

A formal proof of the max-flow min-cut theorem for countable networks

Andreas Lochbihler

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This article formalises a proof of the maximum-flow minimal-cut theorem for networks with countably many edges. A network is a directed graph with non-negative real-valued edge labels and two dedicated vertices, the source and the sink. A flow in a network assigns non-negative real numbers to the edges such that for all vertices except for the source and the sink, the sum of values on incoming edges equals the sum of values on outgoing edges. A cut is a subset of the vertices which contains the source, but not the sink. Our theorem states that in every network, there is a flow and a cut such that the flow saturates all the edges going out of the cut and is zero on all the incoming edges. The proof is based on the paper “The Max-Flow Min-Cut theorem for countable networks” by Aharoni et al. [2].

Additionally, we prove a characterisation of the lifting operation for relations on discrete probability distributions, which leads to a concise proof of its distributivity over relation composition.

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1 Preliminaries | 3 |
| 2 Existence of maximum flows and minimal cuts in finite graphs | 8 |
| 3 Matrices for given marginals | 10 |
| 4 Graphs | 30 |
| 5 Network and Flow | 33 |
| 5.1 Cut | 39 |
| 5.2 Countable network | 39 |
| 5.3 Reduction for avoiding antiparallel edges | 40 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 6 Webs and currents | 43 |
| 6.1 Saturated and terminal vertices | 46 |
| 6.2 Separation | 47 |
| 6.3 Waves | 54 |
| 6.4 Hindrances and looseness | 60 |
| 6.5 Linkage | 63 |
| 6.6 Trimming | 64 |
| 6.7 Composition of waves via quotients | 70 |
| 6.8 Well-formed webs | 82 |
| 6.9 Subtraction of a wave | 85 |
| 6.10 Bipartite webs | 86 |
| 7 Reductions | 87 |
| 7.1 From a web to a bipartite web | 87 |
| 7.2 Extending a wave by a linkage | 105 |
| 7.3 From a network to a web | 107 |
| 7.4 Avoiding antiparallel edges and self-loops | 115 |
| 7.5 Eliminating zero edges and incoming edges to <i>source</i> and outgoing edges of <i>sink</i> | 118 |
| 8 The max-flow min-cut theorem in bounded networks | 119 |
| 8.1 Linkages in unhindered bipartite webs | 119 |
| 8.2 Glueing the reductions together | 130 |
| 9 Attainability of flows in networks | 135 |
| 9.1 Cleaning up flows | 135 |
| 9.2 Residual network | 156 |
| 9.3 The attainability theorem | 158 |
| 10 The max-flow min-cut theorems in unbounded networks | 186 |
| 10.1 More about waves | 186 |
| 10.2 Hindered webs with reduced weights | 192 |
| 10.3 Reduced weight in a loose web | 210 |
| 10.4 Single-vertex saturation in unhindered bipartite webs | 238 |
| 10.5 Linkability of unhindered bipartite webs | 265 |
| 10.6 Glueing the reductions together | 267 |
| 11 The Max-Flow Min-Cut theorem | 269 |
| 12 Characterisation of <i>rel-pmf</i> | 270 |
| 12.1 Code generation for <i>rel-pmf</i> | 272 |
| 13 Characterisation of <i>rel-pmf</i> proved via MFMC | 273 |

1 Preliminaries

```
theory MFMC-Misc imports
  HOL-Probability.Probability
  HOL-Library.Transitive-Closure-Table
  HOL-Library.Complete-Partial-Order2
  HOL-Library.Bourbaki-Witt-Fixpoint
begin

  hide-const (open) cycle
  hide-const (open) path
  hide-const (open) cut
  hide-const (open) orthogonal

  lemmas disjE [consumes 1, case-names left right, cases pred] = disjE

  lemma inj-on-Pair2 [simp]: inj-on (Pair x) A
  by(simp add: inj-on-def)

  lemma inj-on-Pair1 [simp]: inj-on ( $\lambda x. (x, y)$ ) A
  by(simp add: inj-on-def)

  lemma inj-map-prod':  $\llbracket \text{inj } f; \text{inj } g \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{map-prod } f g) X$ 
  by(rule subset-inj-on[OF prod.inj-map subset-UNIV])

  lemma not-range-Inr:  $x \notin \text{range Inr} \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{range Inl}$ 
  by(cases x) auto

  lemma not-range-Inl:  $x \notin \text{range Inl} \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{range Inr}$ 
  by(cases x) auto

  lemma Chains-into-chain:  $M \in \text{Chains } \{(x, y). R x y\} \implies \text{Complete-Partial-Order.chain } R M$ 
  by(simp add: Chains-def chain-def)

  lemma chain-dual:  $\text{Complete-Partial-Order.chain } (\geq) = \text{Complete-Partial-Order.chain } (\leq)$ 
  by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff chain-def)

  lemma Cauchy-real-Suc-diff:
    fixes X :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  real and x :: real
    assumes bounded:  $\bigwedge n. |f(\text{Suc } n) - f n| \leq (c / x) ^ n$ 
    and x:  $1 < x$ 
    shows Cauchy f
  proof(cases c > 0)
    case c: True
    show ?thesis
  proof(rule metric-CauchyI)
    fix ε :: real
```

```

assume  $0 < \varepsilon$ 

from bounded[of 0]  $x$  have c-nonneg:  $0 \leq c$  by simp
from  $x$  have  $0 < \ln x$  by simp
from reals-Archimedean3[OF this] obtain  $M :: nat$ 
  where  $\ln(c * x) - \ln(\varepsilon * (x - 1)) < real M * \ln x$  by blast
  hence  $\exp(\ln(c * x) - \ln(\varepsilon * (x - 1))) < \exp(real M * \ln x)$  by(rule
  exp-less-mono)
  hence  $M: c * (1 / x) \wedge M / (1 - 1 / x) < \varepsilon$  using { $0 < \varepsilon$ }  $x c$ 
    by (simp add: exp-diff exp-of-nat-mult field-simps del: ln-mult)

{ fix  $n m :: nat$ 
  assume  $n \geq M$   $m \geq M$ 
  then have  $|f m - f n| \leq c * ((1 / x) \wedge M - (1 / x) \wedge \max m n) / (1 - 1$ 
   $/ x)$ 
  proof(induction n m rule: linorder-wlog)
    case sym thus ?case by(simp add: abs-minus-commute max.commute
    min.commute)
  next
    case (le  $m n$ )
    then show ?case
      proof(induction k≡n - m arbitrary: n m)
        case 0 thus ?case using x c-nonneg by(simp add: field-simps mult-left-mono)
        next
          case ( $Suc k m n$ )
          from { $Suc k = \rightarrow$ } obtain  $m'$  where  $m: m = Suc m'$  by(cases m) simp-all
            with { $Suc k = \rightarrow$ }  $Suc.preds$  have  $k = m' - n$   $n \leq m'$   $M \leq n$   $M \leq m'$ 
            by simp-all
            hence  $|f m' - f n| \leq c * ((1 / x) \wedge M - (1 / x) \wedge (\max m' n)) / (1 - 1 / x)$  by(rule Suc)
            also have ... =  $c * ((1 / x) \wedge M - (1 / x) \wedge m') / (1 - 1 / x)$  using
             $n \leq m'$  by(simp add: max-def)
            moreover
              from bounded have  $|f m - f m'| \leq (c / x \wedge m')$  by(simp add: m)
              ultimately have  $|f m' - f n| + |f m - f m'| \leq c * ((1 / x) \wedge M - (1 / x) \wedge m') / (1 - 1 / x) + \dots$  by simp
              also have ...  $\leq c * ((1 / x) \wedge M - (1 / x) \wedge m) / (1 - 1 / x)$  using
               $m x$  by(simp add: field-simps)
              also have  $|f m - f n| \leq |f m' - f n| + |f m - f m'|$ 
                using abs-triangle-ineq4[of f m' - f n f m - f m'] by simp
                ultimately show ?case using { $n \leq m$ } by(simp add: max-def)
            qed
            qed
            also have ...  $< c * (1 / x) \wedge M / (1 - 1 / x)$  using x c by(auto simp add:
            field-simps)
            also have ...  $< \varepsilon$  using M .
            finally have  $|f m - f n| < \varepsilon$  . }
  thus  $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq M. dist(f m) (f n) < \varepsilon$  unfolding dist-real-def by
  blast

```

```

qed
next
  case False
  with bounded[of 0] have [simp]:  $c = 0$  by simp
  have eq:  $f m = f 0$  for  $m$ 
  proof(induction m)
    case (Suc m) from bounded[of m] Suc.IH show ?case by simp
    qed simp
    show ?thesis by(rule metric-CauchyI)(subst (1 2) eq; simp)
  qed

lemma complete-lattice-ccpo-dual:
  class ccpo Inf ( $\geq$ ) (( $>$ ) :: - :: complete-lattice  $\Rightarrow$  -)
  by(unfold-locales)(auto intro: Inf-lower Inf-greatest)

lemma card-eq-1-iff: card  $A = \text{Suc } 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. A = \{x\})$ 
using card-eq-SucD by auto

lemma nth-rotate1:  $n < \text{length } xs \implies \text{rotate1 } xs ! n = xs ! (\text{Suc } n \bmod \text{length } xs)$ 
apply(cases xs; clarsimp simp add: nth-append not-less)
apply(subgoal-tac n = length list; simp)
done

lemma set-zip-rightI:  $\llbracket x \in \text{set } ys; \text{length } xs \geq \text{length } ys \rrbracket \implies \exists z. (z, x) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } xs \ ys)$ 
by(fastforce simp add: in-set-zip in-set-conv-nth simp del: length-greater-0-conv intro!: nth-zip conjI[rotated])

lemma map-eq-append-conv:
  map f xs = ys @ zs  $\longleftrightarrow (\exists ys' zs'. xs = ys' @ zs' \wedge ys = \text{map } f \ ys' \wedge zs = \text{map } f \ zs')$ 
by(auto 4 4 intro: exI[where x=take (length ys) xs] exI[where x=drop (length ys) xs] simp add: append-eq-conv-conj take-map drop-map dest: sym)

lemma rotate1-append:
  rotate1 (xs @ ys) = (if xs = [] then rotate1 ys else tl xs @ ys @ [hd xs])
by(clarsimp simp add: neq-Nil-conv)

lemma in-set-tlD:  $x \in \text{set}(\text{tl } xs) \implies x \in \text{set } xs$ 
by(cases xs) simp-all

lemma countable-converseI:
  assumes countable A
  shows countable (converse A)
proof -
  have converse A = prod.swap ` A by auto
  then show ?thesis using assms by simp
qed

```

lemma *countable-converse* [simp]: *countable* (*converse A*) \longleftrightarrow *countable A*
using *countable-converseI*[of *A*] *countable-converseI*[of *converse A*] **by** *auto*

lemma *nn-integral-count-space-reindex*:
inj-on f A \implies $(\int^+ y. g y \partial \text{count-space} (f' A)) = (\int^+ x. g (f x) \partial \text{count-space} A)$
by(simp add: *embed-measure-count-space*'[symmetric] *nn-integral-embed-measure*'
measurable-embed-measure1)

syntax
-*nn-sum* :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow '*a set* \Rightarrow '*b* \Rightarrow '*b*::*comm-monoid-add* $(\langle (2\sum^+ -\in- / -) \rangle [0, 51, 10] 10)$
-*nn-sum-UNIV* :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow '*b* \Rightarrow '*b*::*comm-monoid-add* $(\langle (2\sum^+ -\in- / -) \rangle [0, 10] 10)$

syntax-consts
-*nn-sum* -*nn-sum-UNIV* \Leftarrow *nn-integral*

translations
 $\sum^+ i \in A. b \Leftarrow \text{CONST nn-integral } (\text{CONST count-space } A) (\lambda i. b)$
 $\sum^+ i. b \Leftarrow \sum^+ i \in \text{CONST UNIV}. b$

inductive-simps *rtrancl-path-simps*:
rtrancl-path R x [] y
rtrancl-path R x (a # bs) y

definition *restrict-rel* :: '*a set* \Rightarrow ('*a* \times '*a*) set \Rightarrow ('*a* \times '*a*) set
where *restrict-rel A R* = $\{(x, y) \in R. x \in A \wedge y \in A\}$

lemma *in-restrict-rel-iff*: $(x, y) \in \text{restrict-rel } A R \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in R \wedge x \in A \wedge y \in A$
by(simp add: *restrict-rel-def*)

lemma *restrict-relE*: $\llbracket (x, y) \in \text{restrict-rel } A R; \llbracket (x, y) \in R; x \in A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis} \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$
by(simp add: *restrict-rel-def*)

lemma *restrict-relI* [intro!]: $\llbracket (x, y) \in R; x \in A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies (x, y) \in \text{restrict-rel } A R$
by(simp add: *restrict-rel-def*)

lemma *Field-restrict-rel-subset*: *Field* (*restrict-rel A R*) $\subseteq A \cap \text{Field } R$
by(auto simp add: *Field-def* *in-restrict-rel-iff*)

lemma *Field-restrict-rel* [simp]: *Refl R* \implies *Field* (*restrict-rel A R*) = $A \cap \text{Field } R$
using *Field-restrict-rel-subset*[of *A R*]
by *auto* (auto simp add: *Field-def* dest: *refl-onD*)

lemma *Partial-order-restrict-rel*:
assumes *Partial-order R*
shows *Partial-order* (*restrict-rel A R*)

```

proof -
  from assms have Refl R by(simp add: order-on-defs)
  from Field-restrict-rel[OF this, of A] assms show ?thesis
    by(simp add: order-on-defs trans-def antisym-def)
      (auto intro: FieldI1 FieldI2 intro!: refl-onI simp add: in-restrict-rel-iff elim:
refl-onD)
  qed

lemma Chains-restrict-relD:  $M \in \text{Chains} (\text{restrict-rel } A \text{ leq}) \implies M \in \text{Chains leq}$ 
by(auto simp add: Chains-def in-restrict-rel-iff)

lemma b Bourbaki-Witt-Fixpoint-restrict-rel:
  assumes leq: Partial-order leq
  and chain-Field:  $\bigwedge M. [\![ M \in \text{Chains} (\text{restrict-rel } A \text{ leq}); M \neq \{\} ]\!] \implies \text{lub } M \in A$ 
  and lub-least:  $\bigwedge M z. [\![ M \in \text{Chains leq}; M \neq \{\}; \bigwedge x. x \in M \implies (x, z) \in \text{leq} ]\!] \implies (\text{lub } M, z) \in \text{leq}$ 
  and lub-upper:  $\bigwedge M z. [\![ M \in \text{Chains leq}; z \in M ]\!] \implies (z, \text{lub } M) \in \text{leq}$ 
  and increasing:  $\bigwedge x. [\![ x \in A; x \in \text{Field leq} ]\!] \implies (x, f x) \in \text{leq} \wedge f x \in A$ 
  shows b Bourbaki-Witt-Fixpoint lub (restrict-rel A leq) f
proof
  show Partial-order (restrict-rel A leq) using leq by(rule Partial-order-restrict-rel)
next
  from leq have Refl: Refl leq by(simp add: order-on-defs)
  { fix M z
    assume M:  $M \in \text{Chains} (\text{restrict-rel } A \text{ leq})$ 
    presume z:  $z \in M$ 
    hence M  $\neq \{\}$  by auto
    with M have lubA:  $\text{lub } M \in A$  by(rule chain-Field)
    from M have M':  $M \in \text{Chains leq}$  by(rule Chains-restrict-relD)
    then have *: (z, lub M) ∈ leq using z by(rule lub-upper)
    hence lub M  $\in \text{Field leq}$  by(rule FieldI2)
    with lubA show lub M  $\in \text{Field} (\text{restrict-rel } A \text{ leq}) by(simp add: Field-restrict-rel[OF Refl])
      from Chains-FieldD[OF M z] have z ∈ A by(simp add: Field-restrict-rel[OF Refl])
      with * lubA show (z, lub M) ∈ restrict-rel A leq by auto
  }
  fix z
  assume upper:  $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies (x, z) \in \text{restrict-rel } A \text{ leq}$ 
  from upper[OF z] have z ∈ Field (restrict-rel A leq) by(auto simp add: Field-def)
  with Field-restrict-rel-subset[of A leq] have z ∈ A by blast
  moreover from lub-least[OF M' < M ≠ {}] upper have (lub M, z) ∈ leq
    by(auto simp add: in-restrict-rel-iff)
  ultimately show (lub M, z) ∈ restrict-rel A leq using lubA by(simp add: in-restrict-rel-iff)
  { fix x
  }$ 
```

```

assume  $x \in \text{Field}$  (restrict-rel A leq)
hence  $x \in A$   $x \in \text{Field}$  leq by(simp-all add: Field-restrict-rel[OF Refl])
with increasing[OF this] show  $(x, f x) \in \text{restrict-rel A leq}$  by auto }
show  $(\text{SOME } x. x \in M) \in M$   $(\text{SOME } x. x \in M) \in M$  if  $M \neq \{\}$  for  $M :: \text{'a set}$ 
using that by(auto intro: someI)
qed

lemma Field-le [simp]: Field  $\{(x :: - :: \text{preorder}, y). x \leq y\} = \text{UNIV}$ 
by(auto intro: FieldI1)

lemma Field-ge [simp]: Field  $\{(x :: - :: \text{preorder}, y). y \leq x\} = \text{UNIV}$ 
by(auto intro: FieldI1)

lemma refl-le [simp]: refl  $\{(x :: - :: \text{preorder}, y). x \leq y\}$ 
by(auto intro!: refl-onI simp add: Field-def)

lemma refl-ge [simp]: refl  $\{(x :: - :: \text{preorder}, y). y \leq x\}$ 
by(auto intro!: refl-onI simp add: Field-def)

lemma partial-order-le [simp]: partial-order-on UNIV  $\{(x :: - :: \text{order}, x'). x \leq x'\}$ 
by(auto simp add: order-on-defs trans-def antisym-def)

lemma partial-order-ge [simp]: partial-order-on UNIV  $\{(x :: - :: \text{order}, x'). x' \leq x\}$ 
by(auto simp add: order-on-defs trans-def antisym-def)

lemma incseq-chain-range: incseq f  $\implies$  Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\leq$ ) (range f)
apply(rule chainI; clarsimp)
using linear by (auto dest: incseqD)

end

```

```

theory MFMC-Finite imports
  EdmondsKarp-Maxflow.EdmondsKarp-Termination-Abstract
  HOL-Library.While-Combinator
begin

```

2 Existence of maximum flows and minimal cuts in finite graphs

This theory derives the existencs of a maximal flow or a minimal cut for finite graphs from the termination proof of the Edmonds-Karp algorithm.

```
context Graph begin
```

```

lemma outgoing-outside:  $x \notin V \implies \text{outgoing } x = \{\}$ 
  by(auto simp add: outgoing-def V-def)

lemma incoming-outside:  $x \notin V \implies \text{incoming } x = \{\}$ 
  by(auto simp add: incoming-def V-def)

end

context NFlow begin

lemma conservation:  $\llbracket x \neq s; x \neq t \rrbracket \implies \sum f(\text{incoming } x) = \sum f(\text{outgoing } x)$ 
  by(cases x ∈ V)(auto simp add: conservation-const outgoing-outside incoming-outside)

lemma augmenting-path-imp-shortest:
  isAugmentingPath p  $\implies \exists p. \text{Graph.isShortestPath cf } s p t$ 
  using Graph.obtain-shortest-path unfolding isAugmentingPath-def
  by (fastforce simp: Graph.isSimplePath-def Graph.connected-def)

lemma shortest-is-augmenting:
  Graph.isShortestPath cf s p t  $\implies \text{isAugmentingPath } p$ 
  unfolding isAugmentingPath-def using Graph.shortestPath-is-simple
  by (fastforce)

definition augment-with-path p ≡ augment (augmentingFlow p)

end

context Network begin

definition shortest-augmenting-path f = (SOME p. Graph.isShortestPath (residualGraph c f) s p t)

lemma shortest-augmenting-path:
  assumes NFlow c s t f
  and  $\exists p. \text{NPreflow.isAugmentingPath } c s t f p$ 
  shows Graph.isShortestPath (residualGraph c f) s (shortest-augmenting-path f)
  t
  using assms unfolding shortest-augmenting-path-def
  by clarify(rule someI-ex, rule NFlow.augmenting-path-imp-shortest)

definition max-flow where
  max-flow = while
     $(\lambda f. \exists p. \text{NPreflow.isAugmentingPath } c s t f p)$ 
     $(\lambda f. \text{NFlow.augment-with-path } c f (\text{shortest-augmenting-path } f)) (\lambda -. 0)$ 

lemma max-flow:
  NFlow c s t max-flow (is ?thesis1)

```

```

 $\neg (\exists p. \text{NPreflow.isAugmentingPath } c s t \text{ max-flow } p) \text{ (is ?thesis2)}$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{NFlow } c s t (\lambda-. 0)$ 
    by unfold-locales(simp-all add: cap-non-negative)
  moreover have  $\text{NFlow } c s t (\text{NFlow.augment-with-path } c f (\text{shortest-augmenting-path } f))$ 
    if  $\text{NFlow } c s t f$  and  $\exists p. \text{NPreflow.isAugmentingPath } c s t f p$  for  $f$ 
    proof -
      interpret  $\text{NFlow } c s t f$  using that(1) .
      interpret  $F: \text{Flow } c s t \text{ NFlow.augment } c f (\text{NPreflow.augmentingFlow } c f (\text{shortest-augmenting-path } f))$ 
        by(intro augment-flow-presv augFlow-resFlow shortest-is-augmenting shortest-augmenting-path[OF that])
      show ?thesis using that
        by(simp add:  $\text{NFlow.augment-with-path-def}$ )(unfold-locales)
      qed
      ultimately have  $\text{NFlow } c s t \text{ max-flow} \wedge \neg (\exists p. \text{NPreflow.isAugmentingPath } c s t \text{ max-flow } p)$ 
      unfolding max-flow-def
      by(rule while-rule[where  $P = \text{NFlow } c s t$  and  $r = \text{measure } (\lambda f. \text{ek-analysis-defs.ekMeasure } (\text{residualGraph } c f) s t)$ ])
        (auto intro: shortest-augmenting-path NFlow.shortest-path-decr-ek-measure
        simp add: NFlow.augment-with-path-def)
      thus ?thesis1 ?thesis2 by simp-all
      qed

    end
  end

```

3 Matrices for given marginals

This theory derives from the finite max-flow min-cut theorem the existence of matrices with given marginals based on a proof by Georg Kellerer [4].

```

theory Matrix-For-Marginals
  imports MFMC-Misc HOL-Library.Diagonal-Subsequence MFMC-Finite
  begin

  lemma bounded-matrix-for-marginals-finite:
    fixes  $f g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
    and  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
    and  $R :: (\text{nat} \times \text{nat}) \text{ set}$ 
    assumes eq-sum:  $\sum f \{..n\} = \sum g \{..n\}$ 
    and le:  $\bigwedge X. X \subseteq \{..n\} \implies \sum f X \leq \sum g (R `` X)$ 
    and f-nonneg:  $\bigwedge x. 0 \leq f x$ 
    and g-nonneg:  $\bigwedge y. 0 \leq g y$ 
    and R:  $R \subseteq \{..n\} \times \{..n\}$ 
    obtains  $h :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 

```

```

where  $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \leq n; y \leq n \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq h x y$ 
and  $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket 0 < h x y; x \leq n; y \leq n \rrbracket \implies (x, y) \in R$ 
and  $\bigwedge x. x \leq n \implies f x = \text{sum} (h x) \{..n\}$ 
and  $\bigwedge y. y \leq n \implies g y = \text{sum} (\lambda x. h x y) \{..n\}$ 
proof(cases  $\exists x \leq n. f x > 0$ )
  case False
    hence  $f: f x = 0$  if  $x \leq n$  for  $x$  using  $f\text{-nonneg}[of x]$  that by(auto simp add: not-less)
      hence  $\text{sum } g \{..n\} = 0$  using eq-sum by simp
      hence  $g y = 0$  if  $y \leq n$  for  $y$  using  $g\text{-nonneg}$  that by(simp add: sum-nonneg-eq-0-iff)
        with  $f$  show thesis by(auto intro!: that[of  $\lambda x. h x y$ ])
    next
      case True
      then obtain  $x0$  where  $x0: x0 \leq n$   $f x0 > 0$  by blast
      define  $R'$  where  $R' = R \cap \{x. f x > 0\} \times \{y. g y > 0\}$ 
      have [simp]: finite ( $R `` A$ ) for  $A$ 
      proof -
        have  $R `` A \subseteq \{..n\}$  using  $R$  by auto
        thus ?thesis by(rule finite-subset) auto
      qed
      have  $R': R' \subseteq \{..n\} \times \{..n\}$  using  $R$  by(auto simp add:  $R'\text{-def}$ )
      have  $R'': R' `` A \subseteq \{..n\}$  for  $A$  using  $R$  by(auto simp add:  $R'\text{-def}$ )
      have [simp]: finite ( $R' `` A$ ) for  $A$  using  $R''[of A]$ 
        by(rule finite-subset) auto
      have  $hop: \exists y0 \leq n. (x0, y0) \in R \wedge g y0 > 0$  if  $x0: x0 \leq n$   $f x0 > 0$  for  $x0$ 
      proof(rule ccontr)
        assume  $\neg ?thesis$ 
        then have  $g y0 = 0$  if  $(x0, y0) \in R$   $y0 \leq n$  for  $y0$  using  $g\text{-nonneg}[of y0]$ 
        that by auto
        moreover from  $R$  have  $R `` \{x0\} \subseteq \{..n\}$  by auto
        ultimately have  $\text{sum } g (R `` \{x0\}) = 0$ 
          using g-nonneg by(auto intro!: sum-nonneg-eq-0-iff[THEN iffD2])
        moreover have  $\{x0\} \subseteq \{..n\}$  using  $x0$  by auto
        from le[OF this]  $x0$  have  $R `` \{x0\} \neq \{\}$   $\text{sum } g (R `` \{x0\}) > 0$  by auto
        ultimately show False by simp
      qed
      then obtain  $y0$  where  $y0: y0 \leq n$   $(x0, y0) \in R'$   $g y0 > 0$  using  $x0$  by(auto simp add:  $R'\text{-def}$ )
      define  $LARGE$  where  $LARGE = \text{sum } f \{..n\} + 1$ 
      have  $1 \leq LARGE$  using  $f\text{-nonneg}$  by(simp add:  $LARGE\text{-def}$  sum-nonneg)
      hence [simp]:  $LARGE \neq 0$   $0 \neq LARGE$   $0 < LARGE$   $0 \leq LARGE$  by simp-all
      define  $s$  where  $s = 2 * n + 2$ 
      define  $t$  where  $t = 2 * n + 3$ 

```

```

define c where c = ( $\lambda(x, y).$ 
  if x = s  $\wedge$  y  $\leq$  n then f y
  else if x  $\leq$  n  $\wedge$  n < y  $\wedge$  y  $\leq$  2 * n + 1  $\wedge$  (x, y - n - 1)  $\in$  R' then LARGE
  else if n < x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  2 * n + 1  $\wedge$  y = t then g (x - n - 1)
  else 0)

have st [simp]:  $\neg s \leq n \neg s \leq Suc(2 * n)$  s  $\neq$  t t  $\neq$  s  $\neg t \leq n \neg t \leq Suc(2 * n)$ 
by(simp-all add: s-def t-def)

have c-simps: c (x, y) =
  (if x = s  $\wedge$  y  $\leq$  n then f y
  else if x  $\leq$  n  $\wedge$  n < y  $\wedge$  y  $\leq$  2 * n + 1  $\wedge$  (x, y - n - 1)  $\in$  R' then LARGE
  else if n < x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  2 * n + 1  $\wedge$  y = t then g (x - n - 1)
  else 0)
  for x y by(simp add: c-def)
have cs [simp]: c (s, y) = (if y  $\leq$  n then f y else 0)
and ct [simp]: c (x, t) = (if n < x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  2 * n + 1 then g (x - n - 1) else 0)
  for x y by(auto simp add: c-simps)

interpret Graph c .
note [simp del] = zero-cap-simp

interpret Network c s t
proof
  have (s, x0)  $\in$  E using x0 by(simp add: E-def)
  thus s  $\in$  V by(auto simp add: V-def)

  have (y0 + n + 1, t)  $\in$  E using y0 by(simp add: E-def)
  thus t  $\in$  V by(auto simp add: V-def)

  show s  $\neq$  t by simp
  show  $\forall u v. 0 \leq c(u, v)$  by(simp add: c-simps f-nonneg g-nonneg max-def)
  show  $\forall u. (u, s) \notin E$  by(simp add: E-def c-simps)
  show  $\forall u. (t, u) \notin E$  by(simp add: E-def c-simps)
  show  $\forall u v. (u, v) \in E \longrightarrow (v, u) \notin E$  by(simp add: E-def c-simps)

  have isPath s [(s, x0), (x0, y0 + n + 1), (y0 + n + 1, t)] t
    using x0 y0 by(auto simp add: E-def c-simps)
  hence st: connected s t by(auto simp add: connected-def simp del: isPath.simps)

  show  $\forall v \in V. connected(s, v) \wedge connected(v, t)$ 
proof(intro strip)
  fix v
  assume v: v  $\in$  V
  hence v  $\leq$  2 * n + 3 by(auto simp add: V-def E-def c-simps t-def s-def split:
  if-split-asm)
  then consider (left) v  $\leq$  n | (right) n < v v  $\leq$  2 * n + 1 | (s) v = s | (t) v
  = t

```

```

by(fastforce simp add: s-def t-def)
then show connected s v ∧ connected v t
proof cases
  case left
    have sv: (s, v) ∈ E using v left
    by(fastforce simp add: E-def V-def c-simps max-def R'-def split: if-split-asm)
      hence connected s v by(auto simp add: connected-def intro!: exI[where
x = [(s, v)]])
      moreover from sv left f-nonneg[of v] have fv > 0 by(simp add: E-def)
        from hop[OF left this] obtain v' where (v, v') ∈ R v' ≤ n g v' > 0 by
auto
      hence isPath v [(v, v' + n + 1), (v' + n + 1, t)] t using left ⟨fv > 0⟩
        by(auto simp add: E-def c-simps R'-def)
      hence connected v t by(auto simp add: connected-def simp del: isPath.simps)
        ultimately show ?thesis ..
  next
    case right
    hence vt: (v, t) ∈ E using v by(auto simp add: V-def E-def c-simps max-def
R'-def split: if-split-asm)
      hence connected v t by(auto simp add: connected-def intro!: exI[where
x = [(v, t)]])
      moreover
        have *: g(v - n - 1) > 0 using vt g-nonneg[of v - n - 1] right by(simp
add: E-def)
          have ∃v' ≤ n. (v', v - n - 1) ∈ R ∧ fv' > 0
          proof(rule ccontr)
            assume ¬ ?thesis
            hence zero: ⟦v' ≤ n; (v', v - n - 1) ∈ R⟧ ⟹ fv' = 0 for v' using
f-nonneg[of v'] by auto
            have sum f {..n} = sum f {x. x ≤ n ∧ x ∉ R^−1 “{v - n - 1}”}
              by(rule sum.mono-neutral-cong-right)(auto dest: zero)
            also have ... ≤ sum g(R “{x. x ≤ n ∧ x ∉ R^−1 “{v - n - 1}”})
              by(rule le) auto
            also have ... ≤ sum g({..n} - {v - n - 1})
              by(rule sum-mono2)(use R in ⟨auto simp add: g-nonneg⟩)
            also have ... = sum g {..n} - g(v - n - 1) using right by(subst
sum-diff) auto
            also have ... < sum g {..n} using * by simp
            also have ... = sum f {..n} by(simp add: eq-sum)
            finally show False by simp
          qed
        then obtain v' where v' ≤ n (v', v - n - 1) ∈ R fv' > 0 by auto
          with right * have isPath s [(s, v'), (v', v)] v by(auto simp add: E-def
c-simps R'-def)
          hence connected s v by(auto simp add: connected-def simp del: isPath.simps)
            ultimately show ?thesis by blast
          qed(simp-all add: st)
        qed
      qed

```

```

have reachableNodes s ⊆ V using `s ∈ V` by(rule reachable-ss-V)
also have V ⊆ {..2 * n + 3}
  by(clarsimp simp add: V-def E-def)(simp-all add: c-simps s-def t-def split:
if-split-asm)
  finally show finite (reachableNodes s) by(rule finite-subset) simp
qed

interpret h: NFlow c s t max-flow by(rule max-flow)
let ?h = λx y. max-flow (x, y + n + 1)
from max-flow(2)[THEN h.fofu-II-III] obtain C where C: NCut c s t C
  and eq: h.val = NCut.cap c C by blast
interpret C: NCut c s t C using C .

have sum c (outgoing s) = sum (λ(-, x). f x) (Pair s ‘ {..n})
  by(rule sum.mono-neutral-cong-left)(auto simp add: outgoing-def E-def)
also have ... = sum f {..n} by(subst sum.reindex)(auto simp add: inj-on-def)
finally have out: sum c (outgoing s) = sum f {..n} .

have no-leaving: (λy. y + n + 1) ‘ (R’ “ (C ∩ {..n})) ⊆ C
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ ?thesis
  then obtain x y where *: (x, y) ∈ R’ x ≤ n x ∈ C y + n + 1 ∉ C by auto
  then have xy: (x, y + n + 1) ∈ E y ≤ n c (x, y + n + 1) = LARGE
    using R by(auto simp add: E-def c-simps R'-def)

  have h.val ≤ sum f {..n} using h.val-bounded(2) out by simp
  also have ... < sum c {(x, y + n + 1)} using xy * by(simp add: LARGE-def)
  also have ... ≤ C.cap using * xy unfolding C.cap-def
    by(intro sum-mono2[OF finite-outgoing])(auto simp add: outgoing'-def cap-non-negative)
  also have ... = h.val by(simp add: eq)
  finally show False by simp
qed

have C.cap ≤ sum f {..n} using out h.val-bounded(2) eq by simp
then have cap: C.cap = sum f {..n}
proof(rule antisym)
  let ?L = {x. x ≤ n ∧ x ∈ C ∧ f x > 0}
  let ?R = (λy. y + n + 1) ‘ (R’ “ ?L)
  have sum f {..n} = sum f ?L + sum f {(..n) - ?L} by(subst sum-diff) auto
  also have sum f ?L ≤ sum g (R “ ?L) by(rule le) auto
  also have ... = sum g (R’ “ ?L) using g-nonneg
    by(intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-right)(auto 4 3 simp add: R'-def Image-iff
intro: antisym)
  also have ... = sum c ((λy. (y + n + 1, t)) ‘ (R’ “ ?L)) using R
    by(subst sum.reindex)(auto intro!: sum.cong simp add: inj-on-def R'-def)
  also have sum f {(..n) - ?L} = sum c (Pair s ‘ {(..n) - ?L}) by(simp add:
sum.reindex inj-on-def)
  also have sum c ((λy. (y + n + 1, t)) ‘ (R’ “ ?L)) + ... =
    sum c (((λy. (y + n + 1, t)) ‘ (R’ “ ?L)) ∪ (Pair s ‘ {(..n) - ?L})))

```

```

by(subst sum.union-disjoint) auto
also have ... ≤ sum c (((λy. (y + n + 1, t)) ` (R' `` ?L)) ∪ (Pair s ` ({..n} - ?L)) ∪ {(x, t) | x ∈ C ∧ n < x ∧ x ≤ 2 * n + 1})
  by(rule sum-mono2)(auto simp add: g-nonneg)
also have (((λy. (y + n + 1, t)) ` (R' `` ?L)) ∪ (Pair s ` ({..n} - ?L)) ∪ {(x, t) | x ∈ C ∧ n < x ∧ x ≤ 2 * n + 1}) = (Pair s ` ({..n} - ?L)) ∪ {(x, t) | x ∈ C ∧ n < x ∧ x ≤ 2 * n + 1}
  using no-leaving R' by(fastforce simp add: Image-iff intro: rev-image-eqI)
also have sum c ... = sum c (outgoing' C) using C.s-in-cut C.t-ni-cut f-nonneg
no-leaving
  apply(intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-right)
  apply(auto simp add: outgoing'-def E-def intro: le-neq-trans)
  apply(fastforce simp add: c-simps Image-iff intro: rev-image-eqI split: if-split-asm)+ done
also have ... = C.cap by(simp add: C.cap-def)
finally show sum f {..n} ≤ ... by simp
qed

show thesis
proof
  show 0 ≤ ?h x y for x y by(rule h.f-non-negative)
  show (x, y) ∈ R if 0 < ?h x y x ≤ n y ≤ n for x y
    using h.capacity-const[rule-format, of (x, y + n + 1)] that
    by(simp add: c-simps R'-def split: if-split-asm)

have sum-h: sum (?h x) {..n} = max-flow (s, x) if x ≤ n for x
proof -
  have sum (?h x) {..n} = sum max-flow (Pair x ` ((+) (n + 1)) ` {..n})
    by(simp add: sum.reindex add.commute inj-on-def)
  also have ... = sum max-flow (outgoing x) using that
    apply(intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-right)
    apply(auto simp add: outgoing-def E-def)
    subgoal for y by(auto 4 3 simp add: c-simps max-def split: if-split-asm
      intro: rev-image-eqI[where x=y - n - 1])
      done
  also have ... = sum max-flow (incoming x) using that by(subst h.conervation)
  auto
  also have ... = sum max-flow {(s, x)} using that
    by(intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-left; auto simp add: incoming-def E-def;
      simp add: c-simps split: if-split-asm)
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  hence le: sum (?h x) {..n} ≤ f x if x ≤ n for x
    using sum-h[OF that] h.capacity-const[rule-format, of (s, x)] that by simp
  moreover have f x ≤ sum (?h x) {..n} if x ≤ n for x
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume ¬ ?thesis
    hence sum (?h x) {..n} < f x by simp
    hence sum (λx. (sum (?h x) {..n})) {..n} < sum f {..n}

```

```

using le that by(intro sum-strict-mono-ex1) auto
also have sum (?x. (sum (?h x) {..n})) {..n} = sum max-flow (Pair s ` {..n})
  using sum-h by(simp add: sum.reindex inj-on-def)
also have ... = sum max-flow (outgoing s)
  by(rule sum.mono-neutral-right)(auto simp add: outgoing-def E-def)
also have ... = sum f {..n} using eq cap by(simp add: h.val-alt)
finally show False by simp
qed
ultimately show f x = sum (?h x) {..n} if x ≤ n for x using that by(auto
intro: antisym)

have sum-h': sum (?x. ?h x y) {..n} = max-flow (y + n + 1, t) if y ≤ n for y
proof -
  have sum (?x. ?h x y) {..n} = sum max-flow ((λx. (x, y + n + 1)) ` {..n})
    by(simp add: sum.reindex inj-on-def)
  also have ... = sum max-flow (incoming (y + n + 1)) using that
    apply(intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-right)
    apply(auto simp add: incoming-def E-def)
    apply(auto simp add: c-simps t-def split: if-split-asm)
    done
  also have ... = sum max-flow (outgoing (y + n + 1))
    using that by(subst h.conservation)(auto simp add: s-def t-def)
  also have ... = sum max-flow {(y + n + 1, t)} using that
    by(intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-left; auto simp add: outgoing-def E-def;
      simp add: s-def c-simps split: if-split-asm)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
hence le': sum (?x. ?h x y) {..n} ≤ g y if y ≤ n for y
  using sum-h'[OF that] h.capacity-const[rule-format, of (y + n + 1, t)] that
by simp
moreover have g y ≤ sum (?x. ?h x y) {..n} if y ≤ n for y
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ ?thesis
  hence sum (?x. ?h x y) {..n} < g y by simp
  hence sum (?y. (sum (?x. ?h x y) {..n})) {..n} < sum g {..n}
    using le' that by(intro sum-strict-mono-ex1) auto
  also have sum (?y. (sum (?x. ?h x y) {..n})) {..n} = sum max-flow ((λy. (y
+ n + 1, t)) ` {..n})
    using sum-h' by(simp add: sum.reindex inj-on-def)
  also have ... = sum max-flow (incoming t)
    apply(rule sum.mono-neutral-right)
    apply simp
    apply(auto simp add: incoming-def E-def cong: rev-conj-cong)
    subgoal for u by(auto intro: rev-image-eqI[where x=u - n - 1])
      done
  also have ... = sum max-flow (outgoing s) by(rule h.inflow-t-outflow-s)
  also have ... = sum f {..n} using eq cap by(simp add: h.val-alt)
  finally show False using eq-sum by simp
qed

```

```

ultimately show g y = sum (λx. ?h x y) {..n} if y ≤ n for y using that
by(auto intro: antisym)
qed
qed

lemma convergent-bounded-family-nat:
fixes f :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ real
assumes bounded: ∀x. bounded (range (λn. f n x))
obtains k where strict-mono k ∧ ∀x. convergent (λn. f (k n) x)
proof -
interpret subseqs λx k. convergent (λn. f (k n) x)
proof(unfold-locales)
fix x and s :: nat ⇒ nat
have bounded (range (λn. f (s n) x)) using bounded by(rule bounded-subset)
auto
from bounded-imp-convergent-subsequence[OF this]
show ∃r. strict-mono r ∧ convergent (λn. f ((s ∘ r) n) x)
by(auto simp add: o-def convergent-def)
qed
{ fix k
have convergent (λn. f ((diagseq ∘ (+) (Suc k)) n) k)
by(rule diagseq-holds)(auto dest: convergent-subseq-convergent simp add: o-def)
hence convergent (λn. f (diagseq n) k) unfolding o-def
by(subst (asm) add.commute)(simp only: convergent-ignore-initial-segment[where
f=λx. f (diagseq x) k])
} with subseq-diagseq show ?thesis ..
qed

lemma convergent-bounded-family:
fixes f :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ real
assumes bounded: ∀x. x ∈ A ⇒ bounded (range (λn. f n x))
and A: countable A
obtains k where strict-mono k ∧ ∀x. x ∈ A ⇒ convergent (λn. f (k n) x)
proof(cases A = {})
case False
define f' where f' n x = f n (from-nat-into A x) for n x
have bounded (range (λn. f' n x)) for x
unfolding f'-def using from-nat-into[OF False] by(rule bounded)
then obtain k where k: strict-mono k
and conv: convergent (λn. f' (k n) x) for x
by(rule convergent-bounded-family-nat) iprover
have convergent (λn. f (k n) x) if x ∈ A for x
using conv[of to-nat-on A x] A that by(simp add: f'-def)
with k show thesis ..
next
case True
with strict-mono-id show thesis by(blast intro!: that)
qed

```

```

abbreviation zero-on :: ('a ⇒ 'b :: zero) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b
where zero-on f ≡ override-on f (λ_. 0)

lemma zero-on-le [simp]: fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {preorder, zero} shows
  zero-on f X x ≤ f x ↔ (x ∈ X → 0 ≤ f x)
by(auto simp add: override-on-def)

lemma zero-on-nonneg: fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {preorder, zero} shows
  0 ≤ zero-on f X x ↔ (x ∉ X → 0 ≤ f x)
by(auto simp add: override-on-def)

lemma sums-zero-on:
  fixes f :: nat ⇒ 'a::real-normed-vector
  assumes f: f sums s
  and X: finite X
  shows zero-on f X sums (s - sum f X)
proof -
  have (λx. if x ∈ X then f x else 0) sums sum (λx. f x) X using X by(rule
  sums-If-finite-set)
  from sums-diff[OF f this] show ?thesis
  by(simp add: sum-negf override-on-def if-distrib cong del: if-weak-cong)
qed

lemma:
  fixes f :: nat ⇒ 'a::real-normed-vector
  assumes f: summable f
  and X: finite X
  shows summable-zero-on [simp]: summable (zero-on f X) (is ?thesis1)
  and suminf-zero-on: suminf (zero-on f X) = suminf f - sum f X (is ?thesis2)
proof -
  from f obtain s where f sums s unfolding summable-def ..
  with sums-zero-on[OF this X] show ?thesis1 ?thesis2
  by(auto simp add: summable-def sums-unique[symmetric])
qed

lemma summable-zero-on-nonneg:
  fixes f :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {ordered-comm-monoid-add,linorder-topology,conditionally-complete-linorder}
  assumes f: summable f
  and nonneg: ∀x. 0 ≤ f x
  shows summable (zero-on f X)
proof(rule summableI-nonneg-bounded)
  fix n
  have sum (zero-on f X) {..

```

```

lemma zero-on-ennreal [simp]: zero-on ( $\lambda x. \text{ennreal} (fx)$ )  $A = (\lambda x. \text{ennreal} (\text{zero-on } f A x))$ 
by(simp add: override-on-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma sum-lessThan-conv-atMost-nat:
  fixes  $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{ab-group-add}$ 
  shows  $\text{sum } f \{.. < n\} = \text{sum } f \{..n\} - f n$ 
by (metis Groups.add-ac(2) add-diff-cancel-left' lessThan-Suc-atMost sum.lessThan-Suc)

lemma Collect-disjoint-atLeast:
  Collect  $P \cap \{x..\} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall y \geq x. \neg P y)$ 
by(auto simp add: atLeast-def)

lemma bounded-matrix-for-marginals-nat:
  fixes  $f g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  and  $R :: (\text{nat} \times \text{nat}) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $s :: \text{real}$ 
  assumes sum-f:  $f \text{ sums } s$  and sum-g:  $g \text{ sums } s$ 
  and f-nonneg:  $\bigwedge x. 0 \leq f x$  and g-nonneg:  $\bigwedge y. 0 \leq g y$ 
  and f-le-g:  $\bigwedge X. \text{suminf} (\text{zero-on } f (- X)) \leq \text{suminf} (\text{zero-on } g (- R `` X))$ 
  obtains h :: nat  $\Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  where  $\bigwedge x y. 0 \leq h x y$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x y. 0 < h x y \implies (x, y) \in R$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x. h x \text{ sums } f x$ 
  and  $\bigwedge y. (\lambda x. h x y) \text{ sums } g y$ 
proof -
  have summ-f: summable f and summ-g: summable g and sum-fg:  $\text{suminf } f = \text{suminf } g$ 
  using sum-f sum-g by(auto simp add: summable-def sums-unique[symmetric])

  have summ-zf: summable (zero-on f A) for A
  using summ-f f-nonneg by(rule summable-zero-on-nonneg)
  have summ-zg: summable (zero-on g A) for A
  using summ-g g-nonneg by(rule summable-zero-on-nonneg)

  define f' :: nat  $\Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  where  $f' n x = (\text{if } x \leq n \text{ then } f x \text{ else if } x = \text{Suc } n \text{ then } \sum k. f (k + (n + 1)) \text{ else } 0)$  for n x
  define g' :: nat  $\Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  where  $g' n y = (\text{if } y \leq n \text{ then } g y \text{ else if } y = \text{Suc } n \text{ then } \sum k. g (k + (n + 1)) \text{ else } 0)$  for n y
  define R' :: nat  $\Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times \text{nat}) \text{ set}$ 
  where  $R' n =$ 
     $R \cap \{..n\} \times \{..n\} \cup$ 
     $\{(n + 1, y) \mid y. \exists x' > n. (x', y) \in R \wedge y \leq n\} \cup$ 
     $\{(x, n + 1) \mid x. \exists y' > n. (x, y') \in R \wedge x \leq n\} \cup$ 
     $(\text{if } \exists x > n. \exists y > n. (x, y) \in R \text{ then } \{(n + 1, n + 1)\} \text{ else } \{\})$ 
  for n
  have R'-simps [simplified, simp]:

```

```

 $\llbracket x \leq n; y \leq n \rrbracket \implies (x, y) \in R' n \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in R$ 
 $y \leq n \implies (n + 1, y) \in R' n \longleftrightarrow (\exists x' > n. (x', y) \in R)$ 
 $x \leq n \implies (x, n + 1) \in R' n \longleftrightarrow (\exists y' > n. (x, y') \in R)$ 
 $(n + 1, n + 1) \in R' n \longleftrightarrow (\exists x' > n. \exists y' > n. (x', y') \in R)$ 
 $x > n + 1 \vee y > n + 1 \implies (x, y) \notin R' n$ 
for  $x y n$  by(auto simp add:  $R'$ -def)

have  $R'$ -cases: thesis if  $(x, y) \in R' n$ 
and  $\llbracket x \leq n; y \leq n; (x, y) \in R \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$ 
and  $\bigwedge x'. \llbracket x = n + 1; y \leq n; n < x'; (x', y) \in R \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$ 
and  $\bigwedge y'. \llbracket x \leq n; y = n + 1; n < y'; (x, y') \in R \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$ 
and  $\bigwedge x' y'. \llbracket x = n + 1; y = n + 1; n < x'; n < y'; (x', y') \in R \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$ 
for thesis  $x y n$  using that by(auto simp add:  $R'$ -def split: if-split-asm)

have  $R'$ -intros:
 $\llbracket (x, y) \in R; x \leq n; y \leq n \rrbracket \implies (x, y) \in R' n$ 
 $\llbracket (x', y) \in R; n < x'; y \leq n \rrbracket \implies (n + 1, y) \in R' n$ 
 $\llbracket (x, y') \in R; x \leq n; n < y' \rrbracket \implies (x, n + 1) \in R' n$ 
 $\llbracket (x', y') \in R; n < x'; n < y' \rrbracket \implies (n + 1, n + 1) \in R' n$ 
for  $n x y x' y'$  by(auto)

have Image- $R'$ :
 $R' n `` X = (R `` (X \cap \{..n\})) \cap \{..n\} \cup$ 
 $(\text{if } n + 1 \in X \text{ then } (R `` \{n+1..\}) \cap \{..n\} \text{ else } \{\}) \cup$ 
 $(\text{if } (R `` (X \cap \{..n\})) \cap \{n+1..\} = \{\} \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \{n + 1\}) \cup$ 
 $(\text{if } n + 1 \in X \wedge (R `` \{n+1..\}) \cap \{n+1..\} \neq \{\} \text{ then } \{n + 1\} \text{ else } \{\})$  for  $n$ 
 $X$ 
apply(simp add: Image-def)
apply(safe elim!:  $R'$ -cases; auto simp add: Collect-disjoint-atLeast intro:  $R'$ -intros
simp add: Suc-le-eq dest: Suc-le-lessD)
apply(metis  $R'$ -simps(4)  $R'$ -intros(3) Suc-eq-plus1)+
done

{ fix  $n$ 
have sum  $(f' n) \{..n + 1\} = \text{sum } (g' n) \{..n + 1\}$  using sum-fg
unfolding  $f'$ -def  $g'$ -def suminf-minus-initial-segment[OF summ-f] suminf-minus-initial-segment[OF summ-g]
by(simp)(metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) add.commute add.left-inverse atLeast0At-Most atLeast0LessThan atLeastLessThanSuc-atLeastAtMost minus-add-distrib sum.lessThan-Suc uminus-add-conv-diff)
moreover have sum  $(f' n) X \leq \text{sum } (g' n) (R' n `` X)$  if  $X \subseteq \{..n + 1\}$  for  $X$ 
proof -
from that have [simp]: finite  $X$  by(rule finite-subset) simp
define  $X'$  where  $X' \equiv \text{if } n + 1 \in X \text{ then } X \cup \{n+1..\} \text{ else } X$ 

define  $Y'$  where  $Y' \equiv \text{if } R `` X' \cap \{n+1..\} = \{\} \text{ then } R `` X' \text{ else } R `` X'$ 
 $\cup \{n+1..\}$ 

```

```

have sum (f' n) X = sum (f' n) (X - {n + 1}) + (if n + 1 ∈ X then f' n
(n + 1) else 0)
  by(simp add: sum.remove)
also have sum (f' n) (X - {n + 1}) = sum f (X - {n + 1}) using that
  by(intro sum.cong)(auto simp add: f'-def)
also have ... + (if n + 1 ∈ X then f' n (n + 1) else 0) = suminf (zero-on
f (- X'))
  proof(cases n + 1 ∈ X)
    case True
      with sum-f that show ?thesis
      apply(simp add: summable-def X'-def f'-def suminf-zero-on[OF sums-summable]
del: One-nat-def)
        apply(subst suminf-minus-initial-segment[OF summ-f])
        apply(simp add: algebra-simps)
        apply(subst sum.union-disjoint[symmetric])
        apply(auto simp add: sum-lessThan-conv-atMost-nat intro!: sum.cong)
        done
  next
    case False
      with sum-f show ?thesis
        by(simp add: X'-def suminf-finite[where N=X])
  qed
also have ... ≤ suminf (zero-on g (- R " X')) by(rule f-le-g)
also have ... ≤ suminf (zero-on g (- Y'))
  by(rule suminf-le[OF _ summ-zg summ-zg])(clar simp simp add: over-
ride-on-def g-nonneg Y'-def summ-zg)
also have ... = suminf (λk. zero-on g (- Y') (k + (n + 1))) + sum (zero-on
g (- Y')) {..n}
  by(subst suminf-split-initial-segment[OF summ-zg, of - n + 1])(simp add:
sum-lessThan-conv-atMost-nat)
also have sum (zero-on g (- Y')) {..n} = sum g (Y' ∩ {..n})
  by(rule sum.mono-neutral-cong-right)(auto simp add: override-on-def)
also have ... = sum (g' n) (Y' ∩ {..n})
  by(rule sum.cong)(auto simp add: g'-def)
also have suminf (λk. zero-on g (- Y') (k + (n + 1))) ≤ (if R " X' ∩
{n+1..} = {} then 0 else g' n (n + 1))
  apply(clar simp simp add: Y'-def g'-def simp del: One-nat-def)
  apply(subst suminf-eq-zero-iff[THEN iffD2])
    apply(auto simp del: One-nat-def simp add: summable-iff-shift summ-zg
zero-on-nonneg g-nonneg)
    apply(auto simp add: override-on-def)
    done
also have ... + sum (g' n) (Y' ∩ {..n}) = sum (g' n) (R' n " X)
  using that by(fastforce simp add: Image-R' Y'-def X'-def atMost-Suc intro:
sum.cong[OF - refl])
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
moreover have ⋀x. 0 ≤ f' n x ⋀y. 0 ≤ g' n y
  by(auto simp add: f'-def g'-def f-nonneg g-nonneg summable-iff-shift summ-

```

```

summ-g intro!: suminf-nonneg simp del: One-nat-def)
moreover have R' n ⊆ {..n+1} × {..n+1}
  by(auto elim!: R'-cases)
ultimately obtain h
  where ⋀x y. [ x ≤ n + 1; y ≤ n + 1] ⟹ 0 ≤ h x y
  and ⋀x y. [ 0 < h x y; x ≤ n + 1; y ≤ n + 1] ⟹ (x, y) ∈ R' n
  and ⋀x. x ≤ n + 1 ⟹ f' n x = sum (h x) {..n + 1}
  and ⋀y. y ≤ n + 1 ⟹ g' n y = sum (λx. h x y) {..n + 1}
  by(rule bounded-matrix-for-marginals-finite) blast+
hence ∃h. (∀x y. x ≤ n + 1 → y ≤ n + 1 → 0 ≤ h x y) ∧
  (∀x y. 0 < h x y → x ≤ n + 1 → y ≤ n + 1 → (x, y) ∈ R' n) ∧
  (∀x. x ≤ n + 1 → f' n x = sum (h x) {..n + 1}) ∧
  (∀y. y ≤ n + 1 → g' n y = sum (λx. h x y) {..n + 1}) by blast }
hence ∃h. ∀n. (∀x y. x ≤ n + 1 → y ≤ n + 1 → 0 ≤ h n x y) ∧
  (∀x y. 0 < h n x y → x ≤ n + 1 → y ≤ n + 1 → (x, y) ∈ R' n) ∧
  (∀x. x ≤ n + 1 → f' n x = sum (h n x) {..n + 1}) ∧
  (∀y. y ≤ n + 1 → g' n y = sum (λx. h n x y) {..n + 1})
  by(subst choice-iff[symmetric]) blast
then obtain h where h-nonneg: ⋀n x y. [ x ≤ n + 1; y ≤ n + 1] ⟹ 0 ≤ h
n x y
and h-R: ⋀n x y. [ 0 < h n x y; x ≤ n + 1; y ≤ n + 1] ⟹ (x, y) ∈ R' n
and h-f: ⋀n x. x ≤ n + 1 ⟹ f' n x = sum (h n x) {..n + 1}
and h-g: ⋀n y. y ≤ n + 1 ⟹ g' n y = sum (λx. h n x y) {..n + 1}
apply(rule exE)
subgoal for h by(erule meta-allE[of - h]) blast
done

define h' :: nat ⇒ nat × nat ⇒ real
where h' n = (λ(x, y). if x ≤ n ∧ y ≤ n then h n x y else 0) for n
have h'-nonneg: h' n xy ≥ 0 for n xy by(simp add: h'-def h-nonneg split:
prod.split)

have h' n xy ≤ s for n xy
proof(cases xy)
  case [simp]: (Pair x y)
  consider (le) x ≤ n y ≤ n | (beyond) x > n ∨ y > n by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
  proof cases
    case le
    have h' n xy = h n x y by(simp add: h'-def le)
    also have ... ≤ h n x y + sum (h n x) {..<y} + sum (h n x) {y<..n + 1}
      using h-nonneg le by(auto intro!: sum-nonneg add-nonneg-nonneg)
    also have ... = sum (h n x) {..y} + sum (h n x) {y<..n + 1}
      by(simp add: sum-lessThan-conv-atMost-nat)
    also have ... = sum (h n x) {..n+1} using le
      by(subst sum.union-disjoint[symmetric])(auto simp del: One-nat-def intro!:
sum.cong)
    also have ... = f' n x using le by(simp add: h-f)
    also have ... = f x using le by(simp add: f'-def)
  qed
qed

