries

imports

HOL-Library.Extended-Nat Automatic-Refinement.Automatic-Refinement

begin

unbundle *lattice-syntax*

1 Auxiliaries

1.1 Auxiliaries for option

lemma *less-eq-option-None-is-None'*: $x \leq \text{None} \longleftrightarrow x = \text{None}$

by (*auto simp: less-eq-option-None-is-None*)

lemma *everywhereNone*: $(\forall x \in X. x = \text{None}) \longleftrightarrow X = \{\}$ $\vee X = \{\text{None}\}$

by *auto*

1.2 Auxiliaries for enat

lemma *enat-minus-mono*: $a' \geq b \implies a' \geq a \implies a' - b \geq (a::\text{enat}) - b$
by (*cases a*; *cases b*; *cases a'*) *auto*

lemma *enat-plus-minus-aux1*: $a + b \leq a' \implies \neg a' < a \implies b \leq a' - (a::\text{enat})$
by (*cases a*; *cases b*; *cases a'*) *auto*

lemma *enat-plus-minus-aux2*: $\neg a < a' \implies b \leq a - a' \implies a' + b \leq (a::\text{enat})$
by (*cases a*; *cases b*; *cases a'*) *auto*

lemma *enat-minus-inf-conv[simp]*: $a - \text{enat } n = \infty \longleftrightarrow a = \infty$ **by** (*cases a*) *auto*

lemma *enat-minus-fin-conv[simp]*: $a - \text{enat } n = \text{enat } m \longleftrightarrow (\exists k. a = \text{enat } k \wedge m = k - n)$
by (*cases a*) *auto*

lemma *helper*: $x2 \leq x2a \implies \neg x2 < a \implies \neg x2a < a \implies x2 - (a::\text{enat}) \leq x2a - a$
by (*cases x2*; *cases x2a*; *cases a*) *auto*

lemma *helper2*: $x2b \leq x2 \implies \neg x2a < x2 \implies \neg x2a < x2b \implies x2a - (x2::\text{enat}) \leq x2a - x2b$
by (*cases x2*; *cases x2a*; *cases x2b*) *auto*

lemma *Sup-finite-enat*: $\text{Sup } X = \text{Some } (a::\text{enat}) \implies \text{Some } (a::\text{enat}) \in X$
by (*auto simp: Sup-option-def Sup-enat-def these-empty-eq Max-eq-iff in-these-eq split: if-splits*)

lemma *Sup-enat-less2*: $\text{Sup } X = \infty \implies \exists x \in X. \text{enat } t < x$
by (*subst less-Sup-iff[symmetric]*) *simp*

lemma *enat-upper[simp]*: $t \leq \text{Some } (\infty::\text{enat})$
by (*cases t*, *auto*)

1.3 Auxiliary (for Sup and Inf)

lemma *aux11*: $f \cdot X = \{y\} \longleftrightarrow (X \neq \{\}) \wedge (\forall x \in X. f x = y)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *aux2*: $(\lambda f. f x) \cdot \{[x \mapsto t1] \mid x t1. M x = \text{Some } t1\} = \{\text{None}\} \longleftrightarrow (M x = \text{None} \wedge M \neq \text{Map.empty})$
by (*cases M x*; *force simp: aux11*)

lemma *aux3*: $(\lambda f. f x) \cdot \{[x \mapsto t1] \mid x t1. M x = \text{Some } t1\} = \{\text{Some } t1 \mid t1. M x = \text{Some } t1\} \cup (\{\text{None} \mid y. y \neq x \wedge M y \neq \text{None}\})$
by (*fastforce split: if-splits simp: image-iff*)

lemma *Sup-pointwise-eq-fun*: $(\bigsqcup f \in \{[x \mapsto t1] \mid x t1. M x = \text{Some } t1\}. f) x = M x$
proof (*cases M x*)
case *None*

```

    then show ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: Sup-option-def aux2 split: if-splits)
next
  case (Some a)
  have s: Option.these {u. u = None  $\wedge$  ( $\exists y. y \neq x \wedge (\exists ya. M y = \text{Some } ya)$ )} =
  {}
  by (auto simp add: in-these-eq)
  from Some show ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: Sup-option-def s aux3 split: if-splits)
qed

lemma SUP-eq-None-iff: ( $\bigsqcup f \in X. f x = \text{None} \iff X = \{\}$   $\vee$  ( $\forall f \in X. f x = \text{None}$ )
  by (smt SUP-bot-conv(2) SUP-empty Sup-empty empty-Sup)

lemma SUP-eq-Some-iff:
  ( $\bigsqcup f \in X. f x = \text{Some } t \iff (\exists f \in X. f x \neq \text{None}) \wedge (t = \text{Sup } \{t' \mid f t'. f \in X \wedge f x = \text{Some } t'\})$ )
proof safe
  show ( $\bigsqcup f \in X. f x = \text{Some } t \implies \exists f \in X. f x \neq \text{None}$ )
    by (metis SUP-eq-None-iff option.distinct(1))
qed (fastforce intro!: arg-cong[where f=Sup] simp: image-iff Option.these-def Sup-option-def
  split: option.splits if-splits)+

```

```

lemma Sup-enat-less:  $X \neq \{\} \implies \text{enat } t \leq \text{Sup } X \iff (\exists x \in X. \text{enat } t \leq x)$ 
  using finite-enat-bounded by (simp add: Max-ge-iff Sup-upper2 Sup-enat-def)
(meson nle-le)

```

```

lemma fixes Q P shows
   $\text{Inf } \{ P x \leq Q x \mid x. \text{True} \} \iff P \leq Q$ 
  unfolding le-fun-def by simp

```

end

theory NREST

imports HOL-Library.Extended-Nat Refine-Monadic.RefineG-Domain Refine-Monadic.Refine-Misc

HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax NREST-Auxiliaries

begin

2 NREST

datatype 'a nrest = FAILi | REST 'a $\Rightarrow$ enat option

instantiation nrest :: (type) complete-lattice

begin

```

fun less-eq-nrest where
  - ≤ FAILi  $\longleftrightarrow$  True |
  (REST a) ≤ (REST b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a ≤ b |
  FAILi ≤ (REST -)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False

fun less-nrest where
  FAILi < -  $\longleftrightarrow$  False |
  (REST -) < FAILi  $\longleftrightarrow$  True |
  (REST a) < (REST b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a < b

fun sup-nrest where
  sup - FAILi = FAILi |
  sup FAILi - = FAILi |
  sup (REST a) (REST b) = REST (λx. max (a x) (b x))

fun inf-nrest where
  inf x FAILi = x |
  inf FAILi x = x |
  inf (REST a) (REST b) = REST (λx. min (a x) (b x))

lemma min (None) (Some (1::enat)) = None by simp
lemma max (None) (Some (1::enat)) = Some 1 by eval

definition Sup X  $\equiv$  if FAILi ∈ X then FAILi else REST (Sup {f . REST f ∈ X})
definition Inf X  $\equiv$  if ∃ f. REST f ∈ X then REST (Inf {f . REST f ∈ X}) else
  FAILi

definition bot  $\equiv$  REST (Map.empty)
definition top  $\equiv$  FAILi

instance
proof(intro-classes, goal-cases)
  case (1 x y)
  then show ?case
  by (cases x; cases y) auto
next
  case (2 x)
  then show ?case
  by (cases x) auto
next
  case (3 x y z)
  then show ?case
  by (cases x; cases y; cases z) auto
next
  case (4 x y)
  then show ?case
  by (cases x; cases y) auto
next

```

```

    case (5 x y)
    then show ?case
      by (cases x; cases y) (auto intro: le-funI)
next
    case (6 x y)
    then show ?case
      by (cases x; cases y) (auto intro: le-funI)
next
    case (7 x y z)
    then show ?case
      by (cases x; cases y; cases z) (auto intro!: le-funI dest: le-funD)
next
    case (8 x y)
    then show ?case
      by (cases x; cases y) (auto intro: le-funI)
next
    case (9 y x)
    then show ?case
      by (cases x; cases y) (auto intro: le-funI)
next
    case (10 y x z)
    then show ?case
      by (cases x; cases y; cases z) (auto intro!: le-funI dest: le-funD)
next
    case (11 x A)
    then show ?case
      by (cases x) (auto simp add: Inf-nrest-def Inf-lower)
next
    case (12 A z)
    then show ?case
      by (cases z) (fastforce simp add: Inf-nrest-def le-Inf-iff)+
next
    case (13 x A)
    then show ?case
      by (cases x) (auto simp add: Sup-nrest-def Sup-upper)
next
    case (14 A z)
    then show ?case
      by (cases z) (fastforce simp add: Sup-nrest-def Sup-le-iff)+
next
    case 15
    then show ?case
      by (auto simp add: Inf-nrest-def top-nrest-def)
next
    case 16
    then show ?case
      by (auto simp add: Sup-nrest-def bot-nrest-def bot-option-def)
qed
end

```

definition $RETURNNT\ x \equiv REST\ (\lambda e. \text{if } e=x \text{ then Some } 0 \text{ else None})$
abbreviation $FAILT \equiv top::'a\ nrest$
abbreviation $SUCCEEDT \equiv bot::'a\ nrest$
abbreviation $SPECT$ **where** $SPECT \equiv REST$

lemma $RETURNNT\text{-}alt$: $RETURNNT\ x = REST\ [x \mapsto 0]$
unfolding $RETURNNT\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$

lemma $nrest\text{-}inequalities[simp]$:
 $FAILT \neq REST\ X$
 $FAILT \neq SUCCEEDT$
 $FAILT \neq RETURNNT\ x$
 $SUCCEEDT \neq FAILT$
 $SUCCEEDT \neq RETURNNT\ x$
 $REST\ X \neq FAILT$
 $RETURNNT\ x \neq FAILT$
 $RETURNNT\ x \neq SUCCEEDT$
unfolding $top\text{-}nrest\text{-}def$ $bot\text{-}nrest\text{-}def$ $RETURNNT\text{-}def$
by $simp\text{-}all\ (metis\ option.distinct(1)) +$

lemma $nrest\text{-}more\text{-}simps[simp]$:
 $SUCCEEDT = REST\ X \longleftrightarrow X = Map.empty$
 $REST\ X = SUCCEEDT \longleftrightarrow X = Map.empty$
 $REST\ X = RETURNNT\ x \longleftrightarrow X = [x \mapsto 0]$
 $REST\ X = REST\ Y \longleftrightarrow X = Y$
 $RETURNNT\ x = REST\ X \longleftrightarrow X = [x \mapsto 0]$
 $RETURNNT\ x = RETURNNT\ y \longleftrightarrow x = y$
unfolding $top\text{-}nrest\text{-}def$ $bot\text{-}nrest\text{-}def$ $RETURNNT\text{-}def$
by $(auto\ simp\ add: fun\text{-}eq\text{-}iff)$

lemma $nres\text{-}simp\text{-}internals$:
 $REST\ Map.empty = SUCCEEDT$
 $FAILi = FAILT$
unfolding $top\text{-}nrest\text{-}def$ $bot\text{-}nrest\text{-}def$ **by** $simp\text{-}all$

lemma $nres\text{-}order\text{-}simps[simp]$:
 $\neg FAILT \leq REST\ M$
 $REST\ M \leq REST\ M' \longleftrightarrow (M \leq M')$
by $(auto\ simp: nres\text{-}simp\text{-}internals[symmetric])$

lemma $nres\text{-}top\text{-}unique[simp]$: $FAILT \leq S' \longleftrightarrow S' = FAILT$
by $(rule\ top\text{-}unique)$

lemma $FAILT\text{-}cases[simp]$: $(case\ FAILT\ of\ FAILi \Rightarrow P \mid REST\ x \Rightarrow Q\ x) = P$
by $(auto\ simp: nres\text{-}simp\text{-}internals[symmetric])$

lemma $nrest\text{-}Sup\text{-}FAILT$:

```


$$Sup\ X = FAILT \longleftrightarrow FAILT \in X$$


$$FAILT = Sup\ X \longleftrightarrow FAILT \in X$$

by (auto simp: nres-simp-internals Sup-nrest-def)

lemma nrest-Sup-SPECT-D:  $Sup\ X = SPECT\ m \implies m\ x = Sup\ \{f\ x \mid f.\ REST\ f \in X\}$ 
unfolding Sup-nrest-def by(auto split: if-splits intro!: arg-cong[where  $f=Sup$ ])

declare nres-simp-internals(2)[simp]

lemma nrest-noREST-FAILT[simp]:  $(\forall x2.\ m \neq REST\ x2) \longleftrightarrow m=FAILT$ 
by (cases m) auto

lemma no-FAILTE:
  assumes  $g\ xa \neq FAILT$ 
  obtains  $X$  where  $g\ xa = REST\ X$ 
  using assms by (cases g xa) auto

lemma case-prod-refine:
  fixes  $P\ Q :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c\ nrest$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge a\ b.\ P\ a\ b \leq Q\ a\ b$ 
  shows  $(case\ x\ of\ (a,b) \Rightarrow P\ a\ b) \leq (case\ x\ of\ (a,b) \Rightarrow Q\ a\ b)$ 
  using assms by (simp add: split-def)

lemma case-option-refine:
  fixes  $P\ Q :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c\ nrest$ 
  assumes
     $PN \leq QN$ 
     $\bigwedge a.\ PS\ a \leq QS\ a$ 
  shows  $(case\ x\ of\ None \Rightarrow PN \mid Some\ a \Rightarrow PS\ a) \leq (case\ x\ of\ None \Rightarrow QN \mid Some\ a \Rightarrow QS\ a)$ 
  using assms by (auto split: option.splits)

lemma SPECT-Map-empty[simp]:  $SPECT\ Map.empty \leq a$ 
by (cases a) (auto simp: le-fun-def)

lemma FAILT-SUP:  $(FAILT \in X) \implies Sup\ X = FAILT$ 
by (simp add: nrest-Sup-FAILT)

```

3 pointwise reasoning

```

named-theorems refine-pw-simps
ML <
  structure refine-pw-simps = Named-Thms
    ( val name = @{binding refine-pw-simps}
      val description = Refinement Framework: ^
        Simplifier rules for pointwise reasoning )
  >

```


definition $\text{nofailT} :: 'a \text{ nrest} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where** $\text{nofailT } S \equiv S \neq \text{FAILT}$

definition $\text{le-or-fail} :: 'a \text{ nrest} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ nrest} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\langle \leq_n \rangle$ 50) **where**
 $m \leq_n m' \equiv \text{nofailT } m \longrightarrow m \leq m'$

lemma $\text{nofailT-simps}[\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{nofailT } \text{FAILT} \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 $\text{nofailT } (\text{REST } X) \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$
 $\text{nofailT } (\text{RETURN } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$
 $\text{nofailT } \text{SUCCEEDT} \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$
unfolding nofailT-def
by ($\text{simp-all add: RETURN-def}$)

definition $\text{inresT} :: 'a \text{ nrest} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{inresT } S \ x \ t \equiv (\text{case } S \text{ of } \text{FAIL}i \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{REST } X \Rightarrow (\exists t'. X \ x = \text{Some } t' \wedge \text{enat } t \leq t'))$

lemma inresT-alt : $\text{inresT } S \ x \ t \longleftrightarrow \text{REST } ([x \mapsto \text{enat } t]) \leq S$
unfolding inresT-def **by** ($\text{cases } S$) ($\text{auto dest! le-funD}[\text{where } x=x] \text{ simp: le-funD less-eq-option-def split: option.splits}$)

lemma inresT-mono : $\text{inresT } S \ x \ t \Longrightarrow t' \leq t \Longrightarrow \text{inresT } S \ x \ t'$
unfolding inresT-def **by** ($\text{cases } S$) ($\text{auto simp add: order-subst2}$)

lemma $\text{inresT-RETURN}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{inresT } (\text{RETURN } x) \ y \ t \longleftrightarrow t = 0 \wedge y = x$
by ($\text{auto simp: inresT-def RETURN-def enat-0-iff split: nrest.splits}$)

lemma $\text{inresT-FAILT}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{inresT } \text{FAILT } r \ t$
by ($\text{simp add: inresT-def}$)

lemma $\text{fail-inresT}[\text{refine-pw-simps}]$: $\neg \text{nofailT } M \Longrightarrow \text{inresT } M \ x \ t$
unfolding nofailT-def **by** simp

lemma $\text{pw-inresT-Sup}[\text{refine-pw-simps}]$: $\text{inresT } (\text{Sup } X) \ r \ t \longleftrightarrow (\exists M \in X. \exists t' \geq t. \text{inresT } M \ r \ t')$

proof

assume a : $\text{inresT } (\text{Sup } X) \ r \ t$
show $(\exists M \in X. \exists t' \geq t. \text{inresT } M \ r \ t')$
proof ($\text{cases } \text{Sup } X$)
case $\text{FAIL}i$
then show $?thesis$
by ($\text{force simp: nrest-Sup-FAILT}$)

next

case $(\text{REST } Y)$
with a **obtain** t' **where** t' : $Y \ r = \text{Some } t' \text{ enat } t \leq t'$
by ($\text{auto simp add: inresT-def}$)
from REST **have** Y : $Y = (\bigsqcup \{f. \text{SPECT } f \in X\})$
by ($\text{auto simp add: Sup-nrest-def split: if-splits}$)

```

with  $t'$  REST have ( $\sqcup \{f\ r \mid f . \text{SPECT } f \in X\} = \text{Some } t'$ )
  using nrest-Sup-SPECT-D by fastforce

  with  $t'$  Y obtain  $f\ t''$  where  $f\text{-}t'': \text{SPECT } f \in X\ f\ r = \text{Some } t''\ t' = \text{Sup } \{t' \mid f\ t' . \text{SPECT } f \in X \wedge f\ r = \text{Some } t'\}$ 
    by (auto simp add: SUP-eq-Some-iff Sup-apply[where  $A = \{f . \text{SPECT } f \in X\}$ ])
    from  $f\text{-}t''(3)\ t'(2)$  have  $\text{enat } t \leq \text{Sup } \{t' \mid f\ t' . \text{SPECT } f \in X \wedge f\ r = \text{Some } t'\}$ 

      by blast
      with  $f\text{-}t''$  obtain  $f'\ t'''$  where  $\text{SPECT } f' \in X\ f'\ r = \text{Some } t''' \text{enat } t \leq t'''$ 
        by (smt (verit) Sup-enat-less empty-iff mem-Collect-eq option.sel)
        with REST show ?thesis
          by (intro beI[of - SPECT f] exI[of - t]) (auto simp add: inresT-def)
    qed
  next
    assume  $a: (\exists M \in X. \exists t' \geq t. \text{inresT } M\ r\ t')$ 
    from this obtain  $M\ t'$  where  $M\text{-}t': M \in X\ t' \geq t\ \text{inresT } M\ r\ t'$ 
      by blast

    show  $\text{inresT } (\text{Sup } X)\ r\ t$ 
    proof (cases Sup X)
      case FAILi
        then show ?thesis
          by (auto simp: nrest-Sup-FAILT top-Sup)
      next
        case (REST Y)
          with  $M\text{-}t'$  have  $Y = \sqcup \{f . \text{SPECT } f \in X\}$ 
            by (auto simp add: Sup-nrest-def split: if-splits)
          from REST have  $\text{nf}: \text{FAILT} \notin X$ 
            using FAILT-SUP by fastforce
          with  $M\text{-}t'$  REST obtain  $f\ t''$  where  $\text{SPECT } f \in X\ f\ r = \text{Some } t'' \text{enat } t' \leq t''$ 
            by (auto simp add: inresT-def split: nrest.splits)
          with REST  $M\text{-}t'(2)$  obtain  $a$  where  $Y\ r = \text{Some } a \text{enat } t \leq a$ 
            by (metis Sup-upper enat-ord-simps(1) le-fun-def le-some-optE nres-order-simps(2) order-trans)
          then show ?thesis
            by (auto simp: REST inresT-def)
        qed
      qed
    lemma inresT-REST[simp]:
       $\text{inresT } (\text{REST } X)\ x\ t \longleftrightarrow (\exists t' \geq t. X\ x = \text{Some } t')$ 
      unfolding inresT-def
      by auto

    lemma pw-Sup-nofail[refine-pw-simps]:  $\text{nofailT } (\text{Sup } X) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in X. \text{nofailT } x)$ 
      by (cases Sup X) (auto simp add: Sup-nrest-def nofailT-def split: if-splits)

```

```

lemma inres-simps[simp]:
  inresT FAILT = (λ- -. True)
  inresT SUCCEEDT = (λ- - . False)
  unfolding inresT-def [abs-def]
  by (auto split: nrest.splits simp add: RETURNT-def)

lemma pw-le-iff:
   $S \leq S' \iff (\text{nofailT } S' \longrightarrow (\text{nofailT } S \wedge (\forall x t. \text{inresT } S x t \longrightarrow \text{inresT } S' x t)))$ 
proof (cases S; cases S')
  fix R R'
  assume a[simp]:  $S = \text{SPECT } R \ S' = \text{SPECT } R'$ 
  show  $S \leq S' \iff (\text{nofailT } S' \longrightarrow (\text{nofailT } S \wedge (\forall x t. \text{inresT } S x t \longrightarrow \text{inresT } S' x t)))$  (is ?l  $\iff$  ?r)
  proof (rule iffI; safe)
    assume b:  $\text{nofailT } S \ \forall x t. \text{inresT } S x t \longrightarrow \text{inresT } S' x t$ 
    hence b-2':  $\text{inresT } S x t \implies \text{inresT } S' x t$  for x t
    by blast
    from b(1) have  $\text{nofailT } S'$ 
    by auto
    with a b-2' have nf:  $R x \neq \text{None} \implies R' x \neq \text{None}$  for x
    by simp (metis enat-ile nle-le not-Some-eq)
    have  $R x \leq R' x$  for x
    proof (cases R x; cases R' x)
      fix r r'
      assume c[simp]:  $R x = \text{Some } r \ R' x = \text{Some } r'$ 
      show ?thesis
      proof(rule ccontr)
        assume  $\neg R x \leq R' x$ 
        from this obtain vt where  $\text{inresT } S x vt \neg \text{inresT } S' x vt$ 
        by simp (metis Suc-ile-eq enat.exhaust linorder-le-less-linear order-less-irrefl)
        with b-2' show False
        by blast
      qed
    qed (use nf in <auto simp add: inresT-def nofailT-def>)
    then show  $S \leq S'$ 
    by (auto intro!: le-funI)
  qed(fastforce simp add: less-eq-option-def le-fun-def split: option.splits)+
qed auto

lemma RETURN-le-RETURN-iff[simp]:  $\text{RETURNT } x \leq \text{RETURNT } y \iff x=y$ 
by (auto simp add: pw-le-iff)

lemma  $S \leq S' \implies \text{inresT } S x t \implies \text{inresT } S' x t$ 
unfolding inresT-alt by auto

lemma pw-eq-iff:
   $S = S' \iff (\text{nofailT } S = \text{nofailT } S' \wedge (\forall x t. \text{inresT } S x t \iff \text{inresT } S' x t))$ 
by (auto intro: antisym simp add: pw-le-iff)