```

```

also have ... = suminf (zero-on f (- {x}))
  by(subst suminf-finite[where N={x}]) simp-all
also have ... ≤ suminf f
  by(rule suminf-le)(auto simp add: f-nonneg summ-zf summ-f)
also have ... = s using sum-f by(simp add: sums-unique[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis .
next
  case beyond
    then have h' n xy = 0 by(auto simp add: h'-def)
    also have 0 ≤ s using summ-f by(simp add: sums-unique[OF sum-f] sum-
inf-nonneg f-nonneg)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
then have bounded (range (λn. h' n x)) for x unfolding bounded-def
  by(intro exI[of - 0] exI[of - s]; simp add: h'-nonneg)
from convergent-bounded-family[OF this, of UNIV %x. x] obtain k
  where k: strict-mono k and conv: ∀xy. convergent (λn. h' (k n) xy) by auto

define H :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ real
  where H x y = lim (λn. h' (k n) (x, y)) for x y

have H: (λn. h' (k n) (x, y)) —→ H x y for x y
  unfolding H-def using conv[of (x, y)] by(simp add: convergent-LIMSEQ-iff)

show thesis
proof(rule that)
  show H-nonneg: 0 ≤ H x y for x y using H[of x y] by(rule LIMSEQ-le-const)(simp
    add: h'-nonneg)
    show (x, y) ∈ R if 0 < H x y for x y
      proof(rule ccontr)
        assume (x, y) ∉ R
        hence h' n (x, y) = 0 for n using h-nonneg[of x n y] h-R[of n x y]
          by(fastforce simp add: h'-def)
        hence H x y = 0 using H[of x y] by(simp add: LIMSEQ-const-iff)
          with that show False by simp
      qed
  show H x sums f x for x unfolding sums-iff
  proof
    have sum-H: sum (H x) {..<m} ≤ f x for m
    proof -
      have sum (λy. h' (k n) (x, y)) {..<m} ≤ f x for n
      proof(cases x ≤ k n)
        case True
        from k have n ≤ k n by(rule seq-suble)
        have sum (λy. h' (k n) (x, y)) {..<m} = sum (λy. h' (k n) (x, y)) {..<min
          m (k n + 1)}
          by(rule sum.mono-neutral-right)(auto simp add: h'-def min-def)
        also have ... ≤ sum (λy. h (k n) x y) {..k n + 1} using True
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

by(intro sum-le-included[where i=id])(auto simp add: h'-def h-nonneg)
also have ... = f' (k n) x using h-f True by simp
also have ... = f x using True by(simp add: f'-def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed(simp add: f-nonneg h'-def)
then show ?thesis by -((rule LIMSEQ-le-const2 tendsto-sum H)+, simp)
qed
with H-nonneg show summ-H: summable (H x) by(rule summableI-nonneg-bounded)
hence suminf (H x) ≤ f x using sum-H by(rule suminf-le-const)
moreover
have (λm. sum (H x) {..<m} + suminf (λn. g (n + m))) —→ suminf (H
x) + 0
by(rule tendsto-intros summable-LIMSEQ summ-H suminf-exist-split2 summ-g)+
hence f x ≤ suminf (H x) + 0
proof(rule LIMSEQ-le-const)
have f x ≤ sum (H x) {..<m} + suminf (λn. g (n + m)) for m
proof -
have (λn. sum (λy. h' (k n) (x, y)) {..<m} + suminf (λi. g (i + m)))
—→ sum (H x) {..<m} + suminf (λi. g (i + m))
by(rule tendsto-intros H)+
moreover have ∃ N. ∀ n≥N. f x ≤ sum (λy. h' (k n) (x, y)) {..<m} +
suminf (λi. g (i + m))
proof(intro exI strip)
fix n
assume max x m ≤ n
with seq-suble[OF k, of n] have x: x ≤ k n and m: m ≤ k n by auto
have f x = f' (k n) x using x by(simp add: f'-def)
also have ... = sum (h (k n) x) {..k n + 1} using x by(simp add: h-f)
also have ... = sum (h (k n) x) {..<m} + sum (h (k n) x) {m..k n + 1}
using x m by(subst sum.union-disjoint[symmetric])(auto intro!: sum.cong
simp del: One-nat-def)
also have sum (h (k n) x) {..<m} = sum (λy. h' (k n) (x, y)) {..<m}
using x m by(auto simp add: h'-def)
also have sum (h (k n) x) {m..k n + 1} = sum (λy. sum (λx. h (k n) x
y) {x}) {m..k n + 1} by simp
also have ... ≤ sum (λy. sum (λx. h (k n) x y) {..k n + 1}) {m..k n +
1} using x
by(intro sum-mono sum-mono2)(auto simp add: h-nonneg)
also have ... = sum (g' (k n)) {m..k n + 1} by(simp add: h-g del:
One-nat-def)
also have ... = sum g {m..k n} + suminf (λi. g (i + (k n + 1))) using
m by(simp add: g'-def)
also have ... = suminf (λi. g (i + m)) using m
apply(subst (2) suminf-split-initial-segment[where k=k n + 1 - m])
apply(simp-all add: summable-iff-shift summ-g)
apply(rule sum.reindex-cong[OF _ refl])
apply(simp-all add: Suc-diff-le lessThan-Suc-atMost)
apply(safe; clarsimp)
subgoal for x by(rule image-eqI[where x=x - m]) auto

```

```

    subgoal by auto
    done
    finally show  $f x \leq \text{sum } (\lambda y. h' (k n) (x, y)) \{.. < m\} + \dots$  by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by(rule LIMSEQ-le-const)
qed
thus  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. f x \leq \text{sum } (H x) \{.. < n\} + (\sum i. g (i + n))$  by auto
qed
ultimately show suminf  $(H x) = f x$  by simp
qed
show  $(\lambda x. H x y)$  sums  $g y$  for  $y$  unfolding sums-iff
proof
have sum-H:  $\text{sum } (\lambda x. H x y) \{.. < m\} \leq g y$  for  $m$ 
proof -
have sum  $(\lambda x. h' (k n) (x, y)) \{.. < m\} \leq g y$  for  $n$ 
proof(cases  $y \leq k n$ )
case True
from k have  $n \leq k n$  by(rule seq-suble)
have sum  $(\lambda x. h' (k n) (x, y)) \{.. < m\} = \text{sum } (\lambda x. h' (k n) (x, y)) \{.. < \min m (k n + 1)\}$ 
by(rule sum.mono-neutral-right)(auto simp add: h'-def min-def)
also have  $\dots \leq \text{sum } (\lambda x. h (k n) x y) \{.. < k n + 1\}$  using True
by(intro sum-le-included[where i=id])(auto simp add: h'-def h-nonneg)
also have  $\dots = g' (k n) y$  using h-g True by simp
also have  $\dots = g y$  using True by(simp add: g'-def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed(simp add: g-nonneg h'-def)
then show ?thesis by -((rule LIMSEQ-le-const2 tendsto-sum H)+, simp)
qed
with H-nonneg show summ-H: summable  $(\lambda x. H x y)$  by(rule summableI-nonneg-bounded)
hence suminf  $(\lambda x. H x y) \leq g y$  using sum-H by(rule suminf-le-const)
moreover
have  $(\lambda m. \text{sum } (\lambda x. H x y) \{.. < m\} + \text{suminf } (\lambda n. f (n + m))) \longrightarrow \text{suminf } (\lambda x. H x y) + 0$ 
by(rule tendsto-intros summable-LIMSEQ summ-H suminf-exist-split2 summ-f)+
hence  $g y \leq \text{suminf } (\lambda x. H x y) + 0$ 
proof(rule LIMSEQ-le-const)
have  $g y \leq \text{sum } (\lambda x. H x y) \{.. < m\} + \text{suminf } (\lambda n. f (n + m))$  for  $m$ 
proof -
have  $(\lambda n. \text{sum } (\lambda x. h' (k n) (x, y)) \{.. < m\} + \text{suminf } (\lambda i. f (i + m))) \longrightarrow \text{sum } (\lambda x. H x y) \{.. < m\} + \text{suminf } (\lambda i. f (i + m))$ 
by(rule tendsto-intros H)+
moreover have  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. g y \leq \text{sum } (\lambda x. h' (k n) (x, y)) \{.. < m\} + \text{suminf } (\lambda i. f (i + m))$ 
proof(intro exI strip)
fix n
assume max y m ≤ n
with seq-suble[OF k, of n] have y:  $y \leq k n$  and m:  $m \leq k n$  by auto
have  $g y = g' (k n) y$  using y by(simp add: g'-def)

```

```

also have ... = sum (λx. h (k n) x y) {..k n + 1} using y by(simp add:
h-g)
  also have ... = sum (λx. h (k n) x y) {..<m} + sum (λx. h (k n) x y)
{m..k n + 1}
    using y m by(subst sum.union-disjoint[symmetric])(auto intro!: sum.cong
simp del: One-nat-def)
    also have sum (λx. h (k n) x y) {..<m} = sum (λx. h' (k n) (x, y))
{..<m}
      using y m by(auto simp add: h'-def)
      also have sum (λx. h (k n) x y) {m..k n + 1} = sum (λx. sum (λy. h
(k n) x y) {y}) {m..k n + 1} by simp
      also have ... ≤ sum (λx. sum (λy. h (k n) x y) {..k n + 1}) {m..k n +
1} using y
        by(intro sum-mono sum-mono2)(auto simp add: h-nonneg)
        also have ... = sum (f' (k n)) {m..k n + 1} by(simp add: h-f del:
One-nat-def)
        also have ... = sum f {m..k n} + suminf (λi. f (i + (k n + 1))) using
m by(simp add: f'-def)
          also have ... = suminf (λi. f (i + m)) using m
          apply(subst (2) suminf-split-initial-segment[where k=k n + 1 - m])
          apply(simp-all add: summable-iff-shift summ-f)
          apply(rule sum.reindex-cong[OF _ refl])
          apply(simp-all add: Suc-diff-le lessThan-Suc-atMost)
          apply(safe; clar simp)
          subgoal for x by(rule image-eqI[where x=x - m]) auto
          subgoal by auto
          done
        finally show g y ≤ sum (λx. h' (k n) (x, y)) {..<m} + ... by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by(rule LIMSEQ-le-const)
qed
thus ∃ N. ∀ n≥N. g y ≤ sum (λx. H x y) {..<n} + (∑ i. f (i + n)) by auto
qed
ultimately show suminf (λx. H x y) = g y by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma bounded-matrix-for-marginals-ennreal:
assumes sum-eq: (∑+ x∈A. f x) = (∑+ y∈B. g y)
  and finite: (∑+ x∈B. g x) ≠ ⊤
  and le: ∀X. X ⊆ A ⇒ (∑+ x∈X. f x) ≤ (∑+ y∈R `` X. g y)
  and countable [simp]: countable A countable B
  and R: R ⊆ A × B
obtains h where ∀x y. 0 < h x y ⇒ (x, y) ∈ R
  and ∀x y. h x y ≠ ⊤
  and ∀x. x ∈ A ⇒ (∑+ y∈B. h x y) = f x
  and ∀y. y ∈ B ⇒ (∑+ x∈A. h x y) = g y
proof -

```

```

have fin-g [simp]:  $g y \neq \top$  if  $y \in B$  for  $y$  using finite
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(rule nn-integral-ge-point[OF that])
have fin-f [simp]:  $f x \neq \top$  if  $x \in A$  for  $x$  using finite unfolding sum-eq[symmetric]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(rule nn-integral-ge-point[OF that])

define f' where  $f' x = (\text{if } x \in \text{to-nat-on } A \text{ then enn2real } (f \text{ (from-nat-into } A x)) \text{ else } 0)$  for  $x$ 
define g' where  $g' y = (\text{if } y \in \text{to-nat-on } B \text{ then enn2real } (g \text{ (from-nat-into } B y)) \text{ else } 0)$  for  $y$ 
define s where  $s = \text{enn2real } (\sum^+_{x \in B} g x)$ 
define R' where  $R' = \text{map-prod } (\text{to-nat-on } A) (\text{to-nat-on } B) \cdot R$ 

have f'-nonneg:  $f' x \geq 0$  for  $x$  by(simp add: f'-def)
have g'-nonneg:  $g' y \geq 0$  for  $y$  by(simp add: g'-def)

have  $(\sum^+ x. f' x) = (\sum^+_{x \in \text{to-nat-on } A} f' x)$ 
  by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator f'-def intro!: nn-integral-cong)
also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{x \in A} f x)$ 
  by(subst nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto simp add: inj-on-to-nat-on f'-def
ennreal-enn2real-if intro!: nn-integral-cong)
finally have sum-f':  $(\sum^+ x. f' x) = (\sum^+_{x \in A} f x)$  .

have  $(\sum^+ y. g' y) = (\sum^+_{y \in \text{to-nat-on } B} g' y)$ 
  by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator g'-def intro!: nn-integral-cong)
also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{y \in B} g y)$ 
  by(subst nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto simp add: inj-on-to-nat-on g'-def
ennreal-enn2real-if intro!: nn-integral-cong)
finally have sum-g':  $(\sum^+ y. g' y) = (\sum^+_{y \in B} g y)$  .

have summ-f': summable f'
proof(rule summableI-nonneg-bounded)
show sum f' {.. $n$ }  $\leq \text{enn2real } (\sum^+ x. f' x)$  for  $n$ 
proof -
  have sum f' {.. $n$ } =  $\text{enn2real } (\sum^+_{x \in \{.. $n\}} f' x)$ 
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-finite f'-nonneg sum-nonneg)
  also have enn2real  $(\sum^+_{x \in \{.. $n\}} f' x) \leq \text{enn2real } (\sum^+ x. f' x)$  using
finite sum-eq[symmetric]
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator sum-f'[symmetric]
less-top intro!: nn-integral-mono enn2real-mono split: split-indicator)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed(rule f'-nonneg)
have suminf-f': suminf f' =  $\text{enn2real } (\sum^+ y. f' y)$ 
  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-nat suminf-ennreal2[OF f'-nonneg summ-f']
suminf-nonneg[OF summ-f' f'-nonneg])
with summ-f' sum-f' sum-eq have sums-f:  $f' \text{ sums } s$  by(simp add: s-def sums-iff)
moreover
have summ-g': summable g'
proof(rule summableI-nonneg-bounded)$$ 
```

```

show sum g' {.. $n$ } ≤ enn2real ( $\sum^+ y. g' y$ ) for n
proof -
  have sum g' {.. $n$ } = enn2real ( $\sum^+ y \in \{.. $n\}. g' y$ )
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-finite g'-nonneg sum-nonneg)
    also have enn2real ( $\sum^+ y \in \{.. $n\}. g' y$ ) ≤ enn2real ( $\sum^+ y. g' y$ ) using
      finite
      by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator sum-g'[symmetric]
        less-top intro!: nn-integral-mono enn2real-mono split: split-indicator)
      finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  qed(rule g'-nonneg)
  have suminf-g': suminf g' = enn2real ( $\sum^+ y. g' y$ )
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-nat suminf-ennreal2[OF g'-nonneg summ-g']
      suminf-nonneg[OF summ-g' g'-nonneg])
    with summ-g' sum-g' have sums-g: g' sums s by(simp add: s-def sums-iff)
    moreover note f'-nonneg g'-nonneg
    moreover have suminf (zero-on f' (- X)) ≤ suminf (zero-on g' (- R' " X))
  for X
  proof -
    define X' where X' = from-nat-into A ` (X ∩ to-nat-on A ` A)
    have X': to-nat-on A ` X' = X ∩ (to-nat-on A ` A)
      by(auto 4 3 simp add: X'-def intro: rev-image-eqI)

    have ennreal (suminf (zero-on f' (- X))) = suminf (zero-on (λx. ennreal (f'
      x)) (- X))
      by(simp add: suminf-ennreal2 zero-on-nonneg f'-nonneg summable-zero-on-nonneg
        summ-f')
    also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x \in X. f' x$ )
      by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-nat[symmetric] nn-integral-count-space-indicator
        intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x \in \text{to-nat-on } A ` X'. f' x$ ) using X'
      by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator f'-def intro!: nn-integral-cong
        split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x \in X'. f x$ )
      by(subst nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto simp add: X'-def inj-on-def
        f'-def ennreal-enn2real-if intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    also have ... ≤ ( $\sum^+ y \in R `` X'. g y$ ) by(rule le)(auto simp add: X'-def)
    also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in \text{to-nat-on } B ` (R `` X'). g' y$ ) using R fin-g
      by(subst nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto 4 3 simp add: X'-def inj-on-def
        g'-def ennreal-enn2real-if simp del: fin-g intro!: nn-integral-cong from-nat-into dest:
        to-nat-on-inj[THEN iffD1, rotated -1])
    also have to-nat-on B ` (R `` X') = R' `` X using R
      by(auto 4 4 simp add: X'-def R'-def Image-iff intro: rev-image-eqI rev-bexI
        intro!: imageI)
    also have ( $\sum^+ y \in \dots. g' y$ ) = suminf (zero-on (λy. ennreal (g' y)) (- ...))
      by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-nat[symmetric] nn-integral-count-space-indicator
        intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = ennreal (suminf (zero-on g' (- R' " X)))
      by(simp add: suminf-ennreal2 zero-on-nonneg g'-nonneg summable-zero-on-nonneg)$$ 
```

```

summ-g')
  finally show ?thesis
    by(simp add: suminf-nonneg summable-zero-on-nonneg[OF summ-g' g'-nonneg]
zero-on-nonneg g'-nonneg)
qed
ultimately obtain h' where h'-nonneg:  $\bigwedge x y. 0 \leq h' x y$ 
  and dom-h':  $\bigwedge x y. 0 < h' x y \implies (x, y) \in R'$ 
  and h'-f:  $\bigwedge x. h' x \text{ sums } f' x$ 
  and h'-g:  $\bigwedge y. (\lambda x. h' x y) \text{ sums } g' y$ 
  by(rule bounded-matrix-for-marginals-nat) blast

define h where h x y = ennreal (if x ∈ A ∧ y ∈ B then h' (to-nat-on A x)
(to-nat-on B y) else 0) for x y
show ?thesis
proof
  show (x, y) ∈ R if 0 < h x y for x y
    using that dom-h'[of to-nat-on A x to-nat-on B y] R
    by(auto simp add: h-def R'-def dest: to-nat-on-inj[THEN iffD1, rotated -1]
split: if-split-asm)
  show h x y ≠ ⊤ for x y by(simp add: h-def)

  fix x
  assume x: x ∈ A
  have  $(\sum^+ y \in B. h x y) = (\sum^+ y \in \text{to-nat-on } B. h' (\text{to-nat-on } A x) y)$ 
    by(subst nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto simp add: inj-on-to-nat-on h-def
x intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y. h' (\text{to-nat-on } A x) y)$  using dom-h'[of to-nat-on A x]
h'-nonneg R
    by(fastforce intro!: nn-integral-cong intro: rev-image-eqI simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
R'-def less-le split: split-indicator)
  also have ... = ennreal (suminf (h' (to-nat-on A x)))
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-nat suminf-ennreal-eq[OF - h'-f] h'-nonneg)

  also have ... = ennreal (f' (to-nat-on A x)) using h'-f[of to-nat-on A x]
by(simp add: sums-iff)
  also have ... = f x using x by(simp add: f'-def ennreal-enn2real-if)
  finally show  $(\sum^+ y \in B. h x y) = f x$  .

next
  fix y
  assume y: y ∈ B
  have  $(\sum^+ x \in A. h x y) = (\sum^+ x \in \text{to-nat-on } A. h' x (\text{to-nat-on } B y))$ 
    by(subst nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto simp add: inj-on-to-nat-on h-def
y intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x. h' x (\text{to-nat-on } B y))$  using dom-h'[of - to-nat-on B
y] h'-nonneg R
    by(fastforce intro!: nn-integral-cong intro: rev-image-eqI simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
R'-def less-le split: split-indicator)
  also have ... = ennreal (suminf ( $\lambda x. h' x (\text{to-nat-on } B y) )$ )
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-nat suminf-ennreal-eq[OF - h'-g] h'-nonneg)

```

```

also have ... = ennreal (g' (to-nat-on B y)) using h'-g[of to-nat-on B y]
by(simp add: sums-if)
  also have ... = g y using y by(simp add: g'-def ennreal-enn2real-if)
    finally show (∑+ x∈A. h x y) = g y .
qed
qed

end
theory MFMC-Network imports
  MFMC-Misc
begin

```

4 Graphs

```

type-synonym 'v edge = 'v × 'v

record 'v graph =
  edge :: 'v ⇒ 'v ⇒ bool

abbreviation edges :: ('v, 'more) graph-scheme ⇒ 'v edge set (⟨E₁⟩)
where E_G ≡ {(x, y). edge G x y}

definition outgoing :: ('v, 'more) graph-scheme ⇒ 'v ⇒ 'v set (⟨OUT₁⟩)
where OUT_G x = {y. (x, y) ∈ E_G}

definition incoming :: ('v, 'more) graph-scheme ⇒ 'v ⇒ 'v set (⟨IN₁⟩)
where IN_G y = {x. (x, y) ∈ E_G}

```

Vertices are implicitly defined as the endpoints of edges, so we do not allow isolated vertices. For the purpose of flows, this does not matter as isolated vertices cannot contribute to a flow. The advantage is that we do not need any invariant on graphs that the endpoints of edges are a subset of the vertices. Conversely, this design choice makes a few proofs about reductions on webs harder, because we have to adjust other sets which are supposed to be part of the vertices.

```

definition vertex :: ('v, 'more) graph-scheme ⇒ 'v ⇒ bool
where vertex G x ↔ Domainp (edge G) x ∨ Rangep (edge G) x

```

```

lemma vertexI:
  shows vertexI1: edge Γ x y ⇒ vertex Γ x
  and vertexI2: edge Γ x y ⇒ vertex Γ y
by(auto simp add: vertex-def)

```

```

abbreviation vertices :: ('v, 'more) graph-scheme ⇒ 'v set (⟨V₁⟩)
where V_G ≡ Collect (vertex G)

```

```

lemma V-def: V_G = fst ` E_G ∪ snd ` E_G

```

```

by(auto 4 3 simp add: vertex-def intro: rev-image-eqI prod.expand)

type-synonym 'v path = 'v list

abbreviation path :: ('v, 'more) graph-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  'v path  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where path G  $\equiv$  rtrancl-path (edge G)

inductive cycle :: ('v, 'more) graph-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v path  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for G
where — Cycles must not pass through the same node multiple times. Otherwise,
  the cycle might enter a node via two different edges and leave it via just one edge.
  Thus, the clean-up lemma would not hold any more.
  cycle:  $\llbracket$  path G v p v; p  $\neq$   $\llbracket$ ; distinct p  $\rrbracket$   $\implies$  cycle G p

inductive-simps cycle-Nil [simp]: cycle G Nil

abbreviation cycles :: ('v, 'more) graph-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v path set
where cycles G  $\equiv$  Collect (cycle G)

lemma countable-cycles [simp]:
  assumes countable ( $\mathbf{V}_G$ )
  shows countable (cycles G)
proof —
  have cycles G  $\subseteq$  lists  $\mathbf{V}_G$ 
  by(auto elim!: cycle.cases dest: rtrancl-path-Range-end rtrancl-path-Range simp
    add: vertex-def)
  thus ?thesis by(rule countable-subset)(simp add: assms)
qed

definition cycle-edges :: 'v path  $\Rightarrow$  'v edge list
where cycle-edges p = zip p (rotate1 p)

lemma cycle-edges-not-Nil: cycle G p  $\implies$  cycle-edges p  $\neq$  []
by(auto simp add: cycle-edges-def cycle.simps neq-Nil-conv zip-Cons1 split: list.split)

lemma distinct-cycle-edges:
  cycle G p  $\implies$  distinct (cycle-edges p)
by(erule cycle.cases)(simp add: cycle-edges-def distinct-zipI2)

lemma cycle-enter-leave-same:
  assumes cycle G p
  shows card (set [(x', y)  $\leftarrow$  cycle-edges p. x' = x]) = card (set [(x', y)  $\leftarrow$  cy-
    cle-edges p. y = x])
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  using assms
  proof cases
    case (cycle v)
    from distinct-cycle-edges[OF assms]
    have ?lhs = length [x'  $\leftarrow$  map fst (cycle-edges p). x' = x]

```

```

by(subst distinct-card; simp add: filter-map o-def split-def)
also have ... = (if x ∈ set p then 1 else 0) using cycle
by(auto simp add: cycle-edges-def filter-empty-conv length-filter-conv-card card-eq-1-iff
in-set-conv-nth dest: nth-eq-iff-index-eq)
also have ... = length [y ← map snd (cycle-edges p). y = x] using cycle
apply(auto simp add: cycle-edges-def filter-empty-conv Suc-length-conv intro!
exI[where x=x])
apply(drule split-list-first)
apply(auto dest: split-list-first simp add: append-eq-Cons-conv rotate1-append
filter-empty-conv split: if-split-asm dest: in-set-tlD)
done
also have ... = ?rhs using distinct-cycle-edges[OF assms]
by(subst distinct-card; simp add: filter-map o-def split-def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma cycle-leave-ex-enter:
assumes cycle G p and (x, y) ∈ set (cycle-edges p)
shows ∃z. (z, x) ∈ set (cycle-edges p)
using assms
by(cases)(auto 4 3 simp add: cycle-edges-def cong: conj-cong split: if-split-asm intro:
set-zip-rightI dest: set-zip-leftD)

lemma cycle-edges-edges:
assumes cycle G p
shows set (cycle-edges p) ⊆ E_G
proof
fix x
assume x ∈ set (cycle-edges p)
then obtain i where x: x = (p ! i, rotate1 p ! i) and i: i < length p
by(auto simp add: cycle-edges-def set-zip)
from assms obtain v where p: path G v p v and p ≠ [] and distinct p by cases
let ?i = Suc i mod length p
have ?i < length p by (simp add: p ≠ [])
note rtranci-path-nth[OF p this]
also have (v # p) ! ?i = p ! i
proof(cases Suc i < length p)
case True thus ?thesis by simp
next
case False
with i have Suc i = length p by simp
moreover from p p ≠ [] have last p = v by(rule rtranci-path-last)
ultimately show ?thesis using p ≠ [] by(simp add: last-conv-nth)(metis
diff-Suc-Suc diff-zero)
qed
also have p ! ?i = rotate1 p ! i using i by(simp add: nth-rotate1)
finally show x ∈ E_G by(simp add: x)
qed

```

5 Network and Flow

```

record 'v network = 'v graph +
  capacity :: 'v edge  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
  source :: 'v
  sink :: 'v

type-synonym 'v flow = 'v edge  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal

inductive-set support-flow :: 'v flow  $\Rightarrow$  'v edge set
  for f
  where f e > 0  $\implies$  e  $\in$  support-flow f

lemma support-flow-conv: support-flow f = {e. f e > 0}
by(auto simp add: support-flow.simps)

lemma not-in-support-flowD: x  $\notin$  support-flow f  $\implies$  f x = 0
by(simp add: support-flow-conv)

definition d-OUT :: 'v flow  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
where d-OUT g x = ( $\sum^+$  y. g (x, y))

definition d-IN :: 'v flow  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
where d-IN g y = ( $\sum^+$  x. g (x, y))

lemma d-OUT-mono: ( $\bigwedge$ y. f (x, y)  $\leq$  g (x, y))  $\implies$  d-OUT f x  $\leq$  d-OUT g x
by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def le-fun-def intro: nn-integral-mono)

lemma d-IN-mono: ( $\bigwedge$ x. f (x, y)  $\leq$  g (x, y))  $\implies$  d-IN f y  $\leq$  d-IN g y
by(auto simp add: d-IN-def le-fun-def intro: nn-integral-mono)

lemma d-OUT-0 [simp]: d-OUT ( $\lambda$ . 0) x = 0
by(simp add: d-OUT-def)

lemma d-IN-0 [simp]: d-IN ( $\lambda$ . 0) x = 0
by(simp add: d-IN-def)

lemma d-OUT-add: d-OUT ( $\lambda$ e. f e + g e) x = d-OUT f x + d-OUT g x
unfolding d-OUT-def by(simp add: nn-integral-add)

lemma d-IN-add: d-IN ( $\lambda$ e. f e + g e) x = d-IN f x + d-IN g x
unfolding d-IN-def by(simp add: nn-integral-add)

lemma d-OUT-cmult: d-OUT ( $\lambda$ e. c * f e) x = c * d-OUT f x
by(simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-cmult)

lemma d-IN-cmult: d-IN ( $\lambda$ e. c * f e) x = c * d-IN f x
by(simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-cmult)

```

```

lemma d-OUT-ge-point:  $f(x, y) \leq d\text{-OUT } f x$ 
by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def intro!: nn-integral-ge-point)

lemma d-IN-ge-point:  $f(y, x) \leq d\text{-IN } f x$ 
by(auto simp add: d-IN-def intro!: nn-integral-ge-point)

lemma d-OUT-monotone-convergence-SUP:
  assumes incseq ( $\lambda n. f n (x, y)$ )
  shows d-OUT ( $\lambda e. \text{SUP}_n. f n e$ )  $x = (\text{SUP}_n. d\text{-OUT} (f n) x)$ 
unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-monotone-convergence-SUP[OF assms])
  simp

lemma d-IN-monotone-convergence-SUP:
  assumes incseq ( $\lambda n. f n (x, y)$ )
  shows d-IN ( $\lambda e. \text{SUP}_n. f n e$ )  $y = (\text{SUP}_n. d\text{-IN} (f n) y)$ 
unfolding d-IN-def by(rule nn-integral-monotone-convergence-SUP[OF assms])
  simp

lemma d-OUT-diff:
  assumes  $\bigwedge y. g(x, y) \leq f(x, y)$   $d\text{-OUT } g x \neq \top$ 
  shows d-OUT ( $\lambda e. f e - g e$ )  $x = d\text{-OUT } f x - d\text{-OUT } g x$ 
  using assms by(simp add: nn-integral-diff d-OUT-def)

lemma d-IN-diff:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. g(x, y) \leq f(x, y)$   $d\text{-IN } g y \neq \top$ 
  shows d-IN ( $\lambda e. f e - g e$ )  $y = d\text{-IN } f y - d\text{-IN } g y$ 
  using assms by(simp add: nn-integral-diff d-IN-def)

lemma fixes  $G$  (structure)
  shows d-OUT-alt-def:  $(\bigwedge y. (x, y) \notin \mathbf{E} \implies g(x, y) = 0) \implies d\text{-OUT } g x = (\sum^+_{y \in \mathbf{OUT} x} g(x, y))$ 
  and d-IN-alt-def:  $(\bigwedge x. (x, y) \notin \mathbf{E} \implies g(x, y) = 0) \implies d\text{-IN } g y = (\sum^+_{x \in \mathbf{IN} y} g(x, y))$ 
  unfolding d-OUT-def d-IN-def
  by(fastforce simp add: max-def d-OUT-def d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator
  outgoing-def incoming-def intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)+

lemma d-OUT-alt-def2:  $d\text{-OUT } g x = (\sum^+_{y \in \{y. (x, y) \in \text{support-flow } g\}} g(x, y))$ 
  and d-IN-alt-def2:  $d\text{-IN } g y = (\sum^+_{x \in \{x. (x, y) \in \text{support-flow } g\}} g(x, y))$ 
  unfolding d-OUT-def d-IN-def
  by(auto simp add: max-def d-OUT-def d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator
  outgoing-def incoming-def support-flow.simps intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)+

definition d-diff :: ('v edge  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
where d-diff  $g x = d\text{-OUT } g x - d\text{-IN } g x$ 

abbreviation KIR :: ('v edge  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where KIR  $f x \equiv d\text{-OUT } f x = d\text{-IN } f x$ 

```

```

inductive-set SINK :: ('v edge ⇒ ennreal) ⇒ 'v set
  for f
  where SINK: d-OUT f x = 0 ⇒ x ∈ SINK f

lemma SINK-mono:
  assumes ⋀e. f e ≤ g e
  shows SINK g ⊆ SINK f
proof(rule subsetI; erule SINK.cases; hypsubst)
  fix x
  assume d-OUT g x = 0
  moreover have d-OUT f x ≤ d-OUT g x using assms by(rule d-OUT-mono)
  ultimately have d-OUT f x = 0 by simp
  thus x ∈ SINK f ..
qed

lemma SINK-mono': f ≤ g ⇒ SINK g ⊆ SINK f
by(rule SINK-mono)(rule le-funD)

lemma support-flow-Sup: support-flow (Sup Y) = (⋃f∈Y. support-flow f)
by(auto simp add: support-flow-conv less-SUP-iff)

lemma
  assumes chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain (≤) Y
  and Y: Y ≠ {}
  and countable: countable (support-flow (Sup Y))
  shows d-OUT-Sup: d-OUT (Sup Y) x = (SUP f∈Y. d-OUT f x) (is ?OUT x is ?lhs1 x = ?rhs1 x)
  and d-IN-Sup: d-IN (Sup Y) y = (SUP f∈Y. d-IN f y) (is ?IN is ?lhs2 = ?rhs2)
  and SINK-Sup: SINK (Sup Y) = (⋂f∈Y. SINK f) (is ?SINK)
proof –
  have chain': Complete-Partial-Order.chain (≤) ((λf y. f (x, y)) ` Y) for x using
  chain
    by(rule chain-imageI)(simp add: le-fun-def)
  have countable': countable {y. (x, y) ∈ support-flow (Sup Y)} for x
    using -countable[THEN countable-image[where f=snd]]
    by(rule countable-subset)(auto intro: prod.expand rev-image-eqI)
  { fix x
    have ?lhs1 x = (∑+ y∈{y. (x, y) ∈ support-flow (Sup Y)}. SUP f∈Y. f (x, y))
      by(subst d-OUT-alt-def2; simp)
    also have ... = (SUP f∈Y. ∑+ y∈{y. (x, y) ∈ support-flow (Sup Y)}. f (x, y)) using Y
      by(rule nn-integral-monotone-convergence-SUP-countable)(auto simp add:
      chain' intro: countable')
    also have ... = ?rhs1 x unfolding d-OUT-alt-def2
    by(auto 4 3 simp add: support-flow-Sup max-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator
    intro!: nn-integral-cong SUP-cong split: split-indicator dest: not-in-support-flowD)
    finally show ?OUT x . }

```

note *out = this*

```

have chain'': Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\leq$ ) (( $\lambda f x. f(x, y)$ ) ` Y) for y using
chain
  by(rule chain-imageI)(simp add: le-fun-def)
have countable'': countable {x. (x, y)  $\in$  support-flow (Sup Y)} for y
  using - countable[THEN countable-image[where f=fst]]
  by(rule countable-subset)(auto intro: prod.expand rev-image-eqI)
have ?lhs2 = ( $\sum^+ x \in \{x. (x, y) \in \text{support-flow} (\text{Sup } Y)\}. \text{SUP } f \in Y. f(x, y)$ )
  by(subst d-IN-alt-def2; simp)
also have ... = ( $\text{SUP } f \in Y. \sum^+ x \in \{x. (x, y) \in \text{support-flow} (\text{Sup } Y)\}. f(x, y)$ ) using Y
  by(rule nn-integral-monotone-convergence-SUP-countable)(simp-all add: chain'' countable'')
also have ... = ?rhs2 unfolding d-IN-alt-def2
by(auto 4 3 simp add: support-flow-Sup max-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator
intro!: nn-integral-cong SUP-cong split: split-indicator dest: not-in-support-flowD)
finally show ?IN .

show ?SINK by(rule set-eqI)(simp add: SINK.simps out Y bot-ennreal[symmetric])
qed

```

lemma

```

assumes chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\leq$ ) Y
and Y: Y  $\neq \{\}$ 
and countable: countable (support-flow f)
and bounded:  $\bigwedge g e. g \in Y \implies g e \leq f e$ 
shows d-OUT-Inf: d-OUT f x  $\neq$  top  $\implies$  d-OUT (Inf Y) x = (INF g  $\in$  Y. d-OUT g x) (is -  $\implies$  ?OUT is -  $\implies$  ?lhs1 = ?rhs1)
  and d-IN-Inf: d-IN f x  $\neq$  top  $\implies$  d-IN (Inf Y) x = (INF g  $\in$  Y. d-IN g x) (is -  $\implies$  ?IN is -  $\implies$  ?lhs2 = ?rhs2)
proof -

```

We take a detour here via suprema because we have more theorems about integral^N with suprema than with infima.

```

from Y obtain g0 where g0: g0  $\in$  Y by auto
have g0-le-f: g0 e  $\leq$  f e for e by(rule bounded[OF g0])

have support-flow (SUP g  $\in$  Y. ( $\lambda e. f e - g e$ ))  $\subseteq$  support-flow f
  by(clar simp simp add: support-flow.simps less-SUP-iff elim!: less-le-trans intro!: diff-le-self-ennreal)
  then have countable': countable (support-flow (SUP g  $\in$  Y. ( $\lambda e. f e - g e$ )))
  by(rule countable-subset)(rule countable)

have Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\geq$ ) Y using chain by(simp add: chain-dual)
hence chain': Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\leq$ ) (( $\lambda g e. f e - g e$ ) ` Y)
  by(rule chain-imageI)(auto simp add: le-fun-def intro: ennreal-minus-mono)

{ assume finite: d-OUT f x  $\neq$  top

```

```

have finite' [simp]:  $f(x, y) \neq \top$  for  $y$  using finite
  by(rule neq-top-trans) (rule d-OUT-ge-point)

have finite'-g:  $g(x, y) \neq \top$  if  $g \in Y$  for  $g y$  using finite'[of  $y$ ]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(rule bounded[ $OF$  that])

have finite1:  $(\sum^+ y. f(x, y) - (\inf_{g \in Y} g(x, y))) \neq top$ 
  using finite by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto simp add: d-OUT-def intro!: nn-integral-mono)
have finite2:  $d\text{-OUT } g x \neq top$  if  $g \in Y$  for  $g$  using finite
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto intro: d-OUT-mono bounded[ $OF$  that])

have bounded1:  $(\prod_{g \in Y} d\text{-OUT } g x) \leq d\text{-OUT } f x$ 
  using  $Y$  by (blast intro: INF-lower2 d-OUT-mono bounded)

have ?lhs1 =  $(\sum^+ y. \inf_{g \in Y} g(x, y))$  by(simp add: d-OUT-def)
  also have ... =  $d\text{-OUT } f x - (\sum^+ y. f(x, y) - (\inf_{g \in Y} g(x, y)))$ 
  unfolding d-OUT-def
    using finite1 g0-le-f
    apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
    apply(auto simp add: AE-count-space intro!: diff-le-self-ennreal INF-lower2[ $OF$  g0] nn-integral-cong diff-diff-ennreal[symmetric])
    done
  also have  $(\sum^+ y. f(x, y) - (\inf_{g \in Y} g(x, y))) = d\text{-OUT } (\lambda e. \sup_{g \in Y} g e - g e) x$ 
    unfolding d-OUT-def by(subst SUP-const-minus-ennreal)(simp-all add: Y)
  also have ... =  $(\sup_{h \in (\lambda g e. f e - g e) ' Y} d\text{-OUT } h x)$  using countable'
    chain'  $Y$ 
    by(subst d-OUT-Sup[symmetric])(simp-all add: SUP-apply[abs-def])
  also have ... =  $(\sup_{g \in Y} d\text{-OUT } (\lambda e. f e - g e) x)$  unfolding image-image
  ..
  also have ... =  $(\sup_{g \in Y} d\text{-OUT } f x - d\text{-OUT } g x)$ 
    by(rule SUP-cong[ $OF$  refl] d-OUT-diff)+(auto intro: bounded simp add: finite2)
  also have ... =  $d\text{-OUT } f x - ?rhs1$  by(subst SUP-const-minus-ennreal)(simp-all add: Y)
  also have  $d\text{-OUT } f x - \dots = ?rhs1$ 
    using  $Y$  by(subst diff-diff-ennreal)(simp-all add: bounded1 finite)
  finally show ?OUT .
next
assume finite:  $d\text{-IN } f x \neq top$ 
have finite' [simp]:  $f(y, x) \neq \top$  for  $y$  using finite
  by(rule neq-top-trans) (rule d-IN-ge-point)

have finite'-g:  $g(y, x) \neq \top$  if  $g \in Y$  for  $g y$  using finite'[of  $y$ ]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(rule bounded[ $OF$  that])

have finite1:  $(\sum^+ y. f(y, x) - (\inf_{g \in Y} g(y, x))) \neq top$ 
  using finite by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto simp add: d-IN-def diff-le-self-ennreal intro!: nn-integral-mono)

```

```

have finite2: d-IN g x ≠ top if g ∈ Y for g using finite
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto intro: d-IN-mono bounded[OF that])

have bounded1: (∏ g∈Y. d-IN g x) ≤ d-IN f x
  using Y by (blast intro: INF-lower2 d-IN-mono bounded)

have ?rhs2 = (∑+ y. INF g∈Y. g (y, x)) by(simp add: d-IN-def)
also have ... = d-IN f x - (∑+ y. f (y, x) - (INF g∈Y. g (y, x))) unfolding
d-IN-def
  using finite1 g0-le-f
  apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  apply(auto simp add: AE-count-space intro!: diff-le-self-ennreal INF-lower2[OF
g0] nn-integral-cong diff-diff-ennreal[symmetric])
  done
also have (∑+ y. f (y, x) - (INF g∈Y. g (y, x))) = d-IN (λe. SUP g∈Y. f
e - g e) x
  unfolding d-IN-def by(subst SUP-const-minus-ennreal)(simp-all add: Y)
also have ... = (SUP h∈(λg e. f e - g e) ' Y. d-IN h x) using countable'
chain' Y
  by(subst d-IN-Sup[symmetric])(simp-all add: SUP-apply[abs-def])
also have ... = (SUP g∈Y. d-IN (λe. f e - g e) x) unfolding image-image
..
also have ... = (SUP g∈Y. d-IN f x - d-IN g x)
  by(rule SUP-cong[OF refl] d-IN-diff)+(auto intro: bounded simp add: finite2)
also have ... = d-IN f x - ?rhs2 by(subst SUP-const-minus-ennreal)(simp-all
add: Y)
also have d-IN f x - ... = ?rhs2
  by(subst diff-diff-ennreal)(simp-all add: finite bounded1)
finally show ?IN . }

qed

inductive flow :: ('v, 'more) network-scheme ⇒ 'v flow ⇒ bool
  for Δ (structure) and f
  where
    flow: [ ] ∆ e. f e ≤ capacity ∆ e;
      [ ] x. [ ] x ≠ source ∆; x ≠ sink ∆ ] ⇒ KIR f x ]
    ⇒ flow ∆ f

lemma flowD-capacity: flow ∆ f ⇒ f e ≤ capacity ∆ e
by(cases e)(simp add: flow.simps)

lemma flowD-KIR: [ ] flow ∆ f; x ≠ source ∆; x ≠ sink ∆ ] ⇒ KIR f x
by(simp add: flow.simps)

lemma flowD-capacity-OUT: flow ∆ f ⇒ d-OUT f x ≤ d-OUT (capacity ∆) x
by(rule d-OUT-mono)(erule flowD-capacity)

lemma flowD-capacity-IN: flow ∆ f ⇒ d-IN f x ≤ d-IN (capacity ∆) x
by(rule d-IN-mono)(erule flowD-capacity)

```

```

abbreviation value-flow :: ('v, 'more) network-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  ('v edge  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$ 
ennreal
where value-flow  $\Delta f \equiv d\text{-OUT } f \text{ (source } \Delta)$ 

```

5.1 Cut

```
type-synonym 'v cut = 'v set
```

```

inductive cut :: ('v, 'more) network-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v cut  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for  $\Delta$  and S
where cut:  $\llbracket \text{source } \Delta \in S; \text{sink } \Delta \notin S \rrbracket \implies \text{cut } \Delta S$ 

```

```

inductive orthogonal :: ('v, 'more) network-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v flow  $\Rightarrow$  'v cut  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for  $\Delta f S$ 
where
 $\llbracket \bigwedge x y. \llbracket \text{edge } \Delta x y; x \in S; y \notin S \rrbracket \implies f(x, y) = \text{capacity } \Delta(x, y);$ 
 $\quad \bigwedge x y. \llbracket \text{edge } \Delta x y; x \notin S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies f(x, y) = 0 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S$ 

```

```
lemma orthogonalD-out:
```

```
 $\llbracket \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S; \text{edge } \Delta x y; x \in S; y \notin S \rrbracket \implies f(x, y) = \text{capacity } \Delta(x, y)$ 
by(simp add: orthogonal.simps)
```

```
lemma orthogonalD-in:
```

```
 $\llbracket \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S; \text{edge } \Delta x y; x \notin S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies f(x, y) = 0$ 
by(simp add: orthogonal.simps)
```

5.2 Countable network

```

locale countable-network =
  fixes  $\Delta :: ('v, 'more) \text{ network-scheme}$  (structure)
  assumes countable-E [simp]: countable E
  and source-neq-sink [simp]: source  $\Delta \neq \text{sink } \Delta$ 
  and capacity-outside:  $e \notin E \implies \text{capacity } \Delta e = 0$ 
  and capacity-finite [simp]: capacity  $\Delta e \neq \top$ 
begin

```

```
lemma sink-neq-source [simp]: sink  $\Delta \neq \text{source } \Delta$ 
using source-neq-sink[symmetric] .
```

```
lemma countable-V [simp]: countable V
unfolding V-def using countable-E by auto
```

```
lemma flowD-outside:
  assumes g: flow  $\Delta g$ 
  shows  $e \notin E \implies g e = 0$ 
using flowD-capacity[OF g, of e] capacity-outside[of e] by simp
```

```
lemma flowD-finite:
```

```

assumes flow  $\Delta$  g
shows g e  $\neq \top$ 
using flowD-capacity[OF assms, of e] by (auto simp: top-unique)

lemma zero-flow [simp]: flow  $\Delta$  ( $\lambda\_. 0$ )
by(rule flow.intros) simp-all

end

```

5.3 Reduction for avoiding antiparallel edges

```

locale antiparallel-edges = countable-network  $\Delta$ 
  for  $\Delta :: ('v, 'more) network\text{-}scheme$  (structure)
begin

```

We eliminate the assumption of antiparallel edges by adding a vertex for every edge. Thus, antiparallel edges are split up into a cycle of 4 edges. This idea already appears in [1].

```

datatype (plugins del: transfer size) 'v vertex = Vertex 'v' | Edge 'v' 'v'

```

```

inductive edg :: 'v vertex  $\Rightarrow$  'v vertex  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where

```

```

| OUT: edge  $\Delta$  x y  $\Longrightarrow$  edg (Vertex x) (Edge x y)
| IN: edge  $\Delta$  x y  $\Longrightarrow$  edg (Edge x y) (Vertex y)

```

```

inductive-simps edg-simps [simp]:

```

```

edg (Vertex x) v
edg (Edge x y) v
edg v (Vertex x)
edg v (Edge x y)

```

```

fun split :: 'v flow  $\Rightarrow$  'v vertex flow

```

```

where

```

```

| split f (Vertex x, Edge x' y) = (if x' = x then f (x, y) else 0)
| split f (Edge x y', Vertex y) = (if y' = y then f (x, y) else 0)
| split f - = 0

```

```

lemma split-Vertex1-eq-0I: ( $\bigwedge z. y \neq Edge x z$ )  $\Longrightarrow$  split f (Vertex x, y) = 0
by(cases y) auto

```

```

lemma split-Vertex2-eq-0I: ( $\bigwedge z. y \neq Edge z x$ )  $\Longrightarrow$  split f (y, Vertex x) = 0
by(cases y) simp-all

```

```

lemma split-Edge1-eq-0I: ( $\bigwedge z. y \neq Vertex x$ )  $\Longrightarrow$  split f (Edge z x, y) = 0
by(cases y) simp-all

```

```

lemma split-Edge2-eq-0I: ( $\bigwedge z. y \neq Vertex x$ )  $\Longrightarrow$  split f (y, Edge x z) = 0
by(cases y) simp-all

```

```

definition  $\Delta'' :: 'v \text{ vertex network}$ 
where  $\Delta'' = (\text{edge} = \text{edg}, \text{capacity} = \text{split} (\text{capacity } \Delta), \text{source} = \text{Vertex} (\text{source } \Delta), \text{sink} = \text{Vertex} (\text{sink } \Delta))$ 

lemma  $\Delta''\text{-sel} [\text{simp}]$ :
 $\text{edge } \Delta'' = \text{edg}$ 
 $\text{capacity } \Delta'' = \text{split} (\text{capacity } \Delta)$ 
 $\text{source } \Delta'' = \text{Vertex} (\text{source } \Delta)$ 
 $\text{sink } \Delta'' = \text{Vertex} (\text{sink } \Delta)$ 
by(simp-all add:  $\Delta''\text{-def}$ )

lemma  $\mathbf{E}\text{-}\Delta''$ :  $\mathbf{E}_{\Delta''} = (\lambda(x, y). (\text{Vertex } x, \text{Edge } x y)) ` \mathbf{E} \cup (\lambda(x, y). (\text{Edge } x y, \text{Vertex } y)) ` \mathbf{E}$ 
by(auto elim: edg.cases)

lemma  $\mathbf{V}\text{-}\Delta''$ :  $\mathbf{V}_{\Delta''} = \text{Vertex} ` \mathbf{V} \cup \text{case-prod Edge} ` \mathbf{E}$ 
by(auto 4 4 simp add: vertex-def elim!: edg.cases)

lemma  $\text{inj-on-Edge1} [\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{inj-on} (\lambda x. \text{Edge } x y) A$ 
by(simp add: inj-on-def)

lemma  $\text{inj-on-Edge2} [\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{inj-on} (\text{Edge } x) A$ 
by(simp add: inj-on-def)

lemma  $d\text{-IN-split-Vertex} [\text{simp}]$ :  $d\text{-IN} (\text{split } f) (\text{Vertex } x) = d\text{-IN } f x$  (is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )
proof(rule trans)
 $\text{show } ?lhs = (\sum^+ v' \in \text{range} (\lambda y. \text{Edge } y x). \text{split } f (v', \text{Vertex } x))$ 
by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split-Vertex2-eq-0I simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator split: split-indicator)
 $\text{show } \dots = ?rhs$  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-IN-def)
qed

lemma  $d\text{-OUT-split-Vertex} [\text{simp}]$ :  $d\text{-OUT} (\text{split } f) (\text{Vertex } x) = d\text{-OUT } f x$  (is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )
proof(rule trans)
 $\text{show } ?lhs = (\sum^+ v' \in \text{range} (\text{Edge } x). \text{split } f (\text{Vertex } x, v'))$ 
by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split-Vertex1-eq-0I simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator split: split-indicator)
 $\text{show } \dots = ?rhs$  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-OUT-def)
qed

lemma  $d\text{-IN-split-Edge} [\text{simp}]$ :  $d\text{-IN} (\text{split } f) (\text{Edge } x y) = \max 0 (f (x, y))$  (is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )
proof(rule trans)
 $\text{show } ?lhs = (\sum^+ v'. \text{split } f (v', \text{Edge } x y) * \text{indicator } \{\text{Vertex } x\} v')$ 
unfolding  $d\text{-IN-def}$  by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp add: split-Edge2-eq-0I split: split-indicator)
 $\text{show } \dots = ?rhs$  by(simp add: max-def)

```

qed

```

lemma d-OUT-split-Edge [simp]: d-OUT (split f) (Edge x y) = max 0 (f (x, y))
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof(rule trans)
  show ?lhs = ( $\sum^+ v'. \text{split } f (\text{Edge } x \ y, v') * \text{indicator } \{\text{Vertex } y\} v'$ )
    unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp add: split-Edge1-eq-0I
    split: split-indicator)
    show ... = ?rhs by(simp add: max-def)
qed

```

lemma Δ'' -countable-network: countable-network Δ''

```

proof
  show countable E $_{\Delta''}$  unfolding E- $\Delta''$  by(simp)
  show source  $\Delta'' \neq$  sink  $\Delta''$  by auto
  show capacity  $\Delta'' e = 0$  if  $e \notin E_{\Delta''}$  for  $e$  using that
    by(cases (capacity  $\Delta$ ,  $e$ ) rule: split.cases)(auto simp add: capacity-outside)
  show capacity  $\Delta'' e \neq \text{top}$  for  $e$  by(cases (capacity  $\Delta$ ,  $e$ ) rule: split.cases)(auto)
qed

```

interpretation Δ'' : countable-network Δ'' **by**(rule Δ'' -countable-network)

```

lemma flow-split [simp]:
  assumes flow  $\Delta$  f
  shows flow  $\Delta''$  (split f)
proof
  show split f e  $\leq$  capacity  $\Delta'' e$  for e
    by(cases (f, e) rule: split.cases)(auto intro: flowD-capacity[OF assms] intro:
    SUP-upper2 assms)
  show KIR (split f) x if x  $\neq$  source  $\Delta'' x \neq$  sink  $\Delta''$  for x
    using that by(cases x)(auto dest: flowD-KIR[OF assms])
qed

```

abbreviation (input) collect :: 'v vertex flow \Rightarrow 'v flow
where collect f \equiv ($\lambda(x, y). f (\text{Edge } x \ y, \text{Vertex } y)$)

```

lemma d-OUT-collect:
  assumes f: flow  $\Delta''$  f
  shows d-OUT (collect f) x = d-OUT f (Vertex x)
proof -
  have d-OUT (collect f) x = ( $\sum^+ y. f (\text{Edge } x \ y, \text{Vertex } y)$ )
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-OUT-def)
  also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in \text{range } (\text{Edge } x). f (\text{Vertex } x, y)$ )
  proof(clarify simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    fix y
    have ( $\sum^+ z. f (\text{Edge } x \ y, z) * \text{indicator } \{\text{Vertex } y\} z$ ) = d-OUT f (Edge x y)
      unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp split: split-indicator
      add:  $\Delta''.\text{flowD-outside}[OF f]$ )
    also have ... = d-IN f (Edge x y) using f by(rule flowD-KIR) simp-all
  qed

```

```

also have ... = ( $\sum^+ z. f(z, \text{Edge } x y) * \text{indicator} \{ \text{Vertex } x \} z$ )
  unfolding d-IN-def by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp split: split-indicator add:
 $\Delta''.\text{flowD-outside}[OF f]$ )
  finally show  $f(\text{Edge } x y, \text{Vertex } y) = f(\text{Vertex } x, \text{Edge } x y)$ 
    by(simp add: max-def)
qed
also have ... = d-OUT  $f(\text{Vertex } x)$ 
  by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong  $\Delta''.\text{flowD-outside}[OF f]$  simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
d-OUT-def split: split-indicator)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma flow-collect [simp]:
  assumes  $f: \text{flow } \Delta'' f$ 
  shows  $\text{flow } \Delta (\text{collect } f)$ 
proof
  show  $\text{collect } f e \leq \text{capacity } \Delta e$  for  $e$  using flowD-capacity[ $OF f$ , of (case-prod
 $\text{Edge } e, \text{Vertex } (\text{snd } e))]$ 
    by(cases e)(simp)

  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x: x \neq \text{source } \Delta \wedge x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$ 
  have d-OUT  $(\text{collect } f) x = d\text{-OUT } f(\text{Vertex } x)$  using  $f$  by(rule d-OUT-collect)
  also have ... = d-IN  $f(\text{Vertex } x)$  using  $x$  flowD-KIR[ $OF f$ , of  $\text{Vertex } x$ ]
  by(simp)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y \in \text{range } (\lambda z. \text{Edge } z x). f(y, \text{Vertex } x))$ 
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong  $\Delta''.\text{flowD-outside}[OF f]$  simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
d-IN-def split: split-indicator)
  also have ... = d-IN  $(\text{collect } f) x$  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex
d-IN-def)
  finally show KIR  $(\text{collect } f) x$  .
qed

lemma value-collect:  $\text{flow } \Delta'' f \implies \text{value-flow } \Delta (\text{collect } f) = \text{value-flow } \Delta'' f$ 
by(simp add: d-OUT-collect)

end

end
theory MFMC-Web imports
  MFMC-Network
begin

```

6 Webs and currents

```

record ' $v$  web' = ' $v$  graph +
  weight :: ' $v$   $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
  A :: ' $v$  set
  B :: ' $v$  set

```

```

lemma vertex-weight-update [simp]: vertex (weight-update f Γ) = vertex Γ
by(simp add: vertex-def fun-eq-iff)

type-synonym 'v current = 'v edge ⇒ ennreal

inductive current :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme ⇒ 'v current ⇒ bool
  for Γ f
where
  current:
    
$$\llbracket \bigwedge x. d\text{-OUT } f x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x; \\ \bigwedge x. d\text{-IN } f x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x; \\ \bigwedge x. x \notin A \Gamma \implies d\text{-OUT } f x \leq d\text{-IN } f x; \\ \bigwedge a. a \in A \Gamma \implies d\text{-IN } f a = 0; \\ \bigwedge b. b \in B \Gamma \implies d\text{-OUT } f b = 0; \\ \bigwedge e. e \notin E_\Gamma \implies f e = 0 \rrbracket \\ \implies \text{current } \Gamma f$$


lemma currentD-weight-OUT: current Γ f ⇒ d-OUT f x ≤ weight Γ x
by(simp add: current.simps)

lemma currentD-weight-IN: current Γ f ⇒ d-IN f x ≤ weight Γ x
by(simp add: current.simps)

lemma currentD-OUT-IN:  $\llbracket \text{current } \Gamma f; x \notin A \Gamma \rrbracket \implies d\text{-OUT } f x \leq d\text{-IN } f x$ 
by(simp add: current.simps)

lemma currentD-IN:  $\llbracket \text{current } \Gamma f; a \in A \Gamma \rrbracket \implies d\text{-IN } f a = 0$ 
by(simp add: current.simps)

lemma currentD-OUT:  $\llbracket \text{current } \Gamma f; b \in B \Gamma \rrbracket \implies d\text{-OUT } f b = 0$ 
by(simp add: current.simps)

lemma currentD-outside:  $\llbracket \text{current } \Gamma f; \neg \text{edge } \Gamma x y \rrbracket \implies f(x, y) = 0$ 
by(blast elim: current.cases)

lemma currentD-outside':  $\llbracket \text{current } \Gamma f; e \notin E_\Gamma \rrbracket \implies f e = 0$ 
by(blast elim: current.cases)

lemma currentD-OUT-eq-0:
  assumes current Γ f
  shows d-OUT f x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall y. f(x, y) = 0)$ 
by(simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0)

lemma currentD-IN-eq-0:
  assumes current Γ f
  shows d-IN f x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall y. f(y, x) = 0)$ 
by(simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0)

```

```

lemma current-support-flow:
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
  assumes current  $\Gamma f$ 
  shows support-flow  $f \subseteq \mathbf{E}$ 
  using currentD-outside[ $OF$  assms] by(auto simp add: support-flow.simps intro: ccontr)

lemma currentD-outside-IN:  $\llbracket \text{current } \Gamma f; x \notin \mathbf{V}_\Gamma \rrbracket \implies d\text{-IN } f x = 0$ 
by(auto simp add: d-IN-def vertex-def nn-integral-0-iff AE-count-space emeasure-count-space-eq-0 dest: currentD-outside)

lemma currentD-outside-OUT:  $\llbracket \text{current } \Gamma f; x \notin \mathbf{V}_\Gamma \rrbracket \implies d\text{-OUT } f x = 0$ 
by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def vertex-def nn-integral-0-iff AE-count-space emeasure-count-space-eq-0 dest: currentD-outside)

lemma currentD-weight-in: current  $\Gamma h \implies h(x, y) \leq \text{weight } \Gamma y$ 
by (metis order-trans d-IN-ge-point currentD-weight-IN)

lemma currentD-weight-out: current  $\Gamma h \implies h(x, y) \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ 
by (metis order-trans d-OUT-ge-point currentD-weight-OUT)

lemma current-leI:
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
  assumes  $f: \text{current } \Gamma f$ 
  and  $le: \bigwedge e. g e \leq f e$ 
  and OUT-IN:  $\bigwedge x. x \notin A \Gamma \implies d\text{-OUT } g x \leq d\text{-IN } g x$ 
  shows current  $\Gamma g$ 
proof
  show  $d\text{-OUT } g x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$  for  $x$ 
  using d-OUT-mono[of  $g x f$ ,  $OF le$ ] currentD-weight-OUT[ $OF f$ ] by(rule order-trans)
  show  $d\text{-IN } g x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$  for  $x$ 
  using d-IN-mono[of  $g x f$ ,  $OF le$ ] currentD-weight-IN[ $OF f$ ] by(rule order-trans)
  show  $d\text{-IN } g a = 0$  if  $a \in A \Gamma$  for  $a$ 
    using d-IN-mono[of  $g a f$ ,  $OF le$ ] currentD-IN[ $OF f$  that] by auto
  show  $d\text{-OUT } g b = 0$  if  $b \in B \Gamma$  for  $b$ 
    using d-OUT-mono[of  $g b f$ ,  $OF le$ ] currentD-OUT[ $OF f$  that] by auto
  show  $g e = 0$  if  $e \notin \mathbf{E}$  for  $e$ 
    using currentD-outside'[ $OF f$  that] le[of  $e$ ] by simp
  qed(blast intro: OUT-IN)+

lemma current-weight-mono:
   $\llbracket \text{current } \Gamma f; \text{edge } \Gamma = \text{edge } \Gamma'; A \Gamma = A \Gamma'; B \Gamma = B \Gamma'; \bigwedge x. \text{weight } \Gamma x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma' x \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{current } \Gamma' f$ 
by(auto 4 3 elim!: current.cases intro!: current.intros intro: order-trans)

abbreviation (input) zero-current :: ' $v$  current'
where zero-current  $\equiv \lambda -. 0$ 

```

```

lemma SINK-0 [simp]: SINK zero-current = UNIV
by(auto simp add: SINK.simps)

lemma current-0 [simp]: current Γ zero-current
by(auto simp add: current.simps)

inductive web-flow :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme ⇒ 'v current ⇒ bool
  for Γ (structure) and f
where
  web-flow: [ current Γ f; ∀x. [ x ∈ V; x ∉ A Γ; x ∉ B Γ ] ⇒ KIR f x ] ⇒
  web-flow Γ f

lemma web-flowD-current: web-flow Γ f ⇒ current Γ f
by(erule web-flow.cases)

lemma web-flowD-KIR: [ web-flow Γ f; x ∉ A Γ; x ∉ B Γ ] ⇒ KIR f x
apply(cases x ∈ VΓ)
  apply(fastforce elim!: web-flow.cases)
  apply(auto simp add: vertex-def d-OUT-def d-IN-def elim!: web-flow.cases)
  apply(subst (1 2) currentD-outside[of - f]; auto)
done

```

6.1 Saturated and terminal vertices

```

inductive-set SAT :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme ⇒ 'v current ⇒ 'v set
  for Γ f
where
  A: x ∈ A Γ ⇒ x ∈ SAT Γ f
  | IN: d-IN f x ≥ weight Γ x ⇒ x ∈ SAT Γ f
    — We use ≥ weight such that SAT is monotone w.r.t. increasing currents

lemma SAT-0 [simp]: SAT Γ zero-current = A Γ ∪ {x. weight Γ x ≤ 0}
by(auto simp add: SAT.simps)

lemma SAT-mono:
  assumes ⋀e. f e ≤ g e
  shows SAT Γ f ⊆ SAT Γ g
proof
  fix x
  assume x ∈ SAT Γ f
  thus x ∈ SAT Γ g
  proof cases
    case IN
    also have d-IN f x ≤ d-IN g x using assms by(rule d-IN-mono)
    finally show ?thesis ..
  qed(rule SAT.A)
qed

```

lemma *SAT-Sup-upper*: $f \in Y \implies SAT \Gamma f \subseteq SAT \Gamma (Sup Y)$
by(rule *SAT-mono*)(rule *Sup-upper*[THEN *le-funD*])

lemma *currentD-SAT*:
assumes *current* Γf
shows $x \in SAT \Gamma f \longleftrightarrow x \in A \Gamma \vee d\text{-IN } f x = weight \Gamma x$
using *currentD-weight-IN*[OF *assms*, of x] **by**(auto simp add: *SAT.simps*)

abbreviation *terminal* :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme \Rightarrow 'v current \Rightarrow 'v set (*TER1*)
where *terminal* $\Gamma f \equiv SAT \Gamma f \cap SINK f$

6.2 Separation

inductive *separating-gen* :: ('v, 'more) graph-scheme \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow 'v set
 \Rightarrow bool
for $G A B S$
where *separating*:
 $(\bigwedge x y p. \llbracket x \in A; y \in B; path G x p y \rrbracket \implies (\exists z \in set p. z \in S) \vee x \in S)$
 $\implies separating-gen G A B S$

abbreviation *separating* :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow bool
where *separating* $\Gamma \equiv separating-gen \Gamma (A \Gamma) (B \Gamma)$

abbreviation *separating-network* :: ('v, 'more) network-scheme \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow bool
where *separating-network* $\Delta \equiv separating-gen \Delta \{source \Delta\} \{sink \Delta\}$

lemma *separating-networkI* [intro?]:
 $(\bigwedge p. path \Delta (source \Delta) p (sink \Delta) \implies (\exists z \in set p. z \in S) \vee source \Delta \in S)$
 $\implies separating-network \Delta S$
by(auto intro: *separating*)

lemma *separatingD*:
 $\bigwedge A B. \llbracket separating-gen G A B S; path G x p y; x \in A; y \in B \rrbracket \implies (\exists z \in set p. z \in S) \vee x \in S$
by(blast elim: *separating-gen.cases*)

lemma *separating-left* [simp]: $\bigwedge A B. A \subseteq A' \implies separating-gen \Gamma A B A'$
by(auto simp add: *separating-gen.simps*)

lemma *separating-weakening*:
 $\bigwedge A B. \llbracket separating-gen G A B S; S \subseteq S' \rrbracket \implies separating-gen G A B S'$
by(rule *separating*; drule (3) *separatingD*; blast)

definition *essential* :: ('v, 'more) graph-scheme \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow bool
where — Should we allow only simple paths here?
 $\bigwedge B. essential G B S x \longleftrightarrow (\exists p. \exists y \in B. path G x p y \wedge (x \neq y \longrightarrow (\forall z \in set p. z = x \vee z \notin S)))$

abbreviation *essential-web* :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow 'v set (*E1*)

where *essential-web* $\Gamma S \equiv \{x \in S. \text{essential } \Gamma (B \Gamma) S x\}$

lemma *essential-weight-update* [simp]:
 $\text{essential} (\text{weight-update } f G) = \text{essential } G$
by(simp add: essential-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma *not-essentialD*:
 $\bigwedge B. [\neg \text{essential } G B S x; \text{path } G x p y; y \in B] \implies x \neq y \wedge (\exists z \in \text{set } p. z \neq x \wedge z \in S)$
by(simp add: essential-def)

lemma *essentialE* [elim?, consumes 1, case-names *essential*, cases pred: *essential*]:
 $\bigwedge B. [\text{essential } G B S x; \bigwedge p. [\text{path } G x p y; y \in B; \bigwedge z. [x \neq y; z \in \text{set } p]] \implies z = x \vee z \notin S] \implies \text{thesis}$
by(auto simp add: essential-def)

lemma *essentialI* [intro?]:
 $\bigwedge B. [\text{path } G x p y; y \in B; \bigwedge z. [x \neq y; z \in \text{set } p]] \implies z = x \vee z \notin S \implies \text{essential } G B S x$
by(auto simp add: essential-def)

lemma *essential-vertex*: $\bigwedge B. [\text{essential } G B S x; x \notin B] \implies \text{vertex } G x$
by(auto elim!: essentialE simp add: vertex-def elim: rtrancl-path.cases)

lemma *essential-BI*: $\bigwedge B. x \in B \implies \text{essential } G B S x$
by(auto simp add: essential-def intro: rtrancl-path.base)

lemma *E-E* [elim?, consumes 1, case-names *E*, cases set: *essential-web*]:
fixes Γ (structure)
assumes $x \in \mathcal{E} S$
obtains $p y$ **where** $\text{path } \Gamma x p y y \in B \Gamma \bigwedge z. [x \neq y; z \in \text{set } p] \implies z = x \vee z \notin S$
using assms **by**(auto elim: essentialE)

lemma *essential-mono*: $\bigwedge B. [\text{essential } G B S x; S' \subseteq S] \implies \text{essential } G B S' x$
by(auto simp add: essential-def)

lemma *separating-essential*: — Lem. 3.4 (cf. Lem. 2.14 in [5])
fixes $G A B S$
assumes *separating-gen* $G A B S$
shows *separating-gen* $G A B \{x \in S. \text{essential } G B S x\}$ (**is** *separating-gen* - - - ?E)
proof
fix $x y p$
assume $x: x \in A$ **and** $y: y \in B$ **and** $p: \text{path } G x p y$
from *separatingD*[OF assms p x y] **have** $\exists z \in \text{set } (x \# p). z \in S$ **by** auto
from *split-list-last-prop*[OF this] **obtain** $ys z zs$ **where** *decomp*: $x \# p = ys @ z \# zs$
and $z: z \in S$ **and** *last*: $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } zs \implies z \notin S$ **by** auto

```

from decomp consider (empty) ys = [] x = z p = zs
| (Cons) ys' where ys = x # ys' p = ys' @ z # zs
  by(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)
then show ( $\exists z \in set p. z \in ?E \vee x \in ?E$ )
proof(cases)
  case empty
  hence  $x \in ?E$  using z p last y by(auto simp add: essential-def)
  thus ?thesis ..
next
  case (Cons ys')
    from p have path G z zs y unfolding Cons by(rule rtranc1-path-appendE)
    hence  $z \in ?E$  using z y last by(auto simp add: essential-def)
    thus ?thesis using Cons by auto
qed
qed

definition roofed-gen :: ('v, 'more) graph-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'v set
where roofed-def:  $\bigwedge B. roofed\text{-gen } G B S = \{x. \forall p. \forall y \in B. path G x p y \longrightarrow (\exists z \in set p. z \in S) \vee x \in S\}$ 

abbreviation roofed :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'v set ( $\langle RF_1 \rangle$ )
where roofed  $\Gamma \equiv$  roofed-gen  $\Gamma (B \Gamma)$ 

abbreviation roofed-network :: ('v, 'more) network-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'v set ( $\langle RF_{N_1}^1 \rangle$ )
where roofed-network  $\Delta \equiv$  roofed-gen  $\Delta \{sink \Delta\}$ 

lemma roofedI [intro?]:
 $\bigwedge B. (\bigwedge p y. [\![ path G x p y; y \in B ]\!] \implies (\exists z \in set p. z \in S) \vee x \in S) \implies x \in roofed\text{-gen } G B S$ 
by(auto simp add: roofed-def)

lemma not-roofedE: fixes B
  assumes  $x \notin roofed\text{-gen } G B S$ 
  obtains p y where path G x p y y  $\in B \wedge z. z \in set (x \# p) \implies z \notin S$ 
  using assms by(auto simp add: roofed-def)

lemma roofed-greater:  $\bigwedge B. S \subseteq roofed\text{-gen } G B S$ 
by(auto simp add: roofed-def)

lemma roofed-greaterI:  $\bigwedge B. x \in S \implies x \in roofed\text{-gen } G B S$ 
using roofed-greater[of S G] by blast

lemma roofed-mono:  $\bigwedge B. S \subseteq S' \implies roofed\text{-gen } G B S \subseteq roofed\text{-gen } G B S'$ 
by(fastforce simp add: roofed-def)

lemma in-roofed-mono:  $\bigwedge B. [\![ x \in roofed\text{-gen } G B S; S \subseteq S' ]\!] \implies x \in roofed\text{-gen } G B S'$ 
using roofed-mono[THEN subsetD] .

```

```

lemma roofedD:  $\bigwedge B. \llbracket x \in \text{roofed-gen } G B S; \text{path } G x p y; y \in B \rrbracket \implies (\exists z \in \text{set } p. z \in S) \vee x \in S$ 
unfolding roofed-def by blast

lemma separating-RF-A:
  fixes A B
  assumes separating-gen G A B X
  shows A  $\subseteq$  roofed-gen G B X
  by(rule subsetI roofedI)+(erule separatingD[OF assms])

lemma roofed-idem: fixes B shows roofed-gen G B (roofed-gen G B S) = roofed-gen G B S
proof(rule equalityI subsetI roofedI)+  

  fix x p y  

  assume x: x  $\in$  roofed-gen G B (roofed-gen G B S) and p: path G x p y and y: y  $\in$  B  

  from roofedD[OF x p y] obtain z where *: z  $\in$  set (x # p) and z: z  $\in$  roofed-gen G B S by auto  

  from split-list[OF *] obtain ys zs where split: x # p = ys @ z # zs by blast  

  with p have p': path G z zs y by(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv elim: rtrancI-path-appendE)  

  from roofedD[OF z p' y] split show ( $\exists z \in \text{set } p. z \in S$ )  $\vee$  x  $\in$  S  

  by(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)  

qed(rule roofed-mono roofed-greater)+

lemma in-roofed-mono':  $\bigwedge B. \llbracket x \in \text{roofed-gen } G B S; S \subseteq \text{roofed-gen } G B S' \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{roofed-gen } G B S'$ 
by(subst roofed-idem[symmetric])(erule in-roofed-mono)

lemma roofed-mono':  $\bigwedge B. S \subseteq \text{roofed-gen } G B S' \implies \text{roofed-gen } G B S \subseteq \text{roofed-gen } G B S'$ 
by(rule subsetI)(rule in-roofed-mono')

lemma roofed-idem-Un1: fixes B shows roofed-gen G B (roofed-gen G B S  $\cup$  T) = roofed-gen G B (S  $\cup$  T)
proof –
  have S  $\subseteq$  T  $\cup$  roofed-gen G B S
  by (metis (no-types) UnCI roofed-greater subsetCE subsetI)
  then have S  $\cup$  T  $\subseteq$  T  $\cup$  roofed-gen G B S  $\wedge$  T  $\cup$  roofed-gen G B S  $\subseteq$  roofed-gen G B (S  $\cup$  T)
  by (metis (no-types) Un-subset-iff Un-upper2 roofed-greater roofed-mono sup.commute)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types) roofed-idem roofed-mono subset-antisym sup.commute)
qed

lemma roofed-UN: fixes A B
  shows roofed-gen G B ( $\bigcup_{i \in A} \text{roofed-gen } G B (X i)$ ) = roofed-gen G B ( $\bigcup_{i \in A} X i$ ) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

```

```

proof(rule equalityI)
  show ?rhs  $\subseteq$  ?lhs by(rule roofed-mono)(blast intro: roofed-greaterI)
  show ?lhs  $\subseteq$  ?rhs by(rule roofed-mono')(blast intro: in-roofed-mono)
qed

lemma RF-essential: fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure) shows RF ( $\mathcal{E} S$ ) = RF  $S$ 
proof(intro set-eqI iffI)
  fix  $x$ 
  assume RF:  $x \in RF S$ 
  show  $x \in RF (\mathcal{E} S)$ 
  proof
    fix  $p\ y$ 
    assume  $p: path \Gamma x p\ y$  and  $y: y \in B\ \Gamma$ 
    from roofedD[OF RF this] have  $\exists z \in set (x \# p). z \in S$  by auto
    from split-list-last-prop[OF this] obtain  $ys\ z\ zs$  where decomp:  $x \# p = ys @ z \# zs$ 
    and  $z: z \in S$  and last:  $\bigwedge z. z \in set zs \implies z \notin S$  by auto
    from decomp consider (empty)  $ys = []$   $x = z$   $p = zs$ 
     $| (Cons)\ ys'$  where  $ys = x \# ys'$   $p = ys' @ z \# zs$ 
    by(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)
    then show  $(\exists z \in set p. z \in \mathcal{E} S) \vee x \in \mathcal{E} S$ 
  proof(cases)
    case empty
    hence  $x \in \mathcal{E} S$  using z p last y by(auto simp add: essential-def)
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case (Cons ys')
    from p have path  $\Gamma z\ zs\ y$  unfolding Cons by(rule rtrancl-path-appendE)
    hence  $z \in \mathcal{E} S$  using z y last by(auto simp add: essential-def)
    thus ?thesis using Cons by auto
  qed
  qed
qed(blast intro: in-roofed-mono)

lemma essentialE-RF:
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure) and  $B$ 
  assumes essential  $\Gamma\ B\ S\ x$ 
  obtains  $p\ y$  where path  $\Gamma x p\ y$   $y \in B$  distinct  $(x \# p) \wedge \bigwedge z. z \in set p \implies z \notin$  roofed-gen  $\Gamma B S$ 
  proof -
    from assms obtain  $p\ y$  where  $p: path \Gamma x p\ y$  and  $y: y \in B$ 
    and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. [x \neq y; z \in set p] \implies z = x \vee z \notin S$  by(rule essentialE)
    blast
    from p obtain  $p'$  where  $p': path \Gamma x p'\ y$  and distinct: distinct  $(x \# p')$ 
    and subset:  $set p' \subseteq set p$  by(rule rtrancl-path-distinct)
    { fix z
      assume  $z: z \in set p'$ 
      hence  $y \in set p'$  using rtrancl-path-last[OF p', symmetric] p'
      by(auto elim: rtrancl-path.cases intro: last-in-set)
    }

```

with distinct z subset have $\text{neq}: x \neq y$ **and** $z \in \text{set } p$ **by**(auto)
from bypass[*OF this*] z distinct have $z \notin S$ **by** auto

have $z \notin \text{roofed-gen } \Gamma B S$

proof

assume $z': z \in \text{roofed-gen } \Gamma B S$

from split-list[*OF z*] obtain ys zs where $\text{decomp}: p' = ys @ z \# zs$ **by** blast
with p' **have** path Γz zs y **by**(auto elim: rtrancl-path-appendE)

from roofedD[*OF z' this y*] $\langle z \notin S \rangle$ obtain z' where $z' \in \text{set } zs$ $z' \in S$ **by**

auto

with bypass[*of z'*] neq decomp subset distinct show False **by** auto

qed }

with $p' y$ distinct show thesis ..

qed

lemma \mathcal{E} -E-RF:

fixes Γ (**structure**)

assumes $x \in \mathcal{E} S$

obtains $p y$ where path Γx p y $y \in B \Gamma$ distinct $(x \# p) \wedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin RF S$

using assms **by**(auto elim: essentialE-RF)

lemma in-roofed-essentialD:

fixes Γ (**structure**)

assumes $RF: x \in RF S$

and ess: essential $\Gamma (B \Gamma) S x$

shows $x \in S$

proof –

from ess obtain $p y$ where $p: \text{path } \Gamma x$ p y **and** $y: y \in B \Gamma$ **and** distinct $(x \# p)$

and bypass: $\wedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin S$ **by**(rule essentialE-RF)(auto intro: roofed-greaterI)

from roofedD[*OF RF p y*] bypass show $x \in S$ **by** auto

qed

lemma separating-RF: fixes Γ (**structure**) shows separating $\Gamma (RF S) \longleftrightarrow$ separating ΓS

proof

assume sep: separating $\Gamma (RF S)$

show separating ΓS

proof

fix x y p

assume $p: \text{path } \Gamma x$ p y **and** $x: x \in A \Gamma$ **and** $y: y \in B \Gamma$

from separatingD[*OF sep p x y*] have $\exists z \in \text{set } (x \# p). z \in RF S$ **by** auto

from split-list-last-prop[*OF this*] obtain ys zs where split: $x \# p = ys @ z$

$\# zs$

and $z: z \in RF S$ **and** bypass: $\wedge z'. z' \in \text{set } zs \implies z' \notin RF S$ **by** auto

from p split **have** path Γz zs y **by**(cases ys)(auto elim: rtrancl-path-appendE)

hence essential $\Gamma (B \Gamma) S z$ using y

```

by(rule essentialI)(auto dest: bypass intro: roofed-greaterI)
with z have z ∈ S by(rule in-roofed-essentialD)
with split show (Ǝz∈set p. z ∈ S) ∨ x ∈ S by(cases ys)auto
qed
qed(blast intro: roofed-greaterI separating-weakening)

definition roofed-circ :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme ⇒ 'v set ⇒ 'v set (‘RF°₁’)
where roofed-circ Γ S = roofed Γ S – E_Γ S

lemma roofed-circI: fixes Γ (structure) shows
  [x ∈ RF T; x ∈ T ⇒ ¬ essential Γ (B Γ) T x] ⇒ x ∈ RF° T
by(simp add: roofed-circ-def)

lemma roofed-circE:
fixes Γ (structure)
assumes x ∈ RF° T
obtains x ∈ RF T ¬ essential Γ (B Γ) T x
using assms by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def intro: in-roofed-essentialD)

lemma E-E: fixes Γ (structure) shows E (E S) = E S
by(auto intro: essential-mono)

lemma roofed-circ-essential: fixes Γ (structure) shows RF° (E S) = RF° S
unfolding roofed-circ-def RF-essential E-E ..

lemma essential-RF: fixes B
shows essential G B (roofed-gen G B S) = essential G B S (is essential -- ?RF
= -)
proof(intro ext iffI)
show essential G B S x if essential G B ?RF x for x using that
  by(rule essential-mono)(blast intro: roofed-greaterI)
show essential G B ?RF x if essential G B S x for x
  using that by(rule essentialE-RF)(erule (1) essentialI, blast)
qed

lemma E-RF: fixes Γ (structure) shows E (RF S) = E S
by(auto dest: in-roofed-essentialD simp add: essential-RF intro: roofed-greaterI)

lemma essential-E: fixes Γ (structure) shows essential Γ (B Γ) (E S) = essential
Γ (B Γ) S
by(subst essential-RF[symmetric])(simp only: RF-essential essential-RF)

lemma RF-in-B: fixes Γ (structure) shows x ∈ B Γ ⇒ x ∈ RF S ↔ x ∈ S
by(auto intro: roofed-greaterI dest: roofedD[OF - rtrancl-path.base])

lemma RF-circ-edge-forward:
fixes Γ (structure)
assumes x: x ∈ RF° S
and edge: edge Γ x y

```

```

shows  $y \in RF S$ 
proof
  fix  $p z$ 
  assume  $p: path \Gamma y p z$  and  $z: z \in B \Gamma$ 
  from  $x$  have  $rf: x \in RF S$  and  $ness: x \notin \mathcal{E} S$  by(auto elim: roofed-circE)
  show  $(\exists z \in set p. z \in S) \vee y \in S$ 
  proof(cases  $\exists z' \in set (y \# p). z' \in S$ )
    case False
    from edge p have  $p': path \Gamma x (y \# p) z ..$ 
    from roofedD[OF rf this z] False have  $x \in S$  by auto
    moreover have essential  $\Gamma (B \Gamma) S x$  using  $p' False z$  by(auto intro!: essentialI)
    ultimately have  $x \in \mathcal{E} S$  by simp
    with ness show ?thesis by contradiction
  qed auto
qed

```

6.3 Waves

inductive $wave :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme \Rightarrow 'v current \Rightarrow bool$
for Γ (**structure**) **and** f

where

$wave:$
 $\llbracket separating \Gamma (TER f);$
 $\quad \wedge x. x \notin RF (TER f) \implies d\text{-OUT } f x = 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies wave \Gamma f$

lemma $wave-0$ [*simp*]: $wave \Gamma zero-current$
by *rule simp-all*

lemma $waveD-separating$: $wave \Gamma f \implies separating \Gamma (TER_\Gamma f)$
by(*simp add: wave.simps*)

lemma $waveD-OUT$: $\llbracket wave \Gamma f; x \notin RF_\Gamma (TER_\Gamma f) \rrbracket \implies d\text{-OUT } f x = 0$
by(*simp add: wave.simps*)

lemma $wave-A-in-RF$: **fixes** Γ (**structure**)
shows $\llbracket wave \Gamma f; x \in A \Gamma \rrbracket \implies x \in RF (TER f)$
by(*auto intro!: roofedI dest!: waveD-separating separatingD*)

lemma $wave-not-RF-IN-zero$:
fixes Γ (**structure**)
assumes $f: current \Gamma f$
and $w: wave \Gamma f$
and $x: x \notin RF (TER f)$
shows $d\text{-IN } f x = 0$
proof –
from x **obtain** $p z$ **where** $z: z \in B \Gamma$ **and** $p: path \Gamma x p z$
and **bypass**: $\bigwedge z. z \in set p \implies z \notin TER f x \notin TER f$

```

    by(clarsimp simp add: roofed-def)
have f (y, x) = 0 for y
proof(cases edge Γ y x)
  case edge: True
  have d-OUT f y = 0
  proof(cases y ∈ TER f)
    case False
    with z p bypass edge have y ∉ RF (TER f)
      by(auto simp add: roofed-def intro: rtrancl-path.step intro!: exI rev-bexI)
      thus d-OUT f y = 0 by(rule waveD-OUT[OF w])
    qed(auto simp add: SINK.simps)
    moreover have f (y, x) ≤ d-OUT f y by (rule d-OUT-ge-point)
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed(simp add: currentD-outside[OF f])
  then show d-IN f x = 0 unfolding d-IN-def
    by(simp add: nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0)
qed

lemma current-Sup:
  fixes Γ (structure)
  assumes chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain (≤) Y
  and Y: Y ≠ {}
  and current: ⋀f. f ∈ Y ⟹ current Γ f
  and countable [simp]: countable (support-flow (Sup Y))
  shows current Γ (Sup Y)
proof(rule, goal-cases)
  case (1 x)
  have d-OUT (Sup Y) x = (SUP f∈Y. d-OUT f x) using chain Y by(simp add: d-OUT-Sup)
  also have ... ≤ weight Γ x using 1
    by(intro SUP-least)(auto dest!: current currentD-weight-OUT)
  finally show ?case .
next
  case (2 x)
  have d-IN (Sup Y) x = (SUP f∈Y. d-IN f x) using chain Y by(simp add: d-IN-Sup)
  also have ... ≤ weight Γ x using 2
    by(intro SUP-least)(auto dest!: current currentD-weight-IN)
  finally show ?case .
next
  case (3 x)
  have d-OUT (Sup Y) x = (SUP f∈Y. d-OUT f x) using chain Y by(simp add: d-OUT-Sup)
  also have ... ≤ (SUP f∈Y. d-IN f x) using 3
    by(intro SUP-mono)(auto dest: current currentD-OUT-IN)
  also have ... = d-IN (Sup Y) x using chain Y by(simp add: d-IN-Sup)
  finally show ?case .
next
  case (4 a)

```

```

have d-IN (Sup Y) a = (SUP f ∈ Y. d-IN f a) using chain Y by(simp add:
d-IN-Sup)
also have ... = (SUP f ∈ Y. 0) using 4 by(intro SUP-cong)(auto dest!: current
currentD-IN)
also have ... = 0 using Y by simp
finally show ?case .
next
case (5 b)
have d-OUT (Sup Y) b = (SUP f ∈ Y. d-OUT f b) using chain Y by(simp add:
d-OUT-Sup)
also have ... = (SUP f ∈ Y. 0) using 5 by(intro SUP-cong)(auto dest!: current
currentD-OUT)
also have ... = 0 using Y by simp
finally show ?case .
next
fix e
assume e ∉ E
from currentD-outside'[OF current this] have f e = 0 if f ∈ Y for f using that
by simp
hence Sup Y e = (SUP -∈ Y. 0) by(auto intro: SUP-cong)
then show Sup Y e = 0 using Y by(simp)
qed

lemma wave-lub: — Lemma 4.3
fixes Γ (structure)
assumes chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain (≤) Y
and Y: Y ≠ {}
and wave: ⋀f. f ∈ Y ==> wave Γ f
and countable [simp]: countable (support-flow (Sup Y))
shows wave Γ (Sup Y)

proof
{ fix x y p
assume p: path Γ x p y and y: y ∈ B Γ
define P where P = {x} ∪ set p

let ?f = λf. SINK f ∩ P
have Complete-Partial-Order.chain (⊇) (?f ` Y) using chain
  by(rule chain-imageI)(auto dest: SINK-mono')
moreover have ... ⊆ Pow P by auto
hence finite (?f ` Y) by(rule finite-subset)(simp add: P-def)
ultimately have (⋂(?f ` Y)) ∈ ?f ` Y
  by(rule ccpo.in-chain-finite[OF complete-lattice-ccpo-dual])(simp add: Y)
then obtain f where f: f ∈ Y and eq: ⋂(?f ` Y) = ?f f by clarify
  hence *: (⋂f ∈ Y. SINK f) ∩ P = SINK f ∩ P by(clarsimp simp add:
prod-lub-def Y) +
{ fix g
assume g ∈ Y f ≤ g
with * have (⋂f ∈ Y. SINK f) ∩ P = SINK g ∩ P by(blast dest: SINK-mono')
  then have TER (Sup Y) ∩ P ⊇ TER g ∩ P
}
}

```

```

    using SAT-Sup-upper[ $\langle g \in Y \rangle$ , of  $\Gamma$ ] SINK-Sup[ $OF$  chain  $Y$  countable]
by blast }
with  $f$  have  $\exists f \in Y. \forall g \in Y. g \geq f \rightarrow TER g \cap P \subseteq TER (Sup Y) \cap P$  by
blast }
note subset = this

show separating  $\Gamma (TER (Sup Y))$ 
proof
fix  $x y p$ 
assume  $*: path \Gamma x p y y \in B \Gamma$  and  $x \in A \Gamma$ 
let  $?P = \{x\} \cup set p$ 
from subset[ $OF *$ ] obtain  $f$  where  $f: f \in Y$ 
and subset:  $TER f \cap ?P \subseteq TER (Sup Y) \cap ?P$  by blast
from wave[ $OF f$ ] have  $TER f \cap ?P \neq \{\}$  using *  $\langle x \in A \Gamma \rangle$ 
by(auto simp add: wave.simps dest: separatingD)
with subset show  $(\exists z \in set p. z \in TER (Sup Y)) \vee x \in TER (Sup Y)$  by blast
qed

fix  $x$ 
assume  $x \notin RF (TER (Sup Y))$ 
then obtain  $p y$  where  $y: y \in B \Gamma$ 
and  $p: path \Gamma x p y$ 
and ter:  $TER (Sup Y) \cap (\{x\} \cup set p) = \{\}$  by(auto simp add: roofed-def)
let  $?P = \{x\} \cup set p$ 
from subset[ $OF p y$ ] obtain  $f$  where  $f: f \in Y$ 
and subset:  $\bigwedge g. [g \in Y; f \leq g] \implies TER g \cap ?P \subseteq TER (Sup Y) \cap ?P$  by
blast

{ fix  $g$ 
assume  $g: g \in Y$ 
with chain  $f$  have  $f \leq g \vee g \leq f$  by(rule chainD)
hence d-OUT  $g x = 0$ 
proof
assume  $f \leq g$ 
from subset[ $OF g$  this] ter have  $TER g \cap ?P = \{\}$  by blast
with  $p y$  have  $x \notin RF (TER g)$  by(auto simp add: roofed-def)
with wave[ $OF g$ ] show ?thesis by(blast elim: wave.cases)
next
assume  $g \leq f$ 
from subset ter  $f$  have  $TER f \cap ?P = \{\}$  by blast
with  $y p$  have  $x \notin RF (TER f)$  by(auto simp add: roofed-def)
with wave[ $OF f$ ] have d-OUT  $f x = 0$  by(blast elim: wave.cases)
moreover have d-OUT  $g x \leq d-OUT f x$  using  $\langle g \leq f \rangle$  [THEN le-funD]
by(rule d-OUT-mono)
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed }
thus d-OUT  $(Sup Y) x = 0$  using chain  $Y$  by(simp add: d-OUT-Sup)
qed

```

```

lemma ex-maximal-wave: — Corollary 4.4
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
  assumes countable: countable E
  shows  $\exists f. \text{current } \Gamma f \wedge \text{wave } \Gamma f \wedge (\forall w. \text{current } \Gamma w \wedge \text{wave } \Gamma w \wedge f \leq w \rightarrow f = w)$ 
  proof —
    define Field-r where Field-r = { $f. \text{current } \Gamma f \wedge \text{wave } \Gamma f\}$ 
    define r where r = {( $f, g$ ).  $f \in \text{Field-r} \wedge g \in \text{Field-r} \wedge f \leq g\}$ 
    have Field-r: Field r = Field-r by(auto simp add: Field-def r-def)

    have Partial-order r unfolding order-on-defs
      by(auto intro!: refl-onI transI antisymI simp add: Field-r r-def Field-def)
    hence  $\exists m \in \text{Field r}. \forall a \in \text{Field r}. (m, a) \in r \rightarrow a = m$ 
    proof(rule Zorns-po-lemma)
      fix Y
      assume Y ∈ Chains r
      hence Y: Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\leq$ ) Y
        and w:  $\bigwedge f. f \in Y \implies \text{wave } \Gamma f$ 
        and f:  $\bigwedge f. f \in Y \implies \text{current } \Gamma f$ 
        by(auto simp add: Chains-def r-def chain-def Field-r-def)
      show  $\exists w \in \text{Field r}. \forall f \in Y. (f, w) \in r$ 
      proof(cases Y = {})
        case True
        have zero-current ∈ Field r by(simp add: Field-r Field-r-def)
        with True show ?thesis by blast
      next
        case False
        have support-flow (Sup Y) ⊆ E by(auto simp add: support-flow-Sup elim!: support-flow.cases dest!: f dest: currentD-outside)
        hence c: countable (support-flow (Sup Y)) using countable by(rule countable-subset)
        with Y False f w have Sup Y ∈ Field r unfolding Field-r Field-r-def
          by(blast intro: wave-lub current-Sup)
        moreover then have (f, Sup Y) ∈ r if f ∈ Y for f using w[OF that] f[OF that] that unfolding Field-r
          by(auto simp add: r-def Field-r-def intro: Sup-upper)
        ultimately show ?thesis by blast
      qed
      qed
      thus ?thesis by(simp add: Field-r Field-r-def)(auto simp add: r-def Field-r-def)
    qed

lemma essential-leI:
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
  assumes g: current  $\Gamma g$  and w: wave  $\Gamma g$ 
  and le:  $\bigwedge e. f e \leq g e$ 
  and x:  $x \in \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } g)$ 
  shows essential  $\Gamma(B \Gamma)(\text{TER } f) x$ 
  proof —

```

```

from x obtain p y where p: path  $\Gamma$  x p y and y:  $y \in B \Gamma$  and distinct: distinct
(x # p)
  and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. z \in set p \implies z \notin RF(TER g)$  by(rule E-E-RF) blast
  show ?thesis using p y
proof
  fix z
  assume z ∈ set p
  hence z:  $z \notin RF(TER g)$  by(auto dest: bypass)
  with w have OUT: d-OUT g z = 0 and IN: d-IN g z = 0 by(rule waveD-OUT
wave-not-RF-IN-zero[OF g])+
  with z have z ∉ A  $\Gamma$  weight  $\Gamma$  z > 0 by(auto intro!: roofed-greaterI simp add:
SAT.simps SINK.simps)
  moreover from IN d-IN-mono[of f z g, OF le] have d-IN f z ≤ 0 by(simp)
  ultimately have z ∉ TER f by(auto simp add: SAT.simps)
  then show z = x ∨ z ∉ TER f by simp
qed
qed

lemma essential-eq-leI:
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
  assumes g: current  $\Gamma$  g and w: wave  $\Gamma$  g
  and le:  $\bigwedge e. f e \leq g e$ 
  and subset:  $\mathcal{E}(TER g) \subseteq TER f$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{E}(TER f) = \mathcal{E}(TER g)$ 
proof
  show subset:  $\mathcal{E}(TER g) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(TER f)$ 
  proof
    fix x
    assume x:  $x \in \mathcal{E}(TER g)$ 
    hence x ∈ TER f using subset by blast
    moreover have essential  $\Gamma(B \Gamma)(TER f) x$  using g w le x by(rule essential-leI)
    ultimately show x ∈  $\mathcal{E}(TER f)$  by simp
  qed

  show ... ⊆  $\mathcal{E}(TER g)$ 
  proof
    fix x
    assume x:  $x \in \mathcal{E}(TER f)$ 
    hence x ∈ TER f by auto
    hence x ∈ RF(TER g)
    proof(rule contrapos-pp)
      assume x:  $x \notin RF(TER g)$ 
      with w have OUT: d-OUT g x = 0 and IN: d-IN g x = 0 by(rule waveD-OUT
wave-not-RF-IN-zero[OF g])+
      with x have x ∉ A  $\Gamma$  weight  $\Gamma$  x > 0 by(auto intro!: roofed-greaterI simp
add: SAT.simps SINK.simps)
      moreover from IN d-IN-mono[of f x g, OF le] have d-IN f x ≤ 0 by(simp)
      ultimately show x ∉ TER f by(auto simp add: SAT.simps)
    qed
  qed

```

```

qed
moreover have  $x \notin RF^\circ (TER g)$ 
proof
  assume  $x \in RF^\circ (TER g)$ 
  hence  $RF: x \in RF (\mathcal{E} (TER g))$  and  $\text{not-}E: x \notin \mathcal{E} (TER g)$ 
    unfolding  $RF\text{-essential}$  by(simp-all add: roofed-circ-def)
    from  $x$  obtain  $p y$  where  $p: path \Gamma x p y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$  and distinct:
      distinct ( $x \# p$ )
      and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. z \in set p \implies z \notin RF (TER f)$  by(rule E-E-RF) blast
      from roofedD[OF RF p y] not-E obtain  $z$  where  $z \in set p z \in \mathcal{E} (TER g)$ 
    by blast
    with subset bypass[of z] show False by(auto intro: roofed-greaterI)
  qed
  ultimately show  $x \in \mathcal{E} (TER g)$  by(simp add: roofed-circ-def)
qed
qed

```

6.4 Hindrances and looseness

```

inductive hindrance-by :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v current  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for  $\Gamma$  (structure) and  $f$  and  $\varepsilon$ 
where
  hindrance-by:
     $\llbracket a \in A \Gamma; a \notin \mathcal{E} (TER f); d\text{-}OUT f a < weight \Gamma a; \varepsilon < weight \Gamma a - d\text{-}OUT f a \rrbracket \implies \text{hindrance-by } \Gamma f \varepsilon$ 

inductive hindrance :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v current  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for  $\Gamma$  (structure) and  $f$ 
where
  hindrance:
     $\llbracket a \in A \Gamma; a \notin \mathcal{E} (TER f); d\text{-}OUT f a < weight \Gamma a \rrbracket \implies \text{hindrance } \Gamma f$ 

inductive hindered :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for  $\Gamma$  (structure)
where hindered:  $\llbracket \text{hindrance } \Gamma f; \text{current } \Gamma f; \text{wave } \Gamma f \rrbracket \implies \text{hindered } \Gamma$ 

inductive hindered-by :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for  $\Gamma$  (structure) and  $\varepsilon$ 
where hindered-by:  $\llbracket \text{hindrance-by } \Gamma f \varepsilon; \text{current } \Gamma f; \text{wave } \Gamma f \rrbracket \implies \text{hindered-by } \Gamma \varepsilon$ 

lemma hindrance-into-hindrance-by:
  assumes hindrance  $\Gamma f$ 
  shows  $\exists \varepsilon > 0. \text{hindrance-by } \Gamma f \varepsilon$ 
using assms
proof cases
  case (hindrance a)
  let ? $\varepsilon = \text{if weight } \Gamma a = \top \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } (\text{weight } \Gamma a - d\text{-}OUT f a) / 2$ 
  from ‹d-OUT f a < weight \Gamma a› have weight  $\Gamma a - d\text{-}OUT f a > 0$  weight  $\Gamma a$ 

```

```

 $\neq \top \implies \text{weight } \Gamma a - d\text{-OUT } f a < \top$ 
  by(simp-all add: diff-gr0-ennreal less-top diff-less-top-ennreal)
  from ennreal-mult-strict-left-mono[of 1 2, OF - this]
  have 0 < ? $\varepsilon$  and ? $\varepsilon$  < weight  $\Gamma a - d\text{-OUT } f a$  using ‹d-OUT  $f a < \text{weight } \Gamma a\Gamma f \varepsilon \implies \text{hindrance } \Gamma f$ 
by(blast elim: hindrance-by.cases intro: hindrance.intros)

lemma hindrance-conv-hindrance-by: hindrance  $\Gamma f \longleftrightarrow (\exists \varepsilon > 0. \text{ hindrance-by } \Gamma f \varepsilon)$ 
by(blast intro: hindrance-into-hindrance-by hindrance-by-into-hindrance)

lemma hindered-into-hindered-by: hindered  $\Gamma \implies \exists \varepsilon > 0. \text{ hindered-by } \Gamma \varepsilon$ 
by(blast intro: hindered-by.intros elim: hindered.cases dest: hindrance-into-hindrance-by)

lemma hindered-by-into-hindered: hindered-by  $\Gamma \varepsilon \implies \text{hindered } \Gamma$ 
by(blast elim: hindered-by.cases intro: hindered.intros dest: hindrance-by-into-hindrance)

lemma hindered-conv-hindered-by: hindered  $\Gamma \longleftrightarrow (\exists \varepsilon > 0. \text{ hindered-by } \Gamma \varepsilon)$ 
by(blast intro: hindered-into-hindered-by hindered-by-into-hindered)

inductive loose :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for  $\Gamma$ 
  where
    loose:  $\llbracket \bigwedge f. \llbracket \text{current } \Gamma f; \text{wave } \Gamma f \rrbracket \implies f = \text{zero-current}; \neg \text{hindrance } \Gamma \text{ zero-current} \rrbracket \implies \text{loose } \Gamma$ 
       $\implies \text{loose } \Gamma$ 

lemma looseD-hindrance: loose  $\Gamma \implies \neg \text{hindrance } \Gamma \text{ zero-current}$ 
by(simp add: loose.simps)

lemma looseD-wave:
   $\llbracket \text{loose } \Gamma; \text{current } \Gamma f; \text{wave } \Gamma f \rrbracket \implies f = \text{zero-current}$ 
by(simp add: loose.simps)

lemma loose-unhindered:
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
  assumes loose  $\Gamma$ 
  shows  $\neg \text{hindered } \Gamma$ 
apply auto
  apply(erule hindered.cases)
apply(frule (1) looseD-wave[OF assms])
apply simp
using looseD-hindrance[OF assms]
by simp

```

```

context
  fixes  $\Gamma \Gamma' :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme$ 
  assumes [simp]:  $\text{edge } \Gamma = \text{edge } \Gamma' \ A \Gamma = A \Gamma' \ B \Gamma = B \Gamma'$ 
  and  $\text{weight-eq}: \bigwedge x. x \notin A \Gamma' \implies \text{weight } \Gamma x = \text{weight } \Gamma' x$ 
  and  $\text{weight-le}: \bigwedge a. a \in A \Gamma' \implies \text{weight } \Gamma a \geq \text{weight } \Gamma' a$ 
begin

private lemma essential-eq:  $\text{essential } \Gamma = \text{essential } \Gamma'$ 
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff essential-def)

qualified lemma TER-eq:  $\text{TER}_{\Gamma} f = \text{TER}_{\Gamma'} f$ 
apply(auto simp add: SINK.simps SAT.simps)
apply(erule contrapos-np; drule weight-eq; simp) +
done

qualified lemma separating-eq:  $\text{separating-gen } \Gamma = \text{separating-gen } \Gamma'$ 
by(intro ext iffI; rule separating-gen.intros; drule separatingD; simp)

qualified lemma roofed-eq:  $\bigwedge B. \text{roofed-gen } \Gamma B S = \text{roofed-gen } \Gamma' B S$ 
by(simp add: roofed-def)

lemma wave-eq-web: — Observation 4.6
   $\text{wave } \Gamma f \longleftrightarrow \text{wave } \Gamma' f$ 
by(simp add: wave.simps separating-eq TER-eq roofed-eq)

lemma current-mono-web:  $\text{current } \Gamma' f \implies \text{current } \Gamma f$ 
apply(rule current, simp-all add: currentD-OUT-IN currentD-IN currentD-OUT
currentD-outside')
subgoal for  $x$  by(cases  $x \in A \Gamma')$ (auto dest!: weight-eq weight-le dest: currentD-weight-OUT
intro: order-trans)
subgoal for  $x$  by(cases  $x \in A \Gamma')$ (auto dest!: weight-eq weight-le dest: currentD-weight-IN
intro: order-trans)
done

lemma hindrance-mono-web:  $\text{hindrance } \Gamma' f \implies \text{hindrance } \Gamma f$ 
apply(erule hindrance.cases)
apply(rule hindrance)
  apply simp
  apply(unfold TER-eq, simp add: essential-eq)
  apply(auto dest!: weight-le)
done

lemma hindered-mono-web:  $\text{hindered } \Gamma' \implies \text{hindered } \Gamma$ 
apply(erule hindered.cases)
apply(rule hindered.intros)
  apply(erule hindrance-mono-web)
  apply(erule current-mono-web)
  apply(simp add: wave-eq-web)

```

done

end

6.5 Linkage

The following definition of orthogonality is stronger than the original definition 3.5 in [2] in that the outflow from any A -vertices in the set must saturate the vertex; $S \subseteq SAT \Gamma f$ is not enough.

With the original definition of orthogonal current, the reduction from networks to webs fails because the induced flow need not saturate edges going out of the source. Consider the network with three nodes s , x , and t and edges (s, x) and (x, t) with capacity 1. Then, the corresponding web has the vertices (s, x) and (x, t) and one edge from (s, x) to (x, t) . Clearly, the zero current *zero-current* is a web-flow and TER *zero-current* = $\{(s, x)\}$, which is essential. Moreover, *zero-current* and $\{(s, x)\}$ are orthogonal because *zero-current* trivially saturates (s, x) as this is a vertex in A .

inductive *orthogonal-current* :: ('*v*, '*more*) *web-scheme* \Rightarrow '*v* *current* \Rightarrow '*v* *set* \Rightarrow *bool*

for Γ (**structure**) **and** $f S$

where *orthogonal-current*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\forall x. [\exists x \in S; x \notin A \Gamma] \Rightarrow weight \Gamma x \leq d-IN f x; \\ & \quad \forall x. [\exists x \in S; x \in A \Gamma; x \notin B \Gamma] \Rightarrow d-OUT f x = weight \Gamma x; \\ & \quad \forall u v. [\forall v \in RF S; u \notin RF^\circ S] \Rightarrow f(u, v) = 0] \\ & \Rightarrow \text{orthogonal-current } \Gamma f S \end{aligned}$$

lemma *orthogonal-currentD-SAT*: $[\text{orthogonal-current } \Gamma f S; x \in S] \Rightarrow x \in SAT \Gamma f$

by(*auto elim!*: *orthogonal-current.cases intro*: *SAT.intros*)

lemma *orthogonal-currentD-A*: $[\text{orthogonal-current } \Gamma f S; x \in S; x \in A \Gamma; x \notin B \Gamma] \Rightarrow d-OUT f x = weight \Gamma x$

by(*auto elim*: *orthogonal-current.cases*)

lemma *orthogonal-currentD-in*: $[\text{orthogonal-current } \Gamma f S; v \in RF_\Gamma S; u \notin RF^\circ_\Gamma S] \Rightarrow f(u, v) = 0$

by(*auto elim*: *orthogonal-current.cases*)

inductive *linkage* :: ('*v*, '*more*) *web-scheme* \Rightarrow '*v* *current* \Rightarrow *bool*

for Γf

where — Omit the condition *web-flow*

linkage: $(\forall x. x \in A \Gamma \Rightarrow d-OUT f x = weight \Gamma x) \Rightarrow linkage \Gamma f$

lemma *linkageD*: $[\text{linkage } \Gamma f; x \in A \Gamma] \Rightarrow d-OUT f x = weight \Gamma x$

by(*rule* *linkage.cases*)

abbreviation *linkable* :: ('*v*, '*more*) *web-scheme* \Rightarrow *bool*

where $\text{linkable } \Gamma \equiv \exists f. \text{web-flow } \Gamma f \wedge \text{linkage } \Gamma f$

6.6 Trimming

context

fixes $\Gamma :: ('v, 'more) \text{ web-scheme } (\mathbf{structure})$
and $f :: 'v \text{ current}$

begin

inductive $\text{trimming} :: 'v \text{ current} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
for g

where

trimming:

— omits the condition that f is a wave

$\llbracket \text{current } \Gamma g; \text{wave } \Gamma g; g \leq f; \bigwedge x. \llbracket x \in RF^\circ(\text{TER } f); x \notin A \Gamma \rrbracket \implies KIR g x; \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } g) - A \Gamma = \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f) - A \Gamma \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{trimming } g$

lemma assumes $\text{trimming } g$

shows $\text{trimmingD-current: current } \Gamma g$

and $\text{trimmingD-wave: wave } \Gamma g$

and $\text{trimmingD-le: } \bigwedge e. g e \leq f e$

and $\text{trimmingD-KIR: } \llbracket x \in RF^\circ(\text{TER } f); x \notin A \Gamma \rrbracket \implies KIR g x$

and $\text{trimmingD-E: } \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } g) - A \Gamma = \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f) - A \Gamma$

using assms by(blast elim: trimming.cases dest: le-funD)+

lemma $\text{ex-trimming: — Lemma 4.8}$

assumes $f: \text{current } \Gamma f$

and $w: \text{wave } \Gamma f$

and $\text{countable: countable } \mathbf{E}$

and $\text{weight-finite: } \bigwedge x. \text{weight } \Gamma x \neq \top$

shows $\exists g. \text{trimming } g$

proof —

define F **where** $F = \{g. \text{current } \Gamma g \wedge \text{wave } \Gamma g \wedge g \leq f \wedge \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } g) = \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f)\}$

define leq where $\text{leq} = \text{restrict-rel } F \{(g, g'). g' \leq g\}$

have $\text{in-}F \text{ [simp]: } g \in F \longleftrightarrow \text{current } \Gamma g \wedge \text{wave } \Gamma g \wedge (\forall e. g e \leq f e) \wedge \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } g) = \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f)$ **for** g

by(simp add: F-def le-fun-def)

have $f\text{-finite [simp]: } f e \neq \top$ **for** e

proof(cases e)

case $(\text{Pair } x y)$

have $f(x, y) \leq d\text{-IN } f y$ **by** (rule d-IN-ge-point)

also have $\dots \leq \text{weight } \Gamma y$ **by**(rule currentD-weight-IN[OF f])

also have $\dots < \top$ **by**(simp add: weight-finite less-top[symmetric])

finally show ?thesis **using** Pair **by** simp

qed

```

have chainD: Inf M ∈ F if M: M ∈ Chains leq and nempty: M ≠ {} for M
proof -
  from nempty obtain g0 where g0: g0 ∈ M by auto
  have g0-le-f: g0 e ≤ f e and g: current Γ g0 and w0: wave Γ g0 for e
    using Chains-FieldD[OF M g0] by(cases e, auto simp add: leq-def)

  have finite-OUT: d-OUT f x ≠ ⊤ for x using weight-finite[of x]
    by(rule neq-top-trans)(rule currentD-weight-OUT[OF f])
  have finite-IN: d-IN f x ≠ ⊤ for x using weight-finite[of x]
    by(rule neq-top-trans)(rule currentD-weight-IN[OF f])

  from M have M ∈ Chains {(g, g'). g' ≤ g}
    by(rule mono-Chains[THEN subsetD, rotated])(auto simp add: leq-def in-restrict-rel-iff)
  then have chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain (≥) M by(rule Chains-into-chain)
  hence chain': Complete-Partial-Order.chain (≤) M by(simp add: chain-dual)

  have countable': countable (support-flow f)
    using current-support-flow[OF f] by(rule countable-subset)(rule countable)

  have OUT-M: d-OUT (Inf M) x = (INF g∈M. d-OUT g x) for x using chain'
  nempty countable' - finite-OUT
    by(rule d-OUT-Inf)(auto dest!: Chains-FieldD[OF M] simp add: leq-def)
  have IN-M: d-IN (Inf M) x = (INF g∈M. d-IN g x) for x using chain' nempty
  countable' - finite-IN
    by(rule d-IN-Inf)(auto dest!: Chains-FieldD[OF M] simp add: leq-def)

  have c: current Γ (Inf M) using g
  proof(rule current-leI)
    show (Inf M) e ≤ g0 e for e using g0 by(auto intro: INF-lower)
    show d-OUT (Π M) x ≤ d-IN (Π M) x if x ∉ A Γ for x
      by(auto 4 4 simp add: IN-M OUT-M leq-def intro!: INF-mono dest:
      Chains-FieldD[OF M] intro: currentD-OUT-IN[OF - that])
  qed

  have INF-le-f: Inf M e ≤ f e for e using g0 by(auto intro!: INF-lower2 g0-le-f)
  have eq: E (TER (Inf M)) = E (TER f) using f w INF-le-f
  proof(rule essential-eq-leI; intro subsetI)
    fix x
    assume x: x ∈ E (TER f)
    hence x ∈ SINK (Inf M) using d-OUT-mono[of Inf M x f, OF INF-le-f]
      by(auto simp add: SINK.simps)
    moreover from x have x ∈ SAT Γ g if g ∈ M for g using Chains-FieldD[OF
    M that] by(auto simp add: leq-def)
      hence x ∈ SAT Γ (Inf M) by(auto simp add: SAT.simps IN-M intro!:
      INF-greatest)
        ultimately show x ∈ TER (Inf M) by auto
  qed

  have w': wave Γ (Inf M)