```

lemma *pw-flat-ge-iff*: $\text{flat-ge } S \ S' \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{nofailT } S) \longrightarrow \text{nofailT } S' \wedge (\forall x \ t. \text{inresT } S \ x \ t \longleftrightarrow \text{inresT } S' \ x \ t)$
by (*metis flat-ord-def nofailT-def pw-eq-iff*)

lemma *pw-eqI*:
assumes $\text{nofailT } S = \text{nofailT } S'$
assumes $\bigwedge x \ t. \text{inresT } S \ x \ t \longleftrightarrow \text{inresT } S' \ x \ t$
shows $S = S'$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: pw-eq-iff*)

definition *consume* $M \ t \equiv \text{case } M \text{ of}$
 $\text{FAILi} \Rightarrow \text{FAILT} \mid$
 $\text{REST } X \Rightarrow \text{REST } (\text{map-option } ((+) \ t) \ o \ (X))$

definition *SPEC* $P \ t = \text{REST } (\lambda v. \text{if } P \ v \text{ then } \text{Some } (t \ v) \text{ else } \text{None})$

lemma *consume-mono*:
assumes $t \leq t' \ M \leq M'$
shows $\text{consume } M \ t \leq \text{consume } M' \ t'$
proof(*cases* M ; *cases* M')
fix $m \ m'$
assume $a[\text{simp}]: M = \text{REST } m \ M' = \text{REST } m'$
from *assms*(2) **have** $p: m \ x \leq m' \ x$ **for** x
by (*auto dest: le-funD*)
from *assms*(1) **have** $\text{map-option } ((+) \ t) \ (m \ x) \leq \text{map-option } ((+) \ t') \ (m' \ x)$
for x
using $p[\text{of } x]$ **by** (*cases* $m' \ x$; *cases* $m \ x$) (*auto intro: add-mono*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*auto intro: le-funI simp add: consume-def*)
qed (*use assms*(2) **in** $\langle \text{auto simp add: consume-def} \rangle$)

lemma *nofailT-SPEC*[*refine-pw-simps*]: $\text{nofailT } (\text{SPEC } a \ b)$
unfolding *SPEC-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *inresT-SPEC*[*refine-pw-simps*]: $\text{inresT } (\text{SPEC } a \ b) = (\lambda x \ t. a \ x \wedge \ b \ x \geq t)$
unfolding *SPEC-def inresT-REST* **by** (*auto split: if-splits*)

4 Monad Operators

definition *bindT* $:: 'b \ nrest \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a \ nrest) \Rightarrow 'a \ nrest$ **where**
 $\text{bindT } M \ f \equiv \text{case } M \text{ of}$
 $\text{FAILi} \Rightarrow \text{FAILT} \mid$
 $\text{REST } X \Rightarrow \text{Sup } \{ (\text{case } f \ x \text{ of } \text{FAILi} \Rightarrow \text{FAILT}$
 $\mid \text{REST } m2 \Rightarrow \text{REST } (\text{map-option } ((+) \ t1) \ o \ (m2) \))$
 $\mid x \ t1. X \ x = \text{Some } t1 \}$

lemma *bindT-alt*: $\text{bindT } M \ f = (\text{case } M \text{ of}$
 $\text{FAILi} \Rightarrow \text{FAILT} \mid$

```

REST X  $\Rightarrow$  Sup { consume (f x) t1 | x t1. X x = Some t1 }
unfolding bindT-def consume-def by simp

lemma bindT (REST X) f =
  ( $\sqcup$  x  $\in$  dom X. consume (f x) (the (X x)))
proof -
  have *:  $\bigwedge$  f X. { f x t | x t. X x = Some t }
    = ( $\lambda$  x. f x (the (X x))) ' (dom X)
  by force
  show ?thesis by (auto simp: bindT-alt *)
qed

adhoc-overloading
Monad-Syntax.bind  $\equiv$  NREST.bindT

lemma bindT-FAIL[simp]: bindT FAILT g = FAILT
by (auto simp: bindT-def)

lemma bindT SUCCEEDT f = SUCCEEDT
unfolding bindT-def by (auto split: nrest.split simp add: bot-nrest-def)

lemma m r = Some  $\infty \implies$  inresT (REST m) r t
by auto

lemma pw-inresT-bindT-aux: inresT (bindT m f) r t  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (nofailT m  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  r' t' t''. inresT m r' t'  $\wedge$  inresT (f r') r t''  $\wedge$  t  $\leq$  t' + t''))
(is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
proof(intro iffI impI)
  assume ?l and nofailT m
  show  $\exists$  r' t' t''. inresT m r' t'  $\wedge$  inresT (f r') r t''  $\wedge$  t  $\leq$  t' + t''
  proof(cases m)
    case [simp]: (REST X)
    with  $\langle ?l \rangle$  obtain M x t1 t' where
      parts: X x = Some t1
      ( $\forall$  x2. f x = SPECT x2  $\longrightarrow$ 
        M = SPECT (map-option ((+) t1)  $\circ$  x2))
      t'  $\geq$  t inresT M r t'
    by (auto simp add: pw-inresT-Sup bindT-def simp flip: nrest-noREST-FAILT
      split: nrest.splits)

    show ?thesis
    proof(cases f x)
      case FAILi
      show ?thesis
      by (rule exI[where x=x], cases t1) (auto intro: le-add2 simp add: FAILi
        parts(1))
    next
    case [simp]: (REST re)
    with parts(2) have M: M = SPECT (map-option ((+) t1)  $\circ$  re)

```

```

    by blast
  with parts(4) obtain rer where rer[simp]: re r = Some rer
  by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof(rule exI[where x=x])
    from M parts(1,3,4) show  $\exists t' t''. \text{inresT } m \ x \ t' \wedge \text{inresT } (f \ x) \ r \ t'' \wedge t \leq$ 
 $t' + t''$ 
    by (cases t1;cases rer) (fastforce intro: le-add2)+
  qed
qed
qed (use ⟨nofailT m⟩ in auto)
next
assume ?r
show ?l
proof(cases m)
  case [simp]: (REST X)
  then show ?thesis
  proof(cases nofailT m)
    case True
    with ⟨?r⟩ obtain t' t'' t''' r' where
      parts: enat t' ≤ t'''
      X r' = Some t'''
      inresT (f r') r t''
      t ≤ t' + t''
    by (auto simp: bindT-def split: nrest.splits)
  then show ?thesis
  proof(cases f r')
    case FAILi
    with parts(2) show ?thesis
    by (fastforce simp add: pw-inresT-Sup bindT-def split: nrest.splits)
  next
  case [simp]: (REST x)
  from parts(3) obtain ta where ta: x r = Some ta enat t'' ≤ ta
  by auto
  with parts True obtain tf where tf: tf ≥ t enat tf ≤ t''' + ta
  using add-mono by fastforce
  with ta parts True show ?thesis
  by (force simp add: pw-inresT-Sup bindT-def split: nrest.splits
    intro!: exI[where x=REST (map-option ((+) t''') ∘ x)])
  qed
qed auto
qed auto
qed

lemma pw-inresT-bindT[refine-pw-simps]: inresT (bindT m f) r t  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (nofailT m  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\exists r' t' t''. \text{inresT } m \ r' \ t' \wedge \text{inresT } (f \ r') \ r \ t'' \wedge t = t' + t''$ ))
  by (simp add: pw-inresT-bindT-aux) (metis add-le-imp-le-left inresT-mono le-iff-add
    nat-le-linear)

```

lemma *pw-bindT-nofailT[refine-pw-simps]*: $\text{nofailT } (\text{bindT } M f) \longleftrightarrow (\text{nofailT } M \wedge (\forall x t. \text{inresT } M x t \longrightarrow \text{nofailT } (f x)))$ (**is** $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$)

proof

assume $?l$

then show $?r$

by (*force elim: no-FAILTE simp: bindT-def refine-pw-simps split: nrest.splits*)

next

assume $?r$

hence $a: \text{nofailT } M \wedge x t. \text{inresT } M x t \implies \text{nofailT } (f x)$

by *auto*

show $?l$

proof(*cases M*)

case *FAILi*

then show $?thesis$

using $\langle ?r \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: nofailT-def*)

next

case [*simp*]: (*REST m*)

with a **have** $f x \neq \text{FAILi}$ **if** $m x \neq \text{None}$ **for** x

using *that i0-lb* **by** (*auto simp add: nofailT-def zero-enat-def*)

then show $?thesis$

by (*force simp add: bindT-def nofailT-def nrest-Sup-FAILT split: nrest.splits*)

qed

qed

lemma *nat-plus-0-is-id[simp]*: $((+) (0::\text{enat})) = \text{id}$ **by** *auto*

declare *map-option.id[simp]*

5 Monad Rules

lemma *nres-bind-left-identity[simp]*: $\text{bindT } (\text{RETURN } x) f = f x$

unfolding *bindT-def RETURN-def*

by(*auto split: nrest.split*)

lemma *nres-bind-right-identity[simp]*: $\text{bindT } M \text{ RETURN } = M$

by(*auto intro!: pw-eqI simp: refine-pw-simps*)

lemma *nres-bind-assoc[simp]*: $\text{bindT } (\text{bindT } M (\lambda x. f x)) g = \text{bindT } M (\%x. \text{bindT } (f x) g)$

proof (*rule pw-eqI*)

fix $x t$

show $\text{inresT } (M \gg f \gg g) x t = \text{inresT } (M \gg (\lambda x. f x \gg g)) x t$

by (*simp add: refine-pw-simps*) (*use inresT-mono in $\langle \text{fastforce} \rangle$*)

qed (*fastforce simp add: refine-pw-simps*)

6 Monotonicity lemmas

lemma *bindT-mono*:

$m \leq m' \implies (\bigwedge x. (\exists t. \text{inresT } m \ x \ t) \implies \text{nofailT } m' \implies f \ x \leq f' \ x)$
 $\implies \text{bindT } m \ f \leq \text{bindT } m' \ f'$
by (*fastforce simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps*)

lemma *bindT-mono'[refine-mono]*:

$m \leq m' \implies (\bigwedge x. f \ x \leq f' \ x)$
 $\implies \text{bindT } m \ f \leq \text{bindT } m' \ f'$
by (*erule bindT-mono*)

lemma *bindT-flat-mono[refine-mono]*:

$\llbracket \text{flat-ge } M \ M'; \bigwedge x. \text{flat-ge } (f \ x) \ (f' \ x) \rrbracket \implies \text{flat-ge } (\text{bindT } M \ f) \ (\text{bindT } M' \ f')$
by (*fastforce simp: refine-pw-simps pw-flat-ge-iff*)

6.1 Derived Program Constructs

6.1.1 Assertion

definition *iASSERT* $\text{ret } \Phi \equiv \text{if } \Phi \text{ then ret } () \text{ else top}$

definition *ASSERT where* $\text{ASSERT} \equiv \text{iASSERT RETURNT}$

lemma *ASSERT-True[simp]*: $\text{ASSERT True} = \text{RETURNT } ()$

by (*auto simp: ASSERT-def iASSERT-def*)

lemma *ASSERT-False[simp]*: $\text{ASSERT False} = \text{FAILT}$

by (*auto simp: ASSERT-def iASSERT-def*)

lemma *bind-ASSERT-eq-if*: $\text{do } \{ \text{ASSERT } \Phi; m \} = (\text{if } \Phi \text{ then } m \text{ else FAILT})$

unfolding *ASSERT-def iASSERT-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *pw-ASSERT[refine-pw-simps]*:

$\text{nofailT } (\text{ASSERT } \Phi) \longleftrightarrow \Phi$
 $\text{inresT } (\text{ASSERT } \Phi) \ x \ 0$
by (*cases* Φ *, simp-all*)**+**

lemma *ASSERT-refine*: $(Q \implies P) \implies \text{ASSERT } P \leq \text{ASSERT } Q$

by (*auto simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps*)

lemma *ASSERT-leI*: $\Phi \implies (\Phi \implies M \leq M') \implies \text{ASSERT } \Phi \gg (\lambda -. M) \leq M'$

by (*auto simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps*)

lemma *le-ASSERTI*: $(\Phi \implies M \leq M') \implies M \leq \text{ASSERT } \Phi \gg (\lambda -. M')$

by (*auto simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps*)

lemma *inresT-ASSERT*: $\text{inresT } (\text{ASSERT } Q \gg (\lambda -. M)) \ x \ \text{ta} = (Q \longrightarrow \text{inresT } M \ x \ \text{ta})$

unfolding *ASSERT-def iASSERT-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nofailT-ASSERT-bind*: $\text{nofailT } (\text{ASSERT } P \gg (\lambda -. M)) \longleftrightarrow (P \wedge \text{nofailT } M)$

by (*auto simp: pw-bindT-nofailT pw-ASSERT*)

6.2 SELECT

definition *emb' where* $\bigwedge Q T. \text{emb}' Q (T :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{enat}) = (\lambda x. \text{if } Q x \text{ then } \text{Some } (T x) \text{ else } \text{None})$

abbreviation *emb* $Q t \equiv \text{emb}' Q (\lambda -. t)$

lemma *emb-eq-Some-conv*: $\bigwedge T. \text{emb}' Q T x = \text{Some } t' \longleftrightarrow (t' = T x \wedge Q x)$

by (*auto simp: emb'-def*)

lemma *emb-le-Some-conv*: $\bigwedge T. \text{Some } t' \leq \text{emb}' Q T x \longleftrightarrow (t' \leq T x \wedge Q x)$

by (*auto simp: emb'-def*)

lemma *SPEC-REST-emb'-conv*: $\text{SPEC } P t = \text{REST } (\text{emb}' P t)$

unfolding *SPEC-def emb'-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *SPECT-ub*: $T \leq T' \implies \text{SPECT } (\text{emb}' M' T) \leq \text{SPECT } (\text{emb}' M' T')$

unfolding *emb'-def* **by** (*auto simp: pw-le-iff le-funD order-trans refine-pw-simps*)

Select some value with given property, or *None* if there is none.

definition *SELECT* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option } n\text{rest}$

where *SELECT* $P \text{ tf} \equiv \text{if } \exists x. P x \text{ then } \text{REST } (\text{emb } (\lambda r. \text{case } r \text{ of } \text{Some } p \Rightarrow P p \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False}) \text{ tf})$

else *REST* [*None* $\mapsto \text{tf}$]

lemma *inresT-SELECT-Some*: $\text{inresT } (\text{SELECT } Q \text{ tt}) (\text{Some } x) t' \longleftrightarrow (Q x \wedge (t' \leq \text{tt}))$

by (*auto simp: inresT-def SELECT-def emb'-def*)

lemma *inresT-SELECT-None*: $\text{inresT } (\text{SELECT } Q \text{ tt}) \text{None } t' \longleftrightarrow (\neg(\exists x. Q x) \wedge (t' \leq \text{tt}))$

by (*auto simp: inresT-def SELECT-def emb'-def*)

lemma *inresT-SELECT[refine-pw-simps]*:

$\text{inresT } (\text{SELECT } Q \text{ tt}) x t' \longleftrightarrow ((\text{case } x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \neg(\exists x. Q x) \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow Q x) \wedge (t' \leq \text{tt}))$

by (*auto simp: inresT-def SELECT-def emb'-def*)

lemma *nofailT-SELECT[refine-pw-simps]*: $\text{nofailT } (\text{SELECT } Q \text{ tt})$

by (*auto simp: nofailT-def SELECT-def*)

lemma *s1*: $\text{SELECT } P T \leq (\text{SELECT } P T') \longleftrightarrow T \leq T'$

by (*cases* $\exists x. P x$; *cases* T ; *cases* T') (*auto simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps not-le split: option.splits*)

lemma *s2*: $SELECT\ P\ T \leq (SELECT\ P'\ T) \longleftrightarrow ($
 $(\exists x. P' \longrightarrow Ex\ P) \wedge (\forall x. P\ x \longrightarrow P'\ x))$
by (*cases* *T*) (*auto simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps split: option.splits*)

lemma *SELECT-refine*:
assumes $\bigwedge x'. P'\ x' \implies \exists x. P\ x$
assumes $\bigwedge x. P\ x \implies P'\ x$
assumes $T \leq T'$
shows $SELECT\ P\ T \leq (SELECT\ P'\ T')$
proof –
have $SELECT\ P\ T \leq SELECT\ P\ T'$
using *s1* *assms*(3) **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq SELECT\ P'\ T'$
unfolding *s2* **using** *assms*(1,2) **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

7 RECT

definition *mono2* $B \equiv flatf\text{-}mono\text{-}ge\ B \wedge mono\ B$

lemma *trimonoD-flatf-ge*: $mono2\ B \implies flatf\text{-}mono\text{-}ge\ B$
unfolding *mono2-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *trimonoD-mono*: $mono2\ B \implies mono\ B$
unfolding *mono2-def* **by** *auto*

definition *RECT* $B\ x =$
 $(if\ mono2\ B\ then\ (gfp\ B\ x)\ else\ (top::'a::complete\ lattice))$

lemma *RECT-flat-gfp-def*: $RECT\ B\ x =$
 $(if\ mono2\ B\ then\ (flatf\text{-}gfp\ B\ x)\ else\ (top::'a::complete\ lattice))$
unfolding *RECT-def*
by (*auto simp: gfp-eq-flatf-gfp[OF trimonoD-flatf-ge trimonoD-mono]*)

lemma *RECT-unfold*: $\llbracket mono2\ B \rrbracket \implies RECT\ B = B\ (RECT\ B)$
unfolding *RECT-def* [*abs-def*]
by (*auto dest: trimonoD-mono simp: gfp-unfold[symmetric]*)

definition *whileT* :: $('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a\ nrest) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ nrest$ **where**
 $whileT\ b\ c = RECT\ (\lambda whileT\ s. (if\ b\ s\ then\ bindT\ (c\ s)\ whileT\ else\ RETURN\ T\ s))$

definition *whileIET* :: $('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a\ nrest) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ nrest$ **where**
 $\bigwedge E\ c. whileIET\ I\ E\ b\ c = whileT\ b\ c$

definition *whileTI* :: ('a $\Rightarrow$ enat option) $\Rightarrow$ (('a $\times$ 'a) set) $\Rightarrow$ ('a $\Rightarrow$ bool) $\Rightarrow$ ('a $\Rightarrow$ 'a nrest) $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a nrest **where**
whileTI I R b c s = *whileT* b c s

lemma *trimonoI*[*refine-mono*]:
 $\llbracket \text{flatf-mono-ge } B; \text{ mono } B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{mono2 } B$
unfolding *mono2-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mono-fun-transform*[*refine-mono*]: $(\bigwedge f g x. (\bigwedge x. f x \leq g x) \Longrightarrow B f x \leq B g x) \Longrightarrow \text{mono } B$
by (*intro monoI le-funI*) (*simp add: le-funD*)

lemma *whileT-unfold*: *whileT* b c = ($\lambda s. (\text{if } b \text{ s then bindT } (c \text{ s}) (\text{whileT } b c) \text{ else RETURNT s})$)
unfolding *whileT-def* **by** (*rule RECT-unfold*) (*refine-mono*)

lemma *RECT-mono*[*refine-mono*]:
assumes [*simp*]: *mono2* B'
assumes *LE*: $\bigwedge F x. (B' F x) \leq (B F x)$
shows $(RECT B' x) \leq (RECT B x)$
unfolding *RECT-def* **by** *simp* (*meson LE gfp-mono le-fun-def*)

lemma *whileT-mono*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. b x \Longrightarrow c x \leq c' x$
shows $(\text{whileT } b c x) \leq (\text{whileT } b c' x)$
unfolding *whileT-def* **proof** (*rule RECT-mono*)
show $(\text{if } b x \text{ then } c x \gg F \text{ else RETURNT } x) \leq (\text{if } b x \text{ then } c' x \gg F \text{ else RETURNT } x)$ **for** *F x*
using *assms* **by** (*auto intro: bindT-mono*)
qed *refine-mono*

lemma *wf-fp-induct*:
assumes *fp*: $\bigwedge x. f x = B (f) x$
assumes *wf*: *wf* R
assumes $\bigwedge x D. \llbracket \bigwedge y. (y, x) \in R \Longrightarrow P y (D y) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P x (B D x)$
shows $P x (f x)$
using *wf*
apply *induction*
apply (*subst fp*)
apply *fact*
done

lemma *RECT-wf-induct-aux*:
assumes *wf*: *wf* R
assumes *mono*: *mono2* B
assumes $(\bigwedge x D. (\bigwedge y. (y, x) \in R \Longrightarrow P y (D y)) \Longrightarrow P x (B D x))$
shows $P x (RECT B x)$

using *wf-fp-induct*[**where** $f = \text{RECT } B$ **and** $B = B$] *RECT-unfold assms*
by *metis*

theorem *RECT-wf-induct*[*consumes 1*]:
assumes $\text{RECT } B \ x = r$
assumes $\text{wf } R$
and $\text{mono2 } B$
and $\bigwedge x \ D \ r. (\bigwedge y \ r. (y, x) \in R \implies D \ y = r \implies P \ y \ r) \implies B \ D \ x = r \implies$
 $P \ x \ r$
shows $P \ x \ r$

using *RECT-wf-induct-aux*[**where** $P = \lambda x \ fx. \ P \ x \ fx$] *assms* **by** *metis*

definition *monadic-WHILEIT* $I \ b \ f \ s \equiv \text{do } \{$
 $\text{RECT } (\lambda D \ s. \text{do } \{$
 $\text{ASSERT } (I \ s);$
 $bv \leftarrow b \ s;$
 $\text{if } bv \text{ then do } \{$
 $s \leftarrow f \ s;$
 $D \ s$
 $\} \text{ else do } \{\text{RETURN } s\}$
 $\}) \ s$
 $\}$

8 Generalized Weakest Precondition

8.1 mm

definition $\text{mm} :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{enat option}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{enat option}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{enat option})$ **where**
 $\text{mm } R \ m = (\lambda x. (\text{case } m \ x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Some } \infty$
 $\quad \mid \text{Some } mt \Rightarrow$
 $\quad (\text{case } R \ x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } rt \Rightarrow (\text{if } rt < mt$
 $\text{then None else Some } (rt - mt))))))$

lemma *mm-mono*: $Q1 \ x \leq Q2 \ x \implies \text{mm } Q1 \ M \ x \leq \text{mm } Q2 \ M \ x$
unfolding *mm-def* **by** (*cases M x*) (*auto split: option.splits elim!: le-some-optE intro!: helper*)

lemma *mm-antimono*: $M1 \ x \geq M2 \ x \implies \text{mm } Q \ M1 \ x \leq \text{mm } Q \ M2 \ x$
unfolding *mm-def* **by** (*auto split: option.splits intro: helper2*)

lemma *mm-continous*: $\text{mm } (\lambda x. \text{Inf } \{u. \exists y. u = f \ y \ x\}) \ m \ x = \text{Inf } \{u. \exists y. u =$
 $\text{mm } (f \ y) \ m \ x\}$
proof(*rule antisym*)
show $\text{mm } (\lambda x. \bigcap \{u. \exists y. u = f \ y \ x\}) \ m \ x \leq \bigcap \{u. \exists y. u = \text{mm } (f \ y) \ m \ x\}$

```

proof (rule Inf-greatest, drule CollectD)
  fix z
  assume z:  $\exists y. z = mm (f y) m x$ 
  from this obtain y where y:  $z = mm (f y) m x$ 
  by blast

  show  $mm (\lambda x. \sqcap \{u. \exists y. u = f y x\}) m x \leq z$ 
  proof (cases Inf  $\{u. \exists y. u = f y x\}$ )
    case None
    with z show ?thesis
      by (cases m x) (auto simp add: mm-def None)
  next
    case Some-Inf[simp]: (Some l)
    then have i:  $\bigwedge y. f y x \geq Some\ l$ 
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Inf-lower mem-Collect-eq)
    then have I:  $\bigwedge y. mm (\lambda x. Inf \{u. \exists y. u = f y x\}) m x \leq mm (f y) m x$ 
      by (intro mm-mono) simp
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases m x)
      case None
      with y show ?thesis
        by (auto simp add: mm-def)
    next
      case [simp]: (Some a)
      with y I show ?thesis
        by (auto simp add: mm-def)
    qed
  qed
qed
show  $\sqcap \{u. \exists y. u = mm (f y) m x\} \leq mm (\lambda x. \sqcap \{u. \exists y. u = f y x\}) m x$ 
proof(rule Inf-lower, rule CollectI)
  have  $\exists y. Inf \{u. \exists y. u = f y x\} = f y x$ 
  proof (cases Option.these  $\{u. \exists y. u = f y x\} = \{\}$ )
    case True
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: Inf-option-def Inf-enat-def these-empty-eq) blast
  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: Inf-option-def Inf-enat-def in-these-eq intro: LeastI)
  qed
then obtain y where z:  $Inf \{u. \exists y. u = f y x\} = f y x$ 
  by blast
  show  $\exists y. mm (\lambda x. Inf \{u. \exists y. u = f y x\}) m x = mm (f y) m x$ 
  by (rule exI[where x=y]) (unfold mm-def z, rule refl)
qed
qed

```

definition mm2 :: (enat option) $\Rightarrow$ (enat option) $\Rightarrow$ (enat option) **where**

$mm2\ r\ m = (case\ m\ of\ None \Rightarrow Some\ \infty$
 $\quad\quad\quad | Some\ mt \Rightarrow$
 $\quad\quad\quad (case\ r\ of\ None \Rightarrow None\ | Some\ rt \Rightarrow (if\ rt < mt\ then$
 $None\ else\ Some\ (rt - mt))))$

lemma *mm-alt*: $mm\ R\ m\ x = mm2\ (R\ x)\ (m\ x)$ **unfolding** *mm-def mm2-def ..*

lemma *mm2-None[simp]*: $mm2\ q\ None = Some\ \infty$ **unfolding** *mm2-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mm2-Some0[simp]*: $mm2\ q\ (Some\ 0) = q$ **unfolding** *mm2-def* **by** (*auto split: option.splits*)

lemma *mm2-antimono*: $x \leq y \implies mm2\ q\ x \geq mm2\ q\ y$
unfolding *mm2-def* **by** (*auto split: option.splits intro: helper2*)

lemma *mm2-continuous2*:

assumes $\forall x \in X. t \leq mm2\ q\ x$ **shows** $t \leq mm2\ q\ (Sup\ X)$

proof (*cases q; cases Sup X*)

fix q' **assume** $q[simp]: q = Some\ q'$

fix S **assume** $SupX[]: Sup\ X = Some\ S$

have $t \leq (if\ q' < S\ then\ None\ else\ Some\ (q' - S))$

proof (*cases q' < S*)

case *True*

with $SupX$ **obtain** x **where** $x \in Option.these\ X\ q' < x$

using *less-Sup-iff* **by** (*auto simp add: Sup-option-def split: if-splits*)

hence $Some\ x \in X\ q < Some\ x$

by (*auto simp add: in-these-eq*)

with *True* **assms** **show** *?thesis*

by (*auto simp add: mm2-def*)

next

case *False*

with *assms SupX* **show** *?thesis*

by (*cases q'; cases S*) (*force simp: mm2-def dest: Sup-finite-enat*)+

qed

then **show** *?thesis*

by (*auto simp add: mm2-def SupX*)

qed (*use assms in <auto simp add: mm2-def Sup-option-def split: option.splits if-splits>*)

lemma *fl*: $(a::enat) - b = \infty \implies a = \infty$

by (*cases b; cases a*) *auto*

lemma *mm-inf1*: $mm\ R\ m\ x = Some\ \infty \implies m\ x = None \vee R\ x = Some\ \infty$

by (*auto simp: mm-def split: option.splits if-splits intro: fl*)

lemma *mm-inf2*: $m\ x = None \implies mm\ R\ m\ x = Some\ \infty$

by (*auto simp: mm-def split: option.splits if-splits*)

lemma *mm-inf3*: $R\ x = \text{Some } \infty \implies \text{mm } R\ m\ x = \text{Some } \infty$

by (*auto simp: mm-def split: option.splits if-splits*)

lemma *mm-inf*: $\text{mm } R\ m\ x = \text{Some } \infty \longleftrightarrow m\ x = \text{None} \vee R\ x = \text{Some } \infty$

using *mm-inf1 mm-inf2 mm-inf3* **by** *metis*

lemma *InfQ-E*: $\text{Inf } Q = \text{Some } t \implies \text{None} \notin Q$

unfolding *Inf-option-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *InfQ-iff*: $(\exists t' \geq \text{enat } t. \text{Inf } Q = \text{Some } t') \longleftrightarrow \text{None} \notin Q \wedge \text{Inf } (\text{Option.these } Q) \geq t$

unfolding *Inf-option-def*

by *auto*

lemma *mm2-fst-None[simp]*: $\text{mm2 } \text{None } q = (\text{case } q \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Some } \infty \mid - \Rightarrow \text{None})$

by (*cases q*) (*auto simp: mm2-def*)

lemma *mm2-auxXX1*: $\text{Some } t \leq \text{mm2 } (Q\ x) (\text{Some } t') \implies \text{Some } t' \leq \text{mm2 } (Q\ x) (\text{Some } t)$

by (*auto dest: fl simp: less-eq-enat-def mm2-def split: enat.splits option.splits if-splits*)

8.2 mii

definition *mii* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{enat } \text{option}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ nrest} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{enat } \text{option}$ **where**

mii *Qf* *M* *x* = $(\text{case } M \text{ of } \text{FAILi} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{REST } Mf \Rightarrow (\text{mm } Qf\ Mf)\ x)$

lemma *mii-alt*: $\text{mii } Qf\ M\ x = (\text{case } M \text{ of } \text{FAILi} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{REST } Mf \Rightarrow (\text{mm2 } (Qf\ x) (Mf\ x)))$

unfolding *mii-def mm-alt ..*

lemma *mii-continuous*: $\text{mii } (\lambda x. \text{Inf } \{f\ y\ x \mid y. \text{True}\})\ m\ x = \text{Inf } \{\text{mii } (\%x. f\ y\ x)\ m\ x \mid y. \text{True}\}$

unfolding *mii-def* **by** (*cases m*) (*auto simp add: mm-continous*)

lemma *mii-continuous2*: $(\text{mii } Q\ (\text{Sup } \{F\ x\ t1 \mid x\ t1. P\ x\ t1\})\ x \geq t) = (\forall y\ t1. P\ y\ t1 \longrightarrow \text{mii } Q\ (F\ y\ t1)\ x \geq t)$

proof (*intro iffI allI impI*)

fix *y t1*

assume *a*: $t \leq \text{mii } Q\ (\bigsqcup \{F\ x\ t1 \mid x\ t1. P\ x\ t1\})\ x\ P\ y\ t1$

then show $t \leq \text{mii } Q\ (F\ y\ t1)\ x$

proof (*cases F y t1*)

case *REST-F[simp]*: $(\text{REST } Ff)$

then show *?thesis*

```

proof (cases (⊔ {F x t1 | x t1. P x t1}))
  case FAILi
  with a show ?thesis
    using a by (simp add: mii-alt less-eq-option-None-is-None')
  next
  case [simp]: (REST Sf)
  note Sf-x = nrest-Sup-SPECT-D[OF this, where x=x]
  from a(1) have t ≤ mm2 (Q x) (Sf x)
    by (auto simp add: mii-alt)
  also from a(2) have mm2 (Q x) (Sf x) ≤ mm2 (Q x) (Ff x)
    by (intro mm2-antimono) (force intro: Sup-upper simp add: Sf-x)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: mii-alt)
  qed
qed (auto simp: mii-alt Sup-nrest-def split: if-splits)
next
  assume ∀ y t1. P y t1 ⟶ t ≤ mii Q (F y t1) x
  then show t ≤ mii Q (⊔ {F x t1 | x t1. P x t1}) x
    by (auto simp: mii-alt Sup-nrest-def split: nrest.splits intro: mm2-continuous2)
  qed

```

lemma mii-inf: mii Qf M x = Some ∞ ⟷ (∃ Mf. M = SPECT Mf ∧ (Mf x = None ∨ Qf x = Some ∞))
by (auto simp: mii-def mm-inf split: nrest.split)

lemma miiFailt: mii Q FAILT x = None
unfolding mii-def **by** auto

8.3 lst - latest starting time

definition lst :: 'a nrest ⇒ ('a ⇒ enat option) ⇒ enat option
where lst M Qf = Inf { mii Qf M x | x. True }

lemma T-alt-def: lst M Qf = Inf ((mii Qf M) ‘ UNIV)
unfolding lst-def **by** (simp add: full-SetCompr-eq)

lemma T-pw: lst M Q ≥ t ⟷ (∀ x. mii Q M x ≥ t)
by (auto simp add: T-alt-def mii-alt le-Inf-iff)

lemma T-specifies-I:

assumes lst m Q ≥ Some 0 **shows** m ≤ SPECT Q

proof (cases m)
case [simp]: (REST q)
from assms **have** q x ≤ Q x **for** x
by (cases q x; cases Q x)
(force simp add: T-alt-def mii-alt mm2-def le-Inf-iff split: option.splits if-splits nrest.splits)+
then show ?thesis


```

    by (auto intro: le-funI)
qed (use assms in ⟨auto simp add: T-alt-def mii-alt⟩)

lemma T-specifies-rev:
  assumes  $m \leq \text{SPECT } Q$  shows  $\text{lst } m \ Q \geq \text{Some } 0$ 
proof (cases m)
  case [simp]: (REST q)
  with assms have le:  $q \ x \leq Q \ x$  for x
  by (auto dest: le-funD)
  show ?thesis
  proof (subst T-pw, rule allI)
    fix x
    from le[of x] show  $\text{Some } 0 \leq \text{mii } Q \ m \ x$ 
    by (cases q x; cases Q x) (auto simp add: mii-alt mm2-def)
  qed
qed (use assms in ⟨auto simp add: T-alt-def mii-alt⟩)

lemma T-specifies:  $\text{lst } m \ Q \geq \text{Some } 0 = (m \leq \text{SPECT } Q)$ 
  using T-specifies-I T-specifies-rev by metis

lemma pointwise-lesseq:
  fixes  $x :: 'a::\text{order}$ 
  shows  $(\forall t. x \geq t \longrightarrow x' \geq t) \implies x \leq x'$ 
  by simp

```

8.4 pointwise reasoning about lst via nres3

definition nres3 where $\text{nres3 } Q \ M \ x \ t \longleftrightarrow \text{mii } Q \ M \ x \geq t$

```

lemma pw-T-le:
  assumes  $\bigwedge t. (\forall x. \text{nres3 } Q \ M \ x \ t) \implies (\forall x. \text{nres3 } Q' \ M' \ x \ t)$ 
  shows  $\text{lst } M \ Q \leq \text{lst } M' \ Q'$ 
  apply (rule pointwise-lesseq)
  using assms unfolding T-pw nres3-def by metis

lemma assumes  $\bigwedge t. (\forall x. \text{nres3 } Q \ M \ x \ t) = (\forall x. \text{nres3 } Q' \ M' \ x \ t)$ 
  shows pw-T-eq-iff:  $\text{lst } M \ Q = \text{lst } M' \ Q'$ 
  apply (rule antisym)
  apply (rule pw-T-le) using assms apply metis
  apply (rule pw-T-le) using assms apply metis
  done

lemma assumes  $\bigwedge t. (\forall x. \text{nres3 } Q \ M \ x \ t) \implies (\forall x. \text{nres3 } Q' \ M' \ x \ t)$ 
   $\bigwedge t. (\forall x. \text{nres3 } Q' \ M' \ x \ t) \implies (\forall x. \text{nres3 } Q \ M \ x \ t)$ 
  shows pw-T-eqI:  $\text{lst } M \ Q = \text{lst } M' \ Q'$ 
  apply (rule antisym)
  apply (rule pw-T-le)
  apply fact

```

```

apply(rule pw-T-le)
apply fact
done

lemma lem:  $\forall t1. M\ y = \text{Some } t1 \longrightarrow t \leq mii\ Q\ (SPECT\ (\text{map-option } ((+) t1) \circ x2))\ x \Longrightarrow f\ y = SPECT\ x2 \Longrightarrow t \leq mii\ (\lambda y. mii\ Q\ (f\ y)\ x)\ (SPECT\ M)\ y$ 
proof(cases M y; cases t)
  fix m' t'
  assume a:  $\forall t1. M\ y = \text{Some } t1 \longrightarrow t \leq mii\ Q\ (SPECT\ (\text{map-option } ((+) t1) \circ x2))\ x\ f\ y = SPECT\ x2$ 
  assume c[simp]:  $M\ y = \text{Some } m'\ t = \text{Some } t'$ 

  from a c show  $t \leq mii\ (\lambda y. mii\ Q\ (f\ y)\ x)\ (SPECT\ M)\ y$ 
  proof (cases x2 x; cases Q x)
    fix x2' Q'
    assume c'[simp]:  $x2\ x = \text{Some } x2'\ Q\ x = \text{Some } Q'$ 
    from a c show ?thesis
    by (cases m'; cases x2'; cases Q') (auto split: option.splits if-splits simp add:
    add.commute mii-def mm-def)
    qed (auto split: option.splits if-splits simp add: add.commute mii-def mm-def)
  qed(auto simp add: mii-def mm-def)

lemma diff-diff-add-enat:  $a - (b+c) = a - b - (c::\text{enat})$ 
by (cases a; cases b; cases c) auto

lemma lem2:  $t \leq mii\ (\lambda y. mii\ Q\ (f\ y)\ x)\ (SPECT\ M)\ y \Longrightarrow M\ y = \text{Some } t1 \Longrightarrow f\ y = SPECT\ fF \Longrightarrow t \leq mii\ Q\ (SPECT\ (\text{map-option } ((+) t1) \circ fF))\ x$ 
by (cases fF x; cases Q x; cases t) (auto simp add: mii-def mm-def enat-plus-minus-aux2
  add.commute linorder-not-less diff-diff-add-enat split: if-splits)

lemma fixes m :: 'b nrest
shows mii-bindT:  $(t \leq mii\ Q\ (\text{bindT } m\ f)\ x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall y. t \leq mii\ (\lambda y. mii\ Q\ (f\ y)\ x)\ m\ y)$ 
proof -
  { fix M
    assume mM:  $m = SPECT\ M$ 
    let ?P =  $\%x\ t1. M\ x = \text{Some } t1$ 
    let ?F =  $\%x\ t1. \text{case } f\ x\ \text{of } FAILi \Rightarrow FAILT \mid REST\ m2 \Rightarrow SPECT\ (\text{map-option } ((+) t1) \circ m2)$ 
    let ?Sup =  $(Sup\ \{\ ?F\ x\ t1 \mid x\ t1. ?P\ x\ t1 \})$ 

    { fix y
      have 1:  $mii\ (\lambda y. mii\ Q\ (f\ y)\ x)\ (SPECT\ M)\ y = \text{None}$  if  $f\ y = FAILT\ M\ y \neq \text{None}$ 
      using that by (auto simp add: mii-def mm-def less-eq-option-None-is-None')
    }
    have  $(\forall t1. ?P\ y\ t1 \longrightarrow mii\ Q\ (?F\ y\ t1)\ x \geq t)$ 
  }

```

```

      = (t ≤ mii (λy. mii Q (f y) x) m y)
    by (cases f y) (auto intro: lem lem2 meta-le-everything-if-top simp add: 1
mM miiFailt mii-inf
      top-enat-def top-option-def less-eq-option-None-is-None')
  } note h=this

```

```

from mM have mii Q (bindT m f) x = mii Q ?Sup x by (auto simp: bindT-def)
then have (t ≤ mii Q (bindT m f) x) = (t ≤ mii Q ?Sup x) by simp
also have ... = (∀ y t1. ?P y t1 → mii Q (?F y t1) x ≥ t) by (rule
mii-continuous2)
also have ... = (∀ y. t ≤ mii (λy. mii Q (f y) x) m y) by (simp only: h)
finally have ?thesis .
} note bl=this

```

```

show ?thesis
proof(cases m)
  case FAILi
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: mii-def)
next
  case (REST x2)
  then show ?thesis
    by (rule bl)
qed
qed

```

lemma nres3-bindT: $(\forall x. \text{nres3 } Q (\text{bindT } m f) x t) = (\forall y. \text{nres3 } (\lambda y. \text{lst } (f y) Q) m y t)$

proof –

```

  have t:  $\bigwedge f \text{ and } t::\text{enat option. } (\forall y. t \leq f y) \longleftrightarrow (t \leq \text{Inf } \{f y | y. \text{True}\})$ 
  using le-Inf-iff by fastforce

```

```

  have  $(\forall x. \text{nres3 } Q (\text{bindT } m f) x t) = (\forall x. t \leq \text{mii } Q (\text{bindT } m f) x)$  unfolding
nres3-def by auto

```

```

  also have ... =  $(\forall x. (\forall y. t \leq \text{mii } (\lambda y. \text{mii } Q (f y) x) m y))$  by (simp only:
mii-bindT)

```

```

  also have ... =  $(\forall y. (\forall x. t \leq \text{mii } (\lambda y. \text{mii } Q (f y) x) m y))$  by blast

```

```

  also have ... =  $(\forall y. t \leq \text{mii } (\lambda y. \text{Inf } \{\text{mii } Q (f y) x | x. \text{True}\}) m y)$ 

```

```

  using t by (fastforce simp only: mii-continuous)

```

```

  also have ... =  $(\forall y. t \leq \text{mii } (\lambda y. \text{lst } (f y) Q) m y)$  unfolding lst-def by auto

```

```

  also have ... =  $(\forall y. \text{nres3 } (\lambda y. \text{lst } (f y) Q) m y t)$  unfolding nres3-def by
auto

```

```

  finally show ?thesis .