```

```

proof
  have separating  $\Gamma (\mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f))$  by(rule separating-essential)(rule waveD-separating[ $OF w$ ])
    then show separating  $\Gamma (\text{TER } (\text{Inf } M))$  unfolding eq[symmetric] by(rule
separating-weakening) auto

fix  $x$ 
assume  $x \notin RF (\text{TER } (\text{Inf } M))$ 
hence  $x \notin RF (\mathcal{E} (\text{TER } (\text{Inf } M)))$  unfolding RF-essential .
hence  $x \notin RF (\text{TER } f)$  unfolding eq RF-essential .
hence  $d\text{-OUT } f x = 0$  by(rule waveD-OUT[ $OF w$ ])
with d-OUT-mono[of -  $x f$ ,  $OF \text{ INF-}le\text{-}f$ ]
show  $d\text{-OUT } (\text{Inf } M) x = 0$  by (metis le-zero-eq)
qed
from  $c w' \text{ INF-}le\text{-}f eq$  show ?thesis by simp
qed

define trim1
where trim1  $g =$ 
  (if trimming  $g$  then  $g$ 
  else let  $z = \text{SOME } z. z \in RF^\circ (\text{TER } g) \wedge z \notin A \Gamma \wedge \neg KIR g z;$ 
  factor =  $d\text{-OUT } g z / d\text{-IN } g z$ 
  in  $(\lambda(y, x). (\text{if } x = z \text{ then factor else } 1) * g (y, x))$ ) for  $g$ 

have increasing:  $\text{trim1 } g \leq g \wedge \text{trim1 } g \in F$  if  $g \in F$  for  $g$ 
proof(cases trimming  $g$ )
  case True
    thus ?thesis using that by(simp add: trim1-def)
  next
    case False
    let ?P =  $\lambda z. z \in RF^\circ (\text{TER } g) \wedge z \notin A \Gamma \wedge \neg KIR g z$ 
    define  $z$  where  $z = \text{Eps } ?P$ 
    from that have  $g: \text{current } \Gamma g$  and  $w': \text{wave } \Gamma g$  and  $le\text{-}f: \bigwedge e. g e \leq f e$ 
      and  $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } g) = \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f)$  by(auto simp add: le-fun-def)
    { with False obtain  $z$  where  $z: z \in RF^\circ (\text{TER } f)$  and  $A: z \notin A \Gamma$  and neq:
       $d\text{-OUT } g z \neq d\text{-IN } g z$ 
      by(auto simp add: trimming.simps le-fun-def)
      from  $z$  have  $z \in RF^\circ (\mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f))$  unfolding roofed-circ-essential .
      with  $\mathcal{E}$  roofed-circ-essential[of  $\Gamma \text{ TER } g$ ] have  $z \in RF^\circ (\text{TER } g)$  by simp
      with  $A$  neq have  $\exists x. ?P x$  by auto }
      hence ?P  $z$  unfolding z-def by(rule someI-ex)
      hence  $RF: z \in RF^\circ (\text{TER } g)$  and  $A: z \notin A \Gamma$  and neq:  $d\text{-OUT } g z \neq d\text{-IN } g z$ 
      by simp-all
      let ?factor =  $d\text{-OUT } g z / d\text{-IN } g z$ 
      have trim1 [simp]:  $\text{trim1 } g (y, x) = (\text{if } x = z \text{ then } ?\text{factor} \text{ else } 1) * g (y, x)$ 
      for  $x y$ 
        using False by(auto simp add: trim1-def z-def Let-def)

from currentD-OUT-IN[ $OF g A$ ] neq have less:  $d\text{-OUT } g z < d\text{-IN } g z$  by auto

```

```

hence ?factor ≤ 1 (is ?factor ≤ -)
  by (auto intro!: divide-le-posI-ennreal simp: zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
hence le': ?factor * g (y, x) ≤ 1 * g (y, x) for y x
  by(rule mult-right-mono) simp
hence le: trim1 g e ≤ g e for e by(cases e)simp
moreover {
  have c: current Γ (trim1 g) using g le
  proof(rule current-leI)
    fix x
    assume x: x ∉ A Γ
    have d-OUT (trim1 g) x ≤ d-OUT g x unfolding d-OUT-def using le'
    by(auto intro: nn-integral-mono)
    also have ... ≤ d-IN (trim1 g) x
    proof(cases x = z)
      case True
      have d-OUT g x = d-IN (trim1 g) x unfolding d-IN-def
        using True currentD-weight-IN[OF g, of x] currentD-OUT-IN[OF g x]
        apply (cases d-IN g x = 0)
        apply(auto simp add: nn-integral-divide nn-integral-cmult d-IN-def[symmetric]
ennreal-divide-times)
        apply (subst ennreal-divide-self)
        apply (auto simp: less-top[symmetric] top-unique weight-finite)
        done
      thus ?thesis by simp
    next
      case False
      have d-OUT g x ≤ d-IN g x using x by(rule currentD-OUT-IN[OF g])
      also have ... ≤ d-IN (trim1 g) x unfolding d-IN-def using False by(auto
intro!: nn-integral-mono)
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    finally show d-OUT (trim1 g) x ≤ d-IN (trim1 g) x .
  qed
  moreover have le-f: trim1 g ≤ f using le le-f by(blast intro: le-funI or-
der-trans)
  moreover have eq: ℰ (TER (trim1 g)) = ℰ (TER f) unfolding ℰ[symmetric]
using g w' le
  proof(rule essential-eq-leI; intro subsetI)
    fix x
    assume x: x ∈ ℰ (TER g)
    hence x ∈ SINK (trim1 g) using d-OUT-mono[of trim1 g x g, OF le]
      by(auto simp add: SINK.simps)
    moreover from x have x ≠ z using RF by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def)
    hence d-IN (trim1 g) x = d-IN g x unfolding d-IN-def by simp
      with ⟨x ∈ ℰ (TER g)⟩ have x ∈ SAT Γ (trim1 g) by(auto simp add:
SAT.simps)
      ultimately show x ∈ TER (trim1 g) by auto
  qed
  moreover have wave Γ (trim1 g)

```

```

proof
have separating  $\Gamma (\mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f))$  by(rule separating-essential)(rule waveD-separating[ $OF w$ ])
then show separating  $\Gamma (\text{TER} (\text{trim1 } g))$  unfolding eq[symmetric] by(rule
separating-weakening) auto

fix  $x$ 
assume  $x \notin RF (\text{TER} (\text{trim1 } g))$ 
hence  $x \notin RF (\mathcal{E} (\text{TER} (\text{trim1 } g)))$  unfolding RF-essential .
hence  $x \notin RF (\text{TER } f)$  unfolding eq RF-essential .
hence  $d\text{-OUT } f x = 0$  by(rule waveD-OUT[ $OF w$ ])
with d-OUT-mono[of -  $x f$ ,  $OF le-f[THEN le-funD]$ ]
show  $d\text{-OUT} (\text{trim1 } g) x = 0$  by (metis le-zero-eq)
qed
ultimately have  $\text{trim1 } g \in F$  by(simp add: F-def) }
ultimately show ?thesis using that by(simp add: le-fun-def del: trim1)
qed

have bourbaki-witt-fixpoint Inf leq trim1 using chainD increasing unfolding
leq-def
by(intro bourbaki-witt-fixpoint-restrict-rel)(auto intro: Inf-greatest Inf-lower)
then interpret bourbaki-witt-fixpoint Inf leq trim1 .

have  $f\text{-Field}$ :  $f \in \text{Field}$  leq using  $f w$  by(simp add: leq-def)

define  $g$  where  $g = \text{fixp-above } f$ 

have  $g \in \text{Field}$  leq using  $f$   $w$  by(simp add: leq-def)

have  $f\text{-Field}$ :  $f \in \text{Field}$  leq using  $f$   $w$  by(simp add: leq-def)

have  $g \in \text{Field}$  leq using  $f\text{-Field}$  unfolding  $g\text{-def}$  by(rule fixp-above-Field)
hence  $le-f: g \leq f$ 
and  $g: \text{current } \Gamma g$ 
and  $w': \text{wave } \Gamma g$ 
and  $\text{TER}: \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } g) = \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f)$  by(auto simp add: leq-def intro: le-funI)

have trimming  $g$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
let  $?P = \lambda x. x \in RF^\circ (\text{TER } g) \wedge x \notin A \Gamma \wedge \neg KIR g x$ 
define  $x$  where  $x = \text{Eps } ?P$ 
assume False:  $\neg ?\text{thesis}$ 
hence  $\exists x. ?P x$  using le-f g w' TER
by(auto simp add: trimming.simps roofed-circ-essential[of  $\Gamma$  TER g, symmetric]
roofed-circ-essential[of  $\Gamma$  TER f, symmetric])
hence  $?P x$  unfolding  $x\text{-def}$  by(rule someI-ex)
hence  $x: x \in RF^\circ (\text{TER } g)$  and  $A: x \notin A \Gamma$  and neq:  $d\text{-OUT } g x \neq d\text{-IN } g x$ 
by simp-all
from neq have  $\exists y. \text{edge } \Gamma y x \wedge g(y, x) > 0$ 
proof(rule contrapos-np)
assume  $\neg ?\text{thesis}$ 
hence  $d\text{-IN } g x = 0$  using currentD-outside[ $OF g$ , of -  $x$ ]
by(force simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space not-less)

```

```

with currentD-OUT-IN[OF g A] show KIR g x by simp
qed
then obtain y where y: edge  $\Gamma$  y x and gr0:  $g(y, x) > 0$  by blast

have [simp]:  $g(y, x) \neq \top$ 
proof -
  have  $g(y, x) \leq d\text{-OUT } g y$  by (rule d-OUT-ge-point)
  also have ...  $\leq \text{weight } \Gamma y$  by (rule currentD-weight-OUT[OF g])
  also have ...  $< \top$  by (simp add: weight-finite less-top[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

from neq have factor:  $d\text{-OUT } g x / d\text{-IN } g x \neq 1$ 
by (simp add: divide-eq-1-ennreal)

have trim1  $g(y, x) = g(y, x) * (d\text{-OUT } g x / d\text{-IN } g x)$ 
by (clarify simp add: False trim1-def Let-def x-def[symmetric] mult.commute)
moreover have ...  $\neq g(y, x) * 1$  unfolding ennreal-mult-cancel-left using
gr0 factor by auto
ultimately have trim1  $g(y, x) \neq g(y, x)$  by auto
hence trim1  $g \neq g$  by (auto simp add: fun-eq-iff)
moreover have trim1  $g = g$  using f-Field unfolding g-def by (rule fixp-above-unfold[symmetric])
ultimately show False by contradiction
qed
then show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

lemma trimming- $\mathcal{E}$ :
fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
assumes w: wave  $\Gamma f$  and trimming: trimming  $\Gamma f g$ 
shows  $\mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f) = \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } g)$ 
proof (rule set-eqI)
show  $x \in \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f) \longleftrightarrow x \in \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } g)$  for x
proof (cases  $x \in A \Gamma$ )
case False
thus ?thesis using trimmingD- $\mathcal{E}$ [OF trimming] by blast
next
case True
show ?thesis
proof
assume x:  $x \in \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f)$ 
hence x:  $x \in \text{TER } g$  using d-OUT-mono[of g x f, OF trimmingD-le[OF trimming]] True
by (simp add: SINK.simps SAT.A)
moreover from x have essential  $\Gamma(B \Gamma)(\text{TER } f) x$  by simp
then obtain p y where p: path  $\Gamma x p y$  and y:  $y \in B \Gamma$ 
and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. z \in p \implies z \notin RF(\text{TER } f)$  by (rule essentialE-RF)

```

```

blast
from p y have essential Γ (B Γ) (E (TER g)) x
proof(rule essentialI)
fix z
assume z ∈ set p
hence z: z ∉ RF (TER f) by(rule bypass)
with waveD-separating[OF w, THEN separating-RF-A] have z ∉ A Γ by
blast
with z have z ∉ E (TER g) using trimmingD-E[OF trimming] by(auto
intro: roofed-greaterI)
thus z = x ∨ z ∉ E (TER g) ..
qed
ultimately show x ∈ E (TER g) unfolding essential-E by simp
next
assume x ∈ E (TER g)
then obtain p y where p: path Γ x p y and y: y ∈ B Γ
and bypass: ∀z. z ∈ set p ⇒ z ∉ RF (TER g) by(rule E-E-RF) blast
have z: z ∉ E (TER f) if z ∈ set p for z
proof -
from that have z: z ∉ RF (TER g) by(rule bypass)
with waveD-separating[OF trimmingD-wave[OF trimming], THEN separating-RF-A] have z ∉ A Γ by blast
with z show z ∉ E (TER f) using trimmingD-E[OF trimming] by(auto
intro: roofed-greaterI)
qed
then have essential Γ (B Γ) (E (TER f)) x by(intro essentialI[OF p y]) auto
moreover have x ∈ TER f
using waveD-separating[THEN separating-essential, THEN separatingD, OF
w p True y] z
by auto
ultimately show x ∈ E (TER f) unfolding essential-E by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

6.7 Composition of waves via quotients

definition quotient-web :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme ⇒ 'v current ⇒ ('v, 'more) web-scheme

where — Modifications to original Definition 4.9: No incoming edges to nodes in A , $B \Gamma - A \Gamma$ is not part of A such that A contains only vertices is disjoint from B . The weight of vertices in B saturated by f is therefore set to 0.

quotient-web $\Gamma f =$
 $\langle\!\langle edge = λx y. edge \Gamma x y \wedge x ∉ roofed-circ \Gamma (TER_\Gamma f) \wedge y ∉ roofed \Gamma (TER_\Gamma f),$
 $weight = λx. if x ∈ RF^\circ \Gamma (TER_\Gamma f) ∨ x ∈ TER_\Gamma f ∩ B \Gamma then 0 else weight$
 $\Gamma x,$
 $A = E_\Gamma (TER_\Gamma f) - (B \Gamma - A \Gamma),$
 $B = B \Gamma,$

$\dots = \text{web.more } \Gamma \rfloor$

```

lemma quotient-web-sel [simp]:
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure) shows
     $\text{edge}(\text{quotient-web } \Gamma f) x y \longleftrightarrow \text{edge } \Gamma x y \wedge x \notin RF^\circ(\text{TER } f) \wedge y \notin RF(\text{TER } f)$ 
     $\text{weight}(\text{quotient-web } \Gamma f) x = (\text{if } x \in RF^\circ(\text{TER } f) \vee x \in \text{TER}_\Gamma f \cap B \Gamma \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{weight } \Gamma x)$ 
     $A(\text{quotient-web } \Gamma f) = \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f) - (B \Gamma - A \Gamma)$ 
     $B(\text{quotient-web } \Gamma f) = B \Gamma$ 
     $\text{web.more}(\text{quotient-web } \Gamma f) = \text{web.more } \Gamma$ 
  by(simp-all add: quotient-web-def)

lemma vertex-quotient-webD: fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure) shows
   $\text{vertex}(\text{quotient-web } \Gamma f) x \implies \text{vertex } \Gamma x \wedge x \notin RF^\circ(\text{TER } f)$ 
  by(auto simp add: vertex-def roofed-circ-def)

lemma path-quotient-web:
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
  assumes  $\text{path } \Gamma x p y$ 
  and  $x \notin RF^\circ(\text{TER } f)$ 
  and  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin RF(\text{TER } f)$ 
  shows  $\text{path}(\text{quotient-web } \Gamma f) x p y$ 
  using assms by(induction)(auto intro: rtrancl-path.intros simp add: roofed-circ-def)

definition restrict-current :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v current  $\Rightarrow$  'v current
where  $\text{restrict-current } \Gamma f g = (\lambda(x, y). g(x, y) * \text{indicator}(-RF^\circ_\Gamma(\text{TER}_\Gamma f))$ 
 $x * \text{indicator}(-RF_\Gamma(\text{TER}_\Gamma f)) y)$ 

abbreviation restrict-curr :: 'v current  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'more) web-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v current
where  $\text{restrict-curr } g \Gamma f \equiv \text{restrict-current } \Gamma f g$ 

lemma restrict-current-simps [simp]: fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure) shows
   $(g \upharpoonright \Gamma / f)(x, y) = (g(x, y) * \text{indicator}(-RF^\circ(\text{TER } f)) x * \text{indicator}(-RF(\text{TER } f)) y)$ 
  by(simp add: restrict-current-def)

lemma d-OUT-restrict-current-outside: fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure) shows
   $x \in RF^\circ(\text{TER } f) \implies d\text{-OUT}(g \upharpoonright \Gamma / f) x = 0$ 
  by(simp add: d-OUT-def)

lemma d-IN-restrict-current-outside: fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure) shows
   $x \in RF(\text{TER } f) \implies d\text{-IN}(g \upharpoonright \Gamma / f) x = 0$ 
  by(simp add: d-IN-def)

lemma restrict-current-le:  $(g \upharpoonright \Gamma / f) e \leq g e$ 
  by(cases e)(clarify simp split: split-indicator)

```

```

lemma d-OUT-restrict-current-le: d-OUT (g ∣ Γ / f) x ≤ d-OUT g x
  unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-mono, simp split: split-indicator)

lemma d-IN-restrict-current-le: d-IN (g ∣ Γ / f) x ≤ d-IN g x
  unfolding d-IN-def by(rule nn-integral-mono, simp split: split-indicator)

lemma restrict-current-IN-not-RF:
  fixes Γ (structure)
  assumes g: current Γ g
  and x: x ∈ RF (TER f)
  shows d-IN (g ∣ Γ / f) x = d-IN g x
proof -
  {
    fix y
    assume y: y ∈ RF° (TER f)
    have g(y, x) = 0
    proof(cases edge Γ y x)
      case True
      from y have y': y ∈ RF (TER f) and essential: y ∉ E (TER f) by(simp-all
add: roofed-circ-def)
      moreover from x obtain p z where z: z ∈ B Γ and p: path Γ x p z
      and bypass: ∀z. z ∈ set p ⇒ z ∉ TER f x ∉ TER f
      by(clarsimp simp add: roofed-def)
      from roofedD[OF y' rtranc-path.step, OF True p z] bypass have x ∈ TER f
      ∨ y ∈ TER f by auto
      with roofed-greater[THEN subsetD, of x TER f Γ] x have x ∉ TER f y ∈
TER f by auto
      with essential bypass have False
      by(auto dest!: not-essentialD[OF - rtranc-path.step, OF - True p z])
      thus ?thesis ..
    qed(simp add: currentD-outside[OF g]) }
  then show ?thesis unfolding d-IN-def
    using x by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
qed

lemma restrict-current-IN-A:
  a ∈ A (quotient-web Γ f) ⇒ d-IN (g ∣ Γ / f) a = 0
  by(simp add: d-IN-restrict-current-outside roofed-greaterI)

lemma restrict-current-nonneg: 0 ≤ g e ⇒ 0 ≤ (g ∣ Γ / f) e
  by(cases e) simp

lemma in-SINK-restrict-current: x ∈ SINK g ⇒ x ∈ SINK (g ∣ Γ / f)
  using d-OUT-restrict-current-le[of Γ f g x]
  by(simp add: SINK.simps)

lemma SAT-restrict-current:
  fixes Γ (structure)

```

```

assumes f: current  $\Gamma$  f
and g: current  $\Gamma$  g
shows SAT (quotient-web  $\Gamma$  f) ( $g \upharpoonright \Gamma / f$ ) = RF (TER f)  $\cup$  (SAT  $\Gamma$  g - A  $\Gamma$ )
(is SAT ? $\Gamma$  ?g = ?rhs)
proof(intro set-eqI iffI; (elim UnE DiffE)?)  

show x  $\in$  ?rhs if x  $\in$  SAT ? $\Gamma$  ?g for x using that
proof cases
case IN
thus ?thesis using currentD-weight-OUT[OF f, of x]
by(cases x  $\in$  RF (TER f))(auto simp add: d-IN-restrict-current-outside
roofed-circ-def restrict-current-IN-not-RF[OF g] SAT.IN currentD-IN[OF g] roofed-greaterI
SAT.A SINK.simps RF-in-B split: if-split-asm intro: essentialI[OF rtrancl-path.base])
qed(simp add: roofed-greaterI)
show x  $\in$  SAT ? $\Gamma$  ?g if x  $\in$  RF (TER f) for x using that
by(auto simp add: SAT.simps roofed-circ-def d-IN-restrict-current-outside)
show x  $\in$  SAT ? $\Gamma$  ?g if x  $\in$  SAT  $\Gamma$  g x  $\notin$  A  $\Gamma$  for x using that
by(auto simp add: SAT.simps roofed-circ-def d-IN-restrict-current-outside
restrict-current-IN-not-RF[OF g])
qed

lemma current-restrict-current:
fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
assumes w: wave  $\Gamma$  f
and g: current  $\Gamma$  g
shows current (quotient-web  $\Gamma$  f) ( $g \upharpoonright \Gamma / f$ ) (is current ? $\Gamma$  ?g)
proof
show d-OUT ?g x  $\leq$  weight ? $\Gamma$  x for x
using d-OUT-restrict-current-le[of  $\Gamma$  f g x] currentD-weight-OUT[OF g, of x]
currentD-OUT[OF g, of x]
by(auto simp add: d-OUT-restrict-current-outside)
show d-IN ?g x  $\leq$  weight ? $\Gamma$  x for x
using d-IN-restrict-current-le[of  $\Gamma$  f g x] currentD-weight-IN[OF g, of x]
by(auto simp add: d-IN-restrict-current-outside roofed-circ-def)
(subst d-IN-restrict-current-outside[of x  $\Gamma$  f g]; simp add: roofed-greaterI)

fix x
assume x  $\notin$  A ? $\Gamma$ 
hence x: x  $\notin$  E (TER f) - B  $\Gamma$  by simp
show d-OUT ?g x  $\leq$  d-IN ?g x
proof(cases x  $\in$  RF (TER f))
case True
with x have x  $\in$  RF $^\circ$  (TER f)  $\cup$  B  $\Gamma$  by(simp add: roofed-circ-def)
with True show ?thesis using currentD-OUT[OF g, of x] d-OUT-restrict-current-le[of
 $\Gamma$  f g x]
by(auto simp add: d-OUT-restrict-current-outside d-IN-restrict-current-outside)
next
case False
then obtain p z where z: z  $\in$  B  $\Gamma$  and p: path  $\Gamma$  x p z
and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin \text{TER } f x \notin \text{TER } f$ 

```

```

by(clarsimp simp add: roofed-def)
from g False have d-IN ?g x = d-IN g x by(rule restrict-current-IN-not-RF)
moreover have d-OUT ?g x ≤ d-OUT g x
  by(rule d-OUT-mono restrict-current-le)+ 
moreover have x ∉ A Γ
  using separatingD[OF waveD-separating[OF w] p - z] bypass by blast
note currentD-OUT-IN[OF g this]
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
next
show d-IN ?g a = 0 if a ∈ A ?Γ for a using that by(rule restrict-current-IN-A)
show d-OUT ?g b = 0 if b ∈ B ?Γ for b
  using d-OUT-restrict-current-le[of Γ f g b] currentD-OUT[OF g, of b] that by
simp
show ?g e = 0 if e ∉ E ?Γ for e using that currentD-outside'[OF g, of e]
  by(cases e)(auto split: split-indicator)
qed

lemma TER-restrict-current:
fixes Γ (structure)
assumes f: current Γ f
and w: wave Γ f
and g: current Γ g
shows TER g ⊆ TERquotient-web Γ f (g ∣ Γ / f) (is - ⊆ ?TER is - ⊆ TER ?Γ
?g)
proof
fix x
assume x: x ∈ TER g
hence x ∈ SINK ?g by(simp add: in-SINK-restrict-current)
moreover have x ∈ RF (TER f) if x ∈ A Γ
  using waveD-separating[OF w, THEN separatingD, OF - that] by(rule roofedI)
then have x ∈ SAT ?Γ ?g using SAT-restrict-current[OF f g] x by auto
ultimately show x ∈ ?TER by simp
qed

lemma wave-restrict-current:
fixes Γ (structure)
assumes f: current Γ f
and w: wave Γ f
and g: current Γ g
and w': wave Γ g
shows wave (quotient-web Γ f) (g ∣ Γ / f) (is wave ?Γ ?g)
proof
show separating ?Γ (TER ?Γ ?g) (is separating - ?TER)
proof
fix x y p
assume x: x ∈ A ?Γ y ∈ B ?Γ and p: path ?Γ x p y
hence x: x ∈ E (TER f) and y: y ∈ B Γ and SAT: x ∈ SAT ?Γ ?g by(simp-all
add: SAT.A)

```

```

from p have p': path Γ x p y by(rule rtrancl-path-mono) simp

{ assume x ∈ ?TER
  hence x ∈ SINK ?g using SAT by(simp)
  hence x ∈ SINK g using d-OUT-restrict-current-le[of Γ f g x]
    by(auto simp add: SINK.simps)
  hence x ∈ RF (TER g) using waveD-OUT[OF w] by(auto simp add:
SINK.simps)
  from roofedD[OF this p' y] ⟨x ∈ SINK g⟩ have (∃z∈set p. z ∈ ?TER)
    using TER-restrict-current[OF f w g] by blast }
  then show (∃z∈set p. z ∈ ?TER) ∨ x ∈ ?TER by blast
qed

fix x
assume x ∈ RF ?Γ ?TER
hence x ∈ RF (TER g)
proof(rule contrapos-nn)
  assume *: x ∈ RF (TER g)
  show x ∈ RF ?Γ ?TER
  proof
    fix p y
    assume path ?Γ x p y y ∈ B ?Γ
    hence path Γ x p y y ∈ B Γ by(auto elim: rtrancl-path-mono)
    from roofedD[OF * this] show (∃z∈set p. z ∈ ?TER) ∨ x ∈ ?TER
      using TER-restrict-current[OF f w g] by blast
  qed
qed
with w' have d-OUT g x = 0 by(rule waveD-OUT)
with d-OUT-restrict-current-le[of Γ f g x]
show d-OUT ?g x = 0 by simp
qed

definition plus-current :: 'v current ⇒ 'v current ⇒ 'v current
where plus-current f g = (λe. f e + g e)

lemma plus-current-simps [simp]: plus-current f g e = f e + g e
by(simp add: plus-current-def)

lemma plus-zero-current [simp]: plus-current f zero-current = f
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma support-flow-plus-current: support-flow (plus-current f g) ⊆ support-flow f
  ∪ support-flow g
by(clarsimp simp add: support-flow.simps)

context
fixes Γ :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme (structure) and f g
assumes f: current Γ f
and w: wave Γ f

```

```

and g: current (quotient-web  $\Gamma$  f) g
begin

lemma OUT-plus-current: d-OUT (plus-current f g) x = (if  $x \in RF^\circ$  (TER f) then d-OUT f x else d-OUT g x) (is d-OUT ?g - = -)
proof -
  have d-OUT ?g x = d-OUT f x + d-OUT g x unfolding plus-current-def
  by(subst d-OUT-add) simp-all
  also have ... = (if  $x \in RF^\circ$  (TER f) then d-OUT f x else d-OUT g x)
  proof(cases x  $\in RF^\circ$  (TER f))
    case True
      hence d-OUT g x = 0 by(intro currentD-outside-OUT[OF g])(auto dest: vertex-quotient-webD)
      thus ?thesis using True by simp
    next
      case False
        hence d-OUT f x = 0 by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def SINK.simps dest: waveD-OUT[OF w])
        with False show ?thesis by simp
    qed
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed

lemma IN-plus-current: d-IN (plus-current f g) x = (if  $x \in RF$  (TER f) then d-IN f x else d-IN g x) (is d-IN ?g - = -)
proof -
  have d-IN ?g x = d-IN f x + d-IN g x unfolding plus-current-def
  by(subst d-IN-add) simp-all
  also consider ( $RF$ )  $x \in RF$  (TER f) - ( $B\Gamma - A\Gamma$ ) | ( $B$ )  $x \in RF$  (TER f)  $x \in B\Gamma - A\Gamma$  | (beyond)  $x \notin RF$  (TER f) by blast
  then have d-IN f x + d-IN g x = (if  $x \in RF$  (TER f) then d-IN f x else d-IN g x)
  proof(cases)
    case RF
      hence d-IN g x = 0
      by(cases x  $\in E$  (TER f))(auto intro: currentD-outside-IN[OF g] currentD-IN[OF g] dest!: vertex-quotient-webD simp add: roofed-circ-def)
      thus ?thesis using RF by simp
    next
      case B
      hence d-IN g x = 0 using currentD-outside-IN[OF g, of x] currentD-weight-IN[OF g, of x]
      by(auto dest: vertex-quotient-webD simp add: roofed-circ-def)
      with B show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case beyond
      from f w beyond have d-IN f x = 0 by(rule wave-not-RF-IN-zero)
      with beyond show ?thesis by simp
  qed

```

```

finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma in-TER-plus-current:
assumes RF:  $x \notin RF^\circ$  (TER f)
and x:  $x \in TER_{quotient-web} \Gamma f g$  (is - ∈ ?TER -)
shows  $x \in TER$  (plus-current f g) (is - ∈ TER ?g)
proof(cases x ∈ E (TER f) – (B Γ – A Γ))
  case True
    with x show ?thesis using currentD-IN[OF g, of x]
      by(fastforce intro: roofed-greaterISAT.intros simp add: SINK.simps OUT-plus-current
IN-plus-current elim!: SAT.cases)
  next
    case *: False
    have x ∈ SAT Γ ?g
    proof(cases x ∈ B Γ – A Γ)
      case False
        with x RF * have weight Γ x ≤ d-IN g x
          by(auto elim!: SAT.cases split: if-split-asm simp add: essential-BI)
        also have ... ≤ d-IN ?g x unfolding plus-current-def by(intro d-IN-mono)
    simp
    finally show ?thesis ..
  next
    case True
    with * x have weight Γ x ≤ d-IN ?g x using currentD-OUT[OF f, of x]
      by(auto simp add: IN-plus-current RF-in-B SINK.simps roofed-circ-def elim!:
SAT.cases split: if-split-asm)
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
  moreover have x ∈ SINK ?g using x by(simp add: SINK.simps OUT-plus-current
RF)
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma current-plus-current: current Γ (plus-current f g) (is current - ?g)
proof
  show d-OUT ?g x ≤ weight Γ x for x
    using currentD-weight-OUT[OF g, of x] currentD-weight-OUT[OF f, of x]
    by(auto simp add: OUT-plus-current split: if-split-asm elim: order-trans)
  show d-IN ?g x ≤ weight Γ x for x
    using currentD-weight-IN[OF f, of x] currentD-weight-IN[OF g, of x]
    by(auto simp add: IN-plus-current roofed-circ-def split: if-split-asm elim: or-
der-trans)
  show d-OUT ?g x ≤ d-IN ?g x if x ∉ A Γ for x
  proof(cases x ∈ E (TER f))
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      using currentD-OUT-IN[OF f that] currentD-OUT-IN[OF g, of x] that
      by(auto simp add: OUT-plus-current IN-plus-current roofed-circ-def SINK.simps)
  qed
qed

```

```

next
  case True
    with that have  $d\text{-OUT } f x = 0$  weight  $\Gamma x \leq d\text{-IN } f x$ 
      by(auto simp add: SINK.simps elim: SAT.cases)
    thus ?thesis using that True  $\text{currentD-OUT-IN}[OF g, of x]$   $\text{currentD-weight-OUT}[OF g, of x]$ 
      by(auto simp add: OUT-plus-current IN-plus-current roofed-circ-def intro:
        roofed-greaterI split: if-split-asm)
    qed
    show  $d\text{-IN } ?g a = 0$  if  $a \in A \Gamma$  for a
      using wave-A-in-RF[ $OF w$  that]  $\text{currentD-IN}[OF f \text{ that}]$  by(simp add: IN-plus-current)
    show  $d\text{-OUT } ?g b = 0$  if  $b \in B \Gamma$  for b
      using that  $\text{currentD-OUT}[OF f \text{ that}]$   $\text{currentD-OUT}[OF g, of b]$  that
      by(auto simp add: OUT-plus-current SINK.simps roofed-circ-def intro: roofed-greaterI)
    show  $?g e = 0$  if  $e \notin E$  for e using  $\text{currentD-outside}'[OF f, of e]$   $\text{currentD-outside}'[OF g, of e]$  that
      by(cases e) auto
  qed

context
  assumes  $w': \text{wave}(\text{quotient-web } \Gamma f) g$ 
  begin

    lemma separating-TER-plus-current:
      assumes  $x: x \in RF(\text{TER } f)$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$  and  $p: \text{path } \Gamma x p y$ 
      shows  $(\exists z \in \text{set } p. z \in \text{TER}(\text{plus-current } f g)) \vee x \in \text{TER}(\text{plus-current } f g)$  (is
         $- \vee - \in \text{TER } ?g$ )
      proof –
        from x have  $x \in RF(\mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f))$  unfolding RF-essential .
        from roofedD[ $OF \text{ this } p y$ ] have  $\exists z \in \text{set}(x \# p). z \in \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f)$  by auto
        from split-list-last-prop[ $OF \text{ this}$ ] obtain  $ys z zs$ 
          where decomp:  $x \# p = ys @ z \# zs$  and  $z: z \in \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f)$ 
          and outside:  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } zs \implies z \notin \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f)$  by auto
        have  $zs: \text{path } \Gamma z zs y$  using decomp p
          by(cases ys)(auto elim: rtrancl-path-appendE)
        moreover have  $z \notin RF^\circ(\text{TER } f)$  using z by(simp add: roofed-circ-def)
        moreover have  $RF: z' \notin RF(\text{TER } f)$  if  $z' \in \text{set } zs$  for z'
        proof
          assume  $z' \in RF(\text{TER } f)$ 
          hence  $z': z' \in RF(\mathcal{E}(\text{TER } f))$  by(simp only: RF-essential)
          from split-list[ $OF \text{ that}$ ] obtain  $ys' zs'$  where decomp':  $zs = ys' @ z' \# zs'$  by
            blast
          with zs have  $\text{path } \Gamma z' zs' y$  by(auto elim: rtrancl-path-appendE)
          from roofedD[ $OF z' \text{ this } y$ ] outside decomp' show False by auto
        qed
        ultimately have  $p': \text{path}(\text{quotient-web } \Gamma f) z zs y$  by(rule path-quotient-web)
        show ?thesis
      proof(cases z  $\in B \Gamma - A \Gamma$ )
        case False

```

```

with separatingD[OF waveD-separating[OF w'] p] z y
obtain z' where z': z' ∈ set (z # zs) and TER: z' ∈ TERquotient-web Γ f g
by auto
hence z' ∈ TER ?g using in-TER-plus-current[of z'] RF[of z'] ∘ z ∉ RF° (TER f)
by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def)
with decomp z' show ?thesis by(cases ys) auto
next
case True
hence z ∈ TER ?g using currentD-OUT[OF current-plus-current, of z] z
by(auto simp add: SINK.simps SAT.simps IN-plus-current intro: roofed-greaterI)
then show ?thesis using decomp by(cases ys) auto
qed
qed

lemma wave-plus-current: wave Γ (plus-current f g) (is wave - ?g)
proof
let ?Γ = quotient-web Γ f
let ?TER = TER?Γ

show separating Γ (TER ?g) using separating-TER-plus-current[OF wave-A-in-RF[OF w]] by(rule separating)

fix x
assume x: x ∉ RF (TER ?g)
hence x ∉ RF (TER f) by(rule contrapos-nn)(rule roofedI, rule separating-TER-plus-current)
hence *: x ∉ RF° (TER f) by(simp add: roofed-circ-def)
moreover have x ∉ RF?Γ (?TER g)
proof
assume RF': x ∈ RF?Γ (?TER g)
from x obtain p y where y: y ∈ B Γ and p: path Γ x p y
and bypass: ⋀z. z ∈ set p ⟹ z ∉ TER ?g and x': x ∉ TER ?g
by(auto simp add: roofed-def)
have RF: z ∉ RF (TER f) if z ∈ set p for z
proof
assume z: z ∈ RF (TER f)
from split-list[OF that] obtain ys' zs' where decomp: p = ys' @ z # zs' by
blast
with p have path Γ z zs' y by(auto elim: rtrancl-path-appendE)
from separating-TER-plus-current[OF z y this] decomp bypass show False by
auto
qed
with p have path ?Γ x p y using *
by(induction)(auto intro: rtrancl-path.intros simp add: roofed-circ-def)
from roofedD[OF RF' this] y consider (x) x ∈ ?TER g | (z) z where z ∈ set
p z ∈ ?TER g by auto
then show False
proof(cases)
case x
with * have x ∈ TER ?g by(rule in-TER-plus-current)

```

```

with  $x'$  show False by contradiction
next
  case (z z)
    from z(1) have  $z \notin RF$  ( $TER f$ ) by(rule RF)
    hence  $z \notin RF^\circ$  ( $TER f$ ) by(simp add: roofed-circ-def)
    hence  $z \in TER ?g$  using z(2) by(rule in-TER-plus-current)
    moreover from z(1) have  $z \notin TER ?g$  by(rule bypass)
    ultimately show False by contradiction
  qed
qed
with  $w'$  have  $d\text{-OUT } g x = 0$  by(rule waveD-OUT)
ultimately show  $d\text{-OUT } ?g x = 0$  by(simp add: OUT-plus-current)
qed

end

lemma loose-quotient-web:
  fixes  $\Gamma :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme$  (structure)
  assumes weight-finite:  $\bigwedge x. weight \Gamma x \neq \top$ 
  and  $f: current \Gamma f$ 
  and  $w: wave \Gamma f$ 
  and maximal:  $\bigwedge w. [\ current \Gamma w; wave \Gamma w; f \leq w ] \implies f = w$ 
  shows loose (quotient-web  $\Gamma f$ ) (is loose  $? \Gamma$ )
proof
  fix  $g$ 
  assume  $g: current ? \Gamma g$  and  $w': wave ? \Gamma g$ 
  let  $?g = plus-current f g$ 
  from  $f w g$  have  $current \Gamma ?g$   $wave \Gamma ?g$  by(rule current-plus-current wave-plus-current)+  

  (rule w')
  moreover have  $f \leq ?g$  by(clarsimp simp add: le-fun-def add-eq-0-iff-both-eq-0)
  ultimately have eq:  $f = ?g$  by(rule maximal)
  have  $g e = 0$  for  $e$ 
  proof(cases e)
    case (Pair  $x y$ )
    have  $f e \leq d\text{-OUT } f x$  unfolding Pair by (rule d-OUT-ge-point)
    also have  $\dots \leq weight \Gamma x$  by(rule currentD-weight-OUT[OF f])
    also have  $\dots < \top$  by(simp add: weight-finite less-top[symmetric])
    finally show  $g e = 0$  using Pair eq[THEN fun-cong, of e]
      by(cases f e g e rule: ennreal2-cases)(simp-all add: fun-eq-iff)
  qed
  thus  $g = (\lambda-. 0)$  by(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
next
  have  $0: current ? \Gamma zero-current$  by simp
  show  $\neg hindrance ? \Gamma zero-current$ 
  proof
    assume hindrance:  $? \Gamma zero-current$ 
    then obtain  $x$  where a:  $x \in A ? \Gamma$  and E:  $x \notin \mathcal{E}_{? \Gamma}$  ( $TER_{? \Gamma} zero-current$ )
  
```

```

and d-OUT zero-current  $x < \text{weight } ?\Gamma x$  by cases
from a have  $x \in \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f)$  by simp
then obtain  $p y$  where  $p: \text{path } \Gamma x p y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$ 
  and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. [x \neq y; z \in \text{set } p] \implies z = x \vee z \notin \text{TER } f$  by(rule E-E)
blast
from p obtain  $p'$  where  $p': \text{path } \Gamma x p' y$  and distinct: distinct  $(x \# p')$ 
  and subset: set  $p' \subseteq \text{set } p$  by(auto elim: rtrancl-path-distinct)
note  $p'$ 
moreover have RF:  $z \notin \text{RF } (\text{TER } f)$  if  $z \in \text{set } p'$  for z
proof
  assume  $z: z \in \text{RF } (\text{TER } f)$ 
  from split-list[OF that] obtain ys zs where decomp:  $p' = ys @ z \# zs$  by
blast
  with  $p'$  have  $y \in \text{set } p'$  by(auto dest!: rtrancl-path-last intro: last-in-set)
  with distinct have neq:  $x \neq y$  by auto
  from decomp  $p'$  have path  $\Gamma z zs y$  by(auto elim: rtrancl-path-appendE)
  from roofedD[OF z this y] obtain  $z'$  where  $z' \in \text{set } (z \# zs) z' \in \text{TER } f$  by
auto
  with distinct decomp subset bypass[OF neq] show False by auto
qed
moreover have  $x \notin \text{RF}^\circ (\text{TER } f)$  using  $\langle x \in \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f) \rangle$  by(simp add:
roofed-circ-def)
ultimately have  $p'': \text{path } ?\Gamma x p' y$ 
  by(induction)(auto intro: rtrancl-path.intros simp add: roofed-circ-def)
from a  $\mathcal{E}$  have  $\neg \text{essential } ?\Gamma (B ?\Gamma) (\text{TER } ?\Gamma \text{ zero-current}) x$  by simp
from not-essentialD[OF this  $p''$ ] y obtain z where neq:  $x \neq y$ 
  and  $z \in \text{set } p' z \neq x z \in \text{TER } ?\Gamma \text{ zero-current}$  by auto
moreover with subset RF[of z] have  $z \in \text{TER } f$ 
  using currentD-weight-OUT[OF f, of z] currentD-weight-IN[OF f, of z]
  by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def SINK.simps intro: SAT.IN split: if-split-asm)
ultimately show False using bypass[of z] subset by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma quotient-web-trimming:
fixes Γ (**structure**)
assumes $w: \text{wave } \Gamma f$
and trimming: trimming $\Gamma f g$
shows quotient-web $\Gamma f = \text{quotient-web } \Gamma g$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)
proof(rule web.equality)
 from trimming have $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } g) - A \Gamma = \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f) - A \Gamma$ by cases

```

have RF:  $\text{RF } (\text{TER } g) = \text{RF } (\text{TER } f)$ 
  by(subst (1 2) RF-essential[symmetric])(simp only: trimming- $\mathcal{E}$ [OF w trimming])
have RFc:  $\text{RF}^\circ (\text{TER } g) = \text{RF}^\circ (\text{TER } f)$ 
  by(subst (1 2) roofed-circ-essential[symmetric])(simp only: trimming- $\mathcal{E}$ [OF w trimming])

```

```

show edge ?lhs = edge ?rhs by(rule ext)+(simp add: RF RFc)
have weight ?lhs = ( $\lambda x. \text{if } x \in RF^\circ (\text{TER } g) \vee x \in RF (\text{TER } g) \cap B \Gamma \text{ then } 0$ 
else weight  $\Gamma x$ )
  unfolding RF RFc by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff RF-in-B)
  also have ... = weight ?rhs by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff RF-in-B)
  finally show weight ?lhs = weight ?rhs .

show A ?lhs = A ?rhs unfolding quotient-web-sel trimming- $\mathcal{E}$ [OF w trimming]
 $\dots$ 
qed simp-all

```

6.8 Well-formed webs

```

locale web =
  fixes  $\Gamma :: ('v, 'more) \text{web-scheme} (\mathbf{structure})$ 
  assumes A-in:  $x \in A \Gamma \implies \neg \text{edge } \Gamma y x$ 
  and B-out:  $x \in B \Gamma \implies \neg \text{edge } \Gamma x y$ 
  and A-vertex:  $A \Gamma \subseteq \mathbf{V}$ 
  and disjoint:  $A \Gamma \cap B \Gamma = \{\}$ 
  and no-loop:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Gamma x x$ 
  and weight-outside:  $\bigwedge x. x \notin \mathbf{V} \implies \text{weight } \Gamma x = 0$ 
  and weight-finite [simp]:  $\bigwedge x. \text{weight } \Gamma x \neq \top$ 
begin

lemma web-weight-update:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{vertex } \Gamma x \implies w x = 0$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x. w x \neq \top$ 
  shows web ( $\Gamma(\text{weight} := w)$ )
  by unfold-locales(simp-all add: A-in B-out A-vertex disjoint no-loop assms)

lemma currentI [intro?]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. d\text{-OUT } f x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x. d\text{-IN } f x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ 
  and OUT-IN:  $\bigwedge x. [\![x \notin A \Gamma; x \notin B \Gamma]\!] \implies d\text{-OUT } f x \leq d\text{-IN } f x$ 
  and outside:  $\bigwedge e. e \notin \mathbf{E} \implies f e = 0$ 
  shows current  $\Gamma f$ 
proof
  show  $d\text{-IN } f a = 0$  if  $a \in A \Gamma$  for  $a$  using that
    by(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0 A-in intro: outside)
  show  $d\text{-OUT } f b = 0$  if  $b \in B \Gamma$  for  $b$  using that
    by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0 B-out intro: outside)
  then show  $d\text{-OUT } f x \leq d\text{-IN } f x$  if  $x \notin A \Gamma$  for  $x$  using OUT-IN[OF that]
    by(cases  $x \in B \Gamma$ ) auto
qed(blast intro: assms)+

lemma currentD-finite-IN:
  assumes  $f: \text{current } \Gamma f$ 

```

```

shows d-IN f x ≠ ⊤
proof(cases x ∈ V)
  case True
    have d-IN f x ≤ weight Γ x using f by(rule currentD-weight-IN)
    also have ... < ⊤ using True weight-finite[of x] by (simp add: less-top[symmetric])
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  next
  case False
    then have d-IN f x = 0
      by(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0 ver-
tex-def intro: currentD-outside[OF f])
      thus ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma currentD-finite-OUT:
  assumes f: current Γ f
  shows d-OUT f x ≠ ⊤
proof(cases x ∈ V)
  case True
    have d-OUT f x ≤ weight Γ x using f by(rule currentD-weight-OUT)
    also have ... < ⊤ using True weight-finite[of x] by (simp add: less-top[symmetric])
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  next
  case False
    then have d-OUT f x = 0
      by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0 ver-
tex-def intro: currentD-outside[OF f])
      thus ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma currentD-finite:
  assumes f: current Γ f
  shows f e ≠ ⊤
proof(cases e)
  case (Pair x y)
    have f (x, y) ≤ d-OUT f x by (rule d-OUT-ge-point)
    also have ... < ⊤ using currentD-finite-OUT[OF f] by (simp add: less-top[symmetric])
    finally show ?thesis by(simp add: Pair)
qed

lemma web-quotient-web: web (quotient-web Γ f) (is web ?Γ)
proof
  show ¬ edge ?Γ y x if x ∈ A ?Γ for x y using that by(auto intro: roofed-greaterI)
  show ¬ edge ?Γ x y if x ∈ B ?Γ for x y using that by(auto simp add: B-out)
  show A ?Γ ∩ B ?Γ = {} using disjoint by auto
  show A ?Γ ⊆ V ?Γ
  proof
    fix x
    assume x ∈ A ?Γ

```

```

hence  $\mathcal{E}: x \in \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f)$  and  $x: x \notin B \Gamma$  using disjoint by auto
from this(1) obtain  $p y$  where  $p: \text{path } \Gamma x p y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$  and bypass:
 $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin RF (\text{TER } f)$ 
  by(rule  $\mathcal{E}$ -E-RF) blast
  from  $p y x$  have  $p \neq []$  by(auto simp add: rtrancl-path-simps)
  with  $rtrancl-path-nth[OF p, of 0]$  have edge  $\Gamma x (p ! 0)$  by simp
  moreover have  $x \notin RF^\circ (\text{TER } f)$  using  $\mathcal{E}$  by(simp add: roofed-circ-def)
  moreover have  $p ! 0 \notin RF (\text{TER } f)$  using bypass  $\langle p \neq [] \rangle$  by auto
  ultimately have edge  $?T x (p ! 0)$  by simp
  thus  $x \in V_{?T}$  by(auto intro: vertexI1)
qed
show  $\neg \text{edge } ?T x x$  for  $x$  by(simp add: no-loop)
show weight  $?T x = 0$  if  $x \notin V_{?T}$  for  $x$ 
proof(cases  $x \in RF^\circ (\text{TER } f) \vee x \in \text{TER } f \cap B \Gamma$ )
  case True thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case False
  hence  $RF: x \notin RF^\circ (\text{TER } f)$  and  $B: x \in B \Gamma \implies x \notin \text{TER } f$  by auto
  from  $RF$  obtain  $p y$  where  $p: \text{path } \Gamma x p y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$  and bypass:  $\bigwedge z.$ 
 $z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin RF (\text{TER } f)$ 
  apply(cases  $x \notin RF (RF (\text{TER } f))$ )
  apply(auto elim!: not-roofedE)[1]
  apply(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def roofed-idem elim: essentialE-RF)
  done
  from that have  $p = []$  using  $p y B RF$  bypass
    by(auto 4 3 simp add: vertex-def dest!: rtrancl-path-nth[where i=0])
  with  $p$  have  $xy: x = y$  by(simp add: rtrancl-path-simps)
  with  $B y$  have  $x \notin \text{TER } f$  by simp
  hence  $RF': x \notin RF (\text{TER } f)$  using  $xy y$  by(subst RF-in-B) auto
  have  $\neg \text{vertex } \Gamma x$ 
  proof
    assume vertex  $\Gamma x$ 
    then obtain  $x'$  where  $\text{edge } \Gamma x' x$  using  $xy y$  by(auto simp add: vertex-def
B-out)
    moreover hence  $x' \notin RF^\circ (\text{TER } f)$  using  $RF'$  by(auto dest: RF-circ-edge-forward)
    ultimately have edge  $?T x' x$  using  $RF'$  by simp
    hence vertex  $?T x$  by(rule vertexI2)
    with that show False by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis by(simp add: weight-outside)
qed
show weight  $?T x \neq \top$  for  $x$  by simp
qed

end

locale countable-web = web  $\Gamma$ 
for  $\Gamma :: ('v, 'more) \text{web-scheme}$  (structure)
+

```

```

assumes countable [simp]: countable E
begin

lemma countable-V [simp]: countable V
by(simp add: V-def)

lemma countable-web-quotient-web: countable-web (quotient-web  $\Gamma f$ ) (is countable-web  $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ )
proof –
  interpret  $r$ : web  $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$  by(rule web-quotient-web)
  show ?thesis
  proof
    have  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma} \subseteq \mathbf{E}$  by auto
    then show countable  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma}$  by(rule countable-subset) simp
  qed
qed

end

```

6.9 Subtraction of a wave

definition minus-web :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme \Rightarrow 'v current \Rightarrow ('v, 'more) web-scheme
(infixl \ominus 65) — Definition 6.6

where $\Gamma \ominus f = \Gamma(\text{weight} := \lambda x. \text{if } x \in A \Gamma \text{ then weight } \Gamma x - d\text{-OUT } f x \text{ else weight } \Gamma x + d\text{-OUT } f x - d\text{-IN } f x)$

```

lemma minus-web-sel [simp]:
  edge ( $\Gamma \ominus f$ ) = edge  $\Gamma$ 
  weight ( $\Gamma \ominus f$ )  $x = (\text{if } x \in A \Gamma \text{ then weight } \Gamma x - d\text{-OUT } f x \text{ else weight } \Gamma x + d\text{-OUT } f x - d\text{-IN } f x)$ 
   $A (\Gamma \ominus f) = A \Gamma$ 
   $B (\Gamma \ominus f) = B \Gamma$ 
   $\mathbf{V}_\Gamma \ominus f = \mathbf{V}_\Gamma$ 
   $\mathbf{E}_\Gamma \ominus f = \mathbf{E}_\Gamma$ 
  web.more ( $\Gamma \ominus f$ ) = web.more  $\Gamma$ 
by(auto simp add: minus-web-def vertex-def)

```

```

lemma vertex-minus-web [simp]: vertex ( $\Gamma \ominus f$ ) = vertex  $\Gamma$ 
by(simp add: vertex-def fun-eq-iff)

```

```

lemma roofed-gen-minus-web [simp]: roofed-gen ( $\Gamma \ominus f$ ) = roofed-gen  $\Gamma$ 
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff roofed-def)

```

```

lemma minus-zero-current [simp]:  $\Gamma \ominus \text{zero-current} = \Gamma$ 
by(rule web.equality)(simp-all add: fun-eq-iff)

```

```

lemma (in web) web-minus-web:
  assumes  $f$ : current  $\Gamma f$ 
  shows web ( $\Gamma \ominus f$ )

```

```

unfolding minus-web-def
apply(rule web-weight-update)
apply(auto simp: weight-outside currentD-weight-IN[OF f] currentD-outside-OUT[OF f]
      currentD-outside-IN[OF f] currentD-weight-OUT[OF f] currentD-finite-OUT[OF f])
done

```

6.10 Bipartite webs

```

locale countable-bipartite-web =
  fixes  $\Gamma :: ('v, 'more) \text{web-scheme}$  (structure)
  assumes bipartite-V:  $\mathbf{V} \subseteq A \Gamma \cup B \Gamma$ 
  and A-vertex:  $A \Gamma \subseteq \mathbf{V}$ 
  and bipartite-E:  $\text{edge } \Gamma x y \implies x \in A \Gamma \wedge y \in B \Gamma$ 
  and disjoint:  $A \Gamma \cap B \Gamma = \{\}$ 
  and weight-outside:  $\bigwedge x. x \notin \mathbf{V} \implies \text{weight } \Gamma x = 0$ 
  and weight-finite [simp]:  $\bigwedge x. \text{weight } \Gamma x \neq \top$ 
  and countable-E [simp]:  $\text{countable } \mathbf{E}$ 
begin

lemma not-vertex:  $\llbracket x \notin A \Gamma; x \notin B \Gamma \rrbracket \implies \neg \text{vertex } \Gamma x$ 
using bipartite-V by blast

lemma no-loop:  $\neg \text{edge } \Gamma x x$ 
using disjoint by(auto dest: bipartite-E)

lemma edge-antiparallel:  $\text{edge } \Gamma x y \implies \neg \text{edge } \Gamma y x$ 
using disjoint by(auto dest: bipartite-E)

lemma A-in:  $x \in A \Gamma \implies \neg \text{edge } \Gamma y x$ 
using disjoint by(auto dest: bipartite-E)

lemma B-out:  $x \in B \Gamma \implies \neg \text{edge } \Gamma x y$ 
using disjoint by(auto dest: bipartite-E)

sublocale countable-web using disjoint
by(unfold-locales)(auto simp add: A-in B-out A-vertex no-loop weight-outside)

lemma currentD-OUT':
  assumes f:  $\text{current } \Gamma f$ 
  and x:  $x \notin A \Gamma$ 
  shows d-OUT f x = 0
using currentD-outside-OUT[OF f, of x] x currentD-OUT[OF f, of x] bipartite-V
by auto

lemma currentD-IN':
  assumes f:  $\text{current } \Gamma f$ 
  and x:  $x \notin B \Gamma$ 

```

```

shows d-IN f x = 0
using currentD-outside-IN[OF f, of x] x currentD-IN[OF f, of x] bipartite-V by
auto

lemma current-bipartiteI [intro?]:
assumes OUT:  $\bigwedge x. d\text{-OUT } f x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ 
and IN:  $\bigwedge x. d\text{-IN } f x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ 
and outside:  $\bigwedge e. e \notin \mathbf{E} \implies f e = 0$ 
shows current  $\Gamma f$ 
proof
  fix x
  assume  $x \notin A \Gamma x \notin B \Gamma$ 
  hence  $d\text{-OUT } f x = 0$  by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0 intro!: outside dest: bipartite-E)
  then show  $d\text{-OUT } f x \leq d\text{-IN } f x$  by simp
qed(rule OUT IN outside)+

lemma wave-bipartiteI [intro?]:
assumes sep: separating  $\Gamma$  (TER f)
and f: current  $\Gamma f$ 
shows wave  $\Gamma f$ 
using sep
proof(rule wave.intros)
  fix x
  assume  $x \notin RF (\text{TER } f)$ 
  then consider  $x \notin \mathbf{V} \mid x \in \mathbf{V} x \in B \Gamma$  using separating-RF-A[OF sep] bipartite-V
  by auto
  then show  $d\text{-OUT } f x = 0$  using currentD-OUT[OF f, of x] currentD-outside-OUT[OF
f, of x]
    by cases auto
qed

```

```

lemma web-flow-iff: web-flow  $\Gamma f \longleftrightarrow \text{current } \Gamma f$ 
using bipartite-V by(auto simp add: web-flow.simps)

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

7 Reductions

```

theory MFMC-Reduction imports
  MFMC-Web
begin

```

7.1 From a web to a bipartite web

```

definition bipartite-web-of :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  ('v + 'v, 'more) web-scheme

```

where

```

bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$  =
  ( $\text{edge} = \lambda uv\ uv'. \text{case } (uv, uv') \text{ of } (\text{Inl } u, \text{Inr } v) \Rightarrow \text{edge } \Gamma\ u\ v \vee u = v \wedge u \in \text{vertices } \Gamma \wedge u \notin A\ \Gamma \wedge v \notin B\ \Gamma \mid - \Rightarrow \text{False}$ ,
    $\text{weight} = \lambda uv. \text{case } uv \text{ of } \text{Inl } u \Rightarrow \text{if } u \in B\ \Gamma \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{weight } \Gamma\ u \mid \text{Inr } u \Rightarrow$ 
    $\text{if } u \in A\ \Gamma \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{weight } \Gamma\ u$ ,
    $A = \text{Inl} ` (\text{vertices } \Gamma - B\ \Gamma)$ ,
    $B = \text{Inr} ` (- A\ \Gamma)$ ,
   ... =  $\text{web.more } \Gamma$ )

```

lemma bipartite-web-of-sel [simp]: fixes Γ (structure) shows

```

 $\text{edge } (\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma) (\text{Inl } u) (\text{Inr } v) \longleftrightarrow \text{edge } \Gamma\ u\ v \vee u = v \wedge u \in \mathbf{V} \wedge u \notin A\ \Gamma \wedge v \notin B\ \Gamma$ 
 $\text{edge } (\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma) \ uv (\text{Inl } u) \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
 $\text{edge } (\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma) (\text{Inr } v) \ uv \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
 $\text{weight } (\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma) (\text{Inl } u) = (\text{if } u \in B\ \Gamma \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{weight } \Gamma\ u)$ 
 $\text{weight } (\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma) (\text{Inr } v) = (\text{if } v \in A\ \Gamma \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{weight } \Gamma\ v)$ 
 $A\ (\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma) = \text{Inl} ` (\mathbf{V} - B\ \Gamma)$ 
 $B\ (\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma) = \text{Inr} ` (- A\ \Gamma)$ 
by(simp-all add: bipartite-web-of-def split: sum.split)

```

lemma edge-bipartite-webI1: $\text{edge } \Gamma\ u\ v \implies \text{edge } (\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma) (\text{Inl } u) (\text{Inr } v)$

by(auto)

lemma edge-bipartite-webI2:

```

 $\llbracket u \in \mathbf{V}_\Gamma; u \notin A\ \Gamma; u \notin B\ \Gamma \rrbracket \implies \text{edge } (\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma) (\text{Inl } u) (\text{Inr } u)$ 
by(auto)

```

lemma edge-bipartite-webE:

```

fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
assumes  $\text{edge } (\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma) \ uv\ uv'$ 
obtains  $u\ v$  where  $uv = \text{Inl } u\ uv' = \text{Inr } v$   $\text{edge } \Gamma\ u\ v$ 
   $| u$  where  $uv = \text{Inl } u\ uv' = \text{Inr } u$   $u \in \mathbf{V}\ u \notin A\ \Gamma\ u \notin B\ \Gamma$ 
using assms by(cases uv uv' rule: sum.exhaust[case-product sum.exhaust]) auto

```

lemma E-bipartite-web:

```

fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure) shows
 $E_{\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma} = (\lambda(x, y). (\text{Inl } x, \text{Inr } y)) ` \mathbf{E} \cup (\lambda x. (\text{Inl } x, \text{Inr } x)) ` (\mathbf{V} - A\ \Gamma - B\ \Gamma)$ 
by(auto elim: edge-bipartite-webE)

```

context web begin

lemma vertex-bipartite-web [simp]:

```

 $\text{vertex } (\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma) (\text{Inl } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{vertex } \Gamma\ x \wedge x \notin B\ \Gamma$ 
 $\text{vertex } (\text{bipartite-web-of } \Gamma) (\text{Inr } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{vertex } \Gamma\ x \wedge x \notin A\ \Gamma$ 
by(auto 4 4 simp add: vertex-def dest: B-out A-in intro: edge-bipartite-webI1 edge-bipartite-webI2 elim!: edge-bipartite-webE)

```

```

definition separating-of-bipartite :: ('v + 'v) set  $\Rightarrow$  'v set
where
  separating-of-bipartite S =
    (let A-S = Inl -` S; B-S = Inr -` S in (A-S  $\cap$  B-S)  $\cup$  (A  $\Gamma$   $\cap$  A-S)  $\cup$  (B  $\Gamma$   $\cap$  B-S))

context
  fixes S :: ('v + 'v) set
  assumes sep: separating (bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$ ) S
begin

Proof of separation follows [1]

lemma separating-of-bipartite-aux:
  assumes p: path  $\Gamma$  x p y and y: y  $\in$  B  $\Gamma$ 
  and x: x  $\in$  A  $\Gamma$   $\vee$  Inr x  $\in$  S
  shows ( $\exists z \in$  set p. z  $\in$  separating-of-bipartite S)  $\vee$  x  $\in$  separating-of-bipartite S
proof(cases p = [])
  case True
  with p have x = y by cases auto
  with y x have x  $\in$  separating-of-bipartite S using disjoint
    by(auto simp add: separating-of-bipartite-def Let-def)
  thus ?thesis ..

next
  case nNil: False
  define inmarked where inmarked x  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\in$  A  $\Gamma$   $\vee$  Inr x  $\in$  S for x
  define outmarked where outmarked x  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\in$  B  $\Gamma$   $\vee$  Inl x  $\in$  S for x
  let ? $\Gamma$  = bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$ 
  let ?double =  $\lambda x$ . inmarked x  $\wedge$  outmarked x
  define tailmarked where tailmarked =  $(\lambda(x, y :: 'v). outmarked x)$ 
  define headmarked where headmarked =  $(\lambda(x :: 'v, y). inmarked y)$ 

  have marked-E: tailmarked e  $\vee$  headmarked e if e  $\in$  E for e — Lemma 1b
  proof(cases e)
    case (Pair x y)
    with that have path ? $\Gamma$  (Inl x) [Inr y] (Inr y) by(auto intro!: rtrancl-path.intros)
    from separatingD[OF sep this] that Pair show ?thesis
      by(fastforce simp add: vertex-def inmarked-def outmarked-def tailmarked-def
        headmarked-def)
  qed

  have  $\exists z \in$  set (x # p). ?double z — Lemma 2
  proof -
    have inmarked ((x # p) ! (i + 1))  $\vee$  outmarked ((x # p) ! i) if i < length p
    for i
      using rtrancl-path-nth[OF p that] marked-E[of ((x # p) ! i, p ! i)] that
      by(auto simp add: tailmarked-def headmarked-def nth-Cons split: nat.split)
      hence {i. i < length p  $\wedge$  inmarked (p ! i)}  $\cup$  {i. i < length (x # butlast p)  $\wedge$ 
        outmarked ((x # butlast p) ! i)} = {i. i < length p}

```

```

(is ?in ∪ ?out = -) using nNil
  by(force simp add: nth-Cons' nth-butlast elim: meta-allE[where x=0] cong
del: old.nat.case-cong-weak)
  hence length p + 2 = card (?in ∪ ?out) + 2 by simp
  also have ... ≤ (card ?in + 1) + (card ?out + 1) by(simp add: card-Un-le)
  also have card ?in = card ((λi. Inl (i + 1) :: - + nat) ` ?in)
    by(rule card-image[symmetric])(simp add: inj-on-def)
  also have ... + 1 = card (insert (Inl 0) {Inl (Suc i) :: - + nat | i. i < length
p ∧ inmarked (p ! i)})
    by(subst card-insert-if)(auto intro!: arg-cong[where f=card])
  also have ... = card {Inl i :: nat + nat | i. i < length (x # p) ∧ inmarked ((x
# p) ! i)} (is - = card ?in)
    using x by(intro arg-cong[where f=card])(auto simp add: nth-Cons in-
marked-def split: nat.split-asm)
  also have card ?out = card ((Inr :: - ⇒ nat + -) ` ?out) by(simp add:
card-image)
  also have ... + 1 = card (insert (Inr (length p)) {Inr i :: nat + - | i. i < length
p ∧ outmarked ((x # p) ! i)})
    using nNil by(subst card-insert-if)(auto intro!: arg-cong[where f=card] simp
add: nth-Cons nth-butlast cong: nat.case-cong)
  also have ... = card {Inr i :: nat + - | i. i < length (x # p) ∧ outmarked ((x
# p) ! i)} (is - = card ?out)
    using nNil rtranc1-path-last[OF p nNil] y
    by(intro arg-cong[where f=card])(auto simp add: outmarked-def last-conv-nth)
  also have card ?in + card ?out = card (?in ∪ ?out)
    by(rule card-Un-disjoint[symmetric]) auto
  also let ?f = case-sum id id
  have ?f ` (?in ∪ ?out) ⊆ {i. i < length (x # p)} by auto
  from card-mono[OF - this] have card (?f ` (?in ∪ ?out)) ≤ length p + 1 by
simp
  ultimately have ¬ inj-on ?f (?in ∪ ?out) by(intro pigeonhole) simp
  then obtain i where i < length (x # p) ?double ((x # p) ! i)
    by(auto simp add: inj-on-def)
  thus ?thesis by(auto simp add: set-conv-nth)
qed
moreover have z ∈ separating-of-bipartite S if ?double z for z using that disjoint
  by(auto simp add: separating-of-bipartite-def Let-def inmarked-def outmarked-def)
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma separating-of-bipartite:
  separating Γ (separating-of-bipartite S)
  by(rule separating-gen.intros)(erule (1) separating-of-bipartite-aux; simp)

end

lemma current-bipartite-web-finite:
  assumes f: current (bipartite-web-of Γ) f (is current ?Γ -)
  shows f e ≠ ⊤

```

```

proof(cases e)
  case (Pair x y)
    have f e ≤ d-OUT f x unfolding Pair d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-ge-point)
    simp
    also have ... ≤ weight ?T x by(rule currentD-weight-OUT[OF f])
    also have ... < T by(cases x)(simp-all add: less-top[symmetric])
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed

definition current-of-bipartite :: ('v + 'v) current ⇒ 'v current
where current-of-bipartite f = (λ(x, y). f (Inl x, Inr y) * indicator E (x, y))

lemma current-of-bipartite-simps [simp]: current-of-bipartite f (x, y) = f (Inl x,
Inr y) * indicator E (x, y)
by(simp add: current-of-bipartite-def)

lemma d-OUT-current-of-bipartite:
  assumes f: current (bipartite-web-of Γ) f
  shows d-OUT (current-of-bipartite f) x = d-OUT f (Inl x) − f (Inl x, Inr x)
proof −
  have d-OUT (current-of-bipartite f) x = ∫+ y. f (Inl x, y) * indicator E (x,
projr y) ∂count-space (range Inr)
  by(simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ... = d-OUT f (Inl x) − ∫+ y. f (Inl x, y) * indicator {Inr x} y
  ∂count-space UNIV (is - = - - ?rest)
  unfolding d-OUT-def by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])(auto 4 4 simp add:
  current-bipartite-web-finite[OF f] AE-count-space nn-integral-count-space-indicator
  no-loop split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong intro: currentD-outside[OF f]
  elim: edge-bipartite-webE)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma d-IN-current-of-bipartite:
  assumes f: current (bipartite-web-of Γ) f
  shows d-IN (current-of-bipartite f) x = d-IN f (Inr x) − f (Inl x, Inr x)
proof −
  have d-IN (current-of-bipartite f) x = ∫+ y. f (y, Inr x) * indicator E (projl y,
x) ∂count-space (range Inl)
  by(simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ... = d-IN f (Inr x) − ∫+ y. f (y, Inr x) * indicator {Inl x} y
  ∂count-space UNIV (is - = - - ?rest)
  unfolding d-IN-def by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])(auto 4 4 simp add:
  current-bipartite-web-finite[OF f] AE-count-space nn-integral-count-space-indicator
  no-loop split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong intro: currentD-outside[OF f]
  elim: edge-bipartite-webE)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma current-current-of-bipartite: — Lemma 6.3

```

```

assumes f: current (bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$ ) f (is current  $\mathcal{?}\Gamma$  -)
and w: wave (bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$ ) f
shows current  $\Gamma$  (current-of-bipartite f) (is current -  $\mathcal{?}f$ )
proof
fix x
have d-OUT  $\mathcal{?}f x \leq d\text{-OUT } f (\mathit{Inl} x)$ 
by(simp add: d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF f] diff-le-self-ennreal)
also have ...  $\leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ 
using currentD-weight-OUT[OF f, of Inl x]
by(simp split: if-split-asm)
finally show d-OUT  $\mathcal{?}f x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$  .
next
fix x
have d-IN  $\mathcal{?}f x \leq d\text{-IN } f (\mathit{Inr} x)$ 
by(simp add: d-IN-current-of-bipartite[OF f] diff-le-self-ennreal)
also have ...  $\leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ 
using currentD-weight-IN[OF f, of Inr x]
by(simp split: if-split-asm)
finally show d-IN  $\mathcal{?}f x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$  .
next
have OUT: d-OUT  $\mathcal{?}f b = 0$  if  $b \in B \Gamma$  for b using that
by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0 intro!: currentD-outside[OF f] dest: B-out)
show d-OUT  $\mathcal{?}f x \leq d\text{-IN } \mathcal{?}f x$  if  $A: x \notin A \Gamma$  for x
proof(cases x ∈ B Γ ∨ x ∉ V)
case True
then show ?thesis
proof
assume x ∈ B Γ
with OUT[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
next
assume x ∉ V
hence d-OUT  $\mathcal{?}f x = 0$  by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def vertex-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0 intro!: currentD-outside[OF f])
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
next
case B [simplified]: False
have d-OUT  $\mathcal{?}f x = d\text{-OUT } f (\mathit{Inl} x) - f (\mathit{Inl} x, \mathit{Inr} x)$  (is - = - -  $\mathcal{?}rest$ )
by(simp add: d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF f])
also have d-OUT f (Inl x)  $\leq d\text{-IN } f (\mathit{Inr} x)$ 
proof(rule econtr)
assume  $\neg$  ?thesis
hence *: d-IN f (Inr x) < d-OUT f (Inl x) by(simp add: not-less)
also have ...  $\leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$  using currentD-weight-OUT[OF f, of Inl x] B
by simp
finally have Inr x ∉ TER $\mathcal{?}\Gamma$  f using A by(auto elim!: SAT.cases)
moreover have Inl x ∉ TER $\mathcal{?}\Gamma$  f using * by(auto simp add: SINK.simps)
moreover have path  $\mathcal{?}\Gamma$  (Inl x) [Inr x] (Inr x)

```

```

by(rule rtrancl-path.step)(auto intro!: rtrancl-path.base simp add: no-loop A
B)
ultimately show False using waveD-separating[OF w] A B by(auto dest!:
separatingD)
qed
hence d-OUT f (Inl x) - ?rest ≤ d-IN f (Inr x) - ?rest by(rule ennreal-minus-mono)
simp
also have ... = d-IN ?f x by(simp add: d-IN-current-of-bipartite[OF f])
finally show ?thesis .
qed
show ?f e = 0 if e ∈ E for e using that by(cases e)(auto)
qed

lemma TER-current-of-bipartite: — Lemma 6.3
assumes f: current (bipartite-web-of Γ) f (is current ?Γ -)
and w: wave (bipartite-web-of Γ) f
shows TER (current-of-bipartite f) = separating-of-bipartite (TERbipartite-web-of Γ
f)
(is TER ?f = separating-of-bipartite ?TER)
proof(rule set-eqI)
fix x
consider (A) x ∈ A Γ x ∈ V | (B) x ∈ B Γ x ∈ V
| (inner) x ∉ A Γ x ∉ B Γ x ∈ V | (outside) x ∉ V by auto
thus x ∈ TER ?f ←→ x ∈ separating-of-bipartite ?TER
proof cases
case A
hence d-OUT ?f x = d-OUT f (Inl x) using currentD-outside[OF f, of Inl x
Inr x]
by(simp add: d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF f] no-loop)
thus ?thesis using A disjoint
by(auto simp add: separating-of-bipartite-def Let-def SINK.simps intro!: SAT.A
imageI)
next
case B
then have d-IN ?f x = d-IN f (Inr x)
using currentD-outside[OF f, of Inl x Inr x]
by(simp add: d-IN-current-of-bipartite[OF f] no-loop)
moreover have d-OUT ?f x = 0 using B currentD-outside[OF f, of Inl x Inr
x]
by(simp add: d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF f] no-loop)(auto simp add:
d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0 intro!: currentD-outside[OF
f] elim!: edge-bipartite-webE dest: B-out)
moreover have d-OUT f (Inr x) = 0 using B disjoint by(intro currentD-OUT[OF
f]) auto
ultimately show ?thesis using B
by(auto simp add: separating-of-bipartite-def Let-def SINK.simps SAT.simps)
next
case outside
with current-current-of-bipartite[OF f w] have d-OUT ?f x = 0 d-IN ?f x = 0

```

```

by(rule currentD-outside-OUT currentD-outside-IN) +
moreover from outside have Inl xnotin vertices ?T Inr xnotin vertices ?T by auto
hence d-OUT f (Inl x) = 0 d-IN f (Inl x) = 0 d-OUT f (Inr x) = 0 d-IN f
(Inr x) = 0
by(blast intro: currentD-outside-OUT[OF f] currentD-outside-IN[OF f]) +
ultimately show ?thesis using outside weight-outside[of x]
by(auto simp add: separating-of-bipartite-def Let-def SINK.simps SAT.simps
not-le)
next
case inner
show ?thesis
proof
assume x ∈ separating-of-bipartite ?TER
with inner have l: Inl x ∈ ?TER and r: Inr x ∈ ?TER
by(auto simp add: separating-of-bipartite-def Let-def)
have f (Inl x, Inr x) ≤ d-OUT f (Inl x)
unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-ge-point) simp
with l have 0: f (Inl x, Inr x) = 0
by(auto simp add: SINK.simps)
with l have x ∈ SINK ?f by(simp add: SINK.simps d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF
f])
moreover from r have Inr x ∈ SAT ?T f by auto
with inner have x ∈ SAT ?f
by(auto elim!: SAT.cases intro!: SAT.IN simp add: 0 d-IN-current-of-bipartite[OF
f])
ultimately show x ∈ TER ?f by simp
next
assume *: x ∈ TER ?f
have d-IN f (Inr x) ≤ weight ?T (Inr x) using f by(rule currentD-weight-IN)
also have ... ≤ weight ?T (Inr x) using inner by simp
also have ... ≤ d-IN ?f x using inner * by(auto elim: SAT.cases)
also have ... ≤ d-IN f (Inr x)
by(simp add: d-IN-current-of-bipartite[OF f] max-def diff-le-self-ennreal)
ultimately have eq: d-IN ?f x = d-IN f (Inr x) by simp
hence 0: f (Inl x, Inr x) = 0
using ennreal-minus-cancel-iff[of d-IN f (Inr x) f (Inl x, Inr x) 0] cur-
rentD-weight-IN[OF f, of Inr x] inner
d-IN-ge-point[of f Inl x Inr x]
by(auto simp add: d-IN-current-of-bipartite[OF f] top-unique)
have Inl x ∈ ?TER Inr x ∈ ?TER using inner * currentD-OUT[OF f, of
Inr x]
by(auto simp add: SAT.simps SINK.simps d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF
f] 0 eq)
thus x ∈ separating-of-bipartite ?TER unfolding separating-of-bipartite-def
Let-def by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *wave-current-of-bipartite*: — Lemma 6.3
assumes f : *current (bipartite-web-of Γ) f* (**is current** $?{\Gamma}$ -)
and w : *wave (bipartite-web-of Γ) f*
shows *wave Γ (current-of-bipartite f)* (**is wave** - $?f$)

proof

- have** sep' : *separating Γ (separating-of-bipartite (TER $?{\Gamma} f$))*
by(rule *separating-of-bipartite*)(rule *waveD-separating[OF w]*)
- then show** sep : *separating Γ (TER (current-of-bipartite f))*
by(*simp add: TER-current-of-bipartite[OF f w]*)

fix x
assume $x \notin RF$ (*TER ?f*)
then obtain $p y$ **where** p : *path $\Gamma x p y$* **and** $y \in B \Gamma$ **and** $x: x \notin TER ?f$
and *bypass*: $\bigwedge z. z \in set p \implies z \notin TER ?f$
by(*auto simp add: roofed-def elim: rtranc1-path-distinct*)
from p **obtain** p' **where** p' : *path $\Gamma x p' y$* **and** *distinct*: *distinct (x # p')*
and *subset*: *set p' ⊆ set p* **by**(*auto elim: rtranc1-path-distinct*)
consider (*outside*) $x \notin \mathbf{V} \mid (A) x \in A \Gamma \mid (B) x \in B \Gamma \mid (\text{inner}) x \in \mathbf{V} x \notin A$
 $\Gamma x \notin B \Gamma$ **by** *auto*
then show *d-OUT ?f x = 0*
proof cases

- case** *outside*
with $f w$ **show** *?thesis* **by**(rule *currentD-outside-OUT[OF current-current-of-bipartite]*)
- next**
 - case** *A*
from *separatingD[OF sep p A y]* *bypass have* $x \in TER ?f$ **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis* **by**(*simp add: SINK.simps*)
 - next**
 - case** *B*
with $f w$ **show** *?thesis* **by**(rule *currentD-OUT[OF current-current-of-bipartite]*)
 - next**
 - case** *inner*
hence *path ?Γ (Inl x) [Inr x] (Inr x)* **by**(*auto intro!: rtranc1-path.intros*)
from *inner waveD-separating[OF w, THEN separatingD, OF this]*
consider (*Inl*) *Inl x ∈ TER ?Γ f* \mid (*Inr*) *Inr x ∈ TER ?Γ f* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
proof cases
 - case** *Inl*
thus *?thesis*
by(*auto simp add: SINK.simps d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF f] max-def*)
 - next**
 - case** *Inr*
with *separating-of-bipartite-aux[OF waveD-separating[OF w] p y]* x *bypass*
have *False unfolding TER-current-of-bipartite[OF f w]* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis ..*

qed
qed
qed

```

end

context countable-web begin

lemma countable-bipartite-web-of: countable-bipartite-web (bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$ ) (is
countable-bipartite-web ? $\Gamma$ )
proof
show  $V_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma} \subseteq A_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma} \cup B_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma}$ 
apply(rule subsetI)
subgoal for  $x$  by(cases  $x$ ) auto
done
show  $A_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma} \subseteq V_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma}$  by auto
show  $x \in A_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma} \wedge y \in B_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma}$  if edge  $\mathcal{G}\Gamma x y$  for  $x y$  using that
by(cases  $x y$  rule: sum.exhaust[case-product sum.exhaust])(auto simp add:
inj-image-mem-iff vertex-def B-out A-in)
show  $A_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma} \cap B_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma} = \{\}$  by auto
show countable  $E_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma}$  by(simp add: E-bipartite-web)
show weight ? $\Gamma$   $x \neq \top$  for  $x$  by(cases  $x$ ) simp-all
show weight (bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$ )  $x = 0$  if  $x \notin V_{\mathcal{G}\Gamma}$  for  $x$  using that
by(cases  $x$ )(auto simp add: weight-outside)
qed

end

context web begin

lemma unhindered-bipartite-web-of:
assumes loose: loose  $\Gamma$ 
shows  $\neg$  hindered (bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$ )
proof
assume hindered (bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$ ) (is hindered ? $\Gamma$ )
then obtain  $f$  where  $f$ : current ? $\Gamma$   $f$  and  $w$ : wave ? $\Gamma$   $f$  and  $hind$ : hindrance
? $\Gamma$   $f$  by cases
from  $f w$  have current  $\Gamma$  (current-of-bipartite  $f$ ) by(rule current-current-of-bipartite)
moreover from  $f w$  have wave  $\Gamma$  (current-of-bipartite  $f$ ) by(rule wave-current-of-bipartite)
ultimately have  $*: current-of-bipartite f = zero-current$  by(rule looseD-wave[OF
loose])
have zero:  $f (Inl x, Inr y) = 0$  if  $x \neq y$  for  $x y$  using that *[THEN fun-cong,
of  $(x, y)$ ]
by(cases edge  $\Gamma x y$ )(auto intro: currentD-outside[OF  $f$ ])

have OUT:  $d\text{-OUT } f (Inl x) = f (Inl x, Inr x)$  for  $x$ 
proof -
have  $d\text{-OUT } f (Inl x) = (\sum^+ y. f (Inl x, y) * indicator \{Inr x\} y)$  unfolding
d-OUT-def
using zero currentD-outside[OF  $f$ ]
apply(intro nn-integral-cong)
subgoal for  $y$  by(cases  $y$ )(auto split: split-indicator)
done

```

```

also have ... = f (Inl x, Inr x) by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed
have IN: d-IN f (Inr x) = f (Inl x, Inr x) for x
proof -
  have d-IN f (Inr x) = ( $\sum^+ y. f (y, Inr x) * indicator \{Inl x\} y$ ) unfolding
d-IN-def
  using zero currentD-outside[OF f]
  apply(intro nn-integral-cong)
  subgoal for y by(cases y)(auto split: split-indicator)
    done
  also have ... = f (Inl x, Inr x) by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

let ?TER = TER $_{\mathcal{T}}$  f
from hind obtain a where a: a ∈ A  $\mathcal{T}$  and nE: a ∉ E $_{\mathcal{T}}$  (TER $_{\mathcal{T}}$  f)
  and OUT-a: d-OUT f a < weight  $\mathcal{T}$  a by cases
from a obtain a' where a': a = Inl a' and v: vertex  $\Gamma$  a' and b: a' ∉ B  $\Gamma$  by
auto
have A: a' ∈ A  $\Gamma$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume A: a' ∉ A  $\Gamma$ 
  hence edge  $\mathcal{T}$  (Inl a') (Inr a') using v b by simp
  hence p: path  $\mathcal{T}$  (Inl a') [Inr a'] (Inr a') by(simp add: rtrancl-path-simps)
  from separatingD[OF waveD-separating[OF w] this] b v A
  have Inl a' ∈ ?TER ∨ Inr a' ∈ ?TER by auto
  thus False
  proof cases
    case left
    hence d-OUT f (Inl a') = 0 by(simp add: SINK.simps)
    moreover hence d-IN f (Inr a') = 0 by(simp add: OUT IN)
    ultimately have Inr a' ∉ ?TER using v b A OUT-a a' by(auto simp add:
SAT.simps)
    then have essential  $\mathcal{T}$  (B  $\mathcal{T}$ ) ?TER (Inl a') using A
      by(intro essentialI[OF p]) simp-all
    with nE left a' show False by simp
  next
    case right
    hence d-IN f (Inr a') = weight  $\Gamma$  a' using A by(auto simp add: cur-
rentD-SAT[OF f])
    hence d-OUT f (Inl a') = weight  $\Gamma$  a' by(simp add: IN OUT)
    with OUT-a a' b show False by simp
  qed
qed
moreover

from A have d-OUT f (Inl a') = 0 using currentD-outside[OF f, of Inl a' Inr
a']

```

```

by(simp add: OUT no-loop)
with b v have TER: Inl a' ∈ ?TER by(simp add: SAT.A SINK.simps)
with nE a' have ness: ¬ essential ?Γ (B ?Γ) ?TER (Inl a') by simp

have a' ∉ E (TER zero-current)
proof
  assume a' ∈ E (TER zero-current)
  then obtain p y where p: path Γ a' p y and y: y ∈ B Γ
    and bypass: ⋀z. z ∈ set p ⟹ z ∉ TER zero-current
    by(rule E-E-RF)(auto intro: roofed-greaterI)

from p show False
proof cases
  case base with y A disjoint show False by auto
next
  case (step x p')
  from step(2) have path ?Γ (Inl a') [Inr x] (Inr x) by(simp add: rtrancl-path-simps)
  from not-essentialD[OF ness this] bypass[of x] step(1)
  have Inr x ∈ ?TER by simp
  with bypass[of x] step(1) have d-IN f (Inr x) > 0
    by(auto simp add: currentD-SAT[OF f] zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
  hence x: Inl x ∉ ?TER by(auto simp add: SINK.simps OUT IN)
  from step(1) have set (x # p') ⊆ set p by auto
  with ⟨path Γ x p' y⟩ x y show False
  proof induction
    case (base x)
    thus False using currentD-outside-IN[OF f, of Inl x] currentD-outside-OUT[OF
      f, of Inl x]
      by(auto simp add: currentD-SAT[OF f] SINK.simps dest!: bypass)
  next
    case (step x z p' y)
    from step.prems(3) bypass[of x] weight-outside[of x] have x: vertex Γ x
    by(auto)
      from ⟨edge Γ x z⟩ have path ?Γ (Inl x) [Inr z] (Inr z) by(simp add:
        rtrancl-path-simps)
      from separatingD[OF waveD-separating[OF w] this] step.prems(1) step.prems(3)
      bypass[of z] x ⟨edge Γ x z⟩
        have Inr z ∈ ?TER by(force simp add: B-out inj-image-mem-iff)
        with bypass[of z] step.prems(3) ⟨edge Γ x z⟩ have d-IN f (Inr z) > 0
          by(auto simp add: currentD-SAT[OF f] A-in zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
        hence x: Inl z ∉ ?TER by(auto simp add: SINK.simps OUT IN)
        with step.IH[OF this] step.prems(2,3) show False by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
moreover have d-OUT zero-current a' < weight Γ a'
  using OUT-a a' b by (auto simp: zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
ultimately have hindrance Γ zero-current by(rule hindrance)
with looseD-hindrance[OF loose] show False by contradiction

```

qed

```
lemma (in -) divide-less-1-iff-ennreal: a / b < (1::ennreal)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (0 < b  $\wedge$  a < b
 $\vee$  b = 0  $\wedge$  a = 0  $\vee$  b = top)
  by (cases a; cases b; cases b = 0)
    (auto simp: divide-ennreal ennreal-less-iff ennreal-top-divide)

lemma linkable-bipartite-web-ofD:
  assumes link: linkable (bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$ ) (is linkable ? $\Gamma$ )
  and countable: countable E
  shows linkable  $\Gamma$ 
proof -
  from link obtain f where wf: web-flow ? $\Gamma$  f and link: linkage ? $\Gamma$  f by blast
  from wf have f: current ? $\Gamma$  f by(rule web-flowD-current)
  define f' where f' = current-of-bipartite f

  have IN-le-OUT: d-IN f' x  $\leq$  d-OUT f' x if x  $\notin$  B  $\Gamma$  for x
  proof(cases x ∈ V)
    case True
    have d-IN f' x = d-IN f (Inr x) - f (Inl x, Inr x) (is - = - - ?rest)
      by(simp add: f'-def d-IN-current-of-bipartite[OF f])
    also have ...  $\leq$  weight ? $\Gamma$  (Inr x) - ?rest
      using currentD-weight-IN[OF f, of Inr x] by(rule ennreal-minus-mono) simp
    also have ...  $\leq$  weight ? $\Gamma$  (Inl x) - ?rest using that ennreal-minus-mono
    by(auto)
    also have weight ? $\Gamma$  (Inl x) = d-OUT f (Inl x) using that linkageD[OF link,
    of Inl x] True by auto
    also have ... - ?rest = d-OUT f' x
      by(simp add: f'-def d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF f])
    finally show ?thesis .
  next
    case False
    with currentD-outside-OUT[OF f, of Inl x] currentD-outside-IN[OF f, of Inr
    x]
    show ?thesis by(simp add: f'-def d-IN-current-of-bipartite[OF f] d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF
    f])
    qed
    have link: linkage ? $\Gamma$  f'
    proof
      show d-OUT f' a = weight ? $\Gamma$  a if a ∈ A  $\Gamma$  for a
      proof(cases a ∈ V)
        case True
        from that have a  $\notin$  B  $\Gamma$  using disjoint by auto
        with that True linkageD[OF link, of Inl a] ennreal-minus-cancel-iff[of - - 0]
        currentD-outside[OF f, of Inl a Inr a]
        show ?thesis by(clarsimp simp add: f'-def d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF f]
        max-def no-loop)
      next
        case False
```

```

with weight-outside[OF this] currentD-outside[OF f, of Inl a Inr a] cur-
rentD-outside-OUT[OF f, of Inl a]
show ?thesis by(simp add: f'-def d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF f] no-loop)
qed
qed

define F where F = {g. ( $\forall e. 0 \leq g e$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall e. e \notin \mathbf{E} \rightarrow g e = 0$ )  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall x. x \notin B \Gamma \rightarrow d\text{-IN } g x \leq d\text{-OUT } g x$ )  $\wedge$ 
linkage  $\Gamma$  g  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall x \in A \Gamma. d\text{-IN } g x = 0$ )  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall x. d\text{-OUT } g x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ )  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall x. d\text{-IN } g x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ )  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall x \in B \Gamma. d\text{-OUT } g x = 0$ )  $\wedge$  g  $\leq f'$ }
define leq where leq = restrict-rel F {(f, f'). f'  $\leq$  f}
have F: Field leq = F by(auto simp add: leq-def)
have F-I [intro?]: f  $\in$  Field leq if  $\wedge e. 0 \leq f e$  and  $\wedge e. e \notin \mathbf{E} \Rightarrow f e = 0$ 
and  $\wedge x. x \notin B \Gamma \Rightarrow d\text{-IN } f x \leq d\text{-OUT } f x$  and linkage  $\Gamma$  f
and  $\wedge x. x \in A \Gamma \Rightarrow d\text{-IN } f x = 0$  and  $\wedge x. d\text{-OUT } f x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ 
and  $\wedge x. d\text{-IN } f x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$  and  $\wedge x. x \in B \Gamma \Rightarrow d\text{-OUT } f x = 0$ 
and f  $\leq f'$  for f using that by(simp add: F F-def)
have F-nonneg:  $0 \leq f e$  if f  $\in$  Field leq for f e using that by(cases e)(simp add:
F F-def)
have F-outside: f e = 0 if f  $\in$  Field leq e  $\notin \mathbf{E}$  for f e using that by(cases e)(simp
add: F F-def)
have F-IN-OUT: d-IN f x  $\leq$  d-OUT f x if f  $\in$  Field leq x  $\notin B \Gamma$  for f x using
that by(simp add: F F-def)
have F-link: linkage  $\Gamma$  f if f  $\in$  Field leq for f using that by(simp add: F F-def)
have F-IN: d-IN f x = 0 if f  $\in$  Field leq x  $\in A \Gamma$  for f x using that by(simp
add: F F-def)
have F-OUT: d-OUT f x = 0 if f  $\in$  Field leq x  $\in B \Gamma$  for f x using that by(simp
add: F F-def)
have F-weight-OUT: d-OUT f x  $\leq$  weight  $\Gamma$  x if f  $\in$  Field leq for f x using that
by(simp add: F F-def)
have F-weight-IN: d-IN f x  $\leq$  weight  $\Gamma$  x if f  $\in$  Field leq for f x using that
by(simp add: F F-def)
have F-le: f e  $\leq f' e$  if f  $\in$  Field leq for f e using that by(cases e)(simp add: F
F-def le-fun-def)

have F-finite-OUT: d-OUT f x  $\neq \top$  if f  $\in$  Field leq for f x
proof -
  have d-OUT f x  $\leq$  weight  $\Gamma$  x by(rule F-weight-OUT[OF that])
  also have ... <  $\top$  by(simp add: less-top[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

have F-finite: f e  $\neq \top$  if f  $\in$  Field leq for f e
proof(cases e)
  case (Pair x y)
  have f e  $\leq d\text{-OUT } f x$  unfolding Pair d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-ge-point)

```

```

simp
  also have ... < ⊤ by(simp add: F-finite-OUT[OF that] less-top[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

have f': f' ∈ Field leq
proof
  show 0 ≤ f' e for e by(cases e)(simp add: f'-def)
  show f' e = 0 if e ∉ E for e using that by(clarsimp split: split-indicator-asm
simp add: f'-def)
  show d-IN f' x ≤ d-OUT f' x if x ∉ B Γ for x using that by(rule IN-le-OUT)
  show linkage Γ f' by(rule link)
  show d-IN f' x = 0 if x ∈ A Γ for x using that currentD-IN[OF f, of Inl x]
disjoint
  currentD-outside[OF f, of Inl x Inr x] currentD-outside-IN[OF f, of Inr x]
  by(cases x ∈ V)(auto simp add: d-IN-current-of-bipartite[OF f] no-loop f'-def)
  show d-OUT f' x = 0 if x ∈ B Γ for x using that currentD-OUT[OF f, of
Inr x] disjoint
  currentD-outside[OF f, of Inl x Inr x] currentD-outside-OUT[OF f, of Inl x]
  by(cases x ∈ V)(auto simp add: d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF f] no-loop
f'-def)
  show d-OUT f' x ≤ weight Γ x for x using currentD-weight-OUT[OF f, of
Inl x]
  by(simp add: d-OUT-current-of-bipartite[OF f] ennreal-diff-le-mono-left f'-def
split: if-split-asm)
  show d-IN f' x ≤ weight Γ x for x using currentD-weight-IN[OF f, of Inr x]
  by(simp add: d-IN-current-of-bipartite[OF f] ennreal-diff-le-mono-left f'-def
split: if-split-asm)
qed simp

```

have $F\text{-leI}$: $g \in \text{Field leq}$ **if** $f: f \in \text{Field leq}$ **and** $\text{le}: \bigwedge e. g e \leq f e$
and $\text{nonneg}: \bigwedge e. 0 \leq g e$ **and** $\text{IN-OUT}: \bigwedge x. x \notin B \Gamma \implies d\text{-IN } g x \leq d\text{-OUT }$
 $g x$
and $\text{link}: \text{linkage } \Gamma g$
for $f g$

proof

show $g e = 0$ **if** $e \notin E$ **for** e **using** $\text{nonneg}[of e]$ $F\text{-outside}[OF f \text{ that}]$ $\text{le}[of e]$
by simp

show $d\text{-IN } g a = 0$ **if** $a \in A \Gamma$ **for** a
using $d\text{-IN-mono}[of g a f, OF le]$ $F\text{-IN}[OF f \text{ that}]$ **by** auto

show $d\text{-OUT } g b = 0$ **if** $b \in B \Gamma$ **for** b
using $d\text{-OUT-mono}[of g b f, OF le]$ $F\text{-OUT}[OF f \text{ that}]$ **by** auto

show $d\text{-OUT } g x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ **for** x
using $d\text{-OUT-mono}[of g x f, OF le]$ $F\text{-weight-OUT}[OF f]$ **by**(rule order-trans)

show $d\text{-IN } g x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ **for** x
using $d\text{-IN-mono}[of g x f, OF le]$ $F\text{-weight-IN}[OF f]$ **by**(rule order-trans)

show $g \leq f'$ **using** $\text{order-trans}[OF le F\text{-le}[OF f]]$ **by**(auto simp add: le-fun-def)
qed(blast intro: IN-OUT link nonneg)+

```

have chain-Field: Inf M ∈ F if M: M ∈ Chains leq and nempty: M ≠ {} for M
proof -
  from nempty obtain g0 where g0-in-M: g0 ∈ M by auto
  with M have g0: g0 ∈ Field leq by(rule Chains-FieldD)

  from M have M ∈ Chains {(g, g'). g' ≤ g}
  by(rule mono-Chains[THEN subsetD, rotated])(auto simp add: leq-def in-restrict-rel-iff)
  then have Complete-Partial-Order.chain (≥) M by(rule Chains-into-chain)
  hence chain': Complete-Partial-Order.chain (≤) M by(simp add: chain-dual)

  have support-flow f' ⊆ E using F-outside[OF f'] by(auto intro: ccontr simp
  add: support-flow.simps)
  then have countable': countable (support-flow f')
  by(rule countable-subset)(simp add: E-bipartite-web countable V-def)

  have finite-OUT: d-OUT f' x ≠ ⊤ for x using weight-finite[of x]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(rule F-weight-OUT[OF f'])
  have finite-IN: d-IN f' x ≠ ⊤ for x using weight-finite[of x]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(rule F-weight-IN[OF f'])
  have OUT-M: d-OUT (Inf M) x = (INF g∈M. d-OUT g x) for x using chain'
  nempty countable' - finite-OUT
  by(rule d-OUT-Inf)(auto dest!: Chains-FieldD[OF M] simp add: leq-def
  F-nonneg F-le)
  have IN-M: d-IN (Inf M) x = (INF g∈M. d-IN g x) for x using chain' nempty
  countable' - finite-IN
  by(rule d-IN-Inf)(auto dest!: Chains-FieldD[OF M] simp add: leq-def F-nonneg
  F-le)

  show Inf M ∈ F using g0 unfolding F[symmetric]
  proof(rule F-leI)
    show (Inf M) e ≤ g0 e for e using g0-in-M by(auto intro: INF-lower)
    show 0 ≤ (Inf M) e for e by(auto intro!: INF-greatest dest: F-nonneg dest!:
  Chains-FieldD[OF M])
    show d-IN (Inf M) x ≤ d-OUT (Inf M) x if x ∉ B Γ for x using that
    by(auto simp add: IN-M OUT-M intro!: INF-mono dest: Chains-FieldD[OF
  M] intro: F-IN-OUT[OF - that])
    show linkage Γ (Inf M) using nempty
    by(simp add: linkage.simps OUT-M F-link[THEN linkageD] Chains-FieldD[OF
  M] cong: INF-cong)
    qed
  qed

  let ?P = λg z. z ∉ A Γ ∧ z ∉ B Γ ∧ d-OUT g z > d-IN g z

  define link
  where link g =
  (if ∃z. ?P g z then
    let z = SOME z. ?P g z; factor = d-IN g z / d-OUT g z
    in (λ(x, y). (if x = z then factor else 1) * g (x, y)))

```

```

else g) for g
have increasing: link g ≤ g ∧ link g ∈ Field leq if g: g ∈ Field leq for g
proof(cases ∃ z. ?P g z)
  case False
    thus ?thesis using that by(auto simp add: link-def leq-def)
next
  case True
  define z where z = Eps (?P g)
  from True have ?P g z unfolding z-def by(rule someI-ex)
  hence A: z ∉ A Γ and B: z ∉ B Γ and less: d-IN g z < d-OUT g z by simp-all
  let ?factor = d-IN g z / d-OUT g z
  have link [simp]: link g (x, y) = (if x = z then ?factor else 1) * g (x, y) for x y
    using True by(auto simp add: link-def z-def Let-def)

  have ?factor ≤ 1 (is ?factor ≤ -) using less
    by(cases d-OUT g z d-IN g z rule: ennreal2-cases) (simp-all add: ennreal-less-iff
divide-ennreal)
  hence le': ?factor * g (x, y) ≤ 1 * g (x, y) for y x
    by(rule mult-right-mono)(simp add: F-nonneg[OF g])
  hence le: link g e ≤ g e for e by(cases e)simp
  have link g ∈ Field leq using g le
  proof(rule F-leI)
    show nonneg: 0 ≤ link g e for e
      using F-nonneg[OF g, of e] by(cases e) simp
    show linkage Γ (link g) using that A F-link[OF g] by(clarsimp simp add:
linkage.simps d-OUT-def)

fix x
assume x: x ∉ B Γ
have d-IN (link g) x ≤ d-IN g x unfolding d-IN-def using le' by(auto intro:
nn-integral-mono)
also have ... ≤ d-OUT (link g) x
proof(cases x = z)
  case True
    have d-IN g x = d-OUT (link g) x unfolding d-OUT-def
      using True F-weight-IN[OF g, of x] F-IN-OUT[OF g x] F-finite-OUT
F-finite-OUT[OF g, of x]
        by(cases d-OUT g z = 0)
        (auto simp add: nn-integral-divide nn-integral-cmult d-OUT-def[symmetric]
ennreal-divide-times less-top)
    thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case False
    have d-IN g x ≤ d-OUT g x using x by(rule F-IN-OUT[OF g])
    also have ... ≤ d-OUT (link g) x unfolding d-OUT-def using False
      by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed
finally show d-IN (link g) x ≤ d-OUT (link g) x .

```

```

qed
with le g show ?thesis unfolding F by(simp add: leq-def le-fun-def del: link)
qed

have bourbaki-witt-fixpoint Inf leq link using chain-Field increasing unfolding
leq-def
by(intro bourbaki-witt-fixpoint-restrict-rel)(auto intro: Inf-greatest Inf-lower)
then interpret bourbaki-witt-fixpoint Inf leq link .

define g where g = fixp-above f'

have g: g ∈ Field leq using f' unfolding g-def by(rule fixp-above-Field)
hence linkage Γ g by(rule F-link)
moreover
have web-flow Γ g
proof(intro web-flow.intros current.intros)
show d-OUT g x ≤ weight Γ x for x using g by(rule F-weight-OUT)
show d-IN g x ≤ weight Γ x for x using g by(rule F-weight-IN)
show d-IN g x = 0 if x ∈ A Γ for x using g that by(rule F-IN)
show B: d-OUT g x = 0 if x ∈ B Γ for x using g that by(rule F-OUT)
show g e = 0 if e ∉ E for e using g that by(rule F-outside)

show KIR: KIR g x if A: x ∉ A Γ and B: x ∉ B Γ for x
proof(rule ccontr)
define z where z = Eps (?P g)
assume ¬ KIR g x
with F-IN-OUT[OF g B] have d-OUT g x > d-IN g x by simp
with A B have Ex: ∃ x. ?P g x by blast
then have ?P g z unfolding z-def by(rule someI-ex)
hence A: z ∉ A Γ and B: z ∉ B Γ and less: d-IN g z < d-OUT g z by
simp-all
let ?factor = d-IN g z / d-OUT g z
have ∃ y. edge Γ z y ∧ g(z, y) > 0
proof(rule ccontr)
assume ¬ ?thesis
hence d-OUT g z = 0 using F-outside[OF g]
by(force simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space not-less)
with less show False by simp
qed
then obtain y where y: edge Γ z y and gr0: g(z, y) > 0 by blast
have ?factor < 1 (is ?factor < -) using less
by(cases d-OUT g z d-IN g z rule: ennreal2-cases)
(auto simp add: ennreal-less-iff divide-ennreal)

hence le': ?factor * g(z, y) < 1 * g(z, y) using gr0 F-finite[OF g]
by(intro ennreal-mult-strict-right-mono) (auto simp: less-top)
hence link g(z, y) ≠ g(z, y) using Ex by(auto simp add: link-def z-def
Let-def)
hence link g ≠ g by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff)

```

```

moreover have link g = g using f' unfolding g-def by(rule fixp-above-unfold[symmetric])
ultimately show False by contradiction
qed
show d-OUT g x ≤ d-IN g x if x ∉ A Γ for x using KIR[of x] that B[of x]
by(cases x ∈ B Γ) auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
end

```

7.2 Extending a wave by a linkage

```

lemma linkage-quotient-webD:
fixes Γ :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme (structure) and h g
defines k ≡ plus-current h g
assumes f: current Γ f
and w: wave Γ f
and wg: web-flow (quotient-web Γ f) g (is web-flow ?Γ -)
and link: linkage (quotient-web Γ f) g
and trim: trimming Γ f h
shows web-flow Γ k
and orthogonal-current Γ k (E (TER f))
proof -
from wg have g: current ?Γ g by(rule web-flowD-current)
from trim obtain h: current Γ h and w': wave Γ h and h-le-f: ∀e. h e ≤ f e
and KIR: ∀x. [x ∈ RF° (TER f); x ∉ A Γ] ⇒ KIR h x
and TER: TER h ⊇ E (TER f) - A Γ
by(cases)(auto simp add: le-fun-def)

have eq: quotient-web Γ f = quotient-web Γ h using w trim by(rule quotient-web-trimming)

let ?T = E (TER f)

have RFc: RF° (TER h) = RF° (TER f)
by(subst (1 2) roofed-circ-essential[symmetric])(simp only: trimming-E[OF w trim])
have OUT-k: d-OUT k x = (if x ∈ RF° (TER f) then d-OUT h x else d-OUT g x) for x
using OUT-plus-current[OF h w', of g] web-flowD-current[OF wg] unfolding eq
k-def RFc by simp
have RF: RF (TER h) = RF (TER f)
by(subst (1 2) RF-essential[symmetric])(simp only: trimming-E[OF w trim])
have IN-k: d-IN k x = (if x ∈ RF (TER f) then d-IN h x else d-IN g x) for x
using IN-plus-current[OF h w', of g] web-flowD-current[OF wg] unfolding eq
k-def RF by simp

```

```

have k: current  $\Gamma$   $k$  unfolding  $k\text{-def}$  using  $h w' g$  unfolding  $eq$  by(rule current-plus-current)
then show  $wk$ : web-flow  $\Gamma$   $k$ 
proof(rule web-flow)
fix  $x$ 
assume  $x \in \mathbf{V}$  and  $A: x \notin A \Gamma$  and  $B: x \notin B \Gamma$ 
show  $KIR k x$ 
proof(cases  $x \in \mathcal{E} (TER f)$ )
case False
thus ?thesis using  $A KIR[of x]$  web-flowD-KIR[ $OF wg, of x$ ]  $B$  by(auto simp add: OUT-k IN-k roofed-circ-def)
next
case True
with  $A$  TER have [simp]:  $d\text{-OUT } h x = 0$  and  $d\text{-IN } h x \geq weight \Gamma x$ 
by(auto simp add: SINK.simps elim: SAT.cases)
with currentD-weight-IN[ $OF h, of x$ ] have [simp]:  $d\text{-IN } h x = weight \Gamma x$  by auto
from linkageD[ $OF link, of x$ ] True currentD-IN[ $OF g, of x$ ]  $B$ 
have  $d\text{-OUT } g x = weight \Gamma x$   $d\text{-IN } g x = 0$  by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def)
thus ?thesis using True by(auto simp add: IN-k OUT-k roofed-circ-def intro: roofed-greaterI)
qed
qed

have  $h\text{-le-}k: h e \leq k e$  for  $e$  unfolding  $k\text{-def}$  plus-current-def by(rule add-increasing2)
simp-all
hence  $SAT \Gamma h \subseteq SAT \Gamma k$  by(rule SAT-mono)
hence  $SAT: ?T \subseteq SAT \Gamma k$  using TER by(auto simp add: elim!: SAT.cases intro: SAT.intros)
show orthogonal-current  $\Gamma k ?T$ 
proof(rule orthogonal-current)
show  $weight \Gamma x \leq d\text{-IN } k x$  if  $x \in ?T$   $x \notin A \Gamma$  for  $x$ 
using subsetD[ $OF SAT, of x$ ] that by(auto simp add: currentD-SAT[ $OF k$ ])
next
fix  $x$ 
assume  $x: x \in ?T$  and  $A: x \in A \Gamma$  and  $B: x \notin B \Gamma$ 
with d-OUT-mono[ $of h x f, OF h\text{-le-}f$ ] have  $d\text{-OUT } h x = 0$  by(auto simp add: SINK.simps)
moreover from linkageD[ $OF link, of x$ ]  $x A$  have  $d\text{-OUT } g x = weight ?T x$ 
by simp
ultimately show  $d\text{-OUT } k x = weight \Gamma x$  using  $x A$  currentD-IN[ $OF f A$ ]  $B$ 
by(auto simp add: d-OUT-add roofed-circ-def k-def plus-current-def )
next
fix  $u v$ 
assume  $v: v \in RF ?T$  and  $u: u \notin RF^\circ ?T$ 
have  $h(u, v) \leq f(u, v)$  by(rule h-le-f)
also have ...  $\leq d\text{-OUT } f u$  unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-ge-point)
simp
also have ... = 0 using  $u$  using RF-essential[of  $\Gamma$  TER f]

```

```

by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def SINK.simps intro: waveD-OUT[OF w])
finally have h (u, v) = 0 by simp
moreover have g (u, v) = 0 using g v RF-essential[of Γ TER f]
    by(auto intro: currentD-outside simp add: roofed-circ-def)
ultimately show k (u, v) = 0 by(simp add: k-def)
qed
qed

context countable-web begin

lemma ex-orthogonal-current': — Lemma 4.15
  assumes loose-linkable:  $\bigwedge f. [\text{current } \Gamma f; \text{wave } \Gamma f; \text{loose } (\text{quotient-web } \Gamma f)]$ 
   $\implies \text{linkable } (\text{quotient-web } \Gamma f)$ 
  shows  $\exists f S. \text{web-flow } \Gamma f \wedge \text{separating } \Gamma S \wedge \text{orthogonal-current } \Gamma f S$ 
proof –
  from ex-maximal-wave[OF countable]
  obtain f where f: current Γ f
    and w: wave Γ f
    and maximal:  $\bigwedge w. [\text{current } \Gamma w; \text{wave } \Gamma w; f \leq w] \implies f = w$  by blast
  from ex-trimming[OF f w countable weight-finite] obtain h where h: trimming
   $\Gamma f h ..$ 

  let ?Γ = quotient-web Γ f
  interpret Γ: countable-web ?Γ by(rule countable-web-quotient-web)
  have loose ?Γ using f w maximal by(rule loose-quotient-web[OF weight-finite])
  with f w have linkable ?Γ by(rule loose-linkable)
  then obtain g where wg: web-flow ?Γ g and link: linkage ?Γ g by blast

  let ?k = plus-current h g
  have web-flow Γ ?k orthogonal-current Γ ?k (E (TER f))
    by(rule linkage-quotient-webD[OF f w wg link h])+
  moreover have separating Γ (E (TER f))
    using waveD-separating[OF w] by(rule separating-essential)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

```

7.3 From a network to a web

```

definition web-of-network :: ('v, 'more) network-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  ('v edge, 'more) web-scheme
where
  web-of-network Δ =
    (edge =  $\lambda(x, y) (y', z). y' = y \wedge \text{edge } \Delta x y \wedge \text{edge } \Delta y z,$ 
     weight = capacity Δ,
     A = {(source Δ, x)|x. edge Δ (source Δ) x},
     B = {(x, sink Δ)|x. edge Δ x (sink Δ)},
     ... = network.more Δ)

```

```

lemma web-of-network-sel [simp]:
  fixes  $\Delta$  (structure) shows
    edge (web-of-network  $\Delta$ )  $e e' \longleftrightarrow e \in \mathbf{E} \wedge e' \in \mathbf{E} \wedge \text{snd } e = \text{fst } e'$ 
    weight (web-of-network  $\Delta$ )  $e = \text{capacity } \Delta e$ 
     $A (\text{web-of-network } \Delta) = \{(source \Delta, x) | x. \text{edge } \Delta (\text{source } \Delta) x\}$ 
     $B (\text{web-of-network } \Delta) = \{(x, sink \Delta) | x. \text{edge } \Delta x (\text{sink } \Delta)\}$ 
  by(auto simp add: web-of-network-def split: prod.split)

lemma vertex-web-of-network [simp]:
  vertex (web-of-network  $\Delta$ )  $(x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{edge } \Delta x y \wedge (\exists z. \text{edge } \Delta y z \vee \text{edge } \Delta z x)$ 
  by(auto simp add: vertex-def Domainp.simps Rangep.simps)

definition flow-of-current :: ('v, 'more) network-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v edge current  $\Rightarrow$  'v flow
where flow-of-current  $\Delta f e = \max (d\text{-OUT } f e) (d\text{-IN } f e)$ 

lemma flow-flow-of-current:
  fixes  $\Delta$  (structure) and  $\Gamma$ 
  defines [simp]:  $\Gamma \equiv \text{web-of-network } \Delta$ 
  assumes fw: web-flow  $\Gamma f$ 
  shows flow  $\Delta$  (flow-of-current  $\Delta f$ ) (is flow - ?f)
proof
  from fw have  $f: \text{current } \Gamma f$  and KIR:  $\bigwedge x. [\![ x \notin A \Gamma; x \notin B \Gamma ]\!] \implies KIR f x$ 
  by(auto 4 3 dest: web-flowD-current web-flowD-KIR)

  show ?f  $e \leq \text{capacity } \Delta e$  for e
    using currentD-weight-OUT[ $OF f$ , of e] currentD-weight-IN[ $OF f$ , of e]
    by(simp add: flow-of-current-def)

  fix x
  assume  $x: x \neq \text{source } \Delta x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$ 
  have  $d\text{-OUT } ?f x = (\sum^+ y. d\text{-IN } f (x, y))$  unfolding d-OUT-def
    by(simp add: flow-of-current-def max-absorb2 currentD-OUT-IN[ $OF f$ ] x)
  also have ...  $= (\sum^+ y. \sum^+ e \in \text{range } (\lambda z. (z, x)). f (e, x, y))$ 
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator d-IN-def intro!: nn-integral-cong
    currentD-outside[ $OF f$ ] split: split-indicator)
  also have ...  $= (\sum^+ z \in \text{UNIV}. \sum^+ y. f ((z, x), x, y))$ 
    by(subst nn-integral-snd-count-space[of case-prod -, simplified])
    (simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex nn-integral-fst-count-space[of case-prod
    -, simplified])
  also have ...  $= (\sum^+ z. \sum^+ e \in \text{range } (\text{Pair } x). f ((z, x), e))$ 
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ...  $= (\sum^+ z. d\text{-OUT } f (z, x))$ 
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong currentD-outside[ $OF f$ ] simp add: d-OUT-def
    nn-integral-count-space-indicator split: split-indicator)
  also have ...  $= (\sum^+ z \in \{z. \text{edge } \Delta z x\}. d\text{-OUT } f (z, x))$ 
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong currentD-outside-OUT[ $OF f$ ] simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
    split: split-indicator)

```

```

also have ... = ( $\sum^+ z \in \{z. \text{edge } \Delta z x\}. \max(d\text{-OUT } f(z, x)) (d\text{-IN } f(z, x))$ )
proof(rule nn-integral-cong)
  show  $d\text{-OUT } f(z, x) = \max(d\text{-OUT } f(z, x)) (d\text{-IN } f(z, x))$ 
    if  $z \in \text{space}(\text{count-space } \{z. \text{edge } \Delta z x\})$  for  $z$  using currentD-IN[ $OF f$ ]
  that
    by(cases  $z = \text{source } \Delta$ )(simp-all add: max-absorb1 currentD-IN[ $OF f$ ] KIR
   $x)$ 
  qed
  also have ... = ( $\sum^+ z. \max(d\text{-OUT } f(z, x)) (d\text{-IN } f(z, x))$ )
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong currentD-outside-OUT[ $OF f$ ] currentD-outside-IN[ $OF$ 
   $f$ ] simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator max-def split: split-indicator)
    also have ... =  $d\text{-IN } ?f x$  by(simp add: flow-of-current-def d-IN-def)
    finally show KIR ? $f x$ .
qed

```

The reduction of Conjecture 1.2 to Conjecture 3.6 is flawed in [2]. Not every essential A-B separating set of vertices in *web-of-network* Δ is an s-t-cut in Δ , as the following counterexample shows.

The network Δ has five nodes s, t, x, y and z and edges $(s, x), (x, y), (y, z), (y, t)$ and (z, t) . For *web-of-network* Δ , the set $S = \{(x, y), (y, z)\}$ is essential and A-B separating. $((x, y)$ is essential due to the path $[(y, z)]$ and (y, z) is essential due to the path $[(z, t)]$). However, S is not a cut in Δ because the node y has an outgoing edge that is in S and one that is not in S .