```

```

  have  $(\forall y. t \leq \text{mii } (\lambda y. \text{lst } (f y) Q) m y) = (t \leq \text{Inf } \{\text{mii } (\lambda y. \text{lst } (f y) Q) m
y | y. \text{True}\})$  using t by metis

```

qed

8.5 rules for lst

lemma *T-bindT*: $\text{lst} (\text{bindT } M \ f) \ Q = \text{lst } M \ (\lambda y. \text{lst } (f \ y) \ Q)$
by (*rule pw-T-eq-iff*, *rule nres3-bindT*)

lemma *T-REST*: $\text{lst} (\text{REST } [x \mapsto t]) \ Q = \text{mm2} \ (Q \ x) \ (\text{Some } t)$

proof –

have *: $\text{Inf } \{uu. \exists xa. (xa = x \longrightarrow uu = v) \wedge (xa \neq x \longrightarrow uu = \text{Some } \infty)\} = v$
(is $\text{Inf } ?S = v$) **for** $v :: \text{enat option}$

proof –

have $?S \in \{ \{v\} \cup \{\text{Some } \infty\}, \{v\} \}$ **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis*

by *safe (simp-all add: top-enat-def[symmetric] top-option-def[symmetric])*

qed

then show *?thesis*

unfolding *lst-def mii-alt* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *T-RETURNT*: $\text{lst} (\text{RETURNT } x) \ Q = Q \ x$

unfolding *RETURNT-alt* **by** (*rule trans*, *rule T-REST*) *simp*

lemma *T-SELECT*:

assumes

$\forall x. \neg P \ x \Longrightarrow \text{Some } tt \leq \text{lst} (\text{SPECT } [\text{None} \mapsto tf]) \ Q$

and $p: (\bigwedge x. P \ x \Longrightarrow \text{Some } tt \leq \text{lst} (\text{SPECT } [\text{Some } x \mapsto tf]) \ Q)$

shows $\text{Some } tt \leq \text{lst} (\text{SELECT } P \ tf) \ Q$

proof(*cases* $\exists x. P \ x$)

case *True*

from $p[\text{unfolded } T\text{-pw mii-alt}]$ **have**

$p': \bigwedge y \ x. P \ y \Longrightarrow \text{Some } tt \leq \text{mm2} \ (Q \ x) \ ([\text{Some } y \mapsto tf] \ x)$

by *auto*

hence $p'': \bigwedge y \ x. P \ y \Longrightarrow x = \text{Some } y \Longrightarrow \text{Some } tt \leq \text{mm2} \ (Q \ x) \ (\text{Some } tf)$

by (*metis fun-upd-same*)

with *True* **show** *?thesis*

by (*auto simp: SELECT-def emb'-def T-pw mii-alt split: if-splits option.splits*)

next

case *False*

with *assms* **show** *?thesis*

by (*auto simp: SELECT-def*)

qed

9 consequence rules

lemma *aux1*: $\text{Some } t \leq \text{mm2} \ Q \ (\text{Some } t') \longleftrightarrow \text{Some } (t+t') \leq Q$

proof (*cases* t ; *cases* t' ; *cases* Q)

fix $n \ n' \ t''$

assume $a[\text{simp}]: t = \text{enat } n \ t' = \text{enat } n' \ Q = \text{Some } t''$

show *?thesis*

by (cases t'') (auto simp: mm2-def)
qed (auto simp: mm2-def elim: less-enatE split: option.splits)

lemma aux1a: $(\forall x t''. Q' x = \text{Some } t'' \longrightarrow (Q x) \geq \text{Some } (t + t'))$
 $= (\forall x. \text{mm2 } (Q x) (Q' x) \geq \text{Some } t)$

proof(intro iffI allI impI)

fix x

assume a: $\forall x t''. Q' x = \text{Some } t'' \longrightarrow \text{Some } (t + t'') \leq Q x$

then show $\text{Some } t \leq \text{mm2 } (Q x) (Q' x)$

by (cases Q' x) (simp-all add: aux1)

next

fix x t''

assume a: $\forall x. \text{Some } t \leq \text{mm2 } (Q x) (Q' x) \longrightarrow Q' x = \text{Some } t''$

then show $\text{Some } (t + t'') \leq Q x$

using aux1 by metis

qed

lemma T-conseq4:

assumes

$\text{lst } f Q' \geq \text{Some } t'$

$\bigwedge x t''. M. Q' x = \text{Some } t'' \implies (Q x) \geq \text{Some } ((t - t') + t'')$

shows $\text{lst } f Q \geq \text{Some } t$

proof -

{

fix x

from assms(1)[unfolded T-pw] have i: $\text{Some } t' \leq \text{mii } Q' f x$ by auto

from assms(2) have ii: $\bigwedge t''. Q' x = \text{Some } t'' \implies (Q x) \geq \text{Some } ((t - t') + t'')$

t'') by auto

from i ii have $\text{Some } t \leq \text{mii } Q f x$

proof (cases f)

case [simp]: (REST Mf)

then show ?thesis

proof(cases Mf x)

case [simp]: (Some a)

have arith: $t' + a \leq b \implies t - t' + b \leq b' \implies t + a \leq b'$ for b b'

by (cases t; cases t'; cases a; cases b; cases b') auto

with i ii show ?thesis

by (cases Q' x; cases Q x) (auto simp add: mii-alt aux1)

qed (auto simp add: mii-alt)

qed (auto simp add: mii-alt)

}

thus ?thesis

unfolding T-pw ..

qed

lemma T-conseq6:

assumes

$\text{lst } f Q' \geq \text{Some } t$

$\bigwedge x t''. M. f = \text{SPECT } M \implies M x \neq \text{None} \implies Q' x = \text{Some } t'' \implies (Q x) \geq$

```

Some ( t'')
  shows  $lst\ f\ Q \geq Some\ t$ 
proof -
  {
    fix x
    from assms(1)[unfolded T-pw] have i:  $Some\ t \leq mii\ Q'\ f\ x$  by auto
    from assms(2) have ii:  $\bigwedge t''\ M. f = SPECT\ M \implies M\ x \neq None \implies Q'\ x$ 
    =  $Some\ t'' \implies (Q\ x) \geq Some\ (t'')$ 
    by auto
    from i ii have  $Some\ t \leq mii\ Q\ f\ x$ 
    proof (cases f)
      case [simp]: (REST Mf)
      then show ?thesis
      proof (cases Mf x)
        case [simp]: (Some a)
        with i ii show ?thesis
        by (cases  $Q'\ x$ ; cases  $Q\ x$ ) (fastforce simp add: mii-alt aux1) +
      qed (auto simp add: mii-alt)
    qed (auto simp add: mii-alt)
  }
  thus ?thesis
  unfolding T-pw ..
qed

```

```

lemma T-conseq6':
  assumes
     $lst\ f\ Q' \geq Some\ t$ 
     $\bigwedge x\ t''\ M. f = SPECT\ M \implies M\ x \neq None \implies (Q\ x) \geq Q'\ x$ 
  shows  $lst\ f\ Q \geq Some\ t$ 
  by (rule T-conseq6) (auto intro: assms(1) dest: assms(2))

```

```

lemma T-conseq5:
  assumes
     $lst\ f\ Q' \geq Some\ t'$ 
     $\bigwedge x\ t''\ M. f = SPECT\ M \implies M\ x \neq None \implies Q'\ x = Some\ t'' \implies (Q\ x) \geq$ 
     $Some\ ((t - t') + t'')$ 
  shows  $lst\ f\ Q \geq Some\ t$ 
proof -
  {
    fix x
    from assms(1)[unfolded T-pw] have i:  $Some\ t' \leq mii\ Q'\ f\ x$  by auto
    from assms(2) have ii:  $\bigwedge t''\ M. f = SPECT\ M \implies M\ x \neq None \implies Q'\ x$ 
    =  $Some\ t'' \implies (Q\ x) \geq Some\ ((t - t') + t'')$  by auto
    from i ii have  $Some\ t \leq mii\ Q\ f\ x$ 

```

```

  proof (cases f)
    case [simp]: (REST Mf)

```

```

    then show ?thesis
  proof(cases Mf x)
    case [simp]: (Some a)
    have arith:  $t' + a \leq b \implies t - t' + b \leq b' \implies t + a \leq b'$  for  $b b'$ 
      by (cases a; cases b; cases b'; cases t; cases t') auto
    with i ii show ?thesis
      by (cases Q' x; cases Q x) (fastforce simp add: mii-alt aux1)+
    qed (auto simp add: mii-alt)
  qed (auto simp add: mii-alt)
}
thus ?thesis
  unfolding T-pw ..
qed

```

```

lemma T-conseq3:
  assumes
    lst f Q'  $\geq$  Some t'
     $\bigwedge x. \text{mm2 } (Q x) (Q' x) \geq \text{Some } (t - t')$ 
  shows lst f Q  $\geq$  Some t
  using assms T-conseq4 aux1a by metis

```

10 Experimental Hoare reasoning

named-theorems *vcg-rules*

```

method vcg uses rls = ((rule rls vcg-rules[THEN T-conseq4] | clarsimp simp:
  emb-eq-Some-conv emb-le-Some-conv T-bindT T-RETURN)+)

```

experiment
begin

definition P where $P f g = \text{bindT } f (\lambda x. \text{bindT } g (\lambda y. \text{RETURN } (x + (y :: \text{nat}))))$

```

lemma assumes
  f-spec[vcg-rules]: lst f ( emb' ( $\lambda x. x > 2$ ) (enat o ((*) 2)) )  $\geq$  Some 0
and
  g-spec[vcg-rules]: lst g ( emb' ( $\lambda x. x > 2$ ) (enat) )  $\geq$  Some 0
shows lst (P f g) ( emb' ( $\lambda x. x > 5$ ) (enat o (*) 3) )  $\geq$  Some 0
proof -
  have ?thesis
    unfolding P-def by vcg

```

```

  have ?thesis
    unfolding P-def
    apply(simp add: T-bindT )
    apply(simp add: T-RETURN)
    apply(rule T-conseq4[OF f-spec])
    apply(clarsimp simp: emb-eq-Some-conv)
    apply(rule T-conseq4[OF g-spec])

```

```

    apply (clarsimp simp: emb-eq-Some-conv emb-le-Some-conv)
  done
  thus ?thesis .
qed
end

```

11 VCG

named-theorems *vcg-simp-rules*

lemmas [*vcg-simp-rules*] = *T-RETURN*

lemma *TbindT-I*: $\text{Some } t \leq \text{lst } M \ (\lambda y. \text{lst } (f \ y) \ Q) \implies \text{Some } t \leq \text{lst } (M \gg= f) \ Q$
by(*simp add: T-bindT*)

method *vcg'* **uses** *rls* = ((*rule rls TbindT-I vcg-rules[THEN T-conseq6]* | *clarsimp split: if-splits simp: vcg-simp-rules*)+)

lemma *mm2-reft*: $A < \infty \implies \text{mm2 } (\text{Some } A) \ (\text{Some } A) = \text{Some } 0$
unfolding *mm2-def* **by** *auto*

definition *mm3* **where**

mm3 *t* *A* = (*case A of None $\Rightarrow$ None* | *Some t' $\Rightarrow$ if t' $\leq$ t then Some (enat (t-t'))*
else None)

lemma *mm3-same[simp]*: *mm3* *t0* (*Some t0*) = *Some 0* **by** (*auto simp: mm3-def zero-enat-def*)

lemma *mm3-Some-conv*: (*mm3* *t0* *A* = *Some t*) = ($\exists t'. A = \text{Some } t' \wedge t0 \geq t' \wedge t = t0 - t'$)
unfolding *mm3-def* **by**(*auto split: option.splits*)

lemma *mm3-None[simp]*: *mm3* *t0* *None* = *None* **unfolding** *mm3-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *T-FAILT[simp]*: *lst FAILT* *Q* = *None*
unfolding *lst-def mii-alt* **by** *simp*

definition *progress* *m* $\equiv \forall s' M. m = \text{SPECT } M \longrightarrow M \ s' \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow M \ s' > \text{Some } 0$

lemma *progressD*: *progress* *m* $\implies m = \text{SPECT } M \implies M \ s' \neq \text{None} \implies M \ s' > \text{Some } 0$
by (*auto simp: progress-def*)

lemma *progress-FAILT[simp]*: *progress* *FAILT* **by**(*auto simp: progress-def*)

11.1 Progress rules

named-theorems *progress-rules*


```

lemma progress-SELECT-iff: progress (SELECT P t)  $\longleftrightarrow t > 0$ 
  unfolding progress-def SELECT-def emb'-def by (auto split: option.splits)

lemmas [progress-rules] = progress-SELECT-iff[THEN iffD2]

lemma progress-REST-iff: progress (REST [x  $\mapsto$  t])  $\longleftrightarrow t > 0$ 
  by (auto simp: progress-def)

lemmas [progress-rules] = progress-REST-iff[THEN iffD2]

lemma progress-ASSERT-bind[progress-rules]:  $\llbracket \Phi \implies \text{progress } (f \ ()) \rrbracket \implies \text{progress}$ 
(ASSERT  $\Phi \ggg f$ )
  by (cases  $\Phi$ ) (auto simp: progress-def)

lemma progress-SPECT-emb[progress-rules]:  $t > 0 \implies \text{progress } (\text{SPECT } (\text{emb } P$ 
t)) by(auto simp: progress-def emb'-def)

lemma Sup-Some: Sup (S::enat option set) = Some e  $\implies \exists x \in S. (\exists i. x = \text{Some}$ 
i)
  unfolding Sup-option-def by (auto split: if-splits)

lemma progress-bind[progress-rules]: assumes progress m  $\vee (\forall x. \text{progress } (f \ x))$ 
  shows progress (m  $\ggg f$ )
proof (cases m)
  case FAILi
    then show ?thesis by (auto simp: progress-def)
next
  case (REST x2)
    then show ?thesis unfolding bindT-def progress-def
    proof (safe, goal-cases)
      case (1 s' M y)
        let ?P =  $\lambda fa. \exists x. f \ x \neq \text{FAILT} \wedge$ 
          ( $\exists t1. \forall x2a. f \ x = \text{SPECT } x2a \longrightarrow fa = \text{map-option } ((+) \ t1) \circ x2a \wedge$ 
x2 x = Some t1)
        from 1 have A: Sup {fa s' | fa. ?P fa} = Some y
          by (auto dest: nrest-Sup-SPECT-D[where x=s'] split: nrest.splits)
        from Sup-Some[OF this] obtain fa i where P: ?P fa and  $\exists$ : fa s' = Some i
by blast
        then obtain x t1 x2a where a3: f x = SPECT x2a
          and  $\forall x2a. f \ x = \text{SPECT } x2a \longrightarrow fa = \text{map-option } ((+) \ t1) \circ x2a$  and a2:
x2 x = Some t1
          by fastforce
        then have a1: fa = map-option ((+) t1)  $\circ$  x2a by auto
        have progress m  $\implies t1 > 0$ 
          by (drule progressD) (use 1(1) a2 a1 a3 in auto)
        moreover
        have progress (f x)  $\implies x2a \ s' > \text{Some } 0$ 
          using a1 1(1) a2  $\exists$  by (auto dest!: progressD[OF - a3])
        ultimately

```

```

have t1 > 0 ∨ x2a s' > Some 0 using assms by auto

then have Some 0 < fa s' using a1 3 by auto
also have ... ≤ Sup {fa s'|fa. ?P fa}
  by (rule Sup-upper) (blast intro: P)
also have ... = M s' using A 1(3) by simp
finally show ?case .
qed
qed

lemma mm2SomeleSome-conv: mm2 (Qf) (Some t) ≥ Some 0 ⟷ Qf ≥ Some t
  unfolding mm2-def by (auto split: option.split)

```

12 rules for whileT

```

lemma
  assumes whileT b c s = r
  assumes IS[vcg-rules]: ∧s t'. I s = Some t' ⟹ b s
    ⟹ lst (c s) (λs'. if (s',s)∈R then I s' else None) ≥ Some t'

  assumes I s = Some t
  assumes wf: wf R
  shows whileT-rule'': lst r (λx. if b x then None else I x) ≥ Some t
  using assms(1,3)
  unfolding whileT-def
proof (induction arbitrary: t rule: RECT-wf-induct[where R=R])
  case 1
  show ?case by fact
next
  case 2
  then show ?case by refine-mono
next
  case step: (3 x D r t')
  note IH[vcg-rules] = step.IH[OF - refl]
  note step.hyps[symmetric, simp]

  from step.prem1 show ?case
  by simp vcg'
qed

lemma
  fixes I :: 'a ⇒ nat option
  assumes whileT b c s0 = r
  assumes progress: ∧s. progress (c s)
  assumes IS[vcg-rules]: ∧s t t'. I s = Some t ⟹ b s ⟹
    lst (c s) (λs'. mm3 t (I s')) ≥ Some 0

  assumes [simp]: I s0 = Some t0

```

```

shows whileT-rule''': lst r ( $\lambda x. \text{if } b \ x \text{ then } \text{None} \text{ else } \text{mm3 } t0 \ (I \ x)) \geq \text{Some } 0$ 
apply(rule T-conseq4)
apply(rule whileT-rule'''[where  $I = \lambda s. \text{mm3 } t0 \ (I \ s)$ 
  and  $R = \text{measure } (\text{the-enat } o \ \text{the } o \ I), \ OF \ \text{assms}(1)]$ )
subgoal for  $s \ t'$ 
apply(cases I s; simp)
subgoal for  $t_i$ 
using IS[of s ti]
apply (cases c s; simp)
subgoal for  $M$ 
  — TODO
using progress[of s, THEN progressD, of M]
apply(auto simp: T-pw mm3-Some-conv mii-alt mm2-def mm3-def split:
option.splits if-splits)
apply fastforce
apply (metis enat-ord-simps(1) le-diff-iff le-less-trans option.distinct(1))
apply (metis diff-is-0-eq' leI less-option-Some option.simps(3) zero-enat-def)

apply (smt Nat.add-diff-assoc enat-ile enat-ord-code(1) idiff-enat-enat leI
  le-add-diff-inverse2 nat-le-iff-add option.simps(3))
using dual-order.trans by blast
done
done
by auto

```

```

lemma whileIET-rule[THEN T-conseq6, vcg-rules]:
fixes  $E$ 
assumes
  ( $\bigwedge s \ t \ t'. \text{if } I \ s \text{ then } \text{Some } (E \ s) \text{ else } \text{None} = \text{Some } t \implies$ 
     $b \ s \implies \text{Some } 0 \leq \text{lst } (C \ s) \ (\lambda s'. \text{mm3 } t \ (\text{if } I \ s' \text{ then } \text{Some } (E \ s') \text{ else } \text{None}))$ )
   $\bigwedge s. \text{progress } (C \ s)$ 
   $I \ s0$ 
shows  $\text{Some } 0 \leq \text{lst } (\text{whileIET } I \ E \ b \ C \ s0) \ (\lambda x. \text{if } b \ x \text{ then } \text{None} \text{ else } \text{mm3 } (E \ s0) \ (\text{if } I \ x \text{ then } \text{Some } (E \ x) \text{ else } \text{None}))$ 
unfolding whileIET-def
apply(rule whileT-rule'''[OF refl, where  $I = (\lambda e. \text{if } I \ e \text{ then } \text{Some } (E \ e) \text{ else } \text{None})$ ])
using assms by auto

```

```

lemma transf:
assumes  $I \ s \implies b \ s \implies \text{Some } 0 \leq \text{lst } (C \ s) \ (\lambda s'. \text{mm3 } (E \ s) \ (\text{if } I \ s' \text{ then } \text{Some } (E \ s') \text{ else } \text{None}))$ 
shows
  ( $\text{if } I \ s \text{ then } \text{Some } (E \ s) \text{ else } \text{None} = \text{Some } t \implies$ 
     $b \ s \implies \text{Some } 0 \leq \text{lst } (C \ s) \ (\lambda s'. \text{mm3 } t \ (\text{if } I \ s' \text{ then } \text{Some } (E \ s') \text{ else } \text{None}))$ )
using assms by (cases I s) auto

```

```

lemma whileIET-rule':
  fixes E
  assumes
    ( $\bigwedge s\ t\ t'.\ I\ s \implies b\ s \implies \text{Some } 0 \leq \text{lst } (C\ s) (\lambda s'. \text{mm3 } (E\ s) (\text{if } I\ s' \text{ then } \text{Some } (E\ s') \text{ else None})))$ 
     $\bigwedge s. \text{progress } (C\ s)$ 
     $I\ s0$ 
  shows  $\text{Some } 0 \leq \text{lst } (\text{whileIET } I\ E\ b\ C\ s0) (\lambda x. \text{if } b\ x \text{ then None else mm3 } (E\ s0) (\text{if } I\ x \text{ then Some } (E\ x) \text{ else None}))$ 
  by (rule whileIET-rule, rule transf[where b=b]) (use assms in auto)

```

13 some Monadic Refinement Automation

ML $\langle$

structure Refine = struct

```

  structure vcg = Named-Thms
    ( val name = @{binding refine-vcg}
      val description = Refinement Framework: ^
        Verification condition generation rules (intro) )

```

```

  structure vcg-cons = Named-Thms
    ( val name = @{binding refine-vcg-cons}
      val description = Refinement Framework: ^
        Consequence rules tried by VCG )

```

```

  structure refine0 = Named-Thms
    ( val name = @{binding refine0}
      val description = Refinement Framework: ^
        Refinement rules applied first (intro) )

```

```

  structure refine = Named-Thms
    ( val name = @{binding refine}
      val description = Refinement Framework: Refinement rules (intro) )

```

```

  structure refine2 = Named-Thms
    ( val name = @{binding refine2}
      val description = Refinement Framework: ^
        Refinement rules 2nd stage (intro) )

```

(* If set to true, the product splitter of refine-rcg is disabled. *)

val *no-prod-split* =

Attrib.setup-config-bool @{*binding refine-no-prod-split*} (*K false*);

fun *rcg-tac add-thms ctxt* =

let

val *cons-thms* = *vcg-cons.get ctxt*

val *ref-thms* = (*refine0.get ctxt*

```

    @ add-thms @ refine.get ctxt @ refine2.get ctxt);
val prod-ss = (Splitter.add-split @{thm prod.split}
  (put-simpset HOL-basic-ss ctxt));
val prod-simp-tac =
  if Config.get ctxt no-prod-split then
    K no-tac
  else
    (simp-tac prod-ss THEN'
      REPEAT-ALL-NEW (resolve-tac ctxt @{thms impI all}));
in
  REPEAT-ALL-NEW-FWD (DETERM o FIRST' [
    resolve-tac ctxt ref-thms,
    resolve-tac ctxt cons-thms THEN' resolve-tac ctxt ref-thms,
    prod-simp-tac
  ])
end;

fun post-tac ctxt = REPEAT-ALL-NEW-FWD (FIRST' [
  eq-assume-tac,
  (*match-tac ctxt thms,*)
  SOLVED' (Tagged-Solver.solve-tac ctxt)])

end;
>
setup <Refine.vcg.setup>
setup <Refine.vcg-cons.setup>
setup <Refine.refine0.setup>
setup <Refine.refine.setup>
setup <Refine.refine2.setup>

method-setup refine-rcg =
  <Attrib.thms >> (fn add-thms => fn ctxt => SIMPLE-METHOD' (
    Refine.rcg-tac add-thms ctxt THEN-ALL-NEW-FWD (TRY o Refine.post-tac
      ctxt)
    ))>
  Refinement framework: Generate refinement conditions

method-setup refine-vcg =
  <Attrib.thms >> (fn add-thms => fn ctxt => SIMPLE-METHOD' (
    Refine.rcg-tac (add-thms @ Refine.vcg.get ctxt) ctxt THEN-ALL-NEW-FWD
      (TRY o Refine.post-tac ctxt)
    ))>
  Refinement framework: Generate refinement and verification conditions

end
theory DataRefinement
imports NREST