However, this can be remedied if all edges carry positive capacity. Then, orthogonality of the current rules out the above possibility.

```

lemma cut-RF-separating:
  fixes  $\Delta$  (structure)
  assumes sep: separating-network  $\Delta$   $V$ 
  and sink: sink  $\Delta \notin V$ 
  shows cut  $\Delta$  ( $RF^N V$ )
proof
  show source  $\Delta \in RF^N V$  by(rule roofedI)(auto dest: separatingD[ $OF sep$ ])
  show sink  $\Delta \notin RF^N V$  using sink by(auto dest: roofedD[ $OF$  - rtrancl-path.base])
qed

```

```

context
  fixes  $\Delta :: ('v, 'more) \text{network-scheme}$  and  $\Gamma$  (structure)
  defines  $\Gamma\text{-def}: \Gamma \equiv \text{web-of-network } \Delta$ 
begin

```

```

lemma separating-network-cut-of-sep:
  assumes sep: separating  $\Gamma S$ 
  and source-sink: source  $\Delta \neq \text{sink } \Delta$ 
  shows separating-network  $\Delta$  (fst ' $\mathcal{E} S$ )
```

proof
define $s t$ **where** $s = \text{source } \Delta$ **and** $t = \text{sink } \Delta$
fix p

```

assume p: path  $\Delta$  s p t
with p source-sink have p  $\neq \emptyset$  by cases(auto simp add: s-def t-def)
with p have p': path  $\Gamma$  (s, hd p) (zip p (tl p)) (last (s # butlast p), t)
proof(induction)
  case (step x y p z)
  then show ?case by(cases p)(auto elim: rtrancl-path.cases intro: rtrancl-path.intros
simp add:  $\Gamma$ -def)
  qed simp
  from sep have separating  $\Gamma$  ( $\mathcal{E}$  S) by(rule separating-essential)
  from this p' have ( $\exists z \in set (zip p (tl p)). z \in \mathcal{E} S \vee (s, hd p) \in \mathcal{E} S$ 
  apply(rule separatingD)
    using rtrancl-path-nth[OF p, of 0] rtrancl-path-nth[OF p, of length p - 1] ‹p
 $\neq \emptyset$ › rtrancl-path-last[OF p]
    apply(auto simp add:  $\Gamma$ -def s-def t-def hd-conv-nth last-conv-nth nth-butlast
nth-Cons' cong: if-cong split: if-split-asm)
    apply(metis One-nat-def Suc-leI cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel le-antisym
length-butlast length-greater-0-conv list.size(3))+
    done
  then show ( $\exists z \in set p. z \in fst \cdot \mathcal{E} S \vee s \in fst \cdot \mathcal{E} S$ 
  by(auto dest!: set-zip-leftD intro: rev-image-eqI)
  qed

definition cut-of-sep :: ('v × 'v) set ⇒ 'v set
where cut-of-sep S = RFN $_{\Delta}$  (fst 'E S)

lemma separating-cut:
  assumes sep: separating  $\Gamma$  S
  and neq: source  $\Delta \neq$  sink  $\Delta$ 
  and sink-out:  $\bigwedge x. \neg edge \Delta (sink \Delta) x$ 
  shows cut  $\Delta$  (cut-of-sep S)
  unfolding cut-of-sep-def
  proof(rule cut-RF-separating)
    show separating-network  $\Delta$  (fst 'E S) using sep neq by(rule separating-network-cut-of-sep)

    show sink  $\Delta \notin fst \cdot \mathcal{E} S$ 
    proof
      assume sink  $\Delta \in fst \cdot \mathcal{E} S$ 
      then obtain x where (sink  $\Delta$ , x) ∈ E S by auto
      hence (sink  $\Delta$ , x) ∈ V by(auto simp add:  $\Gamma$ -def dest!: essential-vertex)
      then show False by(simp add:  $\Gamma$ -def sink-out)
    qed
  qed

context
  fixes f :: 'v edge current and S
  assumes wf: web-flow  $\Gamma$  f
  and ortho: orthogonal-current  $\Gamma$  f S
  and sep: separating  $\Gamma$  S
  and capacity-pos:  $\bigwedge e. e \in E_{\Delta} \implies capacity \Delta e > 0$ 

```

```

begin

private lemma f: current  $\Gamma$  f using wf by(rule web-flowD-current)

lemma orthogonal-leave-RF:
  assumes e: edge  $\Delta$  x y
  and x:  $x \in (\text{cut-of-sep } S)$ 
  and y:  $y \notin (\text{cut-of-sep } S)$ 
  shows  $(x, y) \in S$ 

proof -
  from y obtain p where p: path  $\Delta$  y p (sink  $\Delta$ ) and y':  $y \notin \text{fst } \mathcal{E} S$ 
    and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin \text{fst } \mathcal{E} S$  by(auto simp add: roofed-def
    cut-of-sep-def  $\Gamma\text{-def}[\text{symmetric}]$ )
  from e p have p': path  $\Delta$  x (y # p) (sink  $\Delta$ ) ..
  from roofedD[ $\text{OF } x[\text{unfolded cut-of-sep-def}]$  this] y' bypass have x:  $x \in \text{fst } \mathcal{E} S$ 
  by(auto simp add:  $\Gamma\text{-def}[\text{symmetric}]$ )
  then obtain z where xz:  $(x, z) \in \mathcal{E} S$  by auto
  then obtain q b where q: path  $\Gamma$  (x, z) q b and b:  $b \in B \Gamma$ 
    and distinct: distinct  $((x, z) \# q)$  and bypass':  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } q \implies z \notin RF S$ 
    by(rule  $\mathcal{E}\text{-E-RF}$ ) blast

  define p' where p' = y # p
  hence p' ≠ [] by simp
  with p' have path  $\Gamma$  (x, hd p') (zip p' (tl p')) (last (x # butlast p'), sink  $\Delta$ )
    unfolding p'-def[symmetric]
  proof(induction)
    case (step x y p z)
    then show ?case
      by(cases p)(auto elim: rtrancl-path.cases intro: rtrancl-path.intros simp add:
       $\Gamma\text{-def}$ )
      qed simp
    then have p'': path  $\Gamma$  (x, y) (zip (y # p) p) (last (x # butlast (y # p)), sink  $\Delta$ )
    (is path - ?y ?p ?t)
      by(simp add: p'-def)
    have (?y # ?p) ! length p = ?t using rtrancl-path-last[ $\text{OF } p$ ] p rtrancl-path-last[ $\text{OF } p$ ]
    apply(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: nth-Cons butlast-conv-take take-Suc-conv-app-nth
    split: nat.split elim: rtrancl-path.cases)
      apply(simp add: last-conv-nth)
      done
    moreover have length p < length (?y # ?p) by simp
    ultimately have t:  $t \in B \Gamma$  using rtrancl-path-nth[ $\text{OF } p''$ , of length p - 1] e
      by(cases p)(simp-all add:  $\Gamma\text{-def split: if-split-asm}$ )

    show S:  $(x, y) \in S$ 
    proof(cases x = source  $\Delta$ )
      case True
      from separatingD[ $\text{OF separating-essential, OF sep } p'' - t$ ] e True
      consider (z) z z' where (z, z') ∈ set ?p (z, z') ∈  $\mathcal{E} S$  | (x, y) ∈ S by(auto

```

```

simp add: Γ-def)
  thus ?thesis
  proof cases
    case (z z)
      hence z ∈ set p z ∈ fst ‘E S
        using y' by(auto dest!: set-zip-leftD intro: rev-image-eqI)
      hence False by(auto dest: bypass)
      thus ?thesis ..
    qed
  next
    case False
    have ∃ e. edge Γ e (x, z) ∧ f (e, (x, z)) > 0
    proof(rule ccontr)
      assume ¬ ?thesis
      then have d-IN f (x, z) = 0 unfolding d-IN-def using currentD-outside[OF
f, of - (x, z)]
        by(force simp add: nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space not-less)
      moreover
        from xz have (x, z) ∈ S by auto
        hence (x, z) ∈ SAT Γ f by(rule orthogonal-currentD-SAT[OF ortho])
          with False have d-IN f (x, z) ≥ capacity Δ (x, z) by(auto simp add:
SAT.simps Γ-def)
          ultimately have ¬ capacity Δ (x, z) > 0 by auto
          hence ¬ edge Δ x z using capacity-pos[of (x, z)] by auto
          moreover with q have b = (x, z) by cases(auto simp add: Γ-def)
          with b have edge Δ x z by(simp add: Γ-def)
          ultimately show False by contradiction
        qed
      then obtain u where ux: edge Δ u x and xz': edge Δ x z and uxz: edge Γ
(u, x) (x, z)
        and gt0: f ((u, x), (x, z)) > 0 by(auto simp add: Γ-def)
      have (u, x) ∈ RF° S using orthogonal-currentD-in[OF ortho, of (x, z) (u, x)]
gt0 xz
        by(fastforce intro: roofed-greaterI)
      hence ux-RF: (u, x) ∈ RF (E S) and ux-E: (u, x) ∉ E S unfolding RF-essential
by(simp-all add: roofed-circ-def)

      from ux e have edge Γ (u, x) (x, y) by(simp add: Γ-def)
      hence path Γ (u, x) ((x, y) # ?p) ?t using p'' ..
      from roofedD[OF ux-RF this t] ux-E
      consider (x, y) ∈ S | (z) z z' where (z, z') ∈ set ?p (z, z') ∈ E S by auto
      thus ?thesis
    proof cases
      case (z z)
      with bypass[of z] y' have False by(fastforce dest!: set-zip-leftD intro: rev-image-eqI)
      thus ?thesis ..
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma orthogonal-flow-of-current:
  assumes source-sink: source  $\Delta \neq$  sink  $\Delta$ 
  and sink-out:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta (\text{sink } \Delta) x$ 
  and no-direct-edge:  $\neg \text{edge } \Delta (\text{source } \Delta) (\text{sink } \Delta)$  — Otherwise, A and B of the
  web would not be disjoint.
  shows orthogonal  $\Delta$  (flow-of-current  $\Delta f$ ) (cut-of-sep  $S$ ) (is orthogonal - ?f ?S)
proof
  fix  $x y$ 
  assume e: edge  $\Delta x y$  and  $x \in ?S$  and  $y \notin ?S$ 
  then have S:  $(x, y) \in S$  by(rule orthogonal-leave-RF)

  show ?f  $(x, y) = \text{capacity } \Delta (x, y)$ 
  proof(cases x = source  $\Delta$ )
    case False
    with orthogonal-currentD-SAT[OF ortho S]
    have weight  $\Gamma (x, y) \leq d\text{-IN } f (x, y)$  by cases(simp-all add:  $\Gamma$ -def)
    with False currentD-OUT-IN[OF f, of  $(x, y)$ ] currentD-weight-IN[OF f, of  $(x, y)$ ]
    show ?thesis by(simp add: flow-of-current-def  $\Gamma$ -def max-def)
  next
    case True
    with orthogonal-currentD-A[OF ortho S] e currentD-weight-IN[OF f, of  $(x, y)$ ]
    no-direct-edge
    show ?thesis by(auto simp add: flow-of-current-def  $\Gamma$ -def max-def)
  qed
next
from sep source-sink sink-out have cut: cut  $\Delta ?S$  by(rule separating-cut)

fix  $x y$ 
assume xy: edge  $\Delta x y$ 
and x:  $x \notin ?S$ 
and y:  $y \in ?S$ 
from x obtain p where p: path  $\Delta x p$  (sink  $\Delta$ ) and x':  $x \notin \text{fst } 'E S$ 
  and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin \text{fst } 'E S$  by(auto simp add: roofed-def
  cut-of-sep-def)
have source:  $x \neq \text{source } \Delta$ 
proof
  assume x = source  $\Delta$ 
  have separating-network  $\Delta (\text{fst } 'E S)$  using sep source-sink by(rule separating-
  network-cut-of-sep)
  from separatingD[OF this p] {x = source  $\Delta$ } x show False
  by(auto dest: bypass intro: roofed-greaterI simp add: cut-of-sep-def)
qed
hence A:  $(x, y) \notin A \Gamma$  by(simp add:  $\Gamma$ -def)

have f  $((u, v), x, y) = 0$  for  $u v$ 
proof(cases edge  $\Gamma (u, v) (x, y)$ )
  case False with f show ?thesis by(rule currentD-outside)

```

```

next
  case True
    hence [simp]:  $v = x$  and  $ux: \text{edge } \Delta u x$  by(auto simp add:  $\Gamma\text{-def}$ )
    have  $(x, y) \in RF S$ 
  proof
    fix  $q b$ 
    assume  $q: \text{path } \Gamma (x, y) q b$  and  $b: b \in B \Gamma$ 
    define  $xy$  where  $xy = (x, y)$ 
    from  $q$  have  $\text{path } \Delta (\text{snd } xy) (\text{map snd } q) (\text{snd } b)$  unfolding  $xy\text{-def}[symmetric]$ 
      by(induction)(auto intro: rtrancl-path.intros simp add:  $\Gamma\text{-def}$ )
      with  $b$  have  $\text{path } \Delta y (\text{map snd } q) (\text{sink } \Delta)$  by(auto simp add:  $xy\text{-def } \Gamma\text{-def}$ )
      from roofedD[OF  $y[\text{unfolded cut-of-sep-def}]$  this] have  $\exists z \in \text{set } (y \# \text{map snd } q). z \in ?S$ 
        by(auto intro: roofed-greaterI simp add: cut-of-sep-def)
        from split-list-last-prop[OF this] obtain  $xs z ys$  where  $\text{decomp}: y \# \text{map snd } q = xs @ z \# ys$ 
          and  $z: z \in ?S$  and  $\text{last}: \bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } ys \implies z \notin ?S$  by auto
          from  $\text{decomp}$  obtain  $x' xs' z'' ys'$  where  $\text{decomp}': (x', y) \# q = xs' @ (z'', z) \# ys'$ 
            and  $xs = \text{map snd } xs'$  and  $ys: ys = \text{map snd } ys'$  and  $x': xs' = [] \implies x' = x$ 
              by(fastforce simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv map-eq-append-conv)
            from cut z have  $z\text{-sink}: z \neq \text{sink } \Delta$  by cases(auto)
            then have  $ys' \neq []$  using rtrancl-path-last[OF  $q$ ]  $\text{decomp}' b x' q$ 
              by(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv  $\Gamma\text{-def elim: rtrancl-path.cases}$ )
            then obtain  $w z''' ys''$  where  $ys': ys' = (w, z''')$  #  $ys''$  by(auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
              from  $q[\text{THEN rtrancl-path-nth, of length } xs']$   $\text{decomp}' ys' x'$  have  $\text{edge } \Gamma (z'', z) (w, z''')$ 
                by(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv nth-append)
                hence  $w: w = z$  and  $zz''': \text{edge } \Delta z z'''$  by(auto simp add:  $\Gamma\text{-def}$ )
                from  $ys' ys \text{ last}[of } z''']$  have  $z''' \notin ?S$  by simp
                with  $zz''' z$  have  $(z, z''') \in S$  by(rule orthogonal-leave-RF)
                moreover have  $(z, z''') \in \text{set } q$  using  $\text{decomp}' ys' w$  by(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)
                ultimately show  $(\exists z \in \text{set } q. z \in S) \vee (x, y) \in S$  by blast
  qed
  moreover
  have  $(u, x) \notin RF^\circ S$ 
  proof
    assume  $(u, x) \in RF^\circ S$ 
    hence  $ux\text{-RF}: (u, x) \in RF (\mathcal{E} S)$  and  $ux\text{-}\mathcal{E}: (u, x) \notin \mathcal{E} S$ 
      unfolding RF-essential by(simp-all add: roofed-circ-def)

    have  $x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$  using p  $xy$  by cases(auto simp add: sink-out)
    with p have nNil:  $p \neq []$  by(auto elim: rtrancl-path.cases)
    with p have  $\text{edge } \Delta x (\text{hd } p)$  by cases auto
    with ux have  $\text{edge } \Gamma (u, x) (x, \text{hd } p)$  by(simp add:  $\Gamma\text{-def}$ )
    moreover
    from p nNil have  $\text{path } \Gamma (x, \text{hd } p) (\text{zip } p (\text{tl } p)) (\text{last } (x \# \text{butlast } p), \text{sink}$ 

```

```

 $\Delta$ ) (is path - ?x ?p ?t)
  proof(induction)
    case (step x y p z)
    then show ?case
      by(cases p)(auto elim: rtrancl-path.cases intro: rtrancl-path.intros simp
add:  $\Gamma$ -def)
      qed simp
      ultimately have p': path  $\Gamma$  (u, x) (?x # ?p) ?t ..
      have (?x # ?p) ! (length p - 1) = ?t using rtrancl-path-last[OF p] p nNil
      apply(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: nth-Cons butlast-conv-take take-Suc-conv-app-nth
not-le split: nat.split elim: rtrancl-path.cases)
        apply(simp add: last-conv-nth nth-tl)
        done
      moreover have length p - 1 < length (?x # ?p) by simp
      ultimately have t: ?t  $\in$  B  $\Gamma$  using rtrancl-path-nth[OF p', of length p - 1]
        by(cases p)(simp-all add:  $\Gamma$ -def split: if-split-asm)
      from roofedD[OF ux-RF p' t] ux- $\mathcal{E}$  consider (X) (x, hd p)  $\in$   $\mathcal{E}$  S
        | (z) z' where (z, z')  $\in$  set (zip p (tl p)) (z, z')  $\in$   $\mathcal{E}$  S by auto
      thus False
      proof cases
        case X with x' show False by(auto simp add: cut-of-sep-def intro:
rev-image-eqI)
        next
          case (z z)
            with bypass[of z] show False by(auto 4 3 simp add: cut-of-sep-def intro:
rev-image-eqI dest!: set-zip-leftD)
          qed
        qed
      ultimately show ?thesis unfolding <v = x> by(rule orthogonal-currentD-in[OF
ortho])
      qed
    then have d-IN f (x, y) = 0 unfolding d-IN-def
      by(simp add: nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0)
      with currentD-OUT-IN[OF f A] show flow-of-current  $\Delta$  f (x, y) = 0
        by(simp add: flow-of-current-def max-def)
      qed
    qed
  end
end

```

7.4 Avoiding antiparallel edges and self-loops

context antiparallel-edges begin

abbreviation cut' :: 'a vertex set \Rightarrow 'a set where cut' S \equiv Vertex - 'S

lemma cut-cut': cut Δ'' S \Longrightarrow cut Δ (cut' S)

```

by(auto simp add: cut.simps)

lemma IN-Edge:  $\text{IN}_{\Delta''}(\text{Edge } x \ y) = (\text{if edge } \Delta \ x \ y \text{ then } \{\text{Vertex } x\} \text{ else } \{\})$ 
by(auto simp add: incoming-def)

lemma OUT-Edge:  $\text{OUT}_{\Delta''}(\text{Edge } x \ y) = (\text{if edge } \Delta \ x \ y \text{ then } \{\text{Vertex } y\} \text{ else } \{\})$ 
by(auto simp add: outgoing-def)

interpretation  $\Delta'': \text{countable-network}$   $\Delta''$  by(rule  $\Delta''\text{-countable-network}$ )

lemma d-IN-Edge:
assumes f: flow  $\Delta'' f$ 
shows d-IN f (Edge x y) = f (Vertex x, Edge x y)
by(subst d-IN-alt-def[OF  $\Delta''\text{.flowD-outside}[OF f]$ , of -  $\Delta''$ ])(simp-all add: IN-Edge
nn-integral-count-space-indicator max-def  $\Delta''\text{.flowD-outside}[OF f]$ )

lemma d-OUT-Edge:
assumes f: flow  $\Delta'' f$ 
shows d-OUT f (Edge x y) = f (Edge x y, Vertex y)
by(subst d-OUT-alt-def[OF  $\Delta''\text{.flowD-outside}[OF f]$ , of -  $\Delta''$ ])(simp-all add: OUT-Edge
nn-integral-count-space-indicator max-def  $\Delta''\text{.flowD-outside}[OF f]$ )

lemma orthogonal-cut':
assumes ortho: orthogonal  $\Delta'' f S$ 
and f: flow  $\Delta'' f$ 
shows orthogonal  $\Delta$  (collect f) (cut' S)
proof
show collect f (x, y) = capacity  $\Delta$  (x, y) if edge: edge  $\Delta \ x \ y$  and x:  $x \in \text{cut}' S$ 
and y:  $y \notin \text{cut}' S$  for x y
proof(cases Edge x y ∈ S)
case True
from y have Vertex y ∈ S by auto
from orthogonalD-out[OF ortho - True this] edge show ?thesis by simp
next
case False
from x have Vertex x ∈ S by auto
from orthogonalD-out[OF ortho - this False] edge
have capacity  $\Delta$  (x, y) = d-IN f (Edge x y) by(simp add: d-IN-Edge[OF f])
also have ... = d-OUT f (Edge x y) by(simp add: flowD-KIR[OF f])
also have ... = f (Edge x y, Vertex y) using edge by(simp add: d-OUT-Edge[OF
f])
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

show collect f (x, y) = 0 if edge: edge  $\Delta \ x \ y$  and x:  $x \notin \text{cut}' S$  and y:  $y \in \text{cut}' S$  for x y
proof(cases Edge x y ∈ S)
case True
from x have Vertex x ∈ S by auto

```

```

from orthogonalD-in[OF ortho - this True] edge have  $0 = d\text{-IN } f$  (Edge  $x$   $y$ )
by(simp add: d-IN-Edge[OF f])
    also have  $\dots = d\text{-OUT } f$  (Edge  $x$   $y$ ) by(simp add: flowD-KIR[OF f])
    also have  $\dots = f$  (Edge  $x$   $y$ , Vertex  $y$ ) using edge by(simp add: d-OUT-Edge[OF f])
        finally show ?thesis by simp
next
    case False
        from  $y$  have Vertex  $y \in S$  by auto
        from orthogonalD-in[OF ortho - False this] edge show ?thesis by simp
    qed
qed

end

context countable-network begin

lemma countable-web-web-of-network:
    assumes source-in:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x$  (source  $\Delta$ )
    and sink-out:  $\bigwedge y. \neg \text{edge } \Delta$  (sink  $\Delta$ )  $y$ 
    and undead:  $\bigwedge x y. \text{edge } \Delta x y \implies (\exists z. \text{edge } \Delta y z) \vee (\exists z. \text{edge } \Delta z x)$ 
    and source-sink:  $\neg \text{edge } \Delta$  (source  $\Delta$ ) (sink  $\Delta$ )
    and no-loop:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x x$ 
    shows countable-web (web-of-network  $\Delta$ ) (is countable-web ? $\Gamma$ )
proof
    show  $\neg \text{edge } ?\Gamma y x$  if  $x \in A$  ? $\Gamma$  for  $x y$  using that by(clar simp simp add: source-in)
    show  $\neg \text{edge } ?\Gamma x y$  if  $x \in B$  ? $\Gamma$  for  $x y$  using that by(clar simp simp add: sink-out)
        show  $A ?\Gamma \subseteq \mathbf{V}_{?\Gamma}$  by(auto 4 3 dest: undead)
        show  $A ?\Gamma \cap B ?\Gamma = \{\}$  using source-sink by auto
        show  $\neg \text{edge } ?\Gamma x x$  for  $x$  by(auto simp add: no-loop)
        show weight ? $\Gamma x = 0$  if  $x \notin \mathbf{V}_{?\Gamma}$  for  $x$  using that undead
            by(cases x)(auto intro!: capacity-outside)
        show weight ? $\Gamma x \neq \top$  for  $x$  using capacity-finite[of x] by(cases x) simp
        have  $\mathbf{E}_{?\Gamma} \subseteq \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{E}$  by auto
        thus countable  $\mathbf{E}_{?\Gamma}$  by(rule countable-subset)(simp)
    qed

lemma max-flow-min-cut':
    assumes ex-orthogonal-current:  $\exists f S. \text{web-flow } (\text{web-of-network } \Delta) f \wedge \text{separating } (\text{web-of-network } \Delta) S \wedge \text{orthogonal-current } (\text{web-of-network } \Delta) f S$ 
    and source-in:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x$  (source  $\Delta$ )
    and sink-out:  $\bigwedge y. \neg \text{edge } \Delta$  (sink  $\Delta$ )  $y$ 
    and undead:  $\bigwedge x y. \text{edge } \Delta x y \implies (\exists z. \text{edge } \Delta y z) \vee (\exists z. \text{edge } \Delta z x)$ 
    and source-sink:  $\neg \text{edge } \Delta$  (source  $\Delta$ ) (sink  $\Delta$ )
    and no-loop:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x x$ 
    and capacity-pos:  $\bigwedge e. e \in \mathbf{E} \implies \text{capacity } \Delta e > 0$ 

```

shows $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S$
proof –
let $\mathbf{?}\Gamma = \text{web-of-network } \Delta$
from $\text{ex-orthogonal-current}$ **obtain** $f S$
where $f: \text{web-flow}(\text{web-of-network } \Delta) f$
and $S: \text{separating}(\text{web-of-network } \Delta) S$
and $\text{ortho}: \text{orthogonal-current}(\text{web-of-network } \Delta) f S$ **by** blast+
let $\mathbf{?}f = \text{flow-of-current } \Delta f$ **and** $\mathbf{?}S = \text{cut-of-sep } \Delta S$
from f **have** $\text{flow } \Delta ?f$ **by**(rule $\text{flow-flow-of-current}$)
moreover have $\text{cut } \Delta ?S$ **using** S $\text{source-neq-sink sink-out}$ **by**(rule separating-cut)
moreover have $\text{orthogonal } \Delta ?f ?S$ **using** $f \text{ortho } S$ $\text{capacity-pos source-neq-sink sink-out source-sink}$
by(rule $\text{orthogonal-flow-of-current}$)
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** blast
qed

7.5 Eliminating zero edges and incoming edges to source and outgoing edges of sink

definition $\Delta''' :: 'v \text{ network where } \Delta''' =$
 $(\text{edge} = \lambda x y. \text{edge } \Delta x y \wedge \text{capacity } \Delta (x, y) > 0 \wedge y \neq \text{source } \Delta \wedge x \neq \text{sink } \Delta,$
 $\text{capacity} = \lambda(x, y). \text{if } x = \text{sink } \Delta \vee y = \text{source } \Delta \text{ then } 0 \text{ else capacity } \Delta (x, y),$
 $\text{source} = \text{source } \Delta,$
 $\text{sink} = \text{sink } \Delta)$

lemma $\Delta'''-\text{sel}$ [simp]:

$\text{edge } \Delta''' x y \longleftrightarrow \text{edge } \Delta x y \wedge \text{capacity } \Delta (x, y) > 0 \wedge y \neq \text{source } \Delta \wedge x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$
 $\text{capacity } \Delta''' (x, y) = (\text{if } x = \text{sink } \Delta \vee y = \text{source } \Delta \text{ then } 0 \text{ else capacity } \Delta (x, y))$
 $\text{source } \Delta''' = \text{source } \Delta$
 $\text{sink } \Delta''' = \text{sink } \Delta$
for $x y$ **by**(simp-all add: $\Delta'''-\text{def}$)

lemma $\Delta'''-\text{countable-network}$: $\text{countable-network } \Delta'''$

proof(unfold-locales)
have $\mathbf{E}_{\Delta'''} \subseteq \mathbf{E}$ **by** auto
then show $\text{countable } \mathbf{E}_{\Delta'''}$ **by**(rule countable-subset) simp
show $\text{capacity } \Delta''' e = 0$ **if** $e \notin \mathbf{E}_{\Delta'''}$ **for** e
using $\text{capacity-outside}[of } e]$ **that** **by**(auto split: if-split-asm intro: ccontr)
qed(auto simp add: split: if-split-asm)

lemma $\text{flow-}\Delta'''$:

assumes $f: \text{flow } \Delta''' f$ **and** $\text{cut}: \text{cut } \Delta''' S$ **and** $\text{ortho}: \text{orthogonal } \Delta''' f S$
shows $\text{flow } \Delta f \text{ cut } \Delta S \text{ orthogonal } \Delta f S$
proof –

```

interpret  $\Delta'''$ : countable-network  $\Delta'''$  by(rule  $\Delta'''$ -countable-network)
show flow  $\Delta f$ 
proof
  show  $f e \leq \text{capacity } \Delta e$  for  $e$  using flowD-capacity[ $OF f$ , of  $e$ ]
    by(cases  $e$ )(simp split: if-split-asm)
  show  $KIR f x$  if  $x \neq \text{source } \Delta$   $x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$  for  $x$  using flowD-KIR[ $OF f$ , of  $x$ ]
  that by simp
qed
show cut  $\Delta S$  using cut by(simp add: cut.simps)
show orthogonal  $\Delta f S$ 
proof
  show  $f(x, y) = \text{capacity } \Delta(x, y)$  if edge: edge  $\Delta x y$  and  $x: x \in S$  and  $y: y \notin S$  for  $x y$ 
  proof(cases edge  $\Delta''' x y$ )
    case True
    with orthogonalD-out[ $OF \text{ortho this } x y$ ] show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    from cut  $y x$  have  $xy: y \neq \text{source } \Delta \wedge x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$  by(cases) auto
    with  $xy$  edge False have  $\text{capacity } \Delta(x, y) = 0$  by simp
    with  $\Delta'''.\text{flowD-outside}[OF f, of (x, y)]$  False show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  show  $f(x, y) = 0$  if edge: edge  $\Delta x y$  and  $x: x \notin S$  and  $y: y \in S$  for  $x y$ 
    using orthogonalD-in[ $OF \text{ortho - } x y$ ]  $\Delta'''.\text{flowD-outside}[OF f, of (x, y)]$ 
    by(cases edge  $\Delta''' x y$ )simp-all
  qed
qed
end
end

```

8 The max-flow min-cut theorem in bounded networks

8.1 Linkages in unhindered bipartite webs

```

theory MFMC-Bounded imports
  Matrix-For-Marginals
  MFMC-Reduction
begin

context countable-bipartite-web begin

lemma countable-A [simp]: countable ( $A \Gamma$ )
  using A-vertex countable-V by(blast intro: countable-subset)

lemma unhindered-criterion [rule-format]:

```

```

assumes  $\neg hindered \Gamma$ 
shows  $\forall X \subseteq A \Gamma. finite X \longrightarrow (\sum^+ x \in X. weight \Gamma x) \leq (\sum^+ y \in E `` X. weight \Gamma y)$ 
using assms
proof(rule contrapos-np)
assume  $\neg ?thesis$ 
then obtain  $X$  where  $X \in \{X. X \subseteq A \Gamma \wedge finite X \wedge (\sum^+ y \in E `` X. weight \Gamma y) < (\sum^+ x \in X. weight \Gamma x)\}$  (is - in Collect ?P)
by(auto simp add: not-le)
from wf-eq-minimal[THEN iffD1, OF wf-finite-psubset, rule-format, OF this, simplified]
obtain  $X$  where  $X-A: X \subseteq A \Gamma$  and fin-X [simp]: finite X
and less:  $(\sum^+ y \in E `` X. weight \Gamma y) < (\sum^+ x \in X. weight \Gamma x)$ 
and minimal:  $\bigwedge X'. X' \subset X \implies (\sum^+ x \in X'. weight \Gamma x) \leq (\sum^+ y \in E `` X'. weight \Gamma y)$ 
by(clarsimp simp add: not-less)(meson finite-subset order-trans psubset-imp-subset)
have nonempty:  $X \neq \{\}$  using less by auto
then obtain xx where xx:  $xx \in X$  by auto
define f where

$$f x = (if x = xx then (\sum^+ y \in E `` X. weight \Gamma y) - (\sum^+ x \in X - \{xx\}. weight \Gamma x) else if x \in X then weight \Gamma x else 0) \text{ for } x$$

define g where

$$g y = (if y \in E `` X then weight \Gamma y else 0) \text{ for } y$$

define E' where  $E' \equiv E \cap X \times UNIV$ 
have Xxx:  $X - \{xx\} \subset X$  using xx by blast
have E [simp]:  $E' `` X' = E `` X'$  if  $X' \subseteq X$  for  $X'$  using that by(auto simp add: E'-def)
have in-E':  $(x, y) \in E' \longleftrightarrow x \in X \wedge (x, y) \in E$  for x y by(auto simp add: E'-def)

have  $(\sum^+ x \in X. f x) = (\sum^+ x \in X - \{xx\}. f x) + (\sum^+ x \in \{xx\}. f x)$  using xx
by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator nn-integral-add[symmetric] simp del: nn-integral-indicator-singleton intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x \in X - \{xx\}. weight \Gamma x) + ((\sum^+ y \in E `` X. weight \Gamma y) - (\sum^+ x \in X - \{xx\}. weight \Gamma x))$ 
by(rule arg-cong2[where f=(+)])(auto simp add: f-def xx nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y \in E `` X. g y)$  using minimal[OF Xxx] xx
by(subst add-diff-eq-iff-enreal[THEN iffD2])(fastforce simp add: g-def[abs-def] nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong intro: nn-integral-mono elim: order-trans split: split-indicator)+
finally have sum-eq:  $(\sum^+ x \in X. f x) = (\sum^+ y \in E `` X. g y)$  .

have  $(\sum^+ y \in E `` X. weight \Gamma y) = (\sum^+ y \in E `` X. g y)$ 
by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator g-def intro!: nn-integral-cong)
then have fin: ...  $\neq \top$  using less by auto

have fin2:  $(\sum^+ x \in X'. weight \Gamma x) \neq \top$  if  $X' \subset X$  for X'
proof -

```

```

have ( $\sum^+_{x \in E} X'. weight \Gamma x$ )  $\leq$  ( $\sum^+_{x \in E} X. weight \Gamma x$ ) using that
  by(auto 4 3 simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono
split: split-indicator split-indicator-asm)
  then show ?thesis using minimal[OF that] less by(auto simp add: top-unique)
qed

have  $f xx = (\sum^+_{y \in E} X. weight \Gamma y) - (\sum^+_{x \in X - \{xx\}} weight \Gamma x)$  by
  (simp add: f-def)
also have ...  $< (\sum^+_{x \in X} weight \Gamma x) - (\sum^+_{x \in X - \{xx\}} weight \Gamma x)$ 
using less fin2[OF Xxx] minimal[OF Xxx]
by(subst minus-less-iff-ennreal)(fastforce simp add: less-top[symmetric] nn-integral-count-space-indicator
diff-add-self-ennreal intro: nn-integral-mono elim: order-trans split: split-indicator) +
also have ...  $= (\sum^+_{x \in \{xx\}} weight \Gamma x)$  using fin2[OF Xxx] xx
apply(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator del: nn-integral-indicator-singleton)
apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
apply(auto simp add: AE-count-space split: split-indicator simp del: nn-integral-indicator-singleton
intro!: nn-integral-cong)
done
also have ...  $= weight \Gamma xx$  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator)
finally have fxx:  $f xx < weight \Gamma xx$  .

have le:  $(\sum^+_{x \in X'} f x) \leq (\sum^+_{y \in E} X'. g y)$  if  $X' \subseteq X$  for  $X'$ 
proof(cases  $X' = X$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using sum-eq by simp
next
  case False
  hence  $X': X' \subset X$  using that by blast
  have  $(\sum^+_{x \in X'} f x) = (\sum^+_{x \in X' - \{xx\}} f x) + (\sum^+_{x \in \{xx\}} f x * indicator X' xx)$ 
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator nn-integral-add[symmetric]
simp del: nn-integral-indicator-singleton intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
  also have ...  $\leq (\sum^+_{x \in X' - \{xx\}} f x) + (\sum^+_{x \in \{xx\}} weight \Gamma x * indicator X' xx)$  using fxx
    by(intro add-mono)(auto split: split-indicator simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator)
  also have ...  $= (\sum^+_{x \in X'} weight \Gamma x)$  using xx that
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator nn-integral-add[symmetric]
f-def simp del: nn-integral-indicator-singleton intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
  also have ...  $\leq (\sum^+_{y \in E} X'. weight \Gamma y)$  by(rule minimal[OF X'])
  also have ...  $= (\sum^+_{y \in E} X'. g y)$  using that
    by(auto 4 3 intro!: nn-integral-cong simp add: g-def Image-iff)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

have countable X using X-A A-vertex countable-V by(blast intro: countable-subset)
moreover have E “ $X \subseteq V$ ” by(auto simp add: vertex-def)
with countable-V have countable (E “ $X$ ”) by(blast intro: countable-subset)
moreover have  $E' \subseteq X \times E$  “ $X$ ” by(auto simp add: E'-def)
ultimately obtain h' where h'-dom:  $\bigwedge x y. 0 < h' x y \implies (x, y) \in E'$ 

```

and h' -fin: $\bigwedge x y. h' x y \neq \top$
and h' -f: $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies (\sum^+_{y \in E'} X. h' x y) = f x$
and h' -g: $\bigwedge y. y \in E' \implies (\sum^+_{x \in X} h' x y) = g y$
using bounded-matrix-for-marginals-ennreal[where $f=f$ and $g=g$ and $A=X$]
and $B=E' \text{ and } R=E'$ and thesis=thesis] sum-eq fin le
by(auto)

have h' -outside: $(x, y) \notin E' \implies h' x y = 0$ **for** $x y$ **using** h' -dom[of $x y$]
not-gr-zero **by**(fastforce)

define h **where** $h = (\lambda(x, y). \text{if } x \in X \wedge \text{edge } \Gamma x y \text{ then } h' x y \text{ else } 0)$
have h -OUT: d -OUT $h x = (\text{if } x \in X \text{ then } f x \text{ else } 0)$ **for** x
by(auto 4 3 simp add: h-def d-OUT-def h'-f[symmetric] E'-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong intro: h'-outside split: split-indicator)

have h -IN: d -IN $h y = (\text{if } y \in E \text{ then weight } \Gamma y \text{ else } 0)$ **for** y **using** h' -g[of y , symmetric]
by(auto 4 3 simp add: h-def d-IN-def g-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator nn-integral-0-iff-AE in-E' intro!: nn-integral-cong intro: h'-outside split: split-indicator split-indicator-asm)

have h : current Γh
proof
show d -OUT $h x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ **for** x **using** fxx **by**(auto simp add: h-OUT f-def)
show d -IN $h y \leq \text{weight } \Gamma y$ **for** y **by**(simp add: h-IN)
show $h e = 0$ **if** $e \notin E$ **for** e **using** that **by**(cases e)(auto simp add: h-def)
qed

have separating Γ (TER h)
proof
fix $x y p$
assume $x: x \in A \Gamma$ **and** $y: y \in B \Gamma$ **and** $p: path \Gamma x p y$
then obtain [simp]: $p = [y]$ **and** $xy: (x, y) \in E$ **using** disjoint
by -(erule rtranci-path.cases; auto dest: bipartite-E)+
show $(\exists z \in set p. z \in TER h) \vee x \in TER h$
proof(rule disjCI)
assume $x \notin TER h$
hence $x \in X$ **using** x **by**(auto simp add: SAT.simps SINK.simps h-OUT split: if-split-asm)
hence $y \in TER h$ **using** xy currentD-OUT[OF h y] **by**(auto simp add: SAT.simps h-IN SINK.simps)
thus $\exists z \in set p. z \in TER h$ **by** simp
qed
qed
then have w: wave Γh **using** h ..

have $xx \in A \Gamma$ **using** xx X-A **by** blast
moreover have $xx \notin \mathcal{E}$ (TER h)
proof

```

assume  $xx \in \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } h)$ 
then obtain  $p y$  where  $y: y \in B \Gamma$  and  $p: \text{path } \Gamma xx p y$ 
and  $\text{bypass}: \bigwedge z. [\![ xx \neq y; z \in \text{set } p ]\!] \implies z = xx \vee z \notin \text{TER } h$ 
by(rule  $\mathcal{E}\text{-}E$ ) auto
from  $p$  obtain [simp]:  $p = [y]$  and  $xy: \text{edge } \Gamma xx y$  and  $\text{neq}: xx \neq y$  using
disjoint X-A xx y
by -(erule rtrancl-path.cases; auto dest: bipartite-E) +
from  $\text{neq bypass}[of y]$  have  $y \notin \text{TER } h$  by simp
moreover from  $xy xx \text{currentD-OUT}[OF h y]$  have  $y \in \text{TER } h$ 
by(auto simp add: SAT.simps h-IN SINK.simps)
ultimately show False by contradiction
qed
moreover have  $d\text{-OUT } h xx < \text{weight } \Gamma xx$  using fxx_xx by(simp add: h-OUT)
ultimately have hindrance  $\Gamma h ..$ 
then show hindered  $\Gamma$  using h_w ..
qed

end

lemma nn-integral-count-space-top-approx:
fixes  $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{ennreal}$  and  $b :: \text{ennreal}$ 
assumes nn-integral (count-space UNIV)  $f = \text{top}$ 
and  $b < \text{top}$ 
obtains  $n$  where  $b < \text{sum } f \{.. < n\}$ 
using assms unfolding nn-integral-count-space-nat suminf-eq-SUP SUP-eq-top-iff
by(auto)

lemma One-le-of-nat-ennreal:  $(1 :: \text{ennreal}) \leq \text{of-nat } x \longleftrightarrow 1 \leq x$ 
by (metis of-nat-le-iff of-nat-1)

locale bounded-countable-bipartite-web = countable-bipartite-web  $\Gamma$ 
for  $\Gamma :: ('v, 'more) \text{web-scheme}$  (structure)
+
assumes bounded-B:  $x \in A \Gamma \implies (\sum^+ y \in \mathbf{E} `` \{x\}. \text{weight } \Gamma y) < \top$ 
begin

theorem unhindered-linkable-bounded:
assumes  $\neg \text{hindered } \Gamma$ 
shows linkable  $\Gamma$ 
proof(cases  $A \Gamma = \{\}$ )
case True
hence linkage  $\Gamma (\lambda -. 0)$  by(auto simp add: linkage.simps)
moreover have web-flow  $\Gamma (\lambda -. 0)$  by(auto simp add: web-flow.simps)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case nonempty: False
define  $A\text{-}n :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'v \text{set}$  where  $A\text{-}n n = \text{from-nat-into } (A \Gamma) ` \{..n\}$  for n
have fin-A-n [simp]: finite  $(A\text{-}n n)$  for n by(simp add: A-n-def)
have A-n-A:  $A\text{-}n n \subseteq A \Gamma$  for n by(auto simp add: A-n-def from-nat-into[OF

```

nonempty])

```

have countable2: countable (E “ A-n n) for n using countable-V
  by(rule countable-subset[rotated])(auto simp add: vertex-def)

have  $\exists Y2. \forall n. \forall X \subseteq A-n n. Y2 n X \subseteq \mathbf{E} “ X \wedge (\sum^+_{x \in X} weight \Gamma x) \leq (\sum^+_{y \in Y2 n X} weight \Gamma y) \wedge (\sum^+_{y \in Y2 n X} weight \Gamma y) \neq \top$ 
  proof(rule choice strip ex-simps(6)[THEN iffD2])+  

    fix n X  

    assume X:  $X \subseteq A-n n$   

    then have [simp]: finite X by(rule finite-subset) simp  

    have X-count: countable (E “ X) using countable2
      by(rule countable-subset[rotated])(rule Image-mono[OF order-refl X])

    show  $\exists Y. Y \subseteq \mathbf{E} “ X \wedge (\sum^+_{x \in X} weight \Gamma x) \leq (\sum^+_{y \in Y} weight \Gamma y) \wedge (\sum^+_{y \in Y} weight \Gamma y) \neq \top$  (is Ex ?P)
      proof(cases  $(\sum^+_{y \in \mathbf{E} “ X} weight \Gamma y) = \top$ )
        case True  

        define Y' where  $Y' = to-nat-on (\mathbf{E} “ X) ‘ (\mathbf{E} “ X)$   

        have inf: infinite (E “ X) using True
          by(intro notI)(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-finite)
        then have Y':  $Y' = UNIV$  using X-count by(auto simp add: Y'-def intro!: image-to-nat-on)
          have  $(\sum^+_{y \in \mathbf{E} “ X} weight \Gamma y) = (\sum^+_{y \in from-nat-into (\mathbf{E} “ X)} weight \Gamma y * indicator (\mathbf{E} “ X) y)$ 
            using X-count
            by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator Y'-def image-image intro!: nn-integral-cong from-nat-into-to-nat-on[symmetric] rev-image-eqI split: split-indicator)
          also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{y \in \mathbf{E} “ X} weight \Gamma (from-nat-into (\mathbf{E} “ X) y) * indicator (\mathbf{E} “ X) (from-nat-into (\mathbf{E} “ X) y))$ 
            using X-count inf by(subst nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto simp add: inj-on-def Y')
            finally have ... =  $\top$  using True by simp
            from nn-integral-count-space-top-approx[OF this, of sum (weight \Gamma) X]
            obtain yy where yy:  $sum (weight \Gamma) X < (\sum y < yy. weight \Gamma (from-nat-into (\mathbf{E} “ X) y) * indicator (\mathbf{E} “ X) (from-nat-into (\mathbf{E} “ X) y))$ 
              by(auto simp add: less-top[symmetric])
            define Y where  $Y = from-nat-into (\mathbf{E} “ X) ‘ \{.. < yy\} \cap \mathbf{E} “ X$ 
            have [simp]: finite Y by(simp add: Y-def)
            have  $(\sum^+_{x \in X} weight \Gamma x) = sum (weight \Gamma) X$  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-finite)
              also have ...  $\leq (\sum y < yy. weight \Gamma (from-nat-into (\mathbf{E} “ X) y) * indicator (\mathbf{E} “ X) (from-nat-into (\mathbf{E} “ X) y))$ 
                using yy by simp
              also have ... =  $(\sum y \in from-nat-into (\mathbf{E} “ X) ‘ \{.. < yy\}. weight \Gamma y * indicator (\mathbf{E} “ X) y)$ 
                using X-count inf by(subst sum.reindex)(auto simp add: inj-on-def)
              also have ... =  $(\sum y \in Y. weight \Gamma y)$  by(auto intro!: sum.cong simp add: Y-def)
              also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{y \in Y} weight \Gamma y)$  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-finite)

```

```

also have  $Y \subseteq \mathbf{E} \text{ `` } X \text{ by(simp add: Y-def) }$ 
moreover have  $(\sum^+_{y \in Y} \text{weight } \Gamma y) \neq \top$  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-finite)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
  case False
  with unhindered-criterion[OF assms, of X] X A-n-A[of n] have ?P ( $\mathbf{E} \text{ `` } X$ )
by auto
  then show ?thesis ..
qed
qed
then obtain Y2
  where Y2-A:  $Y2 n X \subseteq \mathbf{E} \text{ `` } X$ 
  and le:  $(\sum^+_{x \in X} \text{weight } \Gamma x) \leq (\sum^+_{y \in Y2 n X} \text{weight } \Gamma y)$ 
  and finY2:  $(\sum^+_{y \in Y2 n X} \text{weight } \Gamma y) \neq \top$  if  $X \subseteq A-n n$  for n X by iprover
  define Y where  $Y n = (\bigcup_{X \in \text{Pow}(A-n n)} Y2 n X)$  for n
  define s where  $s n = (\sum^+_{y \in Y n} \text{weight } \Gamma y)$  for n
  have Y-vertex:  $Y n \subseteq \mathbf{V}$  for n by(auto 4 3 simp add: Y-def vertex-def dest!: Y2-A[of - n])
  have Y-B:  $Y n \subseteq B \Gamma$  for n unfolding Y-def by(auto dest!: Y2-A[of - n] dest: bipartite-E)

have s-top [simp]:  $s n \neq \top$  for n
proof -
  have  $\llbracket x \in Y2 n X; X \subseteq A-n n \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } 0 \leq \text{card } \{X. X \subseteq A-n n \wedge x \in Y2 n X\}$  for x X
    by(subst card-le-Suc-iff)(auto intro!: exI[where x=X] exI[where x={X. X ⊆ A-n n ∧ x ∈ Y2 n X} - {X}])
    then have  $(\sum^+_{y \in Y n} \text{weight } \Gamma y) \leq (\sum^+_{y \in Y n} \sum_{X \in \text{Pow}(A-n n)} \text{weight } \Gamma y * \text{indicator } (Y2 n X) y)$ 
      by(intro nn-integral-mono)(auto simp add: Y-def One-le-of-nat-ennreal intro!: mult-right-mono[of 1 :: ennreal, simplified])
    also have ... =  $(\sum_{X \in \text{Pow}(A-n n)} \sum^+_{y \in Y n} \text{weight } \Gamma y * \text{indicator } (Y2 n X) y)$ 
      by(subst nn-integral-sum) auto
    also have ... =  $(\sum_{X \in \text{Pow}(A-n n)} \sum^+_{y \in Y2 n X} \text{weight } \Gamma y)$ 
      by(auto intro!: sum.cong nn-integral-cong simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator Y-def split: split-indicator)
    also have ... <  $\top$  by(simp add: less-top[symmetric] finY2)
    finally show ?thesis by(simp add: less-top s-def)
qed

define f :: nat ⇒ 'v option ⇒ real
  where f n xo = (case xo of Some x ⇒ if x ∈ A-n n then enn2real (weight Γ x)
else 0
  | None ⇒ enn2real (s n - sum (weight Γ) (A-n n))) for n xo
define g :: nat ⇒ 'v ⇒ real
  where g n y = enn2real (weight Γ y * indicator (Y n) y) for n y
define R :: nat ⇒ ('v option × 'v) set
  where R n = map-prod Some id '( $\mathbf{E} \cap A-n n \times Y n$ ) ∪ {None} × Y n for n

```

```

define A-n' where A-n' n = Some ` A-n n ∪ {None} for n

have f-simps:
  f n (Some x) = (if x ∈ A-n n then enn2real (weight Γ x) else 0)
  f n None = enn2real (s n - sum (weight Γ) (A-n n))
  for n x by(simp-all add: f-def)

have g-s: (∑+ y∈Y n. g n y) = s n for n
  by(auto simp add: s-def g-def ennreal-enn2real-if intro!: nn-integral-cong)

have (∑+ x∈A-n' n. f n x) = (∑+ x∈Some ` A-n n. weight Γ (the x)) + (∑+
x∈{None}. f n x) for n
  by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator nn-integral-add[symmetric]
f-simps A-n'-def ennreal-enn2real-if simp del: nn-integral-indicator-singleton intro!:
nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
  also have ... n = sum (weight Γ) (A-n n) + (s n - sum (weight Γ) (A-n n))
  for n
    by(subst nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-finite
f-simps ennreal-enn2real-if)
    also have ... n = s n for n using le[OF order-refl, of n]
      by(simp add: s-def nn-integral-count-space-finite)(auto elim!: order-trans simp
add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator Y-def intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
    finally have sum-eq: (∑+ x∈A-n' n. f n x) = (∑+ y∈Y n. g n y) for n using
g-s by simp

have ∃ h'. ∀ n. (∀ x y. (x, y) ∉ R n → h' n x y = 0) ∧ (∀ x y. h' n x y ≠ ⊤) ∧
(∀ x ∈ A-n' n. (∑+ y∈Y n. h' n x y) = f n x) ∧ (∀ y ∈ Y n. (∑+ x∈A-n' n. h' n x
y) = g n y)
  (is Ex (λh'. ∀ n. ?Q n (h' n)))
proof(rule choice allI)+
  fix n
  note sum-eq
  moreover have (∑+ y∈Y n. g n y) ≠ ⊤ using g-s by simp
  moreover have le-fg: (∑+ x∈X. f n x) ≤ (∑+ y∈R n `` X. g n y) if X ⊆
A-n' n for X
  proof(cases None ∈ X)
    case True
      have (∑+ x∈X. f n x) ≤ (∑+ x∈A-n' n. f n x) using that
        by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono
split: split-indicator)
      also have ... = (∑+ y∈Y n. g n y) by(simp add: sum-eq)
      also have R n `` X = Y n using True by(auto simp add: R-def)
      ultimately show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case False
      then have *: Some ` (the ` X) = X
      by(auto simp add: image-image)(metis (no-types, lifting) image-iff notin-range-Some
option.sel option.collapse)+
      from False that have X: the ` X ⊆ A-n n by(auto simp add: A-n'-def)

```

```

from * have  $(\sum^+ x \in X. f n x) = (\sum^+ x \in \text{Some } 'X. f n x)$  by simp
also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x \in \text{the } 'X. f n (\text{Some } x))$  by(rule nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
simp
also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x \in \text{the } 'X. \text{weight } \Gamma x)$  using that False
by(auto 4 3intro!: nn-integral-cong simp add: f-simps A-n'-def ennreal-enn2real-if)
also have ...  $\leq (\sum^+ y \in Y2 n (\text{the } 'X). \text{weight } \Gamma y)$  using False that
by(intro le)(auto simp add: A-n'-def)
also have ...  $\leq (\sum^+ y \in R n ''X. \text{weight } \Gamma y)$  using False Y2-A[of the 'X
n] X
by(auto simp add: A-n'-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator R-def Image-Iff
Y-def intro: rev-image-eqI intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
(drule (1) subsetD; clarify; drule (1) bspec; auto 4 3 intro: rev-image-eqI)
also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y \in R n ''X. g n y)$ 
by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp add: R-def g-def ennreal-enn2real-if)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
moreover have countable (A-n' n) by(simp add: A-n'-def countable-finite)
moreover have countable (Y2 n X) if X  $\subseteq A$ -n n for X using Y2-A[OF that]
by(rule countable-subset)(rule countable-subset[OF - countable- V]; auto simp
add: vertex-def)
then have countable (Y n) unfolding Y-def
by(intro countable-UN)(simp-all add: countable-finite)
moreover have R n  $\subseteq A$ -n' n  $\times$  Y n by(auto simp add: R-def A-n'-def)
ultimately obtain h' where  $\bigwedge x y. 0 < h' x y \implies (x, y) \in R n \bigwedge x y. h' x y$ 
 $\neq \top$ 
 $\bigwedge x. x \in A$ -n' n  $\implies (\sum^+ y \in Y n. h' x y) = (f n x) \bigwedge y. y \in Y n \implies (\sum^+$ 
 $x \in A$ -n' n. h' x y) = g n y
by(rule bounded-matrix-for-marginals-ennreal) blast+
hence ?Q n h' by(auto)(use not-gr-zero in blast)
thus Ex (?Q n) by blast
qed
then obtain h' where dom-h':  $\bigwedge x y. (x, y) \notin R n \implies h' n x y = 0$ 
and fin-h' [simp]:  $\bigwedge x y. h' n x y \neq \top$ 
and h'-f:  $\bigwedge x. x \in A$ -n' n  $\implies (\sum^+ y \in Y n. h' n x y) = f n x$ 
and h'-g:  $\bigwedge y. y \in Y n \implies (\sum^+ x \in A$ -n' n. h' n x y) = g n y
for n by blast

define h :: nat  $\Rightarrow 'v \times 'v \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
where h n =  $(\lambda(x, y). \text{if } x \in A$ -n n  $\wedge$  y  $\in Y$  n then enn2real (h' n (Some x)
y) else 0) for n
have h-nonneg:  $0 \leq h n xy$  for n xy by(simp add: h-def split-def)
have h-notB: h n (x, y) = 0 if y  $\notin B$   $\Gamma$  for n x y using Y-B[of n] that by(auto
simp add: h-def)
have h-le-weight2: h n (x, y)  $\leq \text{weight } \Gamma y$  for n x y
proof(cases x  $\in A$ -n n  $\wedge$  y  $\in Y$  n)
case True
have h' n (Some x) y  $\leq (\sum^+ x \in A$ -n' n. h' n x y)
by(rule nn-integral-ge-point)(auto simp add: A-n'-def True)
also have ...  $\leq \text{weight } \Gamma y$  using h'-g[of y n] True by(simp add: g-def)

```

```

ennreal-enn2real-if)
  finally show ?thesis using True by(simp add: h-def ennreal-enn2real-if)
qed(auto simp add: h-def)
have h-OUT: d-OUT (h n) x = (if x ∈ A-n n then weight Γ x else 0) for n x
  using h'-f[of Some x n, symmetric]
by(auto simp add: h-def d-OUT-def A-n'-def f-simps ennreal-enn2real-if nn-integral-count-space-indicator
intro!: nn-integral-cong)
have h-IN: d-IN (h n) y = (if y ∈ Y n then enn2real (weight Γ y - h' n None
y) else 0) for n y
proof(cases y ∈ Y n)
  case True
  have d-IN (h n) y = (∑+ x∈Some ` A-n n. h' n x y)
    by(subst nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
    (auto simp add: d-IN-def h-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator ennreal-enn2real-if
R-def intro!: nn-integral-cong dom-h' split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = (∑+ x∈A-n' n. h' n x y) - (∑+ x∈{None}. h' n x y)
      apply(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator del: nn-integral-indicator-singleton)
      apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
      apply(auto simp add: AE-count-space A-n'-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator
split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    done
    also have ... = g n y - h' n None y using h'-g[OF True] by(simp add:
nn-integral-count-space-indicator)
    finally show ?thesis using True by(simp add: g-def ennreal-enn2real-if)
qed(auto simp add: d-IN-def ennreal-enn2real-if nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space
h-def g-def)

let ?Q = V × V

have bounded (range (λn. h n xy)) if xy ∈ ?Q for xy unfolding bounded-def
dist-real-def
proof(rule exI strip|erule imageE|hyps subst)+
  fix n
  obtain x y where [simp]: xy = (x, y) by(cases xy)
  have h n (x, y) ≤ d-OUT (h n) x unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-ge-point)
simp
  also have ... ≤ weight Γ x by(simp add: h-OUT)
  finally show |0 - h n xy| ≤ enn2real (weight Γ (fst xy))
    by(simp add: h-nonneg)(metis enn2real-ennreal ennreal-cases ennreal-le-iff
weight-finite)
qed
moreover have countable ?Q using countable-V by(simp)
ultimately obtain k where k: strict-mono k
  and conv: ∀xy. xy ∈ ?Q ⇒ convergent (λn. h (k n) xy)
    by(rule convergent-bounded-family) blast+
have h-outside: h n xy = 0 if xy ∉ ?Q for xy n using that A-n-A[of n] A-vertex
Y-vertex
  by(auto simp add: h-def split: prod.split)

```

```

have h-outside-AB:  $h n (x, y) = 0$  if  $x \notin A \Gamma \vee y \notin B \Gamma$  for  $n x y$ 
  using that  $A\text{-}n\text{-}A[\text{of } n] Y\text{-}B[\text{of } n]$  by(auto simp add: h-def)
have h-outside-E:  $h n (x, y) = 0$  if  $(x, y) \notin \mathbf{E}$  for  $n x y$  using that unfolding
h-def
  by(clarsimp)(subst dom-h', auto simp add: R-def)

define H where  $H xy = \lim (\lambda n. h (k n) xy)$  for  $xy$ 
have  $H: (\lambda n. h (k n) xy) \longrightarrow H xy$  for  $xy$ 
  using conv[of xy] unfolding H-def by(cases xy ∈ ?Q)(auto simp add: convergent-LIMSEQ-iff h-outside)
have H-outside:  $H (x, y) = 0$  if  $x \notin A \Gamma \vee y \notin B \Gamma$  for  $x y$ 
  using that by(simp add: H-def convergent-LIMSEQ-iff h-outside-AB)
have  $H': (\lambda n. ennreal (h (k n) xy)) \longrightarrow H xy$  for  $xy$ 
  using H by(rule tendsto-ennrealI)
have H-def':  $H xy = \lim (\lambda n. ennreal (h (k n) xy))$  for  $xy$  by (metis H' limI)

have H-OUT:  $d\text{-}OUT H x = weight \Gamma x$  if  $x: x \in A \Gamma$  for  $x$ 
proof -
  let ?w =  $\lambda y. \text{if } (x, y) \in \mathbf{E} \text{ then } weight \Gamma y \text{ else } 0$ 
  have sum-w:  $(\sum^+ y. \text{if edge } \Gamma x y \text{ then } weight \Gamma y \text{ else } 0) = (\sum^+ y \in \mathbf{E} " \{x\}. weight \Gamma y)$ 
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator indicator-def of-bool-def if-distrib
cong: if-cong)
  have  $(\lambda n. d\text{-}OUT (h (k n)) x) \longrightarrow d\text{-}OUT H x$  unfolding d-OUT-def
    by(rule nn-integral-dominated-convergence[where w=?w])(use bounded-B x
in simp-all add: AE-count-space H h-outside-E h-le-weight2 sum-w)
  moreover define n-x where  $n\text{-}x = \text{to-nat-on } (A \Gamma) x$ 
  have  $x': x \in A\text{-}n (k n)$  if  $n \geq n\text{-}x$  for  $n$ 
    using that seq-suble[OF k, of n] x unfolding A-n-def
    by(intro rev-image-eqI[where x=n-x])(simp-all add: A-n-def n-x-def)
  have  $(\lambda n. d\text{-}OUT (h (k n)) x) \longrightarrow weight \Gamma x$ 
    by(intro tendsto-eventually eventually-sequentiallyI[where c=n-x])(simp add:
h-OUT x')
  ultimately show ?thesis by(rule LIMSEQ-unique)
qed
then have linkage Γ H ..
moreover
have web-flow Γ H unfolding web-flow-iff
proof
  show  $d\text{-}OUT H x \leq weight \Gamma x$  for  $x$ 
    by(cases x ∈ A Γ)(simp-all add: H-OUT[unfolded d-OUT-def] H-outside
d-OUT-def)
  show  $d\text{-}IN H y \leq weight \Gamma y$  for  $y$ 
  proof -
    have  $d\text{-}IN H y = (\sum^+ x. \liminf (\lambda n. ennreal (h (k n) (x, y))))$  unfolding
d-IN-def H-def'
      by(rule nn-integral-cong convergent-liminf-cl[symmetric] convergentI H')+
also have ... ≤ liminf (λn. d-IN (h (k n)) y)
  qed

```

```

unfolding d-IN-def by(rule nn-integral-liminf) simp
also have ... ≤ liminf (λn. weight Γ y) unfolding h-IN
  by(rule Liminf-mono)(auto simp add: ennreal-enn2real-if)
also have ... = weight Γ y by(simp add: Liminf-const)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

show ennreal (H e) = 0 if e ∉ E for e
proof(rule LIMSEQ-unique[OF H'])
  obtain x y where [simp]: e = (x, y) by(cases e)
  have ennreal (h (k n) (x, y)) = 0 for n
    using dom-h'[of Some x y k n] that by(auto simp add: h-def R-def
enn2real-eq-0-iff elim: meta-mp)
  then show (λn. ennreal (h (k n) e)) —→ 0 using that
    by(intro tendsto-eventually eventually-sequentiallyI) simp
  qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

```

8.2 Glueing the reductions together

```

locale bounded-countable-web = countable-web Γ
  for Γ :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme (structure)
  +
  assumes bounded-out: x ∈ V – B Γ ⇒ (∑+ y ∈ E “ {x}. weight Γ y) < T
begin

lemma bounded-countable-bipartite-web-of: bounded-countable-bipartite-web (bipartite-web-of
Γ)
  (is bounded-countable-bipartite-web ?Γ)
proof –
  interpret bi: countable-bipartite-web ?Γ by(rule countable-bipartite-web-of)
  show ?thesis
  proof
    fix x
    assume x ∈ A ?Γ
    then obtain x' where x: x = Inl x' and x': vertex Γ x' x' ∉ B Γ by auto
    have E_?Γ “ {x} ⊆ Inr ‘({x'}) ∪ (E “ {x'})) using x
      by(auto simp add: bipartite-web-of-def vertex-def split: sum.split-asm)
    hence (∑+ y ∈ E_?Γ “ {x}. weight ?Γ y) ≤ (∑+ y ∈ .... weight ?Γ y)
      by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono
split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = (∑+ y ∈ {x'}) ∪ (E “ {x'}). weight (bipartite-web-of Γ) (Inr
y))
      by(rule nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto)
    also have ... ≤ weight Γ x' + (∑+ y ∈ E “ {x'}). weight Γ y)
  qed

```

```

apply(subst (1 2) nn-integral-count-space-indicator, simp, simp)
apply(cases ∄ edge Γ x' x')
apply(subst nn-integral-disjoint-pair)
apply(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono add-increasing split: split-indicator)
done
also have ... < ⊤ using bounded-out[of x'] x' using weight-finite[of x'] by(simp
del: weight-finite add: less-top)
finally show (∑+ y ∈ EΓ “ {x}. weight ?Γ y) < ⊤ .
qed
qed

theorem loose-linkable-bounded:
assumes loose Γ
shows linkable Γ
proof -
interpret bi: bounded-countable-bipartite-web bipartite-web-of Γ by(rule bounded-countable-bipartite-web-of)
have ∄ hindered (bipartite-web-of Γ) using assms by(rule unhindered-bipartite-web-of)
then have linkable (bipartite-web-of Γ)
by(rule bi.unhindered-linkable-bounded)
then show ?thesis by(rule linkable-bipartite-web-ofD) simp
qed

lemma bounded-countable-web-quotient-web: bounded-countable-web (quotient-web
Γ f) (is bounded-countable-web ?Γ)
proof -
interpret r: countable-web ?Γ by(rule countable-web-quotient-web)
show ?thesis
proof
fix x
assume x ∈ Vquotient-web Γ f – B (quotient-web Γ f)
then have x: x ∈ V – B Γ by(auto dest: vertex-quotient-webD)
have (∑+ y ∈ EΓ “ {x}. weight ?Γ y) ≤ (∑+ y ∈ E “ {x}. weight Γ y)
by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono
split: split-indicator)
also have ... < ⊤ using x by(rule bounded-out)
finally show (∑+ y ∈ EΓ “ {x}. weight ?Γ y) < ⊤ .
qed
qed

lemma ex-orthogonal-current:
∃ f S. web-flow Γ f ∧ separating Γ S ∧ orthogonal-current Γ f S
proof -
from ex-maximal-wave[OF countable]
obtain f where f: current Γ f
and w: wave Γ f
and maximal: ∀ w. [ current Γ w; wave Γ w; f ≤ w ] ⟹ f = w by blast
from ex-trimming[OF f w countable weight-finite] obtain h where h: trimming
Γ f h ..

```

```

let ?Γ = quotient-web Γ f
interpret Γ: bounded-countable-web ?Γ by(rule bounded-countable-web-quotient-web)
have loose ?Γ using f w maximal by(rule loose-quotient-web[OF weight-finite])
then have linkable ?Γ by(rule Γ.loose-linkable-bounded)
then obtain g where wg: web-flow ?Γ g and link: linkage ?Γ g by blast

let ?k = plus-current h g
have web-flow Γ ?k orthogonal-current Γ ?k (E (TER f))
  by(rule linkage-quotient-webD[OF f w wg link h])+
moreover have separating Γ (E (TER f))
  using waveD-separating[OF w] by(rule separating-essential)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

locale bounded-countable-network = countable-network Δ
for Δ :: ('v, 'more) network-scheme (structure) +
assumes out: [| x ∈ V; x ≠ source Δ; x ≠ sink Δ |] ⇒ d-OUT (capacity Δ) x
< ⊤

context antiparallel-edges begin

lemma Δ"-bounded-countable-network: bounded-countable-network Δ"
  if ∀x. [| x ∈ V; x ≠ source Δ; x ≠ sink Δ |] ⇒ d-OUT (capacity Δ) x < ⊤
proof -
  interpret ae: countable-network Δ" by(rule Δ"-countable-network)
  show ?thesis
  proof
    fix x
    assume x: x ∈ VΔ" and not-source: x ≠ source Δ" and not-sink: x ≠ sink
    Δ"
    from x consider (Vertex) x' where x = Vertex x' x' ∈ V | (Edge) y z where
    x = Edge y z edge Δ y z
    unfolding V-Δ" by auto
    then show d-OUT (capacity Δ') x < ⊤
    proof cases
      case Vertex
      then show ?thesis using x not-source not-sink that[of x'] by auto
    next
      case Edge
      then show ?thesis using capacity-finite[of (y, z)] by(simp del: capacity-finite
      add: less-top)
      qed
    qed
  qed
end

```

```

context bounded-countable-network begin

lemma bounded-countable-web-web-of-network:
  assumes source-in:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x$  (source  $\Delta$ )
  and sink-out:  $\bigwedge y. \neg \text{edge } \Delta$  (sink  $\Delta$ )  $y$ 
  and undead:  $\bigwedge x y. \text{edge } \Delta x y \implies (\exists z. \text{edge } \Delta y z) \vee (\exists z. \text{edge } \Delta z x)$ 
  and source-sink:  $\neg \text{edge } \Delta$  (source  $\Delta$ ) (sink  $\Delta$ )
  and no-loop:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x x$ 
  shows bounded-countable-web (web-of-network  $\Delta$ ) (is bounded-countable-web ? $\Gamma$ )
proof –
  interpret web: countable-web ? $\Gamma$  by(rule countable-web-web-of-network) fact+
  show ?thesis
  proof
    fix  $e$ 
    assume  $e \in V_{?T} - B_{?T}$ 
    then obtain  $x y$  where  $e = (x, y)$  and  $xy: \text{edge } \Delta x y$  by(cases  $e$ ) simp
    from  $xy$  have  $y: y \neq \text{source } \Delta$  using source-in[of  $x$ ] by auto
    have out-sink:  $d\text{-OUT}(\text{capacity } \Delta)$  (sink  $\Delta$ ) = 0 unfolding d-OUT-def
      by(auto simp add: nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space sink-out intro!: capacity-outside)
    have  $E_{?T} `` \{e\} \subseteq E \cap \{y\} \times UNIV$  using  $e$  by auto
    hence  $(\sum^+ e' \in E_{?T} `` \{e\}. weight ?T e') \leq (\sum^+ e \in E \cap \{y\} \times UNIV. capacity \Delta e)$  using  $e$ 
      by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono
      split: split-indicator)
    also have  $\dots \leq (\sum^+ e \in Pair y ` UNIV. capacity \Delta e)$ 
      by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono
      split: split-indicator)
    also have  $\dots = d\text{-OUT}(\text{capacity } \Delta) y$  unfolding d-OUT-def
      by(rule nn-integral-count-space-reindex) simp
    also have  $\dots < \top$  using out[of  $y$ ]  $xy$   $y$  out-sink by(cases  $y = \text{sink } \Delta$ ) (auto
      simp add: vertex-def)
    finally show  $(\sum^+ e' \in E_{?T} `` \{e\}. weight ?T e') < \top$ .
  qed
  qed

context begin

qualified lemma max-flow-min-cut'-bounded:
  assumes source-in:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x$  (source  $\Delta$ )
  and sink-out:  $\bigwedge y. \neg \text{edge } \Delta$  (sink  $\Delta$ )  $y$ 
  and undead:  $\bigwedge x y. \text{edge } \Delta x y \implies (\exists z. \text{edge } \Delta y z) \vee (\exists z. \text{edge } \Delta z x)$ 
  and source-sink:  $\neg \text{edge } \Delta$  (source  $\Delta$ ) (sink  $\Delta$ )
  and no-loop:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x x$ 
  and capacity-pos:  $\bigwedge e. e \in E \implies \text{capacity } \Delta e > 0$ 
  shows  $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S$ 
  by(rule max-flow-min-cut')(rule bounded-countable-web.ex-orthogonal-current[OF
  bounded-countable-web-web-of-network], fact+)

```

qualified lemma *max-flow-min-cut''-bounded*:
assumes *sink-out*: $\bigwedge y. \neg \text{edge } \Delta (\text{sink } \Delta) y$
and *source-in*: $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x (\text{source } \Delta)$
and *no-loop*: $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x x$
and *capacity-pos*: $\bigwedge e. e \in \mathbf{E} \implies \text{capacity } \Delta e > 0$
shows $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S$

proof –
interpret *antiparallel-edges* $\Delta ..$
interpret $\Delta'': \text{bounded-countable-network } \Delta''$ **by**(rule $\Delta''\text{-bounded-countable-network}$)**(rule out)**
have $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta'' f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta'' S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta'' f S$
by(rule $\Delta''.\text{max-flow-min-cut}'\text{-bounded}$)**(auto simp add: sink-out source-in no-loop capacity-pos elim: edg.cases)**
then obtain $f S$ **where** $f: \text{flow } \Delta'' f$ **and** $\text{cut}: \text{cut } \Delta'' S$ **and** $\text{ortho}: \text{orthogonal } \Delta'' f S$ **by** *blast*
have $\text{flow } \Delta (\text{collect } f)$ **using** f **by**(rule *flow-collect*)
moreover have $\text{cut } \Delta (\text{cut}' S)$ **using** cut **by**(rule *cut-cut'*)
moreover have $\text{orthogonal } \Delta (\text{collect } f) (\text{cut}' S)$ **using** $\text{ortho } f$ **by**(rule *orthogonal-cut'*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

qualified lemma *max-flow-min-cut'''-bounded*:
assumes *sink-out*: $\bigwedge y. \neg \text{edge } \Delta (\text{sink } \Delta) y$
and *source-in*: $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x (\text{source } \Delta)$
and *capacity-pos*: $\bigwedge e. e \in \mathbf{E} \implies \text{capacity } \Delta e > 0$
shows $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S$

proof –
interpret *antiparallel-edges* $\Delta ..$
interpret $\Delta'': \text{bounded-countable-network } \Delta''$ **by**(rule $\Delta''\text{-bounded-countable-network}$)**(rule out)**
have $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta'' f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta'' S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta'' f S$
by(rule $\Delta''.\text{max-flow-min-cut}''\text{-bounded}$)**(auto simp add: sink-out source-in capacity-pos elim: edg.cases)**
then obtain $f S$ **where** $f: \text{flow } \Delta'' f$ **and** $\text{cut}: \text{cut } \Delta'' S$ **and** $\text{ortho}: \text{orthogonal } \Delta'' f S$ **by** *blast*
have $\text{flow } \Delta (\text{collect } f)$ **using** f **by**(rule *flow-collect*)
moreover have $\text{cut } \Delta (\text{cut}' S)$ **using** cut **by**(rule *cut-cut'*)
moreover have $\text{orthogonal } \Delta (\text{collect } f) (\text{cut}' S)$ **using** $\text{ortho } f$ **by**(rule *orthogonal-cut'*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma $\Delta'''\text{-bounded-countable-network}: \text{bounded-countable-network } \Delta'''$
proof –
interpret $\Delta''': \text{countable-network } \Delta'''$ **by**(rule $\Delta'''\text{-countable-network}$)
show *?thesis*
proof
fix x

```

assume  $x: x \in V_{\Delta'''} \text{ and not-source: } x \neq \text{source } \Delta''' \text{ and not-sink: } x \neq \text{sink}$ 
 $\Delta'''$ 
from  $x$  have  $x': x \in V$  by(auto simp add: vertex-def)
have  $d\text{-OUT}(\text{capacity } \Delta''') x \leq d\text{-OUT}(\text{capacity } \Delta) x$  by(rule d-OUT-mono)
simp
also have ...  $< \top$  using  $x'$  not-source not-sink by(simp add: out)
finally show  $d\text{-OUT}(\text{capacity } \Delta''') x < \top$  .
qed
qed

theorem max-flow-min-cut-bounded:
 $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S$ 
proof -
  interpret  $\Delta': \text{bounded-countable-network } \Delta'''$  by(rule  $\Delta'''$ -bounded-countable-network)
  have  $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta''' f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta''' S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta''' f S$  by(rule  $\Delta'.\text{max-flow-min-cut}'''$ -bounded)
  auto
  then obtain  $f S$  where  $f: \text{flow } \Delta''' f$  and  $\text{cut}: \text{cut } \Delta''' S$  and  $\text{ortho}: \text{orthogonal}$ 
   $\Delta''' f S$  by blast
  from flow- $\Delta'''$ [OF this] show ?thesis by blast
qed

end
end
end
theory MFMC-Flow-Attainability imports
  MFMC-Network
begin

```

9 Attainability of flows in networks

9.1 Cleaning up flows

If there is a flow along antiparallel edges, it suffices to consider the difference.

definition cleanup :: 'a flow \Rightarrow 'a flow
where cleanup $f = (\lambda(a, b). \text{if } f(a, b) > f(b, a) \text{ then } f(a, b) - f(b, a) \text{ else } 0)$

lemma cleanup-simps [simp]:
 $\text{cleanup } f(a, b) = (\text{if } f(a, b) > f(b, a) \text{ then } f(a, b) - f(b, a) \text{ else } 0)$
by(simp add: cleanup-def)

lemma value-flow-cleanup:
assumes [simp]: $\bigwedge x. f(x, \text{source } \Delta) = 0$
shows value-flow $\Delta(\text{cleanup } f) = \text{value-flow } \Delta f$
unfolding d-OUT-def
by (auto simp add: not-less intro!: nn-integral-cong intro: antisym)

```

lemma KIR-cleanup:
  assumes KIR: KIR f x
  and finite-IN: d-IN f x ≠ ⊤
  shows KIR (cleanup f) x
proof -
  from finite-IN KIR have finite-OUT: d-OUT f x ≠ ⊤ by simp
  have finite-IN: (∑+ y∈A. f (y, x)) ≠ ⊤ for A
    using finite-IN by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator
    intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
  have finite-OUT: (∑+ y∈A. f (x, y)) ≠ ⊤ for A
    using finite-OUT by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator
    intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
  have finite-in: f (x, y) ≠ ⊤ for y using ⟨d-OUT f x ≠ ⊤⟩
    by(rule neq-top-trans) (rule d-OUT-ge-point)

  let ?M = {y. f (x, y) > f (y, x)}

  have d-OUT (cleanup f) x = (∑+ y∈?M. f (x, y) - f (y, x))
    by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  also have ... = (∑+ y∈?M. f (x, y)) - (∑+ y∈?M. f (y, x)) using finite-IN
    by(subst nn-integral-diff)(auto simp add: AE-count-space)
  also have ... = (d-OUT f x - (∑+ y∈{y. f (x, y) ≤ f (y, x)}. f (x, y))) -
    (∑+ y∈?M. f (y, x))
    unfolding d-OUT-def d-IN-def using finite-IN finite-OUT
    apply(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator)
    apply(subst (2) nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
    apply(auto simp add: AE-count-space finite-in split: split-indicator intro!:
    arg-cong2[where f=(-)] intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    done
  also have ... = (d-IN f x - (∑+ y∈?M. f (y, x))) - (∑+ y∈{y. f (x, y) ≤ f
    (y, x)}. f (x, y))
    using KIR by(simp add: diff-diff-commute-ennreal)
  also have ... = (∑+ y∈{y. f (x, y) ≤ f (y, x)}. f (y, x)) - (∑+ y∈{y. f (x,
    y) ≤ f (y, x)}. f (x, y))
    using finite-IN finite-IN[of { - }]
    apply(simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator)
    apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
    apply(auto simp add: d-IN-def AE-count-space split: split-indicator intro!:
    arg-cong2[where f=(-)] intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    done
  also have ... = (∑+ y∈{y. f (x, y) ≤ f (y, x)}. f (y, x) - f (x, y)) using
    finite-OUT
    by(subst nn-integral-diff)(auto simp add: AE-count-space)
  also have ... = d-IN (cleanup f) x using finite-in
    by(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: ennreal-diff-self
    nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
    finally show KIR (cleanup f) x .
qed

```

```

locale flow-attainability = countable-network  $\Delta$ 
  for  $\Delta :: ('v, 'more) network\text{-}scheme$  (structure)
  +
  assumes finite-capacity:  $\bigwedge x. x \neq \text{sink } \Delta \implies d\text{-IN}(\text{capacity } \Delta) x \neq \top \vee d\text{-OUT}$ 
  ( $\text{capacity } \Delta$ )  $x \neq \top$ 
  and no-loop:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x x$ 
  and source-in:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x (\text{source } \Delta)$ 
begin

lemma source-in-not-cycle:
  assumes cycle  $\Delta p$ 
  shows  $(x, \text{source } \Delta) \notin \text{set}(\text{cycle-edges } p)$ 
  using cycle-edges-edges[OF assms] source-in[of  $x$ ] by(auto)

lemma source-out-not-cycle:
  cycle  $\Delta p \implies (\text{source } \Delta, x) \notin \text{set}(\text{cycle-edges } p)$ 
  by(auto dest: cycle-leave-ex-enter source-in-not-cycle)

lemma flowD-source-IN:
  assumes flow  $\Delta f$ 
  shows  $d\text{-IN } f (\text{source } \Delta) = 0$ 
proof -
  have  $d\text{-IN } f (\text{source } \Delta) = (\sum^+_{y \in \text{IN}} (\text{source } \Delta). f(y, \text{source } \Delta))$ 
  by(rule d-IN-alt-def)(simp add: flowD-outside[OF assms])
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{y \in \text{IN}} (\text{source } \Delta). 0)$ 
  by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp add: source-in incoming-def)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma flowD-finite-IN:
  assumes f: flow  $\Delta f$  and x:  $x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$ 
  shows  $d\text{-IN } f x \neq \text{top}$ 
proof(cases x = source  $\Delta$ )
  case True thus ?thesis by(simp add: flowD-source-IN[OF f])
next
  case False
  from finite-capacity[OF x] show ?thesis
  proof
    assume *:  $d\text{-IN}(\text{capacity } \Delta) x \neq \top$ 
    from flowD-capacity[OF f] have  $d\text{-IN } f x \leq d\text{-IN}(\text{capacity } \Delta) x$  by(rule d-IN-mono)
    also have ... <  $\top$  using * by(simp add: less-top)
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  next
    assume *:  $d\text{-OUT}(\text{capacity } \Delta) x \neq \top$ 
    have  $d\text{-IN } f x = d\text{-OUT } f x$  using flowD-KIR[OF f False x] by simp
    also have ...  $\leq d\text{-OUT}(\text{capacity } \Delta) x$  using flowD-capacity[OF f] by(rule d-OUT-mono)
  qed

```

```

also have ... <  $\top$  using * by (simp add: less-top)
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

lemma flowD-finite-OUT:
assumes flow  $\Delta f x \neq \text{source } \Delta x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$ 
shows d-OUT  $f x \neq \top$ 
using flowD-KIR[OF assms] assms by(simp add: flowD-finite-IN)

end

locale flow-network = flow-attainability +
fixes g :: ' $v$  flow'
assumes g: flow  $\Delta g$ 
and g-finite: value-flow  $\Delta g \neq \top$ 
and nontrivial:  $\mathbf{V} - \{\text{source } \Delta, \text{sink } \Delta\} \neq \{\}$ 
begin

lemma g-outside:  $e \notin \mathbf{E} \implies g e = 0$ 
by(rule flowD-outside)(rule g)

lemma g-loop [simp]:  $g(x, x) = 0$ 
by(rule g-outside)(simp add: no-loop)

lemma finite-IN-g:  $x \neq \text{sink } \Delta \implies d\text{-IN } g x \neq \text{top}$ 
by(rule flowD-finite-IN[OF g])

lemma finite-OUT-g:
assumes x ≠ sink  $\Delta$ 
shows d-OUT  $g x \neq \text{top}$ 
proof(cases x = source  $\Delta$ )
case True
with g-finite show ?thesis by simp
next
case False
with g have KIR g x using assms by(auto dest: flowD-KIR)
with finite-IN-g[of x] False assms show ?thesis by(simp)
qed

lemma g-source-in [simp]:  $g(x, \text{source } \Delta) = 0$ 
by(rule g-outside)(simp add: source-in)

lemma finite-g [simp]:  $g e \neq \text{top}$ 
by(rule flowD-finite[OF g])

```

definition enum- v :: nat \Rightarrow ' v

```

where enum-v n = from-nat-into ( $\mathbf{V} - \{\text{source } \Delta, \text{ sink } \Delta\}$ ) (fst (prod-decode n))

lemma range-enum-v: range enum-v  $\subseteq \mathbf{V} - \{\text{source } \Delta, \text{ sink } \Delta\}$ 
using from-nat-into[OF nontrivial] by(auto simp add: enum-v-def)

lemma enum-v-repeat:
  assumes x:  $x \in \mathbf{V}$   $x \neq \text{source } \Delta$   $x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$ 
  shows  $\exists i' > i$ . enum-v  $i' = x$ 
proof -
  let ?V =  $\mathbf{V} - \{\text{source } \Delta, \text{ sink } \Delta\}$ 
  let ?n = to-nat-on ?V x
  let ?A = {?n} × (UNIV :: nat set)
  from x have x':  $x \in \mathbf{V} - \{\text{source } \Delta, \text{ sink } \Delta\}$  by simp
  have infinite ?A by(auto dest: finite-cartesian-productD2)
  hence infinite (prod-encode ' ?A) by(auto dest: finite-imageD simp add: inj-prod-encode)
  then obtain i' where i' > i  $i' \in \text{prod-encode } ' ?A$ 
    unfolding infinite-nat-iff-unbounded by blast
    from this(2) have enum-v  $i' = x$  using x by(clarify simp add: enum-v-def)
    with  $i' > i$  show ?thesis by blast
  qed

fun h-plus :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'v edge  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
where
  h-plus 0 (x, y) = (if x = source  $\Delta$  then g (x, y) else 0)
  | h-plus (Suc i) (x, y) =
    (if enum-v (Suc i) = x  $\wedge$  d-OUT (h-plus i) x < d-IN (h-plus i) x then
      let total = d-IN (h-plus i) x - d-OUT (h-plus i) x;
      share = g (x, y) - h-plus i (x, y);
      shares = d-OUT g x - d-OUT (h-plus i) x
      in h-plus i (x, y) + share * total / shares
    else h-plus i (x, y))

lemma h-plus-le-g: h-plus i e  $\leq$  g e
proof(induction i arbitrary: e and e)
  case 0 thus ?case by(cases e) simp
next
  case (Suc i)
  { fix x y
    assume enum: x = enum-v (Suc i)
    assume less: d-OUT (h-plus i) x < d-IN (h-plus i) x
    from enum have x:  $x \neq \text{source } \Delta$   $x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$  using range-enum-v
      by(auto dest: sym intro: rev-image-eqI)

    define share where share = g (x, y) - h-plus i (x, y)
    define shares where shares = d-OUT g x - d-OUT (h-plus i) x
    define total where total = d-IN (h-plus i) x - d-OUT (h-plus i) x
    let ?h = h-plus i (x, y) + share * total / shares
  }

```

```

have d-OUT (h-plus i) x ≤ d-OUT g x by(rule d-OUT-mono)(rule Suc.IH)
also have ... < top using finite-OUT-g[of x] x by (simp add: less-top)
finally have d-OUT (h-plus i) x ≠ ⊤ by simp
then have shares-eq: shares = (∑+ y. g (x, y) − h-plus i (x, y)) unfolding
shares-def d-OUT-def
by(subst nn-integral-diff)(simp-all add: AE-count-space Suc.IH)

have *: share / shares ≤ 1
proof (cases share = 0)
  case True thus ?thesis by(simp)
next
  case False
  hence share > 0 using ‹h-plus i (x, y) ≤ g -›
    by(simp add: share-def dual-order.strict-iff-order)
  moreover have share ≤ shares unfolding share-def shares-eq by(rule
nn-integral-ge-point)simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by(simp add: divide-le-posI-ennreal)
qed

note shares-def
also have d-OUT g x = d-IN g x by(rule flowD-KIR[OF g x])
also have d-IN (h-plus i) x ≤ d-IN g x by(rule d-IN-mono)(rule Suc.IH)
ultimately have *: total ≤ shares unfolding total-def by(simp add: en-
nreal-minus-mono)
moreover have total > 0 unfolding total-def using less by (clarsimp simp
add: diff-gr0-ennreal)
ultimately have total / shares ≤ 1 by(intro divide-le-posI-ennreal)(simp-all)
hence share * (total / shares) ≤ share * 1
  by(rule mult-left-mono) simp
hence ?h ≤ h-plus i (x, y) + share by(simp add: ennreal-times-divide add-mono)
also have ... = g (x, y) unfolding share-def using ‹h-plus i (x, y) ≤ g -›
finite-g[of (x, y)]
  by simp
moreover
  note calculation }
note * = this
show ?case using Suc.IH * by(cases e) clarsimp
qed

lemma h-plus-outside: e ∉ E ==> h-plus i e = 0
by (metis g-outside h-plus-le-g le-zero-eq)

lemma h-plus-not-infty [simp]: h-plus i e ≠ top
using h-plus-le-g[of i e] by (auto simp: top-unique)

lemma h-plus-mono: h-plus i e ≤ h-plus (Suc i) e
proof(cases e)
  case [simp]: (Pair x y)
  { assume d-OUT (h-plus i) x < d-IN (h-plus i) x

```

```

hence h-plus i (x, y) + 0 ≤ h-plus i (x, y) + (g (x, y) − h-plus i (x, y)) *
(d-IN (h-plus i) x − d-OUT (h-plus i) x) / (d-OUT g x − d-OUT (h-plus i) x)
  by(intro add-left-mono d-OUT-mono le-funI) (simp-all add: h-plus-le-g) }
then show ?thesis by clar simp
qed

lemma h-plus-mono': i ≤ j ==> h-plus i e ≤ h-plus j e
by(induction rule: dec-induct)(auto intro: h-plus-mono order-trans)

lemma d-OUT-h-plus-not-infty': x ≠ sink Δ ==> d-OUT (h-plus i) x ≠ top
using d-OUT-mono[of h-plus i x g, OF h-plus-le-g] finite-OUT-g[of x] by (auto
simp: top-unique)

lemma h-plus-OUT-le-IN:
assumes x ≠ source Δ
shows d-OUT (h-plus i) x ≤ d-IN (h-plus i) x
proof(induction i)
case 0
thus ?case using assms by(simp add: d-OUT-def)
next
case (Suc i)
have d-OUT (h-plus (Suc i)) x ≤ d-IN (h-plus i) x
proof(cases enum-v (Suc i) = x ∧ d-OUT (h-plus i) x < d-IN (h-plus i) x)
case False
thus ?thesis using Suc.IH by(simp add: d-OUT-def cong: conj-cong)
next
case True
hence x: x ≠ sink Δ and le: d-OUT (h-plus i) x < d-IN (h-plus i) x using
range-enum-v by auto
let ?r = λy. (g (x, y) − h-plus i (x, y)) * (d-IN (h-plus i) x − d-OUT (h-plus
i) x) / (d-OUT g x − d-OUT (h-plus i) x)
have d-OUT (h-plus (Suc i)) x = d-OUT (h-plus i) x + (∑+ y. ?r y)
using True unfolding d-OUT-def h-plus.simps by(simp add: AE-count-space
nn-integral-add)
also from True have x ≠ source Δ x ≠ sink Δ using range-enum-v by auto
from flowD-KIR[OF g this] le d-IN-mono[of h-plus i x g, OF h-plus-le-g]
have le': d-OUT (h-plus i) x < d-OUT g x by(simp)
then have (∑+ y. ?r y) =
  (d-IN (h-plus i) x − d-OUT (h-plus i) x) * ((∑+ y. g (x, y) − h-plus i (x,
y)) / (d-OUT g x − d-OUT (h-plus i) x))
  by(subst mult.commute, subst ennreal-times-divide[symmetric])
  (simp add: nn-integral-cmult nn-integral-divide Suc.IH diff-gr0-ennreal)
also have (∑+ y. g (x, y) − h-plus i (x, y)) = d-OUT g x − d-OUT (h-plus
i) x using x
  by(subst nn-integral-diff)(simp-all add: d-OUT-def[symmetric] h-plus-le-g
d-OUT-h-plus-not-infty')
also have ... / ... = 1 using le' finite-OUT-g[of x] x
by(auto intro!: ennreal-divide-self dest: diff-gr0-ennreal simp: less-top[symmetric])
also have d-OUT (h-plus i) x + (d-IN (h-plus i) x − d-OUT (h-plus i) x) *

```

```

1 = d-IN (h-plus i) x using x
  by (simp add: Suc)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... ≤ d-IN (h-plus (Suc i)) x by(rule d-IN-mono)(rule h-plus-mono)
finally show ?case .
qed

lemma h-plus-OUT-eq-IN:
assumes enum: enum-v (Suc i) = x
shows d-OUT (h-plus (Suc i)) x = d-IN (h-plus i) x
proof(cases d-OUT (h-plus i) x < d-IN (h-plus i) x)
  case False
    from enum have x ≠ source Δ using range-enum-v by auto
    from h-plus-OUT-le-IN[OF this, of i] False have d-OUT (h-plus i) x = d-IN
(h-plus i) x by auto
    with False enum show ?thesis by(simp add: d-OUT-def)
  next
    case True
    from enum have x: x ≠ source Δ and sink: x ≠ sink Δ using range-enum-v
by auto
    let ?r = λy. (g (x, y) − h-plus i (x, y)) * (d-IN (h-plus i) x − d-OUT (h-plus
i) x) / (d-OUT g x − d-OUT (h-plus i) x)
    have d-OUT (h-plus (Suc i)) x = d-OUT (h-plus i) x + (Σ+ y. ?r y)
      using True enum unfolding d-OUT-def h-plus.simps by(simp add: AE-count-space
nn-integral-add)
    also from True enum have x ≠ source Δ x ≠ sink Δ using range-enum-v by
auto
    from flowD-KIR[OF g this] True d-IN-mono[of h-plus i x g, OF h-plus-le-g]
have le': d-OUT (h-plus i) x < d-OUT g x by(simp)
    then have (Σ+ y. ?r y) =
      (d-IN (h-plus i) x − d-OUT (h-plus i) x) * ((Σ+ y. g (x, y) − h-plus i (x, y)))
    / (d-OUT g x − d-OUT (h-plus i) x)
      by(subst mult.commute, subst ennreal-times-divide[symmetric])
      (simp add: nn-integral-cmult nn-integral-divide h-plus-OUT-le-IN[OF x] diff-gr0-ennreal)
    also have (Σ+ y. g (x, y) − h-plus i (x, y)) = d-OUT g x − d-OUT (h-plus i)
x using sink
      by(subst nn-integral-diff)(simp-all add: d-OUT-def[symmetric] h-plus-le-g d-OUT-h-plus-not-infty')
    also have ... / ... = 1 using le' finite-OUT-g[of x] sink
      by(auto intro!: ennreal-divide-self dest: diff-gr0-ennreal simp: less-top[symmetric])
    also have d-OUT (h-plus i) x + (d-IN (h-plus i) x − d-OUT (h-plus i) x) * 1
= d-IN (h-plus i) x using sink
      by (simp add: h-plus-OUT-le-IN x)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma h-plus-source-in [simp]: h-plus i (x, source Δ) = 0
by(induction i)simp-all

```

```

lemma h-plus-sum-finite: ( $\sum^+ e. h\text{-plus } i \text{ } e$ )  $\neq \text{top}$ 
proof(induction i)
  case 0
  have ( $\sum^+ e \in \text{UNIV}. h\text{-plus } 0 \text{ } e$ ) = ( $\sum^+ (x, y). h\text{-plus } 0 \text{ } (x, y)$ )
    by(simp del: h-plus.simps)
  also have ... = ( $\sum^+ (x, y) \in \text{range}(\text{Pair}(\text{source } \Delta)). h\text{-plus } 0 \text{ } (x, y)$ )
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  also have ... = value-flow  $\Delta g$  by(simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ... <  $\top$  using g-finite by(simp add: less-top)
  finally show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc i)
  define xi where xi = enum-v (Suc i)
  then have xi: xi  $\neq \text{source } \Delta$  xi  $\neq \text{sink } \Delta$  using range-enum-v by auto
  show ?case
  proof(cases d-OUT (h-plus i) xi < d-IN (h-plus i) xi)
    case False
    hence ( $\sum^+ e \in \text{UNIV}. h\text{-plus } (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } e$ ) = ( $\sum^+ e. h\text{-plus } i \text{ } e$ )
      by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp add: xi-def)
    with Suc.IH show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case True
    have less: d-OUT (h-plus i) xi < d-OUT g xi
      using True flowD-KIR[OF g xi] d-IN-mono[of h-plus i xi, OF h-plus-le-g]
      by simp

    have ( $\sum^+ e. h\text{-plus } (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } e$ ) =
      ( $\sum^+ e \in \text{UNIV}. h\text{-plus } i \text{ } e$ ) + ( $\sum^+ (x, y). ((g(x, y) - h\text{-plus } i(x, y)) * (d\text{-IN}(h\text{-plus } i)x - d\text{-OUT}(h\text{-plus } i)x) / (d\text{-OUT}g x - d\text{-OUT}(h\text{-plus } i)x))$ )
      * indicator(range(Pair xi))(x, y)
      (is - = ?IH + ?rest is - = - +  $\int^+ (x, y). ?f x y * - \partial-$ ) using xi True
      by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto simp add: xi-def split-beta AE-count-space
      intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator intro!: h-plus-le-g h-plus-OUT-le-IN
      d-OUT-mono le-funI)
    also have ?rest = ( $\sum^+ (x, y) \in \text{range}(\text{Pair } xi). ?f x y$ )
      by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator split-def)
    also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y. ?f xi y$ ) by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
    also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y. g(xi, y) - h\text{-plus } i(xi, y)) * ((d\text{-IN}(h\text{-plus } i)xi - d\text{-OUT}(h\text{-plus } i)xi) / (d\text{-OUT}g xi - d\text{-OUT}(h\text{-plus } i)xi))$ )
      (is - = ?integral * ?factor) using True less
      by(simp add: nn-integral-multc nn-integral-divide diff-gr0-ennreal ennreal-times-divide)
    also have ?integral = d-OUT g xi - d-OUT (h-plus i) xi unfolding d-OUT-def
    using xi
      by(subst nn-integral-diff)(simp-all add: h-plus-le-g d-OUT-def[symmetric]
      d-OUT-h-plus-not-infty')
    also have ... * ?factor = (d-IN (h-plus i) xi - d-OUT (h-plus i) xi) using xi
      apply(subst ennreal-times-divide)
      apply(subst mult.commute)
      apply(subst ennreal-mult-divide-eq)
  
```

```

apply (simp-all add: diff-gr0-ennreal finite-OUT-g less zero-less-iff-neq-zero[symmetric])
  done
also have ... ≠ ⊤ using h-plus-OUT-eq-IN[OF refl, of i, folded xi-def, symmetric] xi
  by(simp add: d-OUT-h-plus-not-infty')
ultimately show ?thesis using Suc.IH by simp
qed
qed

lemma d-OUT-h-plus-not-infty [simp]: d-OUT (h-plus i) x ≠ top
proof -
  have d-OUT (h-plus i) x ≤ (∑+ y ∈ UNIV. ∑+ x. h-plus i (x, y))
  unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-mono nn-integral-ge-point)+ simp
  also have ... < ⊤ using h-plus-sum-finite by(simp add: nn-integral-snd-count-space
less-top)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

definition enum-cycle :: nat ⇒ 'v path
where enum-cycle = from-nat-into (cycles Δ)

lemma cycle-enum-cycle [simp]: cycles Δ ≠ {} ⇒ cycle Δ (enum-cycle n)
unfolding enum-cycle-def using from-nat-into[of cycles Δ n] by simp

context
fixes h' :: 'v flow
assumes finite-h': h' e ≠ top
begin

fun h-minus-aux :: nat ⇒ 'v edge ⇒ ennreal
where
  h-minus-aux 0 e = 0
  | h-minus-aux (Suc j) e =
    (if e ∈ set (cycle-edges (enum-cycle j)) then
      h-minus-aux j e + Min {h' e' − h-minus-aux j e' | e'. e' ∈ set (cycle-edges
(enum-cycle j))})
    else h-minus-aux j e)

lemma h-minus-aux-le-h': h-minus-aux j e ≤ h' e
proof(induction j e rule: h-minus-aux.induct)
  case 0: (1 e) show ?case by simp
next
  case Suc: (2 j e)
  { assume e: e ∈ set (cycle-edges (enum-cycle j))
    then have h-minus-aux j e + Min {h' e' − h-minus-aux j e' | e'. e' ∈ set
(cycle-edges (enum-cycle j))} ≤
      h-minus-aux j e + (h' e − h-minus-aux j e)
    using [[simproc add: finite-Collect]] by(cases e rule: prod.exhaust)(auto intro!:
add-mono Min-le)
  }

```

```

also have ... = h' e using e finite-h'[of e] Suc.IH(2)[of e]
  by(cases e rule: prod.exhaust)
    (auto simp add: add-diff-eq-ennreal top-unique intro!: ennreal-add-diff-cancel-left)
    also note calculation }
  then show ?case using Suc by clarsimp
qed

lemma h-minus-aux-finite [simp]: h-minus-aux j e ≠ top
using h-minus-aux-le-h'[of j e] finite-h'[of e] by (auto simp: top-unique)

lemma h-minus-aux-mono: h-minus-aux j e ≤ h-minus-aux (Suc j) e
proof(cases e ∈ set (cycle-edges (enum-cycle j))) = True
  case True
  have h-minus-aux j e + 0 ≤ h-minus-aux (Suc j) e unfolding h-minus-aux.simps
  True if-True
    using True [[simproc add: finite-Collect]]
    by(cases e)(rule add-mono, auto intro!: Min.boundedI simp add: h-minus-aux-le-h')
    thus ?thesis by simp
qed simp

lemma d-OUT-h-minus-aux:
  assumes cycles Δ ≠ {}
  shows d-OUT (h-minus-aux j) x = d-IN (h-minus-aux j) x
proof(induction j)
  case 0 show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc j)
  define C where C = enum-cycle j
  define δ where δ = Min {h' e' - h-minus-aux j e' | e'. e' ∈ set (cycle-edges C)}

  have d-OUT (h-minus-aux (Suc j)) x =
    (∑+ y. h-minus-aux j (x, y) + (if (x, y) ∈ set (cycle-edges C) then δ else 0))
    unfolding d-OUT-def by(simp add: if-distrib C-def δ-def cong del: if-weak-cong)
    also have ... = d-OUT (h-minus-aux j) x + (∑+ y. δ * indicator (set (cycle-edges C)) (x, y))
    (is - = - + ?add)
    by(subst nn-integral-add)(auto simp add: AE-count-space d-OUT-def intro!: arg-cong2[where f=(+)] nn-integral-cong)
    also have ?add = (∑+ e∈range (Pair x). δ * indicator {(x', y). (x', y) ∈ set (cycle-edges C) ∧ x' = x} e)
      by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = δ * card (set (filter (λ(x', y). x' = x) (cycle-edges C)))
      using [[simproc add: finite-Collect]]
      apply(subst nn-integral-cmult-indicator; auto)
      apply(subst emeasure-count-space; auto simp add: split-def)
      done
    also have card (set (filter (λ(x', y). x' = x) (cycle-edges C))) = card (set (filter (λ(x', y). y = x) (cycle-edges C)))

```

```

unfolding C-def by(rule cycle-enter-leave-same)(rule cycle-enum-cycle[OF assms])
also have  $\delta * \dots = (\sum^+ e \in \text{range } (\lambda x'. (x', x)). \delta * \text{indicator } \{(x', y). (x', y) \in \text{set } (\text{cycle-edges } C) \wedge y = x\} e)$ 
using [[simproc add: finite-Collect]]
apply(subst nn-integral-cmult-indicator; auto)
apply(subst emeasure-count-space; auto simp add: split-def)
done
also have  $\dots = (\sum^+ x'. \delta * \text{indicator } (\text{set } (\text{cycle-edges } C)) (x', x))$ 
by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
also have  $d\text{-OUT } (h\text{-minus-aux } j) x + \dots = (\sum^+ x'. h\text{-minus-aux } j (x', x) + \delta * \text{indicator } (\text{set } (\text{cycle-edges } C)) (x', x))$ 
unfolding Suc.IH  $d\text{-IN-def}$  by(simp add: nn-integral-add[symmetric])
also have  $\dots = d\text{-IN } (h\text{-minus-aux } (\text{Suc } j)) x$  unfolding  $d\text{-IN-def}$ 
by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp add:  $\delta\text{-def } C\text{-def}$  split: split-indicator)
finally show ?case .
qed

lemma h-minus-aux-source:
assumes cycles  $\Delta \neq \{\}$ 
shows  $h\text{-minus-aux } j (\text{source } \Delta, y) = 0$ 
proof(induction  $j$ )
case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
case ( $\text{Suc } j$ )
have  $(\text{source } \Delta, y) \notin \text{set } (\text{cycle-edges } (\text{enum-cycle } j))$ 
proof
assume  $*: (\text{source } \Delta, y) \in \text{set } (\text{cycle-edges } (\text{enum-cycle } j))$ 
have cycle: cycle  $\Delta$  ( $\text{enum-cycle } j$ ) using assms by(rule cycle-enum-cycle)
from cycle-leave-ex-enter[OF this *]
obtain z where  $(z, \text{source } \Delta) \in \text{set } (\text{cycle-edges } (\text{enum-cycle } j)) ..$ 
with cycle-edges-edges[OF cycle] have  $(z, \text{source } \Delta) \in \mathbf{E} ..$ 
thus False using source-in[of z] by simp
qed
then show ?case using Suc.IH by simp
qed

lemma h-minus-aux-cycle:
fixes j defines  $C \equiv \text{enum-cycle } j$ 
assumes cycles  $\Delta \neq \{\}$ 
shows  $\exists e \in \text{set } (\text{cycle-edges } C). h\text{-minus-aux } (\text{Suc } j) e = h' e$ 
proof -
let ?A =  $\{h' e' - h\text{-minus-aux } j e' | e' \in \text{set } (\text{cycle-edges } C)\}$ 
from assms have cycle  $\Delta$   $C$  by auto
from cycle-edges-not-Nil[OF this] have Min ?A  $\in$  ?A using [[simproc add: finite-Collect]]
by(intro Min-in)(fastforce simp add: neq-Nil-conv)+
then obtain e' where  $e: e' \in \text{set } (\text{cycle-edges } C)$ 

```

```

and Min ?A = h' e' - h-minus-aux j e' by auto
hence h-minus-aux (Suc j) e' = h' e'
  by(simp add: C-def h-minus-aux-le-h')
  with e show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

fun h-minus :: nat ⇒ 'v edge ⇒ ennreal
where
  h-minus 0 e = 0
| h-minus (Suc i) e = h-minus i e + (SUP j. h-minus-aux (λe'. h-plus (Suc i) e'
  - h-minus i e') j e)

lemma h-minus-le-h-plus: h-minus i e ≤ h-plus i e
proof(induction i e rule: h-minus.induct)
  case 0: (1 e) show ?case by simp
next
  case Suc: (2 i e)
  note IH = Suc.IH(2)[OF UNIV-I]
  let ?h' = λe'. h-plus (Suc i) e' - h-minus i e'
  have h': ?h' e' ≠ top for e' using IH(1)[of e'] by simp

  have (⊔ j. h-minus-aux ?h' j e) ≤ ?h' e by(rule SUP-least)(rule h-minus-aux-le-h'[OF
  h'])
  hence h-minus (Suc i) e ≤ h-minus i e + ... by(simp add: add-mono)
  also have ... = h-plus (Suc i) e using IH[of e] h-plus-mono[of i e]
    by auto
  finally show ?case .
qed

lemma finite-h': h-plus (Suc i) e - h-minus i e ≠ top
  by simp

lemma h-minus-mono: h-minus i e ≤ h-minus (Suc i) e
proof -
  have h-minus i e + 0 ≤ h-minus (Suc i) e unfolding h-minus.simps
    by(rule add-mono; simp add: SUP-upper2)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma h-minus-finite [simp]: h-minus i e ≠ ⊤
proof -
  have h-minus i e ≤ h-plus i e by(rule h-minus-le-h-plus)
  also have ... < ⊤ by (simp add: less-top[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma d-OUT-h-minus:

```

```

assumes cycles: cycles  $\Delta \neq \{\}$ 
shows d-OUT (h-minus i) x = d-IN (h-minus i) x
proof(induction i)
  case (Suc i)
    let ?h' =  $\lambda e. h\text{-plus}(\text{Suc } i) e - h\text{-minus } i e$ 
    have d-OUT ( $\lambda e. h\text{-minus}(\text{Suc } i) e$ ) x = d-OUT (h-minus i) x + d-OUT ( $\lambda e.$ 
      SUP j. h-minus-aux ?h' j e) x
      by(simp add: d-OUT-add SUP-upper2)
    also have d-OUT ( $\lambda e. \text{SUP } j. h\text{-minus-aux} ?h' j e$ ) x = (SUP j. d-OUT (h-minus-aux
      ?h' j) x)
      by(rule d-OUT-monotone-convergence-SUP incseq-SucI le-funI h-minus-aux-mono
        finite-h')++
    also have ... = (SUP j. d-IN (h-minus-aux ?h' j) x)
      by(rule SUP-cong[OF refl])(rule d-OUT-h-minus-aux[OF finite-h' cycles])
    also have ... = d-IN ( $\lambda e. \text{SUP } j. h\text{-minus-aux} ?h' j e$ ) x
      by(rule d-IN-monotone-convergence-SUP[symmetric] incseq-SucI le-funI h-minus-aux-mono
        finite-h')++
    also have d-OUT (h-minus i) x + ... = d-IN ( $\lambda e. h\text{-minus}(\text{Suc } i) e$ ) x using
      Suc.IH
      by(simp add: d-IN-add SUP-upper2)
    finally show ?case .
qed simp

lemma h-minus-source:
  assumes cycles  $\Delta \neq \{\}$ 
  shows h-minus n (source  $\Delta$ , y) = 0
  by(induction n)(simp-all add: h-minus-aux-source[OF finite-h' assms])

lemma h-minus-source-in [simp]: h-minus i (x, source  $\Delta$ ) = 0
using h-minus-le-h-plus[of i (x, source  $\Delta$ )] by simp

lemma h-minus-OUT-finite [simp]: d-OUT (h-minus i) x  $\neq$  top
proof -
  have d-OUT (h-minus i) x  $\leq$  d-OUT (h-plus i) x by(rule d-OUT-mono)(rule
    h-minus-le-h-plus)
  also have ... < top by (simp add: less-top[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma h-minus-cycle:
  assumes cycle  $\Delta$  C
  shows  $\exists e \in \text{set}(\text{cycle-edges } C). h\text{-minus } i e = h\text{-plus } i e$ 
proof(cases i)
  case (Suc i)
    let ?h' =  $\lambda e. h\text{-plus}(\text{Suc } i) e - h\text{-minus } i e$ 
    from assms have cycles: cycles  $\Delta \neq \{\}$  by auto
    with assms from-nat-into-surj[of cycles  $\Delta$  C] obtain j where j: C = enum-cycle
      j
      by(auto simp add: enum-cycle-def)

```

```

from h-minus-aux-cycle[of ?h' j, OF finite-h' cycles] j
obtain e where e: e ∈ set (cycle-edges C) and h-minus-aux ?h' (Suc j) e = ?h'
e by(auto)
then have h-plus (Suc i) e = h-minus i e + h-minus-aux ?h' (Suc j) e
using order-trans[OF h-minus-le-h-plus h-plus-mono]
by (subst eq-commute) simp
also have ... ≤ h-minus (Suc i) e unfolding h-minus.simps
by(intro add-mono SUP-upper; simp)
finally show ?thesis using e h-minus-le-h-plus[of Suc i e] Suc by auto
next
case 0
from cycle-edges-not-Nil[OF assms] obtain x y where e: (x, y) ∈ set (cycle-edges
C)
by(fastforce simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
then have x ≠ source Δ using assms by(auto dest: source-out-not-cycle)
hence h-plus 0 (x, y) = 0 by simp
with e 0 show ?thesis by(auto simp del: h-plus.simps)
qed

abbreviation lim-h-plus :: 'v edge ⇒ ennreal
where lim-h-plus e ≡ SUP n. h-plus n e

abbreviation lim-h-minus :: 'v edge ⇒ ennreal
where lim-h-minus e ≡ SUP n. h-minus n e

lemma lim-h-plus-le-g: lim-h-plus e ≤ g e
by(rule SUP-least)(rule h-plus-le-g)

lemma lim-h-plus-finite [simp]: lim-h-plus e ≠ top
proof –
have lim-h-plus e ≤ g e by(rule lim-h-plus-le-g)
also have ... < top by (simp add: less-top[symmetric])
finally show ?thesis unfolding less-top .
qed

lemma lim-h-minus-le-lim-h-plus: lim-h-minus e ≤ lim-h-plus e
by(rule SUP-mono)(blast intro: h-minus-le-h-plus)

lemma lim-h-minus-finite [simp]: lim-h-minus e ≠ top
proof –
have lim-h-minus e ≤ lim-h-plus e by(rule lim-h-minus-le-lim-h-plus)
also have ... < top unfolding less-top[symmetric] by (rule lim-h-plus-finite)
finally show ?thesis unfolding less-top[symmetric] by simp
qed

lemma lim-h-minus-IN-finite [simp]:
assumes x ≠ sink Δ
shows d-IN lim-h-minus x ≠ top
proof –

```

```

have d-IN lim-h-minus x ≤ d-IN lim-h-plus x
  by(intro d-IN-mono le-funI lim-h-minus-le-lim-h-plus)
also have ... ≤ d-IN g x by(intro d-IN-mono le-funI lim-h-plus-le-g)
also have ... < ⊤ using assms by(simp add: finite-IN-g less-top[symmetric])
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma lim-h-plus-OUT-IN:
assumes x ≠ source Δ x ≠ sink Δ
shows d-OUT lim-h-plus x = d-IN lim-h-plus x
proof(cases x ∈ V)
  case True
  have d-OUT lim-h-plus x = (SUP n. d-OUT (h-plus n) x)
    by(rule d-OUT-monotone-convergence-SUP incseq-SucI le-funI h-plus-mono)+
  also have ... = (SUP n. d-IN (h-plus n) x) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof(rule antisym)
    show ?lhs ≤ ?rhs by(rule SUP-mono)(auto intro: h-plus-OUT-le-IN[OF assms(1)])
    show ?rhs ≤ ?lhs
    proof(rule SUP-mono)
      fix i
      from enum-v-repeat[OF True assms, of i]
      obtain i' where i' > i enum-v i' = x by auto
      moreover then obtain i'' where i': i' = Suc i'' by(cases i') auto
      ultimately have d-OUT (h-plus i') x = d-IN (h-plus i'') x using ⟨x ≠
      source Δ⟩
        by(simp add: h-plus-OUT-eq-IN)
      moreover have i ≤ i'' using ⟨i < i'⟩ i' by simp
        then have d-IN (h-plus i) x ≤ d-IN (h-plus i'') x by(intro d-IN-mono
      h-plus-mono')
        ultimately have d-IN (h-plus i) x ≤ d-OUT (h-plus i') x by simp
        thus ∃ i'∈UNIV. d-IN (h-plus i) x ≤ d-OUT (h-plus i') x by blast
      qed
    qed
    also have ... = d-IN lim-h-plus x
      by(rule d-IN-monotone-convergence-SUP[symmetric] incseq-SucI le-funI h-plus-mono)+
    finally show ?thesis .
  next
    case False
    have (x, y) ∉ support-flow lim-h-plus for y using False h-plus-outside[of (x, y)]
      by(fastforce elim!: support-flow.cases simp add: less-SUP-iff vertex-def)
    moreover have (y, x) ∉ support-flow lim-h-plus for y using False h-plus-outside[of
    (y, x)]
      by(fastforce elim!: support-flow.cases simp add: less-SUP-iff vertex-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by(auto simp add: d-OUT-alt-def2 d-IN-alt-def2 AE-count-space intro!: nn-integral-cong-AE)
  qed

lemma lim-h-minus-OUT-IN:
assumes cycles: cycles Δ ≠ {}