```

begin

13.1 Data Refinement

lemma $\{(1,2),(2,4)\} \text{ “}\{1,2\}=\{2,4\} \text{ by auto}$

definition *conc-fun* ($\langle\Downarrow\rangle$) **where**

conc-fun $R\ m \equiv \text{case } m \text{ of } FAILi \Rightarrow FAILT \mid REST\ X \Rightarrow REST\ (\lambda c. Sup\ \{X\ a \mid a. (c,a) \in R\})$

definition *abs-fun* ($\langle\Uparrow\rangle$) **where**

abs-fun $R\ m \equiv \text{case } m \text{ of } FAILi \Rightarrow FAILT$
 $\mid REST\ X \Rightarrow \text{if } dom\ X \subseteq Domain\ R \text{ then } REST\ (\lambda a. Sup\ \{X\ c \mid c. (c,a) \in R\})$
 $\text{else } FAILT$

lemma

conc-fun-FAIL[simp]: $\Downarrow R\ FAILT = FAILT$ **and**
conc-fun-RES: $\Downarrow R\ (REST\ X) = REST\ (\lambda c. Sup\ \{X\ a \mid a. (c,a) \in R\})$
unfolding *conc-fun-def* **by** (*auto split: nrest.split*)

lemma *nrest-Rel-mono*: $A \leq B \implies \Downarrow R\ A \leq \Downarrow R\ B$

unfolding *conc-fun-def* **by** (*fastforce split: nrest.split simp: le-fun-def intro!: Sup-mono*)

13.1.1 Examples

definition *Rset* **where** $Rset = \{ (c,a) \mid c\ a. set\ c = a \}$

lemma $RETURN\ [1,2,3] \leq \Downarrow Rset\ (RETURN\ \{1,2,3\})$
by (*auto simp add: le-fun-def conc-fun-def RETURN-def Rset-def*)

lemma $RETURN\ [1,2,3] \leq \Downarrow Rset\ (RETURN\ \{1,2,3\})$
by (*auto simp add: le-fun-def conc-fun-def RETURN-def Rset-def*)

definition *Reven* **where** $Reven = \{ (c,a) \mid c\ a. even\ c = a \}$

lemma $RETURN\ 3 \leq \Downarrow Reven\ (RETURN\ False)$
by (*auto simp add: le-fun-def conc-fun-def RETURN-def Reven-def*)

lemma $m \leq \Downarrow Id\ m$
unfolding *conc-fun-def RETURN-def* **by** (*cases m auto*)

lemma $m \leq \Downarrow \{ \} \ m \longleftrightarrow (m = FAILT \vee m = SPECT\ bot)$
unfolding *conc-fun-def RETURN-def* **by** (*cases m auto simp add: bot.extremum-uniqueI le-fun-def*)

lemma *abs-fun-simps[simp]*:

```

 $\uparrow R \text{ FAILT} = \text{FAILT}$ 
 $\text{dom } X \subseteq \text{Domain } R \implies \uparrow R (\text{REST } X) = \text{REST } (\lambda a. \text{Sup } \{X \ c \mid c. (c,a) \in R\})$ 
 $\neg(\text{dom } X \subseteq \text{Domain } R) \implies \uparrow R (\text{REST } X) = \text{FAILT}$ 
unfolding abs-fun-def by (auto split: nrest.split)

context fixes  $R$  assumes  $SV$ : single-valued  $R$  begin

lemma
  fixes  $m :: 'b \Rightarrow \text{enat option}$ 
  shows
     $\text{Sup-sv}: (c, a') \in R \implies \text{Sup } \{m \ a \mid a. (c,a) \in R\} = m \ a'$ 
proof -
  assume  $(c, a') \in R$ 
  with  $SV$  have singleton:  $\{m \ a \mid a. (c,a) \in R\} = \{m \ a'\}$  by (auto dest: single-valuedD)
  show ?thesis unfolding singleton by simp
qed

lemma indomD:  $M \ c = \text{Some } y \implies \text{dom } M \subseteq \text{Domain } R \implies (\exists a. (c,a) \in R)$ 
by auto

lemma conc-abs-swap:  $m' \leq \Downarrow R \ m \longleftrightarrow \uparrow R \ m' \leq m$ 
proof (cases m; cases m')
  fix  $M \ M'$ 
  note [simp] = conc-fun-def abs-fun-def
  assume  $b[\text{simp}]$ :  $m = \text{REST } M \ m' = \text{REST } M'$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof
    assume  $a$ :  $m' \leq \Downarrow R \ m$ 
    from  $a \ b$  have  $R$ :  $m' \leq \text{REST } (\lambda c. \bigsqcup \{M \ a \mid a. (c, a) \in R\})$ 
    using conc-fun-RES by metis
    with  $R$  have Domain:  $\text{dom } M' \subseteq \text{Domain } R$ 
    by (auto simp add: le-fun-def Sup-option-def split: if-splits option.splits)
    from  $R$  have  $M' \ x' \leq M \ x$  if  $(x', x) \in R$  for  $x \ x'$ 
    by (auto simp add: Sup-sv[OF that] intro: le-funI dest: le-funD[of - - x'])
    with  $R \ SV \text{ Domain}$  show  $\uparrow R \ m' \leq m$ 
    by (auto intro!: le-funI simp add: Sup-le-iff)
  next
    assume  $a$ :  $\uparrow R \ m' \leq m$ 
    show  $m' \leq \Downarrow R \ m$ 
    proof (cases dom M' ⊆ Domain R)
      case True

      have  $M' \ x \leq \bigsqcup \{M \ a \mid a. (x, a) \in R\}$  for  $x$ 
      proof (cases M' x)
        case (Some y)
        with True obtain  $x'$  where  $x \ x'$ :  $(x, x') \in R$ 
        by blast
        with  $a \ SV$  show ?thesis
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

      by (force simp add: Sup-sv[OF x-x'] Sup-le-iff dest!: le-funD[of - - x'] split:
if-splits)
    qed auto
    then show  $m' \leq \Downarrow R m$ 
      by (auto intro!: le-funI)
    next
    case False
    with a show ?thesis
      by auto
    qed
  qed
qed (auto simp add: conc-fun-def abs-fun-def)

```

```

lemma
  fixes  $m :: 'b \Rightarrow \text{enat option}$ 
  shows
    Inf-sv:  $(c, a') \in R \implies \text{Inf } \{m\ a \mid a. (c, a) \in R\} = m\ a'$ 
  proof -
    assume  $(c, a') \in R$ 
    with SV have singleton:  $\{m\ a \mid a. (c, a) \in R\} = \{m\ a'\}$  by (auto dest: single-valuedD)
    show ?thesis unfolding singleton by simp
  qed

```

```

lemma ac-galois: galois-connection  $(\Uparrow R)$   $(\Downarrow R)$ 
  by (unfold-locales) (rule conc-abs-swap)

```

```

lemma
  fixes  $m :: 'b \Rightarrow \text{enat option}$ 
  shows Sup-some-svD:  $\text{Sup } \{m\ a \mid a. (c, a) \in R\} = \text{Some } t' \implies (\exists a. (c, a) \in R \wedge m\ a = \text{Some } t')$ 
  by (frule Sup-Some) (use SV in <auto simp add: single-valued-def Sup-sv>)

```

end

```

lemma pw-abs-nofail[refine-pw-simps]:
  nofailT  $(\Uparrow R\ M) \longleftrightarrow (\text{nofailT } M \wedge (\forall x. (\exists t. \text{inresT } M\ x\ t) \longrightarrow x \in \text{Domain } R))$ 
  (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
  proof (cases M)
    case FAILi
    then show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
  case [simp]: (REST m)
  show ?thesis
  proof
    assume ?l

```



```

    then show ?r
      by (auto simp: abs-fun-def split: if-splits)
  next
    assume a: ?r
    with REST have  $x \in \text{Domain } R$  if  $m\ x = \text{Some } y$  for  $x\ y$ 
    proof-
      have  $\text{enat } 0 \leq y$ 
        by (simp add: enat-0)
      with that REST a show ?thesis
        by auto
    qed
    then show ?l
      by (auto simp: abs-fun-def)
  qed
qed

lemma pw-conc-nofail[refine-pw-simps]:
  nofailT ( $\Downarrow R\ S$ ) = nofailT S
  by (cases S) (auto simp: conc-fun-RES)

lemma single-valued  $A \implies \text{single-valued } B \implies \text{single-valued } (A\ O\ B)$ 
  by (simp add: single-valued-relcomp)

lemma Sup-enatoption-less2:  $\text{Sup } X = \text{Some } \infty \implies (\exists x. \text{Some } x \in X \wedge \text{enat } t < x)$ 
  using Sup-enat-less2
  by (metis Sup-option-def in-these-eq option.distinct(1) option.sel)

lemma pw-conc-inres[refine-pw-simps]:
  inresT ( $\Downarrow R\ S'$ )  $s\ t = (\text{nofailT } S' \longrightarrow ((\exists s'. (s, s') \in R \wedge \text{inresT } S'\ s'\ t)))$  (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
  proof(cases S')
    case [simp]: (REST m')
    show ?thesis
    proof
      assume a: ?l
      from this obtain  $t'$  where  $t': \text{enat } t \leq t' \sqcup \{m'\ a \mid a. (s, a) \in R\} = \text{Some } t'$ 
        by (auto simp: conc-fun-RES)
      then obtain  $a\ t''$  where  $(s, a) \in R\ m'\ a = \text{Some } t''\ \text{enat } t \leq t''$ 
        proof(cases t')
          case (enat n)
          with t' that[where t''=n] show ?thesis
            by(auto dest: Sup-finite-enat)
        next
          case infinity
          with t' that show ?thesis
            by (force dest!: Sup-enatoption-less2[where t=t] simp add: less-le-not-le t'(1))
        qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

    then show ?r
    by auto
next
  assume a: ?r
  then obtain s' t' where s'-t': (s,s') ∈ R m' s' = Some t' enat t ≤ t'
    by (auto simp: conc-fun-RES)
  then have ∃ t' ≥ enat t. ⋈ {m' a | a. (s, a) ∈ R} ≥ Some t'
    by (intro exI[of - t']) (force intro: Sup-upper)
  then show ?l
    by (auto simp: conc-fun-RES elim!: le-some-optE)
qed
qed simp

```

lemma *sv-the: single-valued $R \implies (c,a) \in R \implies (THE\ a.\ (c, a) \in R) = a$*
 by (simp add: single-valued-def the-equality)

lemma *conc-fun-RES-sv:*
 assumes *SV: single-valued R*
 shows $\Downarrow R\ (REST\ X) = REST\ (\lambda c.\ \text{if } c \in \text{Domain } R \text{ then } X\ (THE\ a.\ (c,a) \in R) \text{ else None})$
 using *SV* by (auto simp add: Sup-sv sv-the Domain-iff conc-fun-def bot-option-def intro!: ext split: nrest.split)

lemma *conc-fun-mono[simp, intro!]:*
 shows *mono* ($\Downarrow R$)
 by (rule monoI) (auto simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps)

lemma *conc-fun-R-mono:*
 assumes $R \subseteq R'$
 shows $\Downarrow R\ M \leq \Downarrow R'\ M$
 using *assms*
 by (auto simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps)

lemma *SupSup-2: $Sup\ \{m\ a\ |\ a.\ (c, a) \in R\ O\ S\} = Sup\ \{m\ a\ |\ a\ b.\ (b,a) \in S \wedge (c,b) \in R\}$*
 (c,b) ∈ R }
proof –
 have *i*: $\bigwedge a.\ (c,a) \in R\ O\ S \longleftrightarrow (\exists b.\ (b,a) \in S \wedge (c,b) \in R)$ **by** *auto*
 have $Sup\ \{m\ a\ |\ a.\ (c, a) \in R\ O\ S\} = Sup\ \{m\ a\ |\ a.\ (\exists b.\ (b,a) \in S \wedge (c,b) \in R)\}$
 unfolding *i* **by** *auto*
 also have ... = $Sup\ \{m\ a\ |\ a\ b.\ (b,a) \in S \wedge (c,b) \in R\}$ **by** *auto*
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma
 fixes $m :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{enat option}$

shows *SupSup*: $\text{Sup} \{ \text{Sup} \{ m \ aa \mid aa. P \ a \ aa \ c \} \mid a. Q \ a \ c \} = \text{Sup} \{ m \ aa \mid a \ aa. P \ a \ aa \ c \wedge Q \ a \ c \}$

by (*rule antisym*) (*auto intro: Sup-least Sup-subset-mono Sup-upper2*)

lemma

fixes $m :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{enat option}$

shows

SupSup-1: $\text{Sup} \{ \text{Sup} \{ m \ aa \mid aa. (a, aa) \in S \} \mid a. (c, a) \in R \} = \text{Sup} \{ m \ aa \mid a \ aa. (a, aa) \in S \wedge (c, a) \in R \}$

by(*rule SupSup*)

lemma *conc-fun-chain*:

shows $\Downarrow R (\Downarrow S \ M) = \Downarrow (R \ O \ S) \ M$

proof(*cases M*)

case [*simp*]: (*REST x*)

have $\bigsqcup \{ \bigsqcup \{ x \ aa \mid aa. (a, aa) \in S \} \mid a. (c, a) \in R \} = \bigsqcup \{ x \ a \mid a. (c, a) \in R \ O \ S \}$ **for** c

by (*unfold SupSup-1 SupSup-2*) *meson*

then show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: conc-fun-RES*)

qed *auto*

lemma *conc-fun-chain-sv*:

assumes *SVR*: *single-valued R* **and** *SVS*: *single-valued S*

shows $\Downarrow R (\Downarrow S \ M) = \Downarrow (R \ O \ S) \ M$

using *assms conc-fun-chain* **by** *blast*

lemma *conc-Id*[*simp*]: $\Downarrow Id = id$

unfolding *conc-fun-def* [*abs-def*] **by** (*auto split: nrest.split*)

lemma *conc-fun-fail-iff*[*simp*]:

$\Downarrow R \ S = FAILT \longleftrightarrow S = FAILT$

$FAILT = \Downarrow R \ S \longleftrightarrow S = FAILT$

by (*auto simp add: pw-eq-iff refine-pw-simps*)

lemma *conc-trans*[*trans*]:

assumes $A: C \leq \Downarrow R \ B$ **and** $B: B \leq \Downarrow R' \ A$

shows $C \leq \Downarrow R (\Downarrow R' \ A)$

using *assms* **by** (*fastforce simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps*)

lemma *conc-trans-sv*:

assumes *SV*: *single-valued R* *single-valued R'*

and $A: C \leq \Downarrow R \ B$ **and** $B: B \leq \Downarrow R' \ A$

shows $C \leq \Downarrow R (\Downarrow R' \ A)$

using *assms* **by** (*fastforce simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps*)

WARNING: The order of the single statements is important here!

lemma *conc-trans-additional*[*trans*]:
assumes *single-valued R*
shows
 $\bigwedge A B C. A \leq \Downarrow R B \implies B \leq C \implies A \leq \Downarrow R C$
 $\bigwedge A B C. A \leq \Downarrow Id B \implies B \leq \Downarrow R C \implies A \leq \Downarrow R C$
 $\bigwedge A B C. A \leq \Downarrow R B \implies B \leq \Downarrow Id C \implies A \leq \Downarrow R C$

 $\bigwedge A B C. A \leq \Downarrow Id B \implies B \leq \Downarrow Id C \implies A \leq C$
 $\bigwedge A B C. A \leq \Downarrow Id B \implies B \leq C \implies A \leq C$
 $\bigwedge A B C. A \leq B \implies B \leq \Downarrow Id C \implies A \leq C$
using *assms conc-trans*[**where** $R=R$ **and** $R'=Id$]
by (*auto intro: order-trans*)

lemma *RETURN-refine*:
assumes $(x, x') \in R$
shows $RETURN x \leq \Downarrow R (RETURN x')$
using *assms*
by (*auto simp: RETURN-def conc-fun-RES le-fun-def Sup-upper*)

lemma *bindT-refine'*:
fixes $R' :: ('a \times 'b) \text{ set}$ **and** $R :: ('c \times 'd) \text{ set}$
assumes $R1: M \leq \Downarrow R' M'$
assumes $R2: \bigwedge x x' t. \llbracket (x, x') \in R'; \text{inresT } M \ x \ t; \text{inresT } M' \ x' \ t; \text{nofailT } M; \text{nofailT } M' \rrbracket$
 $\implies f x \leq \Downarrow R (f' x')$
shows $\text{bindT } M (\lambda x. f x) \leq \Downarrow R (\text{bindT } M' (\lambda x'. f' x'))$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps*) *blast*

lemma *bindT-refine*:
fixes $R' :: ('a \times 'b) \text{ set}$ **and** $R :: ('c \times 'd) \text{ set}$
assumes $R1: M \leq \Downarrow R' M'$
assumes $R2: \bigwedge x x'. \llbracket (x, x') \in R' \rrbracket$
 $\implies f x \leq \Downarrow R (f' x')$
shows $\text{bindT } M (\lambda x. f x) \leq \Downarrow R (\text{bindT } M' (\lambda x'. f' x'))$
apply (*rule bindT-refine'*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

13.2 WHILET refine

lemma *RECT-refine*:
assumes $M: \text{mono2 body}$
assumes $R0: (x, x') \in R$
assumes $RS: \bigwedge f f' x x'. \llbracket \bigwedge x x'. (x, x') \in R \implies f x \leq \Downarrow S (f' x'); (x, x') \in R \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{body } f x \leq \Downarrow S (\text{body}' f' x')$
shows $RECT (\lambda f x. \text{body } f x) x \leq \Downarrow S (RECT (\lambda f' x'. \text{body}' f' x') x')$
proof(*cases mono2 body'*)
case *True*
have $\text{flatf-gfp body } x \leq \Downarrow S (\text{flatf-gfp body}' x')$
by (*rule flatf-fixp-transfer*)**where**

$fp' = \text{flatf-gfp } body$
and $B' = body$
and $P = \lambda x \ x'. (x', x) \in R,$
 $OF - - \text{flatf-ord.fxp-unfold}[OF \ M[THEN \ trimonoD-flatf-ge]] \ R0]$
 (use *True* **in** $\langle auto \ intro: RS \ dest: trimonoD-flatf-ge \rangle$)
then show *?thesis*
by (*auto simp add: M RECT-flat-gfp-def*)
qed (*auto simp add: RECT-flat-gfp-def*)

lemma *WHILET-refine*:
assumes $R0: (x, x') \in R$
assumes *COND-REF*: $\bigwedge x \ x'. \llbracket (x, x') \in R \rrbracket \implies b \ x = b' \ x'$
assumes *STEP-REF*:
 $\bigwedge x \ x'. \llbracket (x, x') \in R; b \ x; b' \ x' \rrbracket \implies f \ x \leq \Downarrow R (f' \ x')$
shows $\text{whileT } b \ f \ x \leq \Downarrow R (\text{whileT } b' \ f' \ x')$
unfolding *whileT-def*
apply (*rule RECT-refine[OF - R0]*)
subgoal by *refine-mono*
subgoal by (*auto simp add: COND-REF STEP-REF RETURNT-refine intro:*
bindT-refine[where R'=R])
done

lemma *SPECT-refines-conc-fun'*:
assumes $a: \bigwedge m \ c. \ M = \text{SPECT } m$
 $\implies c \in \text{dom } n \implies (\exists a. (c, a) \in R \wedge n \ c \leq m \ a)$
shows $\text{SPECT } n \leq \Downarrow R \ M$
proof –
have $n \ c \leq \bigsqcup \{m \ a \mid a. (c, a) \in R\}$ **if** $M = \text{SPECT } m$ **for** $c \ m$
proof (*cases* $c \in \text{dom } n$)
case *True*
with *that* **a obtain** a **where** $k: (c, a) \in R$ $n \ c \leq m \ a$ **by** *blast*
then show *?thesis*
by (*intro Sup-upper2*) *auto*
next
case *False*
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: domIff*)
qed
then show *?thesis*
unfolding *conc-fun-def* **by** (*auto simp add: le-fun-def split: nrest.split*)
qed

lemma *SPECT-refines-conc-fun*:
assumes $a: \bigwedge m \ c. (\exists a. (c, a) \in R \wedge n \ c \leq m \ a)$
shows $\text{SPECT } n \leq \Downarrow R (\text{SPECT } m)$
by (*rule SPECT-refines-conc-fun'*) (*use a in auto*)

lemma *conc-fun-br*: $\Downarrow (br \ \alpha \ I1) (\text{SPECT } (emb \ I2 \ t))$

```

      = (SPECT (emb (λx. I1 x ∧ I2 (α x)) t))
unfolding conc-fun-RES
using Sup-Some by (auto simp: emb'-def br-def bot-option-def Sup-option-def)

end
theory RefineMonadicVCG
imports NREST DataRefinement
      Case-Labeling.Case-Labeling
begin

```

— Might look similar to *repeat-new* from *HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach*, however the placement of the $?$ is different, in particular that means this method is allowed to failed

method *repeat-all-new* **methods** $m = (m; \text{repeat-all-new } \langle m \rangle)?$

lemma *R-intro*: $A \leq \Downarrow Id B \implies A \leq B$ **by** *simp*

13.3 ASSERT

lemma *le-R-ASSERTI*: $(\Phi \implies M \leq \Downarrow R M') \implies M \leq \Downarrow R (\text{ASSERT } \Phi \gg (\lambda-. M'))$
by (auto simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps)

lemma *T-ASSERT[vcg-simp-rules]*: $\text{Some } t \leq \text{lst } (\text{ASSERT } \Phi) Q \longleftrightarrow \text{Some } t \leq Q () \wedge \Phi$
by (cases Φ) *vcg'*

lemma *T-ASSERT-I*: $(\Phi \implies \text{Some } t \leq Q ()) \implies \Phi \implies \text{Some } t \leq \text{lst } (\text{ASSERT } \Phi) Q$
by (simp add: T-ASSERT T-RETURN)

lemma *T-RESTemb-iff*: $\text{Some } t' \leq \text{lst } (\text{REST } (\text{emb}' P t)) Q \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. P x \longrightarrow \text{Some } (t' + t x) \leq Q x)$
by (auto simp: emb'-def T-pw mii-alt aux1)

lemma *T-RESTemb*: $(\bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{Some } (t' + t x) \leq Q x) \implies \text{Some } t' \leq \text{lst } (\text{REST } (\text{emb}' P t)) Q$
by (auto simp: T-RESTemb-iff)

lemma *T-SPEC*: $(\bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{Some } (t' + t x) \leq Q x) \implies \text{Some } t' \leq \text{lst } (\text{SPEC } P t) Q$
unfolding SPEC-REST-emb'-conv
by (auto simp: T-RESTemb-iff)

lemma *T-SPECT-I*: $(\text{Some } (t' + t) \leq Q x) \implies \text{Some } t' \leq \text{lst } (\text{SPECT } [x \mapsto t]) Q$
by (auto simp: T-pw mii-alt aux1)

```

lemma mm2-map-option:
  assumes Some (t'+t) ≤ mm2 (Q x) (x2 x)
  shows Some t' ≤ mm2 (Q x) (map-option ((+) t) (x2 x))
proof(cases Q x)
  case None
  from assms None show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: mm2-def split: option.splits if-splits)
next
  case (Some a)
  have arith: ¬ a < b ⇒ t' + t ≤ a - b ⇒ a < t + b ⇒ False for b
    by (cases a; cases b; cases t'; cases t) auto
  moreover have arith': ¬ a < b ⇒ t' + t ≤ a - b ⇒ ¬ a < t + b ⇒ t' ≤
a - (t + b) for b
    by (cases a; cases b; cases t'; cases t) auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using Some assms by (auto simp: mm2-def split: option.splits if-splits)
qed

lemma T-consume: (Some (t' + t) ≤ lst M Q)
  ⇒ Some t' ≤ lst (consume M t) Q
by (auto intro!: mm2-map-option simp: consume-def T-pw mii-alt miiFailt
  split: nrest.splits option.splits if-splits)

```

definition valid t Q M = (Some t ≤ lst M Q)

13.4 VCG splitter

ML ‹

```

structure VCG-Case-Splitter = struct
  fun dest-case ctxt t =
    case strip-comb t of
      (Const (case-comb, -), args) =>
        (case Ctr-Sugar.ctr-sugar-of-case ctxt case-comb of
          NONE => NONE
        | SOME {case-thms, ...} =>
          let
            val lhs = Thm.prop-of (hd (case-thms))
            |> HOLogic.dest-Trueprop |> HOLogic.dest-eq |> fst;
            val arity = length (snd (strip-comb lhs));
            (*val conv = funpow (length args - arity) Conv.fun-conv
              (Conv.rewrs-conv (map mk-meta-eq case-thms));*)
          in
            SOME (nth args (arity - 1), case-thms)
          end)
    | - => NONE;

  fun rewrite-with-asm-tac ctxt k =
    Subgoal.FOCUS (fn {context = ctxt', prems, ...} =>

```

```

    Local-Defs.unfold0-tac ctxt' [nth prems k]) ctxt;

  fun split-term-tac ctxt case-term = (
    case dest-case ctxt case-term of
      NONE => no-tac
    | SOME (arg, case-thms) => let
        val stac = asm-full-simp-tac (put-simpset HOL-basic-ss ctxt addsimps
case-thms)
      in
        (*CHANGED o stac
        ORELSE*)
        (
          Induct.cases-tac ctxt false [[SOME arg]] NONE []
          THEN-ALL-NEW stac
        )
      end 1
    )

  )

  fun split-tac ctxt = Subgoal.FOCUS-PARAMS (fn {context = ctxt, ...} =>
ALLGOALS (
  SUBGOAL (fn (t, -) => case Logic.strip-imp-concl t of
    @ {mpat Trueprop (Some - ≤ lst ?prog -)} => split-term-tac ctxt prog
  | @ {mpat Trueprop (progress ?prog)} => split-term-tac ctxt prog
  | @ {mpat Trueprop (Case-Labeling.CTXT - - - (valid - - ?prog))} =>
split-term-tac ctxt prog
  | - => no-tac
  ))
  ) ctxt
  THEN-ALL-NEW TRY o Hypsubst.hyp-subst-tac ctxt

end
,

method-setup vcg-split-case =
  ⟨Scan.succeed (fn ctxt => SIMPLE-METHOD' (CHANGED o (VCG-Case-Splitter.split-tac
ctxt)))⟩

```

13.5 mm3 and emb

lemma *Some-eq-mm3-Some-conv*[vcg-simp-rules]: *Some t = mm3 t' (Some t'')*
 $\longleftrightarrow (t'' \leq t' \wedge t = \text{enat } (t' - t''))$
by (*simp add: mm3-def*)

lemma *Some-eq-mm3-Some-conv'*: *mm3 t' (Some t'') = Some t $\longleftrightarrow (t'' \leq t' \wedge t$*
 $\text{= enat } (t' - t''))$
using *Some-eq-mm3-Some-conv* **by** *metis*

lemma *Some-le-emb'-conv*[*vcg-simp-rules*]: *Some* $t \leq \text{emb}' Q \text{ft } x \longleftrightarrow Q x \wedge t \leq \text{ft } x$
by (*auto simp: emb'-def*)

lemma *Some-eq-emb'-conv*[*vcg-simp-rules*]: $\text{emb}' Q \text{tf } s = \text{Some } t \longleftrightarrow (Q s \wedge t = \text{tf } s)$
unfolding *emb'-def* **by**(*auto split: if-splits*)

13.6 Setup Labeled VCG

context

begin

interpretation *Labeling-Syntax* .

lemma *LCondRule*:
fixes *IC CT* **defines** $CT' \equiv ("cond'', IC, []) \# CT$
assumes $b \implies C\langle \text{Suc } IC, ("the'', IC, []) \# ("cond'', IC, []) \# CT, OC1: \text{valid } t \text{ } Q \text{ } c1 \rangle$
and $\sim b \implies C\langle \text{Suc } OC1, ("els'', \text{Suc } OC1, []) \# ("cond'', IC, []) \# CT, OC: \text{valid } t \text{ } Q \text{ } c2 \rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, OC: \text{valid } t \text{ } Q \text{ (if } b \text{ then } c1 \text{ else } c2) \rangle$
using *assms(2-)* **unfolding** *LABEL-simps* **by** (*simp add: valid-def*)

lemma *LouterCondRule*:
fixes *IC CT* **defines** $CT' \equiv ("cond2'', IC, []) \# CT$
assumes $b \implies C\langle \text{Suc } IC, ("the'', IC, []) \# ("cond2'', IC, []) \# CT, OC1: t \leq A \rangle$
and $\sim b \implies C\langle \text{Suc } OC1, ("els'', \text{Suc } OC1, []) \# ("cond2'', IC, []) \# CT, OC: t \leq B \rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, OC: t \leq (\text{if } b \text{ then } A \text{ else } B) \rangle$
using *assms(2-)* **unfolding** *LABEL-simps* **by** (*simp add: valid-def*)

lemma *While*:

assumes $I s0 \ (\bigwedge s. I s \implies b s \implies \text{Some } 0 \leq \text{lst } (C s) (\lambda s'. \text{mm3 } (E s) (\text{if } I s' \text{ then } \text{Some } (E s') \text{ else } \text{None})))$
 $(\bigwedge s. \text{progress } (C s))$
 $(\bigwedge x. \neg b x \implies I x \implies (E x) \leq (E s0) \implies \text{Some } (t + \text{enat } ((E s0) - E x)) \leq Q x)$
shows $\text{Some } t \leq \text{lst } (\text{whileIET } I E b C s0) Q$
apply(*rule whileIET-rule'[THEN T-conseq4]*)
subgoal using *assms(2)* **by** *simp*
subgoal using *assms(3)* **by** *simp*
subgoal using *assms(1)* **by** *simp*
subgoal for *x* **using** *assms(4)* **by** (*cases I x*) (*auto simp: Some-eq-mm3-Some-conv' split: if-splits*)
done

definition *monadic-WHILE* $b f s \equiv \text{do } \{$

```

RECT ( $\lambda D$  s. do {
  bv  $\leftarrow$  b s;
  if bv then do {
    s  $\leftarrow$  f s;
    D s
  } else do { RETURNNT s }
}) s
}

```

lemma *monadic-WHILE-mono*:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x. bm\ x \leq bm'\ x$ 
and  $\bigwedge x\ t. nofailT\ (bm'\ x) \implies inresT\ (bm\ x)\ True\ t \implies c\ x \leq c'\ x$ 
shows  $(monadic-WHILE\ bm\ c\ x) \leq (monadic-WHILE\ bm'\ c'\ x)$ 
unfolding monadic-WHILE-def apply(rule RECT-mono)
subgoal by (refine-mono)
using assms by (auto intro!: bindT-mono)

```

lemma *z: inresT A x t $\implies$ A $\leq$ B $\implies$ inresT B x t*
 by (meson fail-inresT pw-le-iff)

lemma *monadic-WHILE-mono'*:

```

assumes
   $\bigwedge x. bm\ x \leq bm'\ x$ 
and  $\bigwedge x\ t. nofailT\ (bm'\ x) \implies inresT\ (bm'\ x)\ True\ t \implies c\ x \leq c'\ x$ 
shows  $(monadic-WHILE\ bm\ c\ x) \leq (monadic-WHILE\ bm'\ c'\ x)$ 
unfolding monadic-WHILE-def apply(rule RECT-mono)
subgoal by (refine-mono)
apply(rule bindT-mono)
apply fact
using assms by (auto intro!: bindT-mono dest: z[OF - assms(1)])

```

lemma *monadic-WHILE-refine*:

```

assumes
   $(x, x') \in R$ 
 $\bigwedge x\ x'. (x, x') \in R \implies bm\ x \leq \Downarrow Id\ (bm'\ x')$ 
and  $\bigwedge x\ x'\ t. (x, x') \in R \implies nofailT\ (bm'\ x') \implies inresT\ (bm'\ x')\ True\ t \implies$ 
 $c\ x \leq \Downarrow R\ (c'\ x')$ 
shows  $(monadic-WHILE\ bm\ c\ x) \leq \Downarrow R\ (monadic-WHILE\ bm'\ c'\ x')$ 
unfolding monadic-WHILE-def apply(rule RECT-refine[OF - assms(1)])
subgoal by (refine-mono)
apply(rule bindT-refine'[OF assms(2)])
subgoal by auto
by (auto intro!: assms(3) bindT-refine RETURNNT-refine)

```

lemma *monadic-WHILE-aux: monadic-WHILE b f s = monadic-WHILEIT (λ -. True) b f s*
 unfolding *monadic-WHILEIT-def monadic-WHILE-def*
 by simp

— No proof

lemma $lst\ (c\ x)\ Q = Some\ t \implies Some\ t \leq lst\ (c\ x)\ Q'$

apply(rule *T-conseq6*) **oops**

lemma *TbindT-I2*: $tt \leq lst\ M\ (\lambda y. lst\ (f\ y)\ Q) \implies tt \leq lst\ (M \gg f)\ Q$

by (*simp add: T-bindT*)

lemma *T-conseq7*:

assumes

$lst\ f\ Q' \geq tt$

$\bigwedge x\ t''. M. f = SPECT\ M \implies M\ x \neq None \implies Q'\ x = Some\ t'' \implies (Q\ x) \geq Some\ (t'')$

shows $lst\ f\ Q \geq tt$

using *assms* **by** (*cases tt*) (*auto intro: T-conseq6*)

lemma *monadic-WHILE-ruleaaa''*:

assumes *monadic-WHILE* $bm\ c\ s = r$

assumes *IS[vcg-rules]*: $\bigwedge s.$

$lst\ (bm\ s)\ (\lambda b. \text{if } b \text{ then } lst\ (c\ s)\ (\lambda s'. \text{if } (s', s) \in R \text{ then } I\ s' \text{ else } None) \text{ else } Q\ s) \geq I\ s$

assumes *wf*: $wf\ R$

shows $lst\ r\ Q \geq I\ s$

using *assms*(1)

unfolding *monadic-WHILE-def*

proof (*induction rule: RECT-wf-induct[where R=R]*)

case 1

show ?*case* **by** *fact*

next

case 2

then show ?*case* **by** *refine-mono*

next

case *step*: $(\exists x\ D\ r)$

note *IH[vcg-rules]* = *step.IH[OF - refl]*

note *step.hyps*[*symmetric, simp*]

from *step.prem*s

show ?*case*

apply *simp*

apply (rule *TbindT-I2*)

apply(rule *T-conseq7*)

apply (rule *IS*)

apply *simp*

apply *safe*

subgoal

apply (rule *TbindT-I*)

apply(rule *T-conseq6*[**where** $Q' = (\lambda s'. \text{if } (s', x) \in R \text{ then } I\ s' \text{ else } None)$])

subgoal **by** *simp*

by (*auto split: if-splits dest: IH*)

subgoal **by**(*simp add: T-RETURNT*)

done
qed

lemma *monadic-WHILE-rule''*:

assumes *monadic-WHILE* $bm\ c\ s = r$
 assumes $IS[vcg\text{-}rules]: \bigwedge s\ t'. I\ s = Some\ t'$
 $\implies lst\ (bm\ s)\ (\lambda b. \text{if } b \text{ then } lst\ (c\ s)\ (\lambda s'. \text{if } (s', s) \in R \text{ then } I\ s' \text{ else } None) \text{ else } Q\ s) \geq Some\ t'$

assumes $I\ s = Some\ t$
 assumes *wf*: $wf\ R$
 shows $lst\ r\ Q \geq Some\ t$
 using *assms*(1,3)
 unfolding *monadic-WHILE-def*
proof (*induction arbitrary*: t rule: *RECT-wf-induct*[**where** $R=R$])
 case 1
 show ?case **by fact**
next
 case 2
 then show ?case **by refine-mono**
next
 case *step*: $(\exists x\ D\ r\ t')$
 note $IH[vcg\text{-}rules] = step.IH[OF\ -\ refl]$
 note *step.hyps*[*symmetric*, *simp*]

 from *step.prem*s
 show ?case
 apply *simp*
 apply (rule *TbindT-I*)
 apply (rule *T-conseq6*)
 apply (rule *IS*) apply *simp*
 apply *simp*
 apply *safe*
 subgoal
 apply (rule *TbindT-I*)
 apply (rule *T-conseq6*[**where** $Q' = (\lambda s'. \text{if } (s', x) \in R \text{ then } I\ s' \text{ else } None)$])
 subgoal **by simp**
by (*auto split: if-splits intro: IH*)
 subgoal **by vcg'**
 done
 qed

lemma *whileT-rule'''*:

fixes $I :: 'a \Rightarrow nat\ option$
 assumes *whileT* $b\ c\ s0 = r$
 assumes *progress*: $\bigwedge s. progress\ (c\ s)$
 assumes $IS[vcg\text{-}rules]: \bigwedge s\ t\ t'. I\ s = Some\ t \implies b\ s \implies$
 $lst\ (c\ s)\ (\lambda s'. mm3\ t\ (I\ s')) \geq Some\ 0$

```

assumes [simp]:  $I\ s0 = \text{Some } t0$ 

shows  $\text{lst } r\ (\lambda x. \text{if } b\ x \text{ then } \text{None} \text{ else } \text{mm3 } t0\ (I\ x)) \geq \text{Some } 0$ 
apply(rule T-conseq4)
apply(rule whileT-rule''[where  $I = \lambda s. \text{mm3 } t0\ (I\ s)$ 
  and  $R = \text{measure } (\text{the-enat } o\ \text{the } o\ I),\ OF\ \text{assms}(1)]$ )
subgoal for  $s\ t'$ 
apply(cases  $I\ s$ ; simp)
subgoal for  $ti$ 
using IS[of  $s\ ti$ ]
apply (cases  $c\ s$ ) apply(simp)
subgoal for  $M$ 
using progress[of  $s$ , THEN progressD, of  $M$ ]
  — TODO: Cleanup
apply(auto simp: T-pw mm3-Some-conv mii-alt mm2-def mm3-def split:
option.splits if-splits)
apply fastforce
subgoal
by (metis enat-ord-simps(1) le-diff-iff le-less-trans option.distinct(1))
subgoal
by (metis diff-is-0-eq' leI less-option-Some option.simps(3) zero-enat-def)

subgoal
by (smt Nat.add-diff-assoc enat-ile enat-ord-code(1) idiff-enat-enat leI
le-add-diff-inverse2 nat-le-iff-add option.simps(3))
subgoal
using dual-order.trans by blast
done
done
done
by auto

fun Someplus where
  Someplus None = None
| Someplus - None = None
| Someplus (Some a) (Some b) = Some (a+b)

lemma l:  $\sim (a::\text{enat}) < b \iff a \geq b$  by auto

lemma kk:  $a \geq b \implies (b::\text{enat}) + (a - b) = a$ 
by (cases  $a$ ; cases  $b$ ) auto

lemma Tea:  $\text{Someplus } A\ B = \text{Some } t \iff (\exists a\ b. A = \text{Some } a \wedge B = \text{Some } b \wedge t = a + b)$ 
by (cases  $A$ ; cases  $B$ ) auto

lemma TTT-Some-nofailT:  $\text{lst } c\ Q = \text{Some } l \implies c \neq \text{FAILT}$ 
unfolding lst-def mii-alt by auto

```

lemma GRR: assumes $\text{lst } (\text{SPECT } Mf) \ Q = \text{Some } l$
shows $Mf \ x = \text{None} \vee (Q \ x \neq \text{None} \wedge (Q \ x) \geq Mf \ x)$
proof –
from *assms* **have** $\text{None} \notin \{mii \ Q \ (\text{SPECT } Mf) \ x \mid x. \text{True}\}$
unfolding *lst-def*
unfolding *Inf-option-def* **by** (*auto split: if-splits*)
then have $\text{None} \neq (\text{case } Mf \ x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Some } \infty$
 $\mid \text{Some } mt \Rightarrow \text{case } Q \ x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None}$
 $\mid \text{Some } rt \Rightarrow \text{if } rt < mt \text{ then } \text{None} \text{ else } \text{Some } (rt - mt))$
unfolding *mii-alt mm2-def*
by (*auto*)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*auto split: option.splits if-splits*)
qed

lemma Someplus-None: Someplus $A \ B = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow (A = \text{None} \vee B = \text{None})$
by (*cases A; cases B*) *auto*

lemma Somemm3: $A \geq B \implies mm3 \ A \ (\text{Some } B) = \text{Some } (A - B)$
unfolding *mm3-def* **by** *auto*

lemma neueWhile-rule: assumes *monadic-WHILE* $bm \ c \ s0 = r$
and step: $\bigwedge s. I \ s \implies$
 $\text{Some } 0 \leq \text{lst } (bm \ s) \ (\lambda b. \text{if } b$
 $\text{then } \text{lst } (c \ s) \ (\lambda s'. (\text{if } I \ s' \wedge (E \ s' \leq E \ s) \text{ then } \text{Some } (\text{enat } (E \ s -$
 $E \ s')) \text{ else } \text{None}))$
 $\text{else } mm2 \ (Q \ s) \ (\text{Someplus } (\text{Some } t) \ (mm3 \ (E \ s0) \ (\text{Some } (E \ s)))) \)$

and progress: $\bigwedge s. \text{progress } (c \ s)$

and *I0*: $I \ s0$
shows $\text{Some } t \leq \text{lst } r \ Q$
proof –
– *TODO: Cleanup, will be work*
show $\text{Some } t \leq \text{lst } r \ Q$
apply (*rule monadic-WHILE-rule'*)**[where** $I = \lambda s. \text{Someplus } (\text{Some } t) \ (mm3 \ (E$
 $s0) \ ((\lambda e. \text{if } I \ e$
 $\text{then } \text{Some } (E \ e) \text{ else } \text{None}) \ s))$ **and** $R = \text{measure } (\text{the-enat } o \ \text{the } o$
 $(\lambda e. \text{if } I \ e$
 $\text{then } \text{Some } (E \ e) \text{ else } \text{None})), \text{simplified}]$
apply *fact*
subgoal for $s \ t'$
apply (*auto split: if-splits*)
apply (*rule T-conseq4*)
apply (*rule step*)
apply *simp*
apply *auto*
proof (*goal-cases*)
case $(1 \ b \ t'')$
from $1(\mathcal{I}) \ TTT\text{-Some-nofail}T$ **obtain** M **where** $cs: c \ s = \text{SPECT } M$ **by**

```

force
{ assume A:  $\bigwedge x. M\ x = \text{None}$ 
  with A have ?case unfolding cs lst-def mii-alt by simp
}
moreover
{ assume  $\exists x. M\ x \neq \text{None}$ 
  then obtain x where i:  $M\ x \neq \text{None}$  by blast

    let ?T = lst (c s) ( $\lambda s'. \text{if } I\ s' \wedge E\ s' \leq E\ s \text{ then } \text{Some } (\text{enat } (E\ s - E\ s'))$ 
else None)

    from GRR[OF 1(3)[unfolded cs], where x=x]
      i have ( $\text{if } I\ x \wedge E\ x \leq E\ s \text{ then } \text{Some } (\text{enat } (E\ s - E\ x)) \text{ else None}$ )  $\neq$ 
None  $\wedge M\ x \leq (\text{if } I\ x \wedge E\ x \leq E\ s \text{ then } \text{Some } (\text{enat } (E\ s - E\ x)) \text{ else None})$ 
      by simp
      then have pf:  $I\ x\ E\ x \leq E\ s\ M\ x \leq \text{Some } (\text{enat } (E\ s - E\ x))$  by (auto
split: if-splits)

    then have  $M\ x < \text{Some } \infty$ 
      using enat-ord-code(4) le-less-trans less-option-Some by blast

    have  $\text{Some } t'' = ?T$  using 1(3) by simp
    also have oo:  $?T \leq \text{mm2 } (\text{Some } (\text{enat } (E\ s - E\ x))) (M\ x)$ 
      unfolding lst-def apply(rule Inf-lower) apply (simp add: mii-alt cs)
    apply(rule exI[where x=x])
      using pf by simp

    also from i have o:  $\dots < \text{Some } \infty$  unfolding mm2-def
      apply auto
      using fl by blast
    finally have tni:  $t'' < \infty$  by auto
    then have tt:  $t' + t'' - t'' = t'$  apply(cases t'', cases t') by auto

    have ka:  $\bigwedge x. \text{mii } (\lambda s'. \text{if } I\ s' \wedge E\ s' \leq E\ s \text{ then } \text{Some } (\text{enat } (E\ s - E\ s'))$ 
else None) (c s)  $x \geq \text{Some } t''$  unfolding lst-def
      using 1(3) T-pw by fastforce