```

```

shows d-OUT lim-h-minus x = d-IN lim-h-minus x
proof -
  have d-OUT lim-h-minus x = (SUP n. d-OUT (h-minus n) x)
  by(rule d-OUT-monotone-convergence-SUP incseq-SucI le-funI h-minus-mono)+
  also have ... = (SUP n. d-IN (h-minus n) x) using cycles by(simp add:
d-OUT-h-minus)
  also have ... = d-IN lim-h-minus x
  by(rule d-IN-monotone-convergence-SUP[symmetric] incseq-SucI le-funI h-minus-mono)+
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

definition h :: 'v edge ⇒ ennreal
where h e = lim-h-plus e - (if cycles Δ ≠ {} then lim-h-minus e else 0)

lemma h-le-lim-h-plus: h e ≤ lim-h-plus e
by (simp add: h-def)

lemma h-le-g: h e ≤ g e
using h-le-lim-h-plus[of e] lim-h-plus-le-g[of e] by simp

lemma flow-h: flow Δ h
proof
  fix e
  have h e ≤ lim-h-plus e by(rule h-le-lim-h-plus)
  also have ... ≤ g e by(rule lim-h-plus-le-g)
  also have ... ≤ capacity Δ e using g by(rule flowD-capacity)
  finally show h e ≤ ... .
next
  fix x
  assume x ≠ source Δ x ≠ sink Δ
  then show KIR h x
  by (cases cycles Δ = {}) (auto simp add: h-def[abs-def] lim-h-plus-OUT-IN d-OUT-diff d-IN-diff
lim-h-minus-le-lim-h-plus lim-h-minus-OUT-IN)
qed

lemma value-h-plus: value-flow Δ (h-plus i) = value-flow Δ g (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof(rule antisym)
  show ?lhs ≤ ?rhs by(rule d-OUT-mono)(rule h-plus-le-g)

  have ?rhs ≤ value-flow Δ (h-plus 0)
  by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def cong: if-cong intro!: nn-integral-mono)
  also have ... ≤ value-flow Δ (h-plus i)
  by(rule d-OUT-mono)(rule h-plus-mono'; simp)
  finally show ?rhs ≤ ?lhs .
qed

lemma value-h: value-flow Δ h = value-flow Δ g (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof(rule antisym)

```

```

have ?lhs ≤ value-flow Δ lim-h-plus using ennreal-minus-mono
  by(fastforce simp add: h-def intro!: d-OUT-mono)
also have ... ≤ ?rhs by(rule d-OUT-mono)(rule lim-h-plus-le-g)
finally show ?lhs ≤ ?rhs .

show ?rhs ≤ ?lhs
  by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def h-def h-minus-source cong: if-cong intro!: nn-integral-mono
SUP-upper2[where i=0])
qed

definition h-diff :: nat ⇒ 'v edge ⇒ ennreal
where h-diff i e = h-plus i e - (if cycles Δ ≠ {} then h-minus i e else 0)

lemma d-IN-h-source [simp]: d-IN (h-diff i) (source Δ) = 0
by(simp add: d-IN-def h-diff-def cong del: if-weak-cong)

lemma h-diff-le-h-plus: h-diff i e ≤ h-plus i e
by(simp add: h-diff-def)

lemma h-diff-le-g: h-diff i e ≤ g e
using h-diff-le-h-plus[of i e] h-plus-le-g[of i e] by simp

lemma h-diff-loop [simp]: h-diff i (x, x) = 0
using h-diff-le-g[of i (x, x)] by simp

lemma supp-h-diff-edges: support-flow (h-diff i) ⊆ E
proof
fix e
assume e ∈ support-flow (h-diff i)
then have 0 < h-diff i e by(auto elim: support-flow.cases)
also have h-diff i e ≤ h-plus i e by(rule h-diff-le-h-plus)
finally show e ∈ E using h-plus-outside[of e i] by(cases e ∈ E) auto
qed

lemma h-diff-OUT-le-IN:
assumes x ≠ source Δ
shows d-OUT (h-diff i) x ≤ d-IN (h-diff i) x
proof(cases cycles Δ ≠ {})
case False
thus ?thesis using assms by(simp add: h-diff-def[abs-def] h-plus-OUT-le-IN)
next
case cycles: True
then have d-OUT (h-diff i) x = d-OUT (h-plus i) x - d-OUT (h-minus i) x
  unfolding h-diff-def[abs-def] using assms
  by (simp add: h-minus-le-h-plus d-OUT-diff)
also have ... ≤ d-IN (h-plus i) x - d-IN (h-minus i) x using cycles assms
  by(intro ennreal-minus-mono h-plus-OUT-le-IN)(simp-all add: d-OUT-h-minus)
also have ... = d-IN (h-diff i) x using cycles

```

```

unfolding h-diff-def[abs-def] by(subst d-IN-diff)(simp-all add: h-minus-le-h-plus
d-OUT-h-minus[symmetric])
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma h-diff-cycle:
assumes cycle Δ p
shows ∃ e∈set (cycle-edges p). h-diff i e = 0
proof -
from h-minus-cycle[OF assms, of i] obtain e
where e: e ∈ set (cycle-edges p) and h-minus i e = h-plus i e by auto
hence h-diff i e = 0 using assms by(auto simp add: h-diff-def)
with e show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma d-IN-h-le-value': d-IN (h-diff i) x ≤ value-flow Δ (h-plus i)
proof -
let ?supp = support-flow (h-diff i)
define X where X = {y. (y, x) ∈ ?supp^*} - {x}

{ fix x y
assume x: x ∉ X and y: y ∈ X
{ assume yx: (y, x) ∈ ?supp^* and neq: y ≠ x and xy: (x, y) ∈ ?supp
from yx obtain p' where rtrancl-path (λx y. (x, y) ∈ ?supp) y p' x
unfolding rtrancl-def rtranclp-eq-rtrancl-path by auto
then obtain p where p: rtrancl-path (λx y. (x, y) ∈ ?supp) y p x
and distinct: distinct (y # p) by(rule rtrancl-path-distinct)
with neq have p ≠ [] by(auto elim: rtrancl-path.cases)

from xy have (x, y) ∈ E using supp-h-diff-edges[of i] by(auto)
moreover from p have path Δ y p x
by(rule rtrancl-path-mono)(auto dest: supp-h-diff-edges[THEN subsetD])
ultimately have path Δ x (y # p) x by(auto intro: rtrancl-path.intros)
hence cycle: cycle Δ (y # p) using - distinct by(rule cycle) simp
from h-diff-cycle[OF this, of i] obtain e
where e: e ∈ set (cycle-edges (y # p)) and 0: h-diff i e = 0 by blast
from e obtain n where e': e = ((y # p) ! n, (p @ [y]) ! n) and n: n < Suc
(length p)
by(auto simp add: cycle-edges-def set-zip)
have e ∈ ?supp
proof(cases n = length p)
case True
with rtrancl-path-last[OF p] ⟨p ≠ []⟩ have (y # p) ! n = x
by(cases p)(simp-all add: last-conv-nth del: last.simps)
with e' True have e = (x, y) by simp
with xy show ?thesis by simp
next
case False
with n have n < length p by simp

```

```

with rtrancl-path-nth[OF p this] e' show ?thesis by(simp add: nth-append)
qed
with 0 have False by(simp add: support-flow.simps) }
hence (x, y)notin ?supp using x y
by(auto simp add: X-def intro: converse-rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
then have h-diff i (x, y) = 0
by(simp add: support-flow.simps) }
note acyclic = this

{ fix y
assume ynotin X
hence (y, x)notin ?supp by(auto simp add: X-def support-flow.simps intro:
not-in-support-flowD)
hence h-diff i (y, x) = 0 by(simp add: support-flow.simps) }
note in-X = this

let ?diff =  $\lambda x. (\sum^+ y. h\text{-}diff i (x, y) * indicator X x * indicator X y)$ 
have finite2:  $(\sum^+ x. ?diff x) \neq top$  (is ?lhs  $\neq -$ )
proof -
have ?lhs  $\leq (\sum^+ x \in UNIV. \sum^+ y. h\text{-}plus i (x, y))$ 
by(intro nn-integral-mono)(auto simp add: h-diff-def split: split-indicator)
also have ... =  $(\sum^+ e. h\text{-}plus i e)$  by(rule nn-integral-fst-count-space)
also have ...  $< \top$  by(simp add: h-plus-sum-finite less-top[symmetric])
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
have finite1: ?diff x  $\neq top$  for x
using finite2 by(rule neq-top-trans)(rule nn-integral-ge-point, simp)
have finite3:  $(\sum^+ x. d\text{-}OUT (h\text{-}diff i) x * indicator (X - \{source \Delta\}) x) \neq \top$ 
(is ?lhs  $\neq -$ )
proof -
have ?lhs  $\leq (\sum^+ x \in UNIV. \sum^+ y. h\text{-}plus i (x, y))$  unfolding d-OUT-def
apply(simp add: nn-integral-multc[symmetric])
apply(intro nn-integral-mono)
apply(auto simp add: h-diff-def split: split-indicator)
done
also have ... =  $(\sum^+ e. h\text{-}plus i e)$  by(rule nn-integral-fst-count-space)
also have ...  $< \top$  by(simp add: h-plus-sum-finite less-top[symmetric])
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

have d-IN (h-diff i) x =  $(\sum^+ y. h\text{-}diff i (y, x) * indicator X y)$  unfolding
d-IN-def
by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp add: in-X split: split-indicator)
also have ...  $\leq (\sum^+ x \in - X. \sum^+ y. h\text{-}diff i (y, x) * indicator X y)$ 
by(rule nn-integral-ge-point)(simp add: X-def)
also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x \in UNIV. \sum^+ y. h\text{-}diff i (y, x) * indicator X y * indicator (- X) x)$ 
by(simp add: nn-integral-multc nn-integral-count-space-indicator)
also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x \in UNIV. \sum^+ y. h\text{-}diff i (x, y) * indicator X x * indicator$ 

```

```

( $- X) y$ )
  by(subst nn-integral-snd-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified])(simp add: nn-integral-fst-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified])
  also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x \in UNIV. (\sum^+ y. h\text{-}diff i(x, y) * indicator X x * indicator (- X) y) + (?diff x - ?diff x)$ )
    by(simp add: finite1)
  also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x \in UNIV. (\sum^+ y. h\text{-}diff i(x, y) * indicator X x * indicator (- X) y + h\text{-}diff i(x, y) * indicator X x * indicator X y) - ?diff x$ )
    apply (subst add-diff-eq-ennreal)
    apply simp
    by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(simp-all add:)
  also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x \in UNIV. (\sum^+ y. h\text{-}diff i(x, y) * indicator X x) - ?diff x$ )
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong arg-cong2[where f=(-)] split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x \in UNIV. \sum^+ y \in UNIV. h\text{-}diff i(x, y) * indicator X x - (\sum^+ x. ?diff x)$ )
      by(subst nn-integral-diff)(auto simp add: AE-count-space finite2 intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
      also have ( $\sum^+ x \in UNIV. \sum^+ y \in UNIV. h\text{-}diff i(x, y) * indicator X x = (\sum^+ x. d\text{-}OUT(h\text{-}diff i)x * indicator X x)$ )
        unfolding d-OUT-def by(simp add: nn-integral-multc)
      also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x. d\text{-}OUT(h\text{-}diff i)x * indicator(X - \{source \Delta\})x + value\text{-}flow \Delta(h\text{-}diff i) * indicator X(\{source \Delta\})x$ )
        by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp split: split-indicator)
      also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x. d\text{-}OUT(h\text{-}diff i)x * indicator(X - \{source \Delta\})x + value\text{-}flow \Delta(h\text{-}diff i) * indicator X(\{source \Delta\})$ )
        (is - = ?out is - = - + ?value)
        by(subst nn-integral-add) simp-all
      also have ( $\sum^+ x \in UNIV. \sum^+ y. h\text{-}diff i(x, y) * indicator X x * indicator X y = (\sum^+ x \in UNIV. \sum^+ y. h\text{-}diff i(x, y) * indicator X y)$ )
        using acyclic by(intro nn-integral-cong)(simp split: split-indicator)
      also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in UNIV. \sum^+ x. h\text{-}diff i(x, y) * indicator X y$ )
        by(subst nn-integral-snd-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified])(simp add: nn-integral-fst-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified])
      also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y. d\text{-}IN(h\text{-}diff i)y * indicator X y$ ) unfolding d-IN-def
        by(simp add: nn-integral-multc)
      also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y. d\text{-}IN(h\text{-}diff i)y * indicator(X - \{source \Delta\})y$ )
        by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp split: split-indicator)
      also have ?out - ...  $\leq$  ( $\sum^+ x. d\text{-}OUT(h\text{-}diff i)x * indicator(X - \{source \Delta\})x - ... + ?value$ )
        by (auto simp add: add-ac intro!: add-diff-le-ennreal)
      also have ...  $\leq 0 + ?value$  using h-diff-OUT-le-IN finite3
        by(intro nn-integral-mono add-right-mono)(auto split: split-indicator intro!: diff-eq-0-ennreal nn-integral-mono simp add: less-top)
        also have ...  $\leq value\text{-}flow \Delta(h\text{-}diff i) by(simp split: split-indicator)
        also have ...  $\leq value\text{-}flow \Delta(h\text{-}plus i) by(rule d-OUT-mono le-funI h-diff-le-h-plus)+
        finally show ?thesis .
qed$$ 
```

```

lemma d-IN-h-le-value: d-IN h x ≤ value-flow Δ h (is ?lhs ≤ ?rhs)
proof -
  have [tendsto-intros]: ( $\lambda i. h\text{-plus } i \text{ e}$ ) —→ lim-h-plus e for e
    by(rule LIMSEQ-SUP incseq-SucI h-plus-mono) +
  have [tendsto-intros]: ( $\lambda i. h\text{-minus } i \text{ e}$ ) —→ lim-h-minus e for e
    by(rule LIMSEQ-SUP incseq-SucI h-minus-mono) +
  have ( $\lambda i. h\text{-diff } i \text{ e}$ ) —→ lim-h-plus e – (if cycles  $\Delta \neq \{\}$  then lim-h-minus e
  else 0) for e
    by(auto intro!: tendsto-intros tendsto-diff-ennreal simp add: h-diff-def simp del:
    Sup-eq-top-iff SUP-eq-top-iff)
    then have d-IN h x = ( $\sum^+ y. \liminf (\lambda i. h\text{-diff } i (y, x))$ )
      by(simp add: d-IN-def h-def tendsto-iff-Liminf-eq-Limsup)
    also have ... ≤ liminf ( $\lambda i. d\text{-IN } (h\text{-diff } i) x$ ) unfolding d-IN-def
      by(rule nn-integral-liminf) simp-all
    also have ... ≤ liminf ( $\lambda i. \text{value-flow } \Delta h$ ) using d-IN-h-le-value'[of - x]
      by(intro Liminf-mono eventually-sequentiallyI)(auto simp add: value-h-plus
      value-h)
    also have ... = value-flow Δ h by(simp add: Liminf-const)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma flow-cleanup: — Lemma 5.4
   $\exists h \leq g. \text{flow } \Delta h \wedge \text{value-flow } \Delta h = \text{value-flow } \Delta g \wedge (\forall x. d\text{-IN } h x \leq \text{value-flow } \Delta h)$ 
by(intro exI[where x=h] conjI strip le-funI d-IN-h-le-value flow-h value-h h-le-g)

```

end

9.2 Residual network

context countable-network **begin**

```

definition residual-network :: 'v flow ⇒ ('v, 'more) network-scheme
where residual-network f =
  ( $\text{edge} = \lambda x y. \text{edge } \Delta x y \vee \text{edge } \Delta y x \wedge y \neq \text{source } \Delta,$ 
    $\text{capacity} = \lambda(x, y). \text{if edge } \Delta x y \text{ then capacity } \Delta (x, y) - f(x, y) \text{ else if } y =$ 
    $\text{source } \Delta \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } f(y, x),$ 
    $\text{source} = \text{source } \Delta, \text{sink} = \text{sink } \Delta, \dots = \text{network.more } \Delta \parallel$ )

```

```

lemma residual-network-sel [simp]:
   $\text{edge } (\text{residual-network } f) x y \longleftrightarrow \text{edge } \Delta x y \vee \text{edge } \Delta y x \wedge y \neq \text{source } \Delta$ 
   $\text{capacity } (\text{residual-network } f) (x, y) = (\text{if edge } \Delta x y \text{ then capacity } \Delta (x, y) - f$ 
   $(x, y) \text{ else if } y = \text{source } \Delta \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } f(y, x))$ 
   $\text{source } (\text{residual-network } f) = \text{source } \Delta$ 
   $\text{sink } (\text{residual-network } f) = \text{sink } \Delta$ 
   $\text{network.more } (\text{residual-network } f) = \text{network.more } \Delta$ 
by(simp-all add: residual-network-def)

```

```

lemma E-residual-network:  $\mathbf{E}_{\text{residual-network}} f = \mathbf{E} \cup \{(x, y) \mid (y, x) \in \mathbf{E} \wedge y \neq \text{source } \Delta\}$ 
by auto

lemma vertices-residual-network [simp]:  $\text{vertex}(\text{residual-network } f) = \text{vertex } \Delta$ 
by(auto simp add: vertex-def fun-eq-iff)

inductive wf-residual-network :: bool
where  $\llbracket \bigwedge x y. (x, y) \in \mathbf{E} \implies (y, x) \notin \mathbf{E}; (\text{source } \Delta, \text{sink } \Delta) \notin \mathbf{E} \rrbracket \implies$ 
wf-residual-network

lemma wf-residual-networkD:
 $\llbracket \text{wf-residual-network}; \text{edge } \Delta x y \rrbracket \implies \neg \text{edge } \Delta y x$ 
 $\llbracket \text{wf-residual-network}; e \in \mathbf{E} \rrbracket \implies \text{prod.swap } e \notin \mathbf{E}$ 
 $\llbracket \text{wf-residual-network}; \text{edge } \Delta (\text{source } \Delta) (\text{sink } \Delta) \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$ 
by(auto simp add: wf-residual-network.simps)

lemma residual-countable-network:
assumes wf: wf-residual-network
and f: flow  $\Delta f$ 
shows countable-network (residual-network f) (is countable-network ? $\Delta$ )
proof
  have countable (converse  $\mathbf{E}$ ) by simp
  then have countable  $\{(x, y) \mid (y, x) \in \mathbf{E} \wedge y \neq \text{source } \Delta\}$ 
    by(rule countable-subset[rotated]) auto
  then show countable  $\mathbf{E}_{? \Delta}$  unfolding E-residual-network by simp

  show source ? $\Delta \neq \text{sink } ?\Delta$  by simp
  show capacity ? $\Delta e = 0$  if  $e \notin \mathbf{E}_{? \Delta}$  for e using that by(cases e)(auto intro:
  flowD-outside[OF f])
  show capacity ? $\Delta e \neq \text{top}$  for e
    using flowD-finite[OF f] by(cases e) auto
qed

end

context antiparallel-edges begin

interpretation  $\Delta''$ : countable-network  $\Delta''$  by(rule  $\Delta''$ -countable-network)

lemma  $\Delta''$ -flow-attainability:
assumes flow-attainability-axioms  $\Delta$ 
shows flow-attainability  $\Delta''$ 
proof -
  interpret flow-attainability  $\Delta$  using - assms by(rule flow-attainability.intro)
  unfold-locales
  show ?thesis
  proof
    show d-IN (capacity  $\Delta''$ )  $v \neq \top \vee d\text{-OUT}(\text{capacity } \Delta'') v \neq \top$  if  $v \neq \text{sink}$ 

```

```

 $\Delta''$  for  $v$ 
  using that finite-capacity by(cases v)(simp-all add: max-def)
  show  $\neg$  edge  $\Delta'' v v$  for  $v$  by(auto elim: edg.cases)
  show  $\neg$  edge  $\Delta'' v$  (source  $\Delta''$ ) for  $v$  by(simp add: source-in)
qed
qed

lemma  $\Delta''$ -wf-residual-network:
  assumes no-loop:  $\bigwedge x. \neg$  edge  $\Delta x x$ 
  shows  $\Delta''$ .wf-residual-network
  by(auto simp add:  $\Delta''$ .wf-residual-network.simps assms elim!: edg.cases)

end

```

9.3 The attainability theorem

```
context flow-attainability begin
```

```

lemma residual-flow-attainability:
  assumes wf: wf-residual-network
  and f: flow  $\Delta f$ 
  shows flow-attainability (residual-network f) (is flow-attainability ? $\Delta$ )
proof -
  interpret res: countable-network residual-network f by(rule residual-countable-network[OF
  asms])
  show ?thesis
  proof
    fix x
    assume sink:  $x \neq \text{sink } ?\Delta$ 
    then consider (source)  $x = \text{source } \Delta \mid (\text{IN} d\text{-IN} (\text{capacity } \Delta) x \neq \top \mid (\text{OUT}$ 
 $x \neq \text{source } \Delta d\text{-OUT} (\text{capacity } \Delta) x \neq \top$ 
      using finite-capacity[of x] by auto
    then show  $d\text{-IN} (\text{capacity } ?\Delta) x \neq \top \vee d\text{-OUT} (\text{capacity } ?\Delta) x \neq \top$ 
    proof(cases)
      case source
      hence  $d\text{-IN} (\text{capacity } ?\Delta) x = 0$  by(simp add: d-IN-def source-in)
      thus ?thesis by simp
    next
      case IN
      have  $d\text{-IN} (\text{capacity } ?\Delta) x =$ 
         $(\sum^+ y. (\text{capacity } \Delta (y, x) - f (y, x)) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E} (y, x) +$ 
         $(\text{if } x = \text{source } \Delta \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } f (x, y) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E} (x, y)))$ 
        using flowD-outside[OF f] unfolding d-IN-def
        by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator dest: wf-residual-networkD[OF
        wf])
      also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y. (\text{capacity } \Delta (y, x) - f (y, x)) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E} (y, x))$ 
      +
       $(\sum^+ y. (\text{if } x = \text{source } \Delta \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } f (x, y) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E} (x, y)))$ 
      (is - = ?in + ?out)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

    by(subst nn-integral-add)(auto simp add: AE-count-space split: split-indicator
intro!: flowD-capacity[OF f])
    also have ... ≤ d-IN (capacity Δ) x + (if x = source Δ then 0 else d-OUT
f x) (is - ≤ ?in + ?rest)
        unfolding d-IN-def d-OUT-def
        by(rule add-mono)(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator simp
add: nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space intro!: diff-le-self-ennreal)
        also consider (source) x = source Δ | (inner) x ≠ source Δ x ≠ sink Δ
using sink by auto
        then have ?rest < ⊤
        proof cases
            case inner
                show ?thesis using inner flowD-finite-OUT[OF f inner] by (simp add:
less-top)
            qed simp
            ultimately show ?thesis using IN sink by (auto simp: less-top[symmetric]
top-unique)
        next
            case OUT
            have d-OUT (capacity ?Δ) x =
                ( $\sum^+ y. (\text{capacity } \Delta (x, y) - f(x, y)) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(x, y) +$ 
                (if y = source Δ then 0 else f(y, x) * indicator E(y, x)))
            using flowD-outside[OF f] unfolding d-OUT-def
            by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator dest: wf-residual-networkD[OF
w] simp add: source-in)
            also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y. (\text{capacity } \Delta (x, y) - f(x, y)) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(x, y)$ )
+
                ( $\sum^+ y. (\text{if } y = \text{source } \Delta \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } f(y, x) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x))$ )
                (is - = ?in + ?out)
            by(subst nn-integral-add)(auto simp add: AE-count-space split: split-indicator
intro!: flowD-capacity[OF f])
            also have ... ≤ d-OUT (capacity Δ) x + d-IN f x (is - ≤ ?out + ?rest)
                unfolding d-IN-def d-OUT-def
                by(rule add-mono)(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator simp
add: nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space intro!: diff-le-self-ennreal)
            also have ?rest = d-OUT f x using flowD-KIR[OF f OUT(1)] sink by simp
            also have ?out + ... ≤ ?out + ?out by(intro add-left-mono d-OUT-mono
flowD-capacity[OF f])
            finally show ?thesis using OUT by (auto simp: top-unique)
        qed
    next
        show ¬ edge ?Δ x x for x by(simp add: no-loop)
        show ¬ edge ?Δ x (source ?Δ) for x by(simp add: source-in)
    qed
qed

end

definition plus-flow :: ('v, 'more) graph-scheme ⇒ 'v flow ⇒ 'v flow ⇒ 'v flow

```

```

(infixr `⊕` 65)
where plus-flow G f g = (λ(x, y). if edge G x y then f (x, y) + g (x, y) - g (y, x) else 0)

lemma plus-flow-simps [simp]: fixes G (structure) shows
  (f ⊕ g) (x, y) = (if edge G x y then f (x, y) + g (x, y) - g (y, x) else 0)
by(simp add: plus-flow-def)

lemma plus-flow-outside: fixes G (structure) shows e ∉ E ⇒ (f ⊕ g) e = 0
by(cases e) simp

lemma
  fixes Δ (structure)
  assumes f-outside: ∀e. e ∉ E ⇒ f e = 0
  and g-le-f: ∀x y. edge Δ x y ⇒ g (y, x) ≤ f (x, y)
  shows OUT-plus-flow: d-IN g x ≠ top ⇒ d-OUT (f ⊕ g) x = d-OUT f x + (∑⁺ y ∈ UNIV. g (x, y) * indicator E (x, y)) - (∑⁺ y. g (y, x) * indicator E (x, y))
    (is - ⇒ ?OUT is - ⇒ - = - + ?g-out - ?g-out')
  and IN-plus-flow: d-OUT g x ≠ top ⇒ d-IN (f ⊕ g) x = d-IN f x + (∑⁺ y ∈ UNIV. g (y, x) * indicator E (y, x)) - (∑⁺ y. g (x, y) * indicator E (x, y))
    (is - ⇒ ?IN is - ⇒ - = - + ?g-in - ?g-in')
proof -
  assume d-IN g x ≠ top
  then have finite1: (∑⁺ y. g (y, x) * indicator A (f y)) ≠ top for A f
    by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto split: split-indicator simp add: d-IN-def intro!: nn-integral-mono)

  have d-OUT (f ⊕ g) x = (∑⁺ y. (g (x, y) + (f (x, y) - g (y, x))) * indicator E (x, y))
    unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp split: split-indicator add: add-diff-eq-ennreal add.commute ennreal-diff-add-assoc g-le-f)
    also have ... = ?g-out + (∑⁺ y. (f (x, y) - g (y, x)) * indicator E (x, y))
      (is - = - + ?rest)
      by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto simp add: AE-count-space g-le-f split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    also have ?rest = (∑⁺ y. f (x, y) * indicator E (x, y)) - ?g-out' (is - = ?f - -)
      apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
      apply(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator simp add: AE-count-space g-le-f finite1)
      done
    also have ?f = d-OUT f x unfolding d-OUT-def using f-outside
      by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
    also have (∑⁺ y. g (x, y) * indicator E (x, y)) + (d-OUT f x - (∑⁺ y. g (y, x) * indicator E (x, y))) =
      d-OUT f x + ?g-out - ?g-out'
      by (subst ennreal-diff-add-assoc[symmetric])
        (auto simp: ac-simps d-OUT-def intro!: nn-integral-mono g-le-f split: split-indicator)

```

```

finally show ?OUT .
next
assume d-OUT g x ≠ top
then have finite2: ( $\sum^+ y. g(y, x) * \text{indicator } A(fy)$ ) ≠ top for A f
    by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto split: split-indicator simp add: d-OUT-def intro!: nn-integral-mono)

have d-IN (f ⊕ g) x = ( $\sum^+ y. (g(y, x) + (f(y, x) - g(x, y))) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x)$ )
    unfolding d-IN-def by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp split: split-indicator add: add-diff-eq-ennreal add.commute ennreal-diff-add-assoc g-le-f)
    also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in \text{UNIV}. g(y, x) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x)$ ) + ( $\sum^+ y. (f(y, x) - g(x, y)) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x)$ )
        (is - = - + ?rest)
        by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto simp add: AE-count-space g-le-f split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    also have ?rest = ( $\sum^+ y. f(y, x) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x)$ ) - ?g-in'
        by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator simp add: add-ac add-diff-eq-ennreal AE-count-space g-le-f finite2)
    also have ( $\sum^+ y. f(y, x) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x)$ ) = d-IN f x
        unfolding d-IN-def using f-outside by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
    also have ( $\sum^+ y. g(y, x) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x)$ ) + (d-IN f x - ( $\sum^+ y. g(x, y) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x)$ )) =
        d-IN f x + ?g-in - ?g-in'
        by(subst ennreal-diff-add-assoc[symmetric])
            (auto simp: ac-simps d-IN-def intro!: nn-integral-mono g-le-f split: split-indicator)
finally show ?IN .
qed

context countable-network begin

lemma d-IN-plus-flow:
assumes wf: wf-residual-network
and f: flow Δ f
and g: flow (residual-network f) g
shows d-IN (f ⊕ g) x ≤ d-IN f x + d-IN g x
proof –
    have d-IN (f ⊕ g) x ≤ ( $\sum^+ y. f(y, x) + g(y, x)$ ) unfolding d-IN-def
        by(rule nn-integral-mono)(auto intro: diff-le-self-ennreal)
    also have ... = d-IN f x + d-IN g x
        by(subst nn-integral-add)(simp-all add: d-IN-def)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma scale-flow:
assumes f: flow Δ f
and c: c ≤ 1
shows flow Δ (λe. c * f e)

```

```

proof(intro flow,intros)
  fix e
  from c have  $c * f e \leq 1 * f e$  by(rule mult-right-mono) simp
  also have ...  $\leq \text{capacity } \Delta e$  using flowD-capacity[ $\text{OF } f$ ,  $\text{of } e$ ] by simp
  finally show  $c * f e \leq \dots$  .
next
  fix x
  assume  $x: x \neq \text{source } \Delta$   $x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$ 
  have  $d\text{-OUT}(\lambda e. c * f e) x = c * d\text{-OUT} f x$  by(simp add:  $d\text{-OUT-cmult}$ )
  also have  $d\text{-OUT} f x = d\text{-IN} f x$  using f x by(rule flowD-KIR)
  also have  $c * \dots = d\text{-IN}(\lambda e. c * f e) x$  by(simp add:  $d\text{-IN-cmult}$ )
  finally show KIR  $(\lambda e. c * f e) x$  .
qed

lemma value-scale-flow:
   $\text{value-flow } \Delta (\lambda e. c * f e) = c * \text{value-flow } \Delta f$ 
  by(rule  $d\text{-OUT-cmult}$ )

lemma value-flow:
  assumes f: flow  $\Delta$  f
  and source-out:  $\bigwedge y. \text{edge } \Delta (\text{source } \Delta) y \longleftrightarrow y = x$ 
  shows value-flow  $\Delta f = f (\text{source } \Delta, x)$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{value-flow } \Delta f = (\sum^+ y \in \text{OUT} (\text{source } \Delta). f (\text{source } \Delta, y))$ 
  by(rule  $d\text{-OUT-alt-def}$ )(simp add: flowD-outside[ $\text{OF } f$ ])
  also have ...  $= (\sum^+ y. f (\text{source } \Delta, y) * \text{indicator } \{x\} y)$ 
  by(subst nn-integral-count-space-indicator)(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split:
  split-indicator simp add: outgoing-def source-out)
  also have ...  $= f (\text{source } \Delta, x)$  by(simp add: one-ennreal-def[symmetric]
  max-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

end

context flow-attainability begin

lemma value-plus-flow:
  assumes wf: wf-residual-network
  and f: flow  $\Delta$  f
  and g: flow (residual-network f) g
  shows value-flow  $\Delta (f \oplus g) = \text{value-flow } \Delta f + \text{value-flow } \Delta g$ 
proof -
  interpret RES: countable-network residual-network f using wff by(rule residual-countable-network)
  have  $\text{value-flow } \Delta (f \oplus g) = (\sum^+ y. f (\text{source } \Delta, y) + g (\text{source } \Delta, y))$ 
  unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp add: flowD-outside[ $\text{OF } f$ ]
  RES.flowD-outside[ $\text{OF } g$ ] source-in)
  also have ...  $= \text{value-flow } \Delta f + \text{value-flow } \Delta g$  unfolding d-OUT-def

```

```

  by(rule nn-integral-add) simp-all
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma flow-residual-add: — Lemma 5.3
  assumes wf: wf-residual-network
  and f: flow Δ f
  and g: flow (residual-network f) g
  shows flow Δ (f ⊕ g)
proof
  fix e
  { assume e: e ∈ E
    hence (f ⊕ g) e = f e + g e - g (prod.swap e) by(cases e) simp
    also have ... ≤ f e + g e - 0 by(rule ennreal-minus-mono) simp-all
    also have ... ≤ f e + (capacity Δ e - f e)
    using e flowD-capacity[OF g, of e] by(simp split: prod.split-asn add: add-mono)
    also have ... = capacity Δ e using flowD-capacity[OF f, of e]
    by simp
    also note calculation }
  thus (f ⊕ g) e ≤ capacity Δ e by(cases e) auto
next
  fix x
  assume x: x ≠ source Δ x ≠ sink Δ
  have g-le-f: g (y, x) ≤ f (x, y) if edge Δ x y for x y
    using that flowD-capacity[OF g, of (y, x)]
    by(auto split: if-split-asn dest: wf-residual-networkD[OF wf] elim: order-trans)

interpret RES: flow-attainability residual-network f using wf f by(rule residual-flow-attainability)

have finite1: (∑+ y. g (y, x) * indicator A (f y)) ≠ ⊤ for A f
  using RES.flowD-finite-IN[OF g, of x]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto simp add: x d-IN-def split: split-indicator intro: nn-integral-mono)
have finite2: (∑+ y. g (x, y) * indicator A (f y)) ≠ ⊤ for A f
  using RES.flowD-finite-OUT[OF g, of x]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto simp add: x d-OUT-def split: split-indicator intro: nn-integral-mono)

have d-OUT (f ⊕ g) x = d-OUT f x + (∑+ y. g (x, y) * indicator E (x, y)) -
  (∑+ y. g (y, x) * indicator E (x, y))
  (is - = ?f + ?g-out - ?g-in)
  using flowD-outside[OF f] g-le-f RES.flowD-finite-IN[OF g, of x]
  by(rule OUT-plus-flow)(simp-all add: x)
also have ?f = d-IN f x using f x by(auto dest: flowD-KIR)
also have ?g-out = (∑+ y. g (x, y) * indicator (- E) (y, x))
proof -
  have (∑+ y. g (x, y) * indicator (- E) (y, x)) =
    (∑+ y. g (x, y) * indicator E (x, y)) + (∑+ y. g (x, y) * indicator (-

```

```

E)  $(x, y) * indicator (- \mathbf{E}) (y, x))$ 
    by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto simp add: AE-count-space dest: wf-residual-networkD[OF wf] split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    also have  $(\sum^+ y. g(x, y) * indicator (- \mathbf{E})(x, y) * indicator (- \mathbf{E})(y, x)) = 0$ 
        using RES.flowD-outside[OF g]
        by(auto simp add: nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space split: split-indicator)
        finally show ?thesis by simp
    qed
    also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y. g(x, y) - g(x, y) * indicator \mathbf{E}(y, x))$ 
        by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp split: split-indicator add: RES.flowD-finite[OF g])
    also have ... =  $d\text{-OUT } g x - (\sum^+ y. g(x, y) * indicator \mathbf{E}(y, x))$ 
        (is - = - - ?g-in-E) unfolding d-OUT-def
        by(subst nn-integral-diff)(simp-all add: AE-count-space finite2 split: split-indicator)
        also have  $d\text{-IN } f x + (d\text{-OUT } g x - (\sum^+ y. g(x, y) * indicator \mathbf{E}(y, x))) - ?g\text{-in} = ((d\text{-IN } f x + d\text{-OUT } g x) - (\sum^+ y. g(x, y) * indicator \mathbf{E}(y, x))) - ?g\text{-in}$ 
            by (subst add-diff-eq-ennreal) (auto simp: d-OUT-def intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
        also have  $d\text{-OUT } g x = d\text{-IN } g x$  using x g by(auto dest: flowD-KIR)
        also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y \in UNIV. g(y, x) * indicator (- \mathbf{E})(y, x)) + (\sum^+ y. g(y, x) * indicator \mathbf{E}(y, x))$ 
            (is - = ?x + ?g-in-E')
            by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp add: d-IN-def AE-count-space split: split-indicator)
        also have ?x = ?g-in
        proof -
            have ?x =  $(\sum^+ y. g(y, x) * indicator (- \mathbf{E})(x, y) * indicator (- \mathbf{E})(y, x)) + ?g\text{-in}$ 
            by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto simp add: AE-count-space dest: wf-residual-networkD[OF wf] split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
            also have  $(\sum^+ y. g(y, x) * indicator (- \mathbf{E})(x, y) * indicator (- \mathbf{E})(y, x)) = 0$ 
                using RES.flowD-outside[OF g]
                by(auto simp add: nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space split: split-indicator)
                finally show ?thesis by simp
            qed
            also have  $(d\text{-IN } f x + (?g\text{-in} + ?g\text{-in-E'}) - ?g\text{-in-E}) - ?g\text{-in} = d\text{-IN } f x + ?g\text{-in-E'} + ?g\text{-in} - ?g\text{-in} - ?g\text{-in-E}$ 
                by (subst diff-diff-commute-ennreal) (simp add: ac-simps)
            also have ... =  $d\text{-IN } f x + ?g\text{-in-E'} - ?g\text{-in-E}$ 
                by (subst ennreal-add-diff-cancel-right) (simp-all add: finite1)
            also have ... =  $d\text{-IN } (f \oplus g) x$ 
                using flowD-outside[OF f] g-le-f RES.flowD-finite-OUT[OF g, of x]
                by(rule IN-plus-flow[symmetric])(simp-all add: x)
                finally show KIR  $(f \oplus g) x$  by simp
        qed

```

```

definition minus-flow :: 'v flow ⇒ 'v flow ⇒ 'v flow (infixl ⊖ 65)
where
  f ⊖ g = (λ(x, y). if edge Δ x y then f (x, y) − g (x, y) else if edge Δ y x then g
  (y, x) − f (y, x) else 0)

lemma minus-flow-simps [simp]:
  (f ⊖ g) (x, y) = (if edge Δ x y then f (x, y) − g (x, y) else if edge Δ y x then g
  (y, x) − f (y, x) else 0)
  by(simp add: minus-flow-def)

lemma minus-flow:
  assumes wf: wf-residual-network
  and f: flow Δ f
  and g: flow Δ g
  and value-le: value-flow Δ g ≤ value-flow Δ f
  and f-finite: f (source Δ, x) ≠ ⊤
  and source-out: ⋀y. edge Δ (source Δ) y ↔ y = x
  shows flow (residual-network g) (f ⊖ g) (is flow ?Δ ?f)
proof
  show ?f e ≤ capacity ?Δ e for e
  using value-le f-finite flowD-capacity[OF g] flowD-capacity[OF f]
  by(cases e)(auto simp add: source-in source-out value-flow[OF f source-out]
  value-flow[OF g source-out] less-top
  intro!: diff-le-self-ennreal diff-eq-0-ennreal ennreal-minus-mono)

fix x
assume x ≠ source ?Δ x ≠ sink ?Δ
hence x: x ≠ source Δ x ≠ sink Δ by simp-all

have finite-f-in: (∑+ y. f (y, x) * indicator A y) ≠ top for A
  using flowD-finite-IN[OF f, of x]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto simp add: x d-IN-def split: split-indicator intro!
  nn-integral-mono)
have finite-f-out: (∑+ y. f (x, y) * indicator A y) ≠ top for A
  using flowD-finite-OUT[OF f, of x]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto simp add: x d-OUT-def split: split-indicator intro!
  nn-integral-mono)
have finite-f[simp]: f (x, y) ≠ top f (y, x) ≠ top for y
  using finite-f-in[of {y}] finite-f-out[of {y}] by auto

have finite-g-in: (∑+ y. g (y, x) * indicator A y) ≠ top for A
  using flowD-finite-IN[OF g, of x]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto simp add: x d-IN-def split: split-indicator intro!
  nn-integral-mono)
have finite-g-out: (∑+ y. g (x, y) * indicator A y) ≠ top for A
  using flowD-finite-OUT[OF g x]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto simp add: x d-OUT-def split: split-indicator intro!
  nn-integral-mono)
have finite-g[simp]: g (x, y) ≠ top g (y, x) ≠ top for y

```

```

using finite-g-in[of {y}] finite-g-out[of {y}] by auto

have d-OUT (f ⊕ g) x = ( $\sum^+ y. (f(x, y) - g(x, y)) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(x, y) *$ 
 $\text{indicator } \{y. g(x, y) \leq f(x, y)\} y +$ 
 $(\sum^+ y. (g(y, x) - f(y, x)) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x) * \text{indicator } \{y. f(y, x) < g$ 
 $(y, x)\} y)$ 
 $(\mathbf{is} - = ?out + ?in \mathbf{is} - = (\sum^+ y \in -. - * ?f-ge-g y) + (\sum^+ y \in -. - * ?g-gt-f y))$ 
using flowD-finite[OF g]
apply(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])
apply(auto 4 4 simp add: d-OUT-def not-le less-top[symmetric] intro!: nn-integral-cong
dest!: wf-residual-networkD[OF wf] split: split-indicator intro!: diff-eq-0-ennreal)
done
also have ?in = ( $\sum^+ y. (g(y, x) - f(y, x)) * ?g-gt-f y$ )
using flowD-outside[OF f] flowD-outside[OF g] by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong
split: split-indicator)
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in \text{UNIV}. g(y, x) * ?g-gt-f y) - (\sum^+ y. f(y, x) * ?g-gt-f$ 
 $y)$  ( $\mathbf{is} - = ?g-in - ?f-in$ )
using finite-f-in
by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])(auto simp add: AE-count-space split: split-indicator
intro!: nn-integral-cong)
also have ?out = ( $\sum^+ y. (f(x, y) - g(x, y)) * ?f-ge-g y$ )
using flowD-outside[OF f] flowD-outside[OF g] by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong
split: split-indicator)
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y. f(x, y) * ?f-ge-g y) - (\sum^+ y. g(x, y) * ?f-ge-g y)$  ( $\mathbf{is}$ 
 $- = ?f-out - ?g-out$ )
using finite-g-out
by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])(auto simp add: AE-count-space split: split-indicator
intro!: nn-integral-cong)
also have ?f-out = d-OUT f x - ( $\sum^+ y. f(x, y) * \text{indicator } \{y. f(x, y) < g$ 
 $(x, y)\} y)$  ( $\mathbf{is} - = - - ?f-out-less$ )
unfolding d-OUT-def using flowD-finite[OF f] using finite-f-out
by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])(auto split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
also have ?g-out = d-OUT g x - ( $\sum^+ y. g(x, y) * \text{indicator } \{y. f(x, y) < g$ 
 $(x, y)\} y)$  ( $\mathbf{is} - = - - ?g-less-f$ )
unfolding d-OUT-def using flowD-finite[OF g] finite-g-out
by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])(auto split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
also have d-OUT f x - ?f-out-less - (d-OUT g x - ?g-less-f) + (?g-in - ?f-in)
=  $(?g-less-f + (d-OUT f x - ?f-out-less)) - d-OUT g x + (?g-in - ?f-in)$ 
by (subst diff-diff-ennreal')
 $(\text{auto simp: ac-simps d-OUT-def nn-integral-diff[symmetric] finite-g-out fi-}$ 
 $\text{nite-f-out intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator})$ 
also have ... = ?g-less-f + d-OUT f x - ?f-out-less - d-OUT g x + (?g-in -
?f-in)
by (subst add-diff-eq-ennreal)
 $(\text{auto simp: d-OUT-def intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator})$ 
also have ... = d-OUT f x + ?g-less-f - ?f-out-less - d-OUT g x + (?g-in -
?f-in)
by (simp add: ac-simps)

```

```

also have ... = d-OUT f x + (?g-less-f - ?f-out-less) - d-OUT g x + (?g-in
- ?f-in)
  by (subst add-diff-eq-ennreal[symmetric])
    (auto intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
also have ... = (?g-in - ?f-in) + ((?g-less-f - ?f-out-less) + d-OUT f x -
d-OUT g x)
  by (simp add: ac-simps)
also have ... = ((?g-in - ?f-in) + ((?g-less-f - ?f-out-less) + d-OUT f x)) -
d-OUT g x
  apply (subst add-diff-eq-ennreal)
  apply (simp-all add: d-OUT-def)
  apply (subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  apply (auto simp: AE-count-space finite-f-out nn-integral-add[symmetric] not-less
diff-add-cancel-ennreal intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
  done
also have ... = ((?g-less-f - ?f-out-less) + (d-OUT f x + (?g-in - ?f-in))) -
d-OUT g x
  by (simp add: ac-simps)
also have ... = ((?g-less-f - ?f-out-less) + (d-IN f x + (?g-in - ?f-in))) -
d-IN g x
  unfolding flowD-KIR[OF f x] flowD-KIR[OF g x] ..
also have ... = (?g-less-f - ?f-out-less) + ((d-IN f x + (?g-in - ?f-in)) - d-IN
g x)
  apply (subst (2) add-diff-eq-ennreal)
  apply (simp-all add: d-IN-def)
  apply (subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  apply (auto simp: AE-count-space finite-f-in finite-f-out nn-integral-add[symmetric]
not-less ennreal-ineq-diff-add[symmetric]
  intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
  done
also have ... = (?g-less-f - ?f-out-less) + (d-IN f x + ?g-in - d-IN g x -
?f-in)
  by (subst (2) add-diff-eq-ennreal) (auto intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator
simp: diff-diff-commute-ennreal)
also have ... = (?g-less-f - ?f-out-less) + (d-IN f x - (d-IN g x - ?g-in) -
?f-in)
  apply (subst diff-diff-ennreal')
  apply (auto simp: d-IN-def intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
  apply (subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  apply (auto simp: AE-count-space finite-g-in intro!: nn-integral-mono split:
split-indicator)
  done
also have ... = (d-IN f x - ?f-in) - (d-IN g x - ?g-in) + (?g-less-f - ?f-out-less)
  by (simp add: ac-simps diff-diff-commute-ennreal)
also have ?g-less-f - ?f-out-less = ( $\sum^+ y. (g(x, y) - f(x, y)) * \text{indicator} \{y. f(x, y) < g(x, y)\}$ ) y) using finite-f-out
  by (subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])(auto simp add: AE-count-space split: split-indicator
intro!: nn-integral-cong)
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y. (g(x, y) - f(x, y)) * \text{indicator E}(x, y) * \text{indicator}$ 

```

```

{y. f (x, y) < g (x, y)} y) (is - = ?diff-out)
  using flowD-outside[OF f] flowD-outside[OF g] by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong
split: split-indicator)
  also have d-IN f x - ?f-in = ( $\sum^+$  y. f (y, x) * indicator {y. g (y, x) ≤ f (y,
x)} y)
    unfolding d-IN-def using finite-f-in
    apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
    apply(auto simp add: AE-count-space split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    done
  also have d-IN g x - ?g-in = ( $\sum^+$  y. g (y, x) * indicator {y. g (y, x) ≤ f (y,
x)} y)
    unfolding d-IN-def using finite-g-in
    by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])(auto simp add: flowD-finite[OF g] AE-count-space
split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    also have ( $\sum^+$  y ∈ UNIV. f (y, x) * indicator {y. g (y, x) ≤ f (y, x)} y) - ...
= ( $\sum^+$  y. (f (y, x) - g (y, x)) * indicator {y. g (y, x) ≤ f (y, x)} y)
    using finite-g-in
    by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])(auto simp add: flowD-finite[OF g] AE-count-space
split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    also have ... = ( $\sum^+$  y. (f (y, x) - g (y, x)) * indicator E (y, x) * indicator
{y. g (y, x) ≤ f (y, x)} y)
    using flowD-outside[OF f] flowD-outside[OF g] by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong
split: split-indicator)
    also have ... + ?diff-out = d-IN ?f x
    using flowD-finite[OF g]
    apply(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])
    apply(auto 4 4 simp add: d-IN-def not-le less-top[symmetric] intro!: nn-integral-cong
dest!: wf-residual-networkD[OF wf] split: split-indicator intro:
diff-eq-0-ennreal)
    done
  finally show KIR ?f x .
qed

```

```

lemma value-minus-flow:
  assumes f: flow Δ f
  and g: flow Δ g
  and value-le: value-flow Δ g ≤ value-flow Δ f
  and source-out:  $\bigwedge y$ . edge Δ (source Δ) y  $\longleftrightarrow$  y = x
  shows value-flow Δ (f ⊖ g) = value-flow Δ f - value-flow Δ g (is ?value)
proof -
  have value-flow Δ (f ⊖ g) = ( $\sum^+$  y ∈ OUT (source Δ). (f ⊖ g) (source Δ, y))
    by(subst d-OUT-alt-def)(auto simp add: flowD-outside[OF f] flowD-outside[OF
g] source-in)
  also have ... = ( $\sum^+$  y. (f (source Δ, y) - g (source Δ, y)) * indicator {x} y)
    by(subst nn-integral-count-space-indicator)(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split:
split-indicator simp add: outgoing-def source-out)
  also have ... = f (source Δ, x) - g (source Δ, x)
    using value-le value-flow[OF f source-out] value-flow[OF g source-out]
    by(auto simp add: one-ennreal-def[symmetric] max-def not-le intro: antisym)

```

```

also have ... = value-flow Δ f - value-flow Δ g using f g source-out by(simp
add: value-flow)
  finally show ?value .
qed

context
  fixes α
  defines α ≡ (SUP g∈{g. flow Δ g}. value-flow Δ g)
begin

lemma flow-by-value:
  assumes v < α
  and real[rule-format]: ∀f. α = ⊤ → flow Δ f → value-flow Δ f < α
  obtains f where flow Δ f value-flow Δ f = v
proof -
  have α-pos: α > 0 using assms by (auto simp add: zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
  from ⟨v < α⟩ obtain f where f: flow Δ f and v: v < value-flow Δ f
    unfolding α-def less-SUP-iff by blast
  have [simp]: value-flow Δ f ≠ ⊤
  proof
    assume val: value-flow Δ f = ⊤
    from f have value-flow Δ f ≤ α unfolding α-def by(blast intro: SUP-upper2)
    with val have α = ⊤ by (simp add: top-unique)
    from real[OF this f] val show False by simp
  qed
  let ?f = λe. (v / value-flow Δ f) * f e
  note f
  moreover
  have *: 0 < value-flow Δ f
    using ⟨v < value-flow Δ f⟩ by (auto simp add: zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
  then have v / value-flow Δ f ≤ 1 using v
    by (auto intro!: divide-le-posI-ennreal)
  ultimately have flow Δ ?f by (rule scale-flow)
  moreover {
    have value-flow Δ ?f = v * (value-flow Δ f / value-flow Δ f)
      by(subst value-scale-flow)(simp add: divide-ennreal-def ac-simps)
    also have ... = v using * by(subst ennreal-divide-self) (auto simp: less-top[symmetric])
    also note calculation }
  ultimately show ?thesis by(rule that)
qed

theorem ex-max-flow':
  assumes wf: wf-residual-network
  assumes source-out: ∀y. edge Δ (source Δ) y ↔ y = x
  and nontrivial: V - {source Δ, sink Δ} ≠ {}
  and real: α = ennreal α' and α'-nonneg[simp]: 0 ≤ α'
  shows ∃f. flow Δ f ∧ value-flow Δ f = α ∧ (∀x. d-IN f x ≤ value-flow Δ f)
proof -
  have α'-not-neg[simp]: ¬ α' < 0

```

using α' -nonneg by linarith

```

let ?v =  $\lambda i. (1 - (1 / 2)^i) * \alpha$ 
let ?v-r =  $\lambda i. ennreal ((1 - (1 / 2)^i) * \alpha')$ 
have v-eq: ?v i = ?v-r i for i
by (auto simp: real ennreal-mult power-le-one ennreal-lessI ennreal-minus[symmetric]
      ennreal-power[symmetric] divide-ennreal-def)
have  $\exists f. flow \Delta f \wedge value\text{-}flow \Delta f = ?v i$  for i :: nat
proof(cases  $\alpha = 0$ )
  case True thus ?thesis by(auto intro!: exI[where x= $\lambda i. 0$ ])
next
  case False
  then have ?v i <  $\alpha$ 
  unfolding v-eq by (auto simp: real field-simps intro!: ennreal-lessI) (simp-all
    add: less-le)
  then obtain f where flow  $\Delta f$  and value-flow  $\Delta f = ?v i$ 
    by(rule flow-by-value)(simp add: real)
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed
then obtain f-aux where f-aux:  $\bigwedge i. flow \Delta (f\text{-aux } i)$ 
  and value-aux:  $\bigwedge i. value\text{-flow } \Delta (f\text{-aux } i) = ?v-r i$ 
unfolding v-eq by moura

define f-i where f-i = rec-nat ( $\lambda i. 0$ ) ( $\lambda i f\text{-}i.$ 
  let g = f-aux (Suc i)  $\ominus f\text{-}i;$ 
  k-i = SOME k.  $k \leq g \wedge flow(residual\text{-}network f\text{-}i) k \wedge value\text{-flow}(residual\text{-}network$ 
  f-i) k = value-flow(residual-network f-i) g  $\wedge (\forall x. d\text{-IN } k x \leq value\text{-flow}(residual\text{-}network$ 
  f-i) k)
  in f-i  $\oplus k\text{-}i)$ 
let ?P =  $\lambda i k. k \leq f\text{-aux } (Suc i) \ominus f\text{-}i \wedge flow(residual\text{-}network (f\text{-}i)) k \wedge$ 
  value-flow(residual-network (f-i)) k = value-flow(residual-network (f-i)) (f-aux
  (Suc i)  $\ominus f\text{-}i) \wedge (\forall x. d\text{-IN } k x \leq value\text{-flow}(residual\text{-}network (f\text{-}i)) k)$ 
define k-i where k-i i = Eps (?P i) for i

have f-i-simps [simp]: f-i 0 = ( $\lambda i. 0$ ) f-i (Suc i) = f-i i  $\oplus k\text{-}i$  i for i
by(simp-all add: f-i-def Let-def k-i-def)

have k-i: flow(residual-network (f-i)) (k-i) (is ?k-i)
  and value-k-i: value-flow(residual-network (f-i)) (k-i) = value-flow(residual-network
  (f-i)) (f-aux (Suc i)  $\ominus f\text{-}i) (is ?value\text{-}k\text{-}i)$ 
  and IN-k-i: d-IN (k-i) x  $\leq value\text{-flow}(residual\text{-}network (f\text{-}i)) (k\text{-}i) (is$ 
  ?IN-k-i)
  and value-diff: value-flow(residual-network (f-i)) (f-aux (Suc i)  $\ominus f\text{-}i) =$ 
  value-flow  $\Delta(f\text{-aux } (Suc i)) - value\text{-flow } \Delta(f\text{-}i) (is ?value\text{-}diff)$ 
  if flow-network  $\Delta(f\text{-}i)$  and value-f-i: value-flow  $\Delta(f\text{-}i) = value\text{-flow } \Delta$ 
  (f-aux i) for i x
proof -
  let ?RES = residual-network (f-i)
  interpret fn: flow-network  $\Delta f\text{-}i$  by(rule that)

```

```

interpret RES: flow-attainability ?RES using wf fn.g by(rule residual-flow-attainability)
have le: value-flow Δ (f-i i) ≤ value-flow Δ (f-aux (Suc i))
  unfolding value-aux value-f-i
  unfolding v-eq by (rule ennreal-leI) (auto simp: field-simps)
with wf f-aux fn.g have res-flow: flow ?RES (f-aux (Suc i) ⊕ f-i i)
  using flowD-finite[OF f-aux] source-out
  by(rule minus-flow)
show value': ?value-diff by(simp add: value-minus-flow[OF f-aux fn.g le source-out])
also have ... < ⊤
  unfolding value-aux v-eq by (auto simp: less-top[symmetric])
finally have value-flow ?RES (f-aux (Suc i) ⊕ f-i i) ≠ ⊤ by simp
then have fn': flow-network ?RES (f-aux (Suc i) ⊕ f-i i)
  using nontrivial res-flow by(unfold-locales