    { fix x assume nN:  $M\ x \neq \text{None}$ 
      with progress[of s, unfolded cs progress-def] have strict:  $\text{Some } 0 < M\ x$  by
auto
      from ka[of x] nN have  $E\ x < E\ s$  unfolding mii-alt cs progress-def
mm2-def
      using strict less-le zero-enat-def by (fastforce simp: l split: if-splits)
    } note strict = this
    have ?case
    — TODO: Cleanup
      apply(rule T-conseq5[where Q'=( $\lambda s'. \text{if } I\ s' \wedge E\ s' \leq E\ s \text{ then } \text{Some } (\text{enat } (E\ s - E\ s'))$ 
else None)])

```

```

    using 1(3) apply(auto) [] using 1(2)
    apply (auto simp add: tt Tea split: if-splits)
    subgoal apply(auto simp add: Some-eq-mm3-Some-conv')
      apply(rule strict) using cs by simp
    subgoal by(simp add: Some-eq-mm3-Some-conv' Somemm3)
    done
  }
ultimately show ?case by blast
next
  case (2 x t'')
  then show ?case unfolding mm3-def mm2-def by (auto simp add: l kk split:
if-splits option.splits)
qed
subgoal
  using I0 by simp
done
qed

```

definition *monadic-WHILEIE* **where**
monadic-WHILEIE $I E bm c s = monadic-WHILE\ bm\ c\ s$

definition $G\ b\ d = (if\ b\ then\ Some\ d\ else\ None)$

definition $H\ Qs\ t\ Es0\ Es = mm2\ Qs\ (Someplus\ (Some\ t)\ (mm3\ (Es0)\ (Some\ (Es))))$

lemma *neueWhile-rule'*:

```

  fixes s0 :: 'a and I :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool and E :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  assumes
    step: ( $\bigwedge s. I\ s \implies Some\ 0 \leq lst\ (bm\ s)\ (\lambda b. if\ b\ then\ lst\ (c\ s)\ (\lambda s'. if\ I\ s' \wedge E\ s' \leq E\ s\ then\ Some\ (enat\ (E\ s - E\ s'))\ else\ None)\ else\ mm2\ (Q\ s)\ (Someplus\ (Some\ t)\ (mm3\ (E\ s0)\ (Some\ (E\ s)))))$ )
  and progress:  $\bigwedge s. progress\ (c\ s)$ 
  and i:  $I\ s0$ 
shows  $Some\ t \leq lst\ (monadic-WHILEIE\ I\ E\ bm\ c\ s0)\ Q$ 
  unfolding monadic-WHILEIE-def
  apply(rule neueWhile-rule[OF refl]) by fact+

```

lemma *neueWhile-rule''*:

```

  fixes s0 :: 'a and I :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool and E :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  assumes
    step: ( $\bigwedge s. I\ s \implies Some\ 0 \leq lst\ (bm\ s)\ (\lambda b. if\ b\ then\ lst\ (c\ s)\ (\lambda s'. G\ (I\ s' \wedge E\ s' \leq E\ s)\ (enat\ (E\ s - E\ s'))\ else\ H\ (Q\ s)\ t\ (E\ s0)\ (E\ s))$ )
  and progress:  $\bigwedge s. progress\ (c\ s)$ 
  and i:  $I\ s0$ 
shows  $Some\ t \leq lst\ (monadic-WHILEIE\ I\ E\ bm\ c\ s0)\ Q$ 
  unfolding monadic-WHILEIE-def apply(rule neueWhile-rule[OF refl, where
I=I and E=E ])
  using assms unfolding G-def H-def by auto

```


lemma *LmonWhileRule*:

fixes *IC CT*
assumes $V\langle("precondition", IC, []), ("monwhile", IC, []) \# CT: I s0\rangle$
and $\bigwedge s. I s \implies C\langle Suc IC, ("invariant", Suc IC, []) \# ("monwhile", IC, []) \# CT, OC: valid 0 (\lambda b. \text{if } b \text{ then } lst (C s) (\lambda s'. \text{if } I s' \wedge E s' \leq E s \text{ then } Some (enat (E s - E s')) \text{ else } None) \text{ else } mm2 (Q s) (Someplus (Some t) (mm3 (E s0) (Some (E s)))) (bm s))\rangle$
and $\bigwedge s. V\langle("progress", IC, []), ("monwhile", IC, []) \# CT: progress (C s)\rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, OC: valid t Q (monadic-WHILEIE I E bm C s0)\rangle$
using *assms(2,3,1) unfolding valid-def LABEL-simps*
by (*rule neueWhile-rule'*)

lemma *LWhileRule*:

fixes *IC CT*
assumes $V\langle("precondition", IC, []), ("while", IC, []) \# CT: I s0\rangle$
and $\bigwedge s. I s \implies b s \implies C\langle Suc IC, ("invariant", Suc IC, []) \# ("while", IC, []) \# CT, OC1: valid 0 (\lambda s'. mm3 (E s) (\text{if } I s' \text{ then } Some (E s') \text{ else } None)) (C s)\rangle$
and $\bigwedge s. V\langle("progress", IC, []), ("while", IC, []) \# CT: progress (C s)\rangle$
and $\bigwedge x. \neg b x \implies I x \implies (E x) \leq (E s0) \implies C\langle Suc OC1, ("postcondition", IC, []) \# ("while", IC, []) \# CT, OC: Some (t + enat ((E s0) - E x)) \leq Q x\rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, OC: valid t Q (whileIET I E b C s0)\rangle$
using *assms unfolding valid-def LABEL-simps*
by (*rule While*)

lemma *validD*: $valid t Q M \implies Some t \leq lst M Q$ **by** (*simp add: valid-def*)

lemma *LABELs-to-concl*:

$C\langle IC, CT, OC: True\rangle \implies C\langle IC, CT, OC: P\rangle \implies P$
 $V\langle x, ct: True\rangle \implies V\langle x, ct: P\rangle \implies P$
unfolding *LABEL-simps* .

lemma *LASSERTRule*:

assumes $V\langle("ASSERT", IC, []), CT: \Phi\rangle$
 $C\langle Suc IC, CT, OC: valid t Q (RETURNNT ())\rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, OC: valid t Q (ASSERT \Phi)\rangle$
using *assms unfolding LABEL-simps by (simp add: valid-def)*

lemma *LbindTRule*:

assumes $C\langle IC, CT, OC: valid t (\lambda y. lst (f y) Q) m\rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, OC: valid t Q (bindT m f)\rangle$
using *assms unfolding LABEL-simps by (simp add: T-bindT valid-def)*

lemma *LRETURNTRule*:

assumes $C\langle IC, CT, OC: Some t \leq Q x\rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, OC: valid t Q (RETURNNT x)\rangle$
using *assms unfolding LABEL-simps by (simp add: valid-def T-RETURNNT)*

lemma *LSELECTRule*:

fixes $IC\ CT$ **defines** $CT' \equiv ("cond", IC, []) \# CT$
assumes $\bigwedge x. P\ x \implies C\langle Suc\ IC, ("Some", IC, []) \# ("SELECT", IC, []) \# CT, OC1: valid\ (t+T)\ Q\ (RETURNNT\ (Some\ x)) \rangle$
and $\forall x. \neg P\ x \implies C\langle Suc\ OC1, ("None", Suc\ OC1, []) \# ("SELECT", IC, []) \# CT, OC: valid\ (t+T)\ Q\ (RETURNNT\ None) \rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, OC: valid\ t\ Q\ (SELECT\ P\ T) \rangle$
using *assms*(2-) **unfolding** *LABEL-simps* **by**(*auto intro: T-SELECT T-SPECT-I simp add: valid-def T-RETURNNT*)

lemma *LRESTembRule*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. P\ x \implies C\langle IC, CT, OC: Some\ (t + T\ x) \leq Q\ x \rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, OC: valid\ t\ Q\ (REST\ (emb'\ P\ T)) \rangle$
using *assms* **unfolding** *LABEL-simps* **by** (*simp add: valid-def T-RESTemb*)

lemma *LRESTsingleRule*:

assumes $C\langle IC, CT, OC: Some\ (t + t') \leq Q\ x \rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, OC: valid\ t\ Q\ (REST\ [x \mapsto t']) \rangle$
using *assms* **unfolding** *LABEL-simps* **by** (*simp add: valid-def T-pw mii-alt aux1*)

lemma *LTTTinRule*:

assumes $C\langle IC, CT, OC: valid\ t\ Q\ M \rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, OC: Some\ t \leq lst\ M\ Q \rangle$
using *assms* **unfolding** *LABEL-simps* **by**(*simp add: valid-def*)

lemma *LfinaltimeRule*:

assumes $V\langle ("time", IC, []), CT: t \leq t' \rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, IC: Some\ t \leq Some\ t' \rangle$
using *assms* **unfolding** *LABEL-simps* **by** *simp*

lemma *LfinalfuncRule*:

assumes $V\langle ("func", IC, []), CT: False \rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, IC: Some\ t \leq None \rangle$
using *assms* **unfolding** *LABEL-simps* **by** *simp*

lemma *LembRule*:

assumes $V\langle ("time", IC, []), CT: t \leq T\ x \rangle$
and $V\langle ("func", IC, []), CT: P\ x \rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, IC: Some\ t \leq emb'\ P\ T\ x \rangle$
using *assms* **unfolding** *LABEL-simps* **by** (*simp add: emb'-def*)

lemma *Lmm3Rule*:

assumes $V\langle ("time", IC, []), CT: Va' \leq Va \wedge t \leq enat\ (Va - Va') \rangle$
and $V\langle ("func", IC, []), CT: b \rangle$
shows $C\langle IC, CT, IC: Some\ t \leq mm3\ Va\ (if\ b\ then\ Some\ Va'\ else\ None) \rangle$
using *assms* **unfolding** *LABEL-simps* **by** (*simp add: mm3-def*)

lemma *LinjectRule*:

```

assumes Some t ≤ lst A Q ⇒ Some t ≤ lst B Q
          C⟨IC,CT,OC: valid t Q A⟩
shows C⟨IC,CT,OC: valid t Q B⟩
using assms unfolding LABEL-simps by (simp add: valid-def)

lemma Linject2Rule:
assumes A = B
          C⟨IC,CT,OC: valid t Q A⟩
shows C⟨IC,CT,OC: valid t Q B⟩
using assms unfolding LABEL-simps by (simp add: valid-def)

method labeled-VCG-init = ((rule T-specifies-I validD)+), rule Initial-Label
method labeled-VCG-step uses rules = (rule rules[symmetric, THEN Linject2Rule])

          LTTTinRule LbindTRule
          LembRule Lmm3Rule
          LRETURNTRule LASSERTRule LCondRule LSELECTRule
          LRESTsingleRule LRESTembRule
          LouterCondRule
          LfinaltimeRule LfinalfuncRule
          LmonWhileRule LWhileRule) | vcg-split-case

method labeled-VCG uses rules = labeled-VCG-init, repeat-all-new ⟨labeled-VCG-step
rules: rules⟩
method casified-VCG uses rules = labeled-VCG rules: rules, casify

### 13.7 Examples, labeled vcg

lemma do { x ← SELECT P T;
          (case x of None ⇒ RETURNNT (1::nat) | Some t ⇒ RETURNNT (2::nat))
          } ≤ SPECT (emb Q T')
apply labeled-VCG oops

lemma
assumes b c
shows do { ASSERT b;
          ASSERT c;
          RETURNNT 1 } ≤ SPECT (emb (λx. x>(0::nat)) 1)
proof (labeled-VCG, casify)
case ASSERT then show ?case by fact
case ASSERTa then show ?case by fact
case func then show ?case by simp
case time then show ?case by simp
qed

lemma do {
          (if b then RETURNNT (1::nat) else RETURNNT 2)

```

```

    } ≤ SPECT (emb (λx. x>0) 1)
proof (labeled-VCG, casify)
  case cond {
    case the {
      case time
      then show ?case by simp
    }
    next
    case func
    then show ?case by simp
  }
next
  case els {
    case func
    then show ?case by simp
  }
}
qed simp

```

```

lemma
  assumes b
  shows do {
    ASSERT b;
    (if b then RETURN (1::nat) else RETURN 2)
  } ≤ SPECT (emb (λx. x>0) 1)
proof (labeled-VCG, casify)
  case ASSERT then show ?case by fact
  case cond {
    case the {
      case time
      then show ?case by simp
    }
    next
    case func
    then show ?case by simp
  }
next
  case els {
    case func
    then show ?case by simp
  }
}
qed simp
end

```

lemma *SPECT-ub'*: $T \leq T' \implies \text{SPECT } (\text{emb}' M' T) \leq \Downarrow \text{Id } (\text{SPECT } (\text{emb}' M'$

$T')$)
unfolding *emb'-def* **by** (*auto simp: pw-le-iff le-funD order-trans refine-pw-simps*)

lemma *REST-single-rule[vcg-simp-rules]*: *Some* $t \leq \text{lst } (REST \ [x \mapsto t']) \ Q \longleftrightarrow$
Some $(t+t') \leq (Q \ x)$
by (*simp add: T-REST aux1*)

13.8 progress solver

method *progress* **methods** *solver* =
 (*rule asm-rl[of progress -]*,
 (*simp split: prod.splits | intro allI impI conjI | determ <rule progress-rules>*
 | *rule disjI1; progress <solver>; fail | rule disjI2; progress <solver>; fail |*
solver)++) []
method *progress'* **methods** *solver* =
 (*rule asm-rl[of progress -]*, (*vcg-split-case | intro allI impI conjI | determ <rule*
progress-rules>
 | *rule disjI1 disjI2; progress'<solver> | (solver;fail))*++) []

lemma
assumes $(\bigwedge s \ t. P \ s = \text{Some } t \implies \exists s'. \text{Some } t \leq Q \ s' \wedge (s, s') \in R)$
shows *SPECT-refine: SPECT* $P \leq \Downarrow R \ (SPECT \ Q)$
proof –
have $P \ x \leq \bigsqcup \{Q \ a \mid a. (x, a) \in R\}$ **for** x
proof (*cases P x*)
case [*simp*]: (*Some y*)
from *assms[of x, OF Some]* **obtain** s' **where** $s': \text{Some } y \leq Q \ s' \ (x, s') \in R$
by *blast+*
show *?thesis*
by (*rule order.trans[where b=Q s']*) (*auto intro: s' Sup-upper*)
qed *auto*
then show *?thesis*
by (*auto simp add: conc-fun-def le-fun-def*)
qed

13.9 more stuff involving mm3 and emb

lemma *Some-le-mm3-Some-conv[vcg-simp-rules]*: *Some* $t \leq \text{mm3 } t' \ (Some \ t'') \longleftrightarrow$
 $(t'' \leq t' \wedge t \leq \text{enat } (t' - t''))$
by (*simp add: mm3-def*)

lemma *embtimeI*: $T \leq T' \implies \text{emb } P \ T \leq \text{emb } P \ T'$ **unfolding** *emb'-def* **by**
 (*auto simp: le-fun-def split: if-splits*)

lemma *not-cons-is-Nil-conv[simp]*: $(\forall y \ ys. l \neq y \ \# \ ys) \longleftrightarrow l = []$ **by** (*cases l*) *auto*

lemma *mm3-Some0-eq[simp]*: $\text{mm3 } t \ (Some \ 0) = \text{Some } t$
by (*auto simp: mm3-def*)

lemma *ran-emb'*: $c \in \text{ran } (\text{emb}' Q t) \longleftrightarrow (\exists s'. Q s' \wedge t s' = c)$
by (*auto simp: emb'-def ran-def*)

lemma *ran-emb-conv*: $\text{Ex } Q \implies \text{ran } (\text{emb } Q t) = \{t\}$
by (*auto simp: ran-emb'*)

lemma *in-ran-emb-special-case*: $c \in \text{ran } (\text{emb } Q t) \implies c \leq t$
apply (*cases Ex Q*)
subgoal by (*auto simp: ran-emb-conv*)
subgoal by (*auto simp: emb'-def*)
done

lemma *dom-emb'-eq[simp]*: $\text{dom } (\text{emb}' Q f) = \text{Collect } Q$
by (*auto simp: emb'-def split: if-splits*)

lemma *emb-le-emb*: $\text{emb}' P T \leq \text{emb}' P' T' \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. P x \longrightarrow P' x \wedge T x \leq T' x)$
unfolding *emb'-def* **by** (*auto simp: le-fun-def split: if-splits*)

13.10 VCG for monadic programs

13.10.1 old

declare *mm3-Some-conv* [*vcg-simp-rules*]

lemma *SS[vcg-simp-rules]*: $\text{Some } t = \text{Some } t' \longleftrightarrow t = t'$ **by** *simp*

lemma *SS'*: $(\text{if } b \text{ then } \text{Some } t \text{ else } \text{None}) = \text{Some } t' \longleftrightarrow (b \wedge t = t')$ **by** *simp*

term (*case s of (a,b) $\Rightarrow M a b$*)

lemma *case-T[vcg-rules]*: $(\bigwedge a b. s = (a, b) \implies t \leq \text{lst } Q (M a b)) \implies t \leq \text{lst } Q$
(case s of (a,b) $\Rightarrow M a b$)
by (*simp add: split-def*)

13.10.2 new setup

named-theorems *vcg-rules'*

lemma *if-T[vcg-rules']*: $(b \implies t \leq \text{lst } Ma Q) \implies (\neg b \implies t \leq \text{lst } Mb Q) \implies t \leq \text{lst } (\text{if } b \text{ then } Ma \text{ else } Mb) Q$
by (*simp add: split-def*)

lemma *RETURNT-T-I[vcg-rules']*: $t \leq Q x \implies t \leq \text{lst } (\text{RETURNT } x) Q$
by (*simp add: T-RETURNT*)

declare *T-SPECT-I* [*vcg-rules'*]

declare *TbindT-I* [*vcg-rules'*]

declare *T-RESTemb* [*vcg-rules'*]

declare *T-ASSERT-I* [*vcg-rules'*]

declare *While* [*vcg-rules'*]

named-theorems *vcg-simps'*

```

declare option.case [vcg-simps']

declare neueWhile-rule'' [vcg-rules']

method vcg'-step methods solver uses rules =
  (intro rules vcg-rules' | vcg-split-case | (progress simp;fail) | (solver; fail))

method vcg' methods solver uses rules = repeat-all-new ⟨vcg'-step solver rules: rules⟩

declare T-SELECT [vcg-rules']

— No proof
lemma  $\bigwedge c. \text{do } \{ \ c \leftarrow \text{RETURNT } \text{None};$ 
  (case-option (RETURNT (1::nat)) ( $\lambda p. \text{RETURNT } (2::nat)$ )) c
   $\} \leq \text{SPECT } (\text{emb } (\lambda x. x > (0::nat)) \ 1)$ 
  apply(rule T-specifies-I)
  apply(vcg'⟨-⟩) unfolding option.case
  oops

thm option.case

```

13.11 setup for *refine-vcg*

```

lemma If-refine[refine]:  $b = b' \implies$ 
  ( $b \implies b' \implies S1 \leq \Downarrow R S1'$ )  $\implies$ 
  ( $\neg b \implies \neg b' \implies S2 \leq \Downarrow R S2'$ )  $\implies$  (if b then S1 else S2)  $\leq \Downarrow R$  (if b' then
  S1' else S2')
  by auto

lemma Case-option-refine[refine]:  $(x, x') \in \langle S \rangle \text{option-rel} \implies$ 
  ( $\bigwedge y y'. (y, y') \in S \implies S2 \ y \leq \Downarrow R (S2' \ y')$ )  $\implies S1 \leq \Downarrow R S1'$ 
   $\implies$  (case x of None  $\Rightarrow S1$  | Some y  $\Rightarrow S2 \ y$ )  $\leq \Downarrow R$  (case x' of None  $\Rightarrow S1'$  |
  Some y'  $\Rightarrow S2' \ y'$ )
  by(auto split: option.split)

— Check names
lemma conc-fun-Id-refined[refine0]:  $\bigwedge S. S \leq \Downarrow \text{Id } S$  by simp
lemma conc-fun-ASSERT-refine[refine0]:  $\Phi \implies (\Phi \implies S \leq \Downarrow R S') \implies \text{ASSERT}$ 
 $\Phi \gg (\lambda -. S) \leq \Downarrow R S'$ 
  by auto
declare le-R-ASSERTI [refine0]

declare bindT-refine [refine]
declare WHILET-refine [refine]

```

```

— Check name
lemma SPECT-refine-vcg-cons[refine-vcg-cons]:  $m \leq \text{SPECT } \Phi \implies (\bigwedge x. \Phi \ x \leq$ 
 $\Psi \ x) \implies m \leq \text{SPECT } \Psi$ 

```

by (*metis dual-order.trans le-funI nres-order-simps*(2))

end

theory *SepLogic-Misc*

imports *DataRefinement*

begin

13.12 Relators

definition *nrest-rel* **where**

nres-rel-def-internal: $nrest\text{-}rel\ R \equiv \{(c,a). c \leq \Downarrow R\ a\}$

lemma *nrest-rel-def*: $\langle R \rangle nrest\text{-}rel \equiv \{(c,a). c \leq \Downarrow R\ a\}$

by (*simp add: nres-rel-def-internal relAPP-def*)

lemma *nrest-relD*: $(c,a) \in \langle R \rangle nrest\text{-}rel \implies c \leq \Downarrow R\ a$ **by** (*simp add: nrest-rel-def*)

lemma *nrest-relI*: $c \leq \Downarrow R\ a \implies (c,a) \in \langle R \rangle nrest\text{-}rel$ **by** (*simp add: nrest-rel-def*)

lemma *nrest-rel-comp*: $\langle A \rangle nrest\text{-}rel\ O\ \langle B \rangle nrest\text{-}rel = \langle A\ O\ B \rangle nrest\text{-}rel$

by (*auto simp: nrest-rel-def conc-fun-chain[symmetric] conc-trans*)

lemma *pw-nrest-rel-iff*: $(a,b) \in \langle A \rangle nrest\text{-}rel \iff nofailT\ (\Downarrow A\ b) \longrightarrow nofailT\ a \wedge$
 $(\forall x\ t. inresT\ a\ x\ t \longrightarrow inresT\ (\Downarrow A\ b)\ x\ t)$

by (*simp add: pw-le-iff nrest-rel-def*)

lemma *param-FAIL*[*param*]: $(FAILT, FAILT) \in \langle R \rangle nrest\text{-}rel$

by (*auto simp: nrest-rel-def*)

lemma *param-RETURN*[*param*]:

$(RETURN\ T, RETURN\ T) \in R \rightarrow \langle R \rangle nrest\text{-}rel$

by (*auto simp: nrest-rel-def RETURN-refine*)

lemma *param-bind*[*param*]:

$(bind\ T, bind\ T) \in \langle Ra \rightarrow \langle Rb \rangle nrest\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle Rb \rangle nrest\text{-}rel$

by (*auto simp: nrest-rel-def intro: bindT-refine dest: fun-relD*)

end

theory *Refine-Foreach*

imports *NREST RefineMonadicVCG SepLogic-Misc*

begin

A common pattern for loop usage is iteration over the elements of a set. This theory provides the *FOREACH*-combinator, that iterates over each element of a set.

13.13 Auxilliary Lemmas

The following lemma is commonly used when reasoning about iterator invariants. It helps converting the set of elements that remain to be iterated over to the set of elements already iterated over.

lemma *it-step-insert-iff*:

$$it \subseteq S \implies x \in it \implies S - (it - \{x\}) = \text{insert } x (S - it) \text{ by auto}$$

13.14 Definition

Foreach-loops come in different versions, depending on whether they have an annotated invariant (I), a termination condition (C), and an order (O).

Note that asserting that the set is finite is not necessary to guarantee termination. However, we currently provide only iteration over finite sets, as this also matches the ICF concept of iterators.

definition *FOREACH-body* $f \equiv \lambda(xs, \sigma). \text{do } \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{RETURNNT}(\text{hd } xs); \sigma' \leftarrow f \ x \ \sigma; \text{RETURNNT}(\text{tl } xs, \sigma')$
 $\}$

definition *FOREACH-cond* **where** *FOREACH-cond* $c \equiv (\lambda(xs, \sigma). xs \neq [] \wedge c \ \sigma)$

Foreach with continuation condition, order and annotated invariant:

definition *FOREACHoci* ($\langle \text{FOREACH}_{OC} \rangle$) **where** *FOREACHoci* $R \ \Phi \ S \ c \ f$
 $\sigma 0 \text{ inittime body-time} \equiv \text{do } \{$
 $\text{ASSERT } (\text{finite } S);$
 $xs \leftarrow \text{SPECT } (\text{emb } (\lambda xs. \text{distinct } xs \wedge S = \text{set } xs \wedge \text{sorted-wrt } R \ xs) \text{ inittime});$
 $(-, \sigma) \leftarrow \text{whileIET}$
 $(\lambda(it, \sigma). \exists xs'. xs = xs' @ it \wedge \Phi(\text{set } it) \ \sigma) (\lambda(it, -). \text{length } it * \text{body-time})$
 $(\text{FOREACH-cond } c) (\text{FOREACH-body } f) (xs, \sigma 0);$
 $\text{RETURNNT } \sigma \}$

Foreach with continuation condition and annotated invariant:

definition *FOREACHci* ($\langle \text{FOREACH}_C \rangle$) **where** *FOREACHci* $\equiv \text{FOREACHoci}$
 $(\lambda -. \text{True})$

13.15 Proof Rules

lemma *FOREACHoci-rule*:

assumes *IP*:

$$\bigwedge x \ it \ \sigma. \llbracket c \ \sigma; x \in it; it \subseteq S; I \ it \ \sigma; \forall y \in it - \{x\}. R \ x \ y; \\ \forall y \in S - it. R \ y \ x \rrbracket \implies f \ x \ \sigma \leq \text{SPECT } (\text{emb } (I \ (it - \{x\}))) \ (\text{enat body-time}))$$

assumes *FIN*: *finite* *S*

assumes *I0*: *I* *S* $\sigma 0$

assumes *II1*: $\bigwedge \sigma. \llbracket I \ \{\} \ \sigma \rrbracket \implies P \ \sigma$

assumes *II2*: $\bigwedge it \ \sigma. \llbracket it \neq \{\}; it \subseteq S; I \ it \ \sigma; \neg c \ \sigma; \\ \forall x \in it. \forall y \in S - it. R \ y \ x \rrbracket \implies P \ \sigma$

assumes *time-ub*: *inittime* + *enat* ((*card* *S*) * *body-time*) $\leq$ *enat overall-time*

```

assumes progress-f[progress-rules]:  $\bigwedge a\ b. \text{progress } (f\ a\ b)$ 
shows FOREACHoci R I S c f  $\sigma 0$  inittime body-time  $\leq$  SPECT (emb P (enat
overall-time))
unfolding FOREACHoci-def
apply(rule T-specifies-I)
unfolding FOREACH-body-def FOREACH-cond-def
apply(vcg'  $\langle \rightarrow \rangle$  rules: IP[THEN T-specifies-rev, THEN T-conseq4])

prefer 5 apply auto []
subgoal using I0 by blast
subgoal by blast
subgoal by simp
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (metis distinct-append hd-Cons-tl remove1-tl set-remove1-eq sorted-wrt.simps(2)
sorted-wrt-append)
subgoal by (metis DiffD1 DiffD2 UnE list.set-sel(1) set-append sorted-wrt-append)

subgoal apply (auto simp: Some-le-mm3-Some-conv Some-le-emb'-conv Some-eq-emb'-conv
diff-mult-distrib)
subgoal by (metis append.assoc append.simps(2) append-Nil hd-Cons-tl)
subgoal by (metis remove1-tl set-remove1-eq)
done
subgoal using time-ub III1 apply (auto simp: Some-le-mm3-Some-conv Some-le-emb'-conv
Some-eq-emb'-conv distinct-card)
subgoal by (metis DiffD1 DiffD2 II2 Un-iff Un-upper2 sorted-wrt-append)
subgoal by (metis DiffD1 DiffD2 II2 Un-iff sorted-wrt-append sup-ge2)
subgoal by (metis add-mono diff-le-self dual-order.trans enat-ord-simps(1)
length-append order-mono-setup.refl)
done
subgoal by (fact FIN)
done

```

lemma FOREACHci-rule :

```

assumes IP:
 $\bigwedge x\ it\ \sigma. \llbracket x \in it; it \subseteq S; I\ it\ \sigma; c\ \sigma \rrbracket \implies f\ x\ \sigma \leq \text{SPECT } (\text{emb } (I\ (it - \{x\})))$ 
(enat body-time))
assumes II1:  $\bigwedge \sigma. \llbracket I\ \{\} \rrbracket \sigma \implies P\ \sigma$ 
assumes II2:  $\bigwedge it\ \sigma. \llbracket it \neq \{\}; it \subseteq S; I\ it\ \sigma; \neg c\ \sigma \rrbracket \implies P\ \sigma$ 
assumes FIN: finite S
assumes I0: I S  $\sigma 0$ 
assumes progress-f:  $\bigwedge a\ b. \text{progress } (f\ a\ b)$ 
assumes inittime + enat (card S * body-time)  $\leq$  enat overall-time
shows FOREACHci I S c f  $\sigma 0$  inittime body-time  $\leq$  SPECT (emb P (enat
overall-time))
unfolding FOREACHci-def
by (rule FOREACHoci-rule) (simp-all add: assms)

```

We define a relation between distinct lists and sets.

definition $[to-relAPP]$: $list-set-rel\ R \equiv \langle R \rangle list-rel\ O$ br set distinct

13.16 Nres-Fold with Interruption (nfoldli)

A foreach-loop can be conveniently expressed as an operation that converts the set to a list, followed by folding over the list.

This representation is handy for automatic refinement, as the complex foreach-operation is expressed by two relatively simple operations.

We first define a fold-function in the nrest-monad

definition $nfoldli$ where

$$\begin{aligned} nfoldli\ l\ c\ f\ s &= RECT\ (\lambda D\ (l, s). \text{ (case } l \text{ of} \\ &\quad [] \Rightarrow RETURNNT\ s \\ &\quad | x\#ls \Rightarrow \text{if } c\ s \text{ then do } \{ s \leftarrow f\ x\ s; D\ (ls, s) \} \text{ else } RETURNNT\ s} \\ &\quad))\ (l, s) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $nfoldli-simps[simp]$:

$$nfoldli\ []\ c\ f\ s = RETURNNT\ s$$

$$nfoldli\ (x\#ls)\ c\ f\ s =$$

$$(\text{if } c\ s \text{ then do } \{ s \leftarrow f\ x\ s; nfoldli\ ls\ c\ f\ s \} \text{ else } RETURNNT\ s)$$

unfolding $nfoldli-def$ by (subst RECT-unfold, refine-mono, auto split: nat.split)+

lemma $param-nfoldli[param]$:

shows $(nfoldli, nfoldli) \in$

$$\langle Ra \rangle list-rel \rightarrow (Rb \rightarrow Id) \rightarrow (Ra \rightarrow Rb \rightarrow \langle Rb \rangle nrest-rel) \rightarrow Rb \rightarrow \langle Rb \rangle nrest-rel$$

proof (intro fun-relI, goal-cases)

case $(1\ l\ l'\ c\ c'\ f\ f'\ s\ s')$

thus ?case

apply (induct arbitrary: s s')

apply (simp only: nfoldli-simps True-implies-equals)

apply parametricity

apply (simp only: nfoldli-simps True-implies-equals)

apply (parametricity)

done

qed

lemma $nfoldli-no-ctd[simp]$: $\neg ctd\ s \implies nfoldli\ l\ ctd\ f\ s = RETURNNT\ s$

by (cases l) auto

lemma $nfoldli-append$: $nfoldli\ (l1 @ l2)\ ctd\ f\ s = nfoldli\ l1\ ctd\ f\ s \gg nfoldli\ l2\ ctd\ f$

by (induction l1 arbitrary: s) simp-all

lemma $nfoldli-assert$:

assumes $set\ l \subseteq S$

shows $nfoldli\ l\ c\ (\lambda x\ s. ASSERT\ (x \in S) \gg f\ x\ s)\ s = nfoldli\ l\ c\ f\ s$

using *assms* **by** (induction l arbitrary: s) (auto simp: pw-eq-iff refine-pw-simps)

lemmas *nfoldli-assert'* = *nfoldli-assert*[*OF order.refl*]

definition *nfoldliIE* :: ('d list $\Rightarrow$ 'd list $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ bool) $\Rightarrow$ nat $\Rightarrow$ 'd list $\Rightarrow$ ('a $\Rightarrow$ bool) $\Rightarrow$ ('d $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a nrest) $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a nrest **where**
nfoldliIE *I E l c f s* = *nfoldli l c f s*

lemma *nfoldliIE-rule'*:

assumes *IS*: $\bigwedge x \ l1 \ l2 \ \sigma. \llbracket l0 = l1 @ x \# l2; I \ l1 \ (x \# l2) \ \sigma; c \ \sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow f \ x \ \sigma \leq SPECT$
(*emb* (*I* (*l1* @ [*x*] *l2*) (*enat body-time*)))

assumes *FNC*: $\bigwedge l1 \ l2 \ \sigma. \llbracket l0 = l1 @ l2; I \ l1 \ l2 \ \sigma; \neg c \ \sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \ \sigma$

assumes *FC*: $\bigwedge \sigma. \llbracket I \ l0 \rrbracket \sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \ \sigma$

shows $lr @ l = l0 \Longrightarrow I \ lr \ l \ \sigma \Longrightarrow \text{nfoldliIE } I \ \text{body-time } l \ c \ f \ \sigma \leq SPECT \ (\text{emb } P \ (\text{body-time} * \text{length } l))$

proof (*induct l arbitrary: lr σ*)

case *Nil*

then show ?*case* **by** (*auto simp: nfoldliIE-def RETURNT-def le-fun-def Some-le-emb'-conv dest!: FC*)

next

case (*Cons a l*)

show ?*case*

proof(*cases c σ*)

case *True*

have $f \ a \ \sigma \gg \text{nfoldli } l \ c \ f \leq SPECT \ (\text{emb } P \ (\text{enat} \ (\text{body-time} + \text{body-time} * \text{length } l)))$

apply (*rule T-specifies-I*)

apply (*vcg' $\langle \rightarrow \rangle$ rules: IS[THEN T-specifies-rev , THEN T-conseq4]*)

Cons(1)[unfolded nfoldliIE-def, THEN T-specifies-rev ,

THEN T-conseq4])

using *True Cons(2,3)* **by** (*auto simp add: Some-eq-emb'-conv Some-le-emb'-conv*)

with *True* **show** ?*thesis*

by (*auto simp add: nfoldliIE-def*)

next

case *False*

hence *P σ*

by (*rule FNC[OF Cons(2)[symmetric] Cons(3)]*)

with *False* **show** ?*thesis*

by (*auto simp add: nfoldliIE-def RETURNT-def le-fun-def Some-le-emb'-conv*)

qed

qed

lemma *nfoldliIE-rule*:

assumes *I0*: $I \llbracket l0 \rrbracket \sigma 0$

assumes *IS*: $\bigwedge x \ l1 \ l2 \ \sigma. \llbracket l0 = l1 @ x \# l2; I \ l1 \ (x \# l2) \ \sigma; c \ \sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow f \ x \ \sigma \leq SPECT$
(*emb* (*I* (*l1* @ [*x*] *l2*) (*enat body-time*)))

assumes *FNC*: $\bigwedge l1 \ l2 \ \sigma. \llbracket l0 = l1 @ l2; I \ l1 \ l2 \ \sigma; \neg c \ \sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \ \sigma$

assumes *FC*: $\bigwedge \sigma. \llbracket I \ l0 \rrbracket \sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \ \sigma$

assumes *T*: (*body-time* * *length l0*) $\leq t$

shows *nfoldliIE I body-time l0 c f σ 0* $\leq SPECT \ (\text{emb } P \ t)$

proof –

```

have nfoldliIE I body-time l0 c f σ0 ≤ SPECT (emb P (body-time * length l0))
  by (rule nfoldliIE-rule'[where lr=[]]) (use assms in auto)
also have ... ≤ SPECT (emb P t)
  by (rule SPECT-ub) (use T in ⟨auto simp: le-fun-def⟩)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma nfoldli-refine[refine]:
  assumes (li, l) ∈ ⟨S⟩list-rel
    and (si, s) ∈ R
    and CR: (ci, c) ∈ R → bool-rel
    and [refine]: ⋀xi x si s. ⌊ (xi,x)∈S; (si,s)∈R; c s ⌋ ⇒ fi xi si ≤ ↓R (f x s)
  shows nfoldli li ci fi si ≤ ↓ R (nfoldli l c f s)
  using assms(1,2)
proof (induction arbitrary: si s rule: list-rel-induct)
  case Nil thus ?case by (simp add: RETURNNT-refine)
next
  case (Cons xi x li l)
  note [refine] = Cons

  show ?case
    apply (simp add: RETURNNT-refine split del: if-split)
    apply refine-req
    using CR Cons.premis by (auto simp: RETURNNT-refine dest: fun-relD)
qed

```

```

definition nfoldliIE' I bt l0 f s0 = nfoldliIE I bt l0 (λ-. True) f s0

```

```

lemma nfoldliIE'-rule:
  assumes
    ⋀x l1 l2 σ.
      l0 = l1 @ x # l2 ⇒
        I l1 (x # l2) σ ⇒ Some 0 ≤ lst (f x σ) (emb (I (l1 @ [x]) l2) (enat body-time))
  I [] l0 σ0
  (⋀σ. I l0 [] σ ⇒ Some (t + enat (body-time * length l0)) ≤ Q σ)
  shows Some t ≤ lst (nfoldliIE' I body-time l0 f σ0) Q
  unfolding nfoldliIE'-def
  apply (rule nfoldliIE-rule[where P=I l0 [] and c=λ-. True and t=body-time *
length l0,
    THEN T-specifies-rev, THEN T-conseq4])
  apply fact
  subgoal apply (rule T-specifies-I) using assms(1) by auto
  subgoal by auto
  apply simp
  apply simp
  subgoal
    unfolding Some-eq-emb'-conv
    using assms(3) by auto

```

done

We relate our fold-function to the while-loop that we used in the original definition of the foreach-loop

```

lemma nfoldli-while: nfoldli l c f σ
  ≤
  (whileIET I E
    (FOREACH-cond c) (FOREACH-body f) (l, σ) ≫=
    (λ(-, σ). RETURN σ))
proof (induct l arbitrary: σ)
  case Nil thus ?case
    unfolding whileIET-def
    by (subst whileT-unfold) (auto simp: FOREACH-cond-def)
next
  case (Cons x ls)
  show ?case
  proof (cases c σ)
    case False thus ?thesis
    unfolding whileIET-def by (subst whileT-unfold) (simp add: FOREACH-cond-def)
  next
    case [simp]: True
    from Cons show ?thesis
    unfolding whileIET-def by (subst whileT-unfold)
    (auto simp add: FOREACH-cond-def FOREACH-body-def intro: bindT-mono)
  qed
qed

```

lemma *rr*: $l0 = l1 @ a \implies a \neq [] \implies l0 = l1 @ \text{hd } a \# \text{tl } a$ **by** *auto*

```

lemma nfoldli-rule:
  assumes I0:  $I [] l0 \sigma 0$ 
  assumes IS:  $\bigwedge x l1 l2 \sigma. [] l0 = l1 @ x \# l2; I l1 (x \# l2) \sigma; c \sigma \implies f x \sigma \leq \text{SPECT}$ 
  (emb (I (l1 @ [x]) l2) (enat body-time))
  assumes FNC:  $\bigwedge l1 l2 \sigma. [] l0 = l1 @ l2; I l1 l2 \sigma; \neg c \sigma \implies P \sigma$ 
  assumes FC:  $\bigwedge \sigma. [] I l0 [] \sigma; c \sigma \implies P \sigma$ 
  assumes progressf:  $\bigwedge x y. \text{progress } (f x y)$ 
  shows  $\text{nfoldli } l0 \ c \ f \ \sigma 0 \leq \text{SPECT } (\text{emb } P \ (\text{body-time} * \text{length } l0))$ 
  apply (rule order-trans[OF nfoldli-while
    where  $I = \lambda(l2, \sigma). \exists l1. l0 = l1 @ l2 \wedge I l1 l2 \sigma$  and  $E = \lambda(l2, \sigma). (\text{length } l2)$ 
    * body-time]])
  unfolding FOREACH-cond-def FOREACH-body-def
  apply(rule T-specifies-I)
  apply(vcg'-step <clarsimp>)
  apply simp subgoal using I0 by auto
  apply simp subgoal
  apply(vcg'-step <clarsimp>)
  apply (elim exE conjE)
  subgoal for a b l1
  apply(vcg'-step <clarsimp> rules: IS[THEN T-specifies-rev, THEN T-conseq4

```

```

])
  apply(rule rr)
  apply simp-all
  by(auto simp add: Some-le-mm3-Some-conv emb-eq-Some-conv left-diff-distrib'
    intro: exI[where x=l1@[hd a]])
done
subgoal
  supply progressf [progress-rules] by (progress <clarsimp>)
subgoal
  apply(vcg' <clarsimp>)
  subgoal for a σ
    apply(cases c σ)
    using FC FNC by(auto simp add: Some-le-emb'-conv mult.commute)
  done
done
done

```

definition *LIST-FOREACH'* $tsl\ c\ f\ \sigma \equiv do\ \{xs \leftarrow tsl;\ nfoldli\ xs\ c\ f\ \sigma\}$

This constant is a placeholder to be converted to custom operations by pattern rules

definition *it-to-sorted-list* $R\ s\ to_sorted_list_time$
 $\equiv SPECT\ (emb\ (\lambda l.\ distinct\ l \wedge s = set\ l \wedge sorted_wrt\ R\ l)\ to_sorted_list_time)$

definition *LIST-FOREACH* $\Phi\ tsl\ c\ f\ \sigma\ 0\ bodytime \equiv do\ \{$
 $xs \leftarrow tsl;$
 $(-, \sigma) \leftarrow whileIET\ (\lambda(it, \sigma). \exists xs'. xs = xs' @ it \wedge \Phi\ (set\ it)\ \sigma)\ (\lambda(it, \sigma). length$
 $it * bodytime)$
 $(FOREACH_cond\ c)\ (FOREACH_body\ f)\ (xs, \sigma 0);$
 $RETURN\ \sigma\}$

lemma *FOREACHoci-by-LIST-FOREACH*:

$FOREACHoci\ R\ \Phi\ S\ c\ f\ \sigma\ 0\ to_sorted_list_time\ bodytime = do\ \{$
 $ASSERT\ (finite\ S);$
 $LIST-FOREACH\ \Phi\ (it-to-sorted-list\ R\ S\ to_sorted_list_time)\ c\ f\ \sigma\ 0\ bodytime$
 $\}$
unfolding *OP-def FOREACHoci-def LIST-FOREACH-def it-to-sorted-list-def*
by *simp*

end

References

- [1] M. P. L. Haslbeck and P. Lammich. For a few dollars more. In N. Yoshida, editor, *Programming Languages and Systems*, pages 292–319, Cham, 2021. Springer International Publishing.

- [2] M. P. L. Haslbeck and P. Lammich. For a few dollars more: Verified fine-grained algorithm analysis down to llvm. *ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst.*, 44(3), jul 2022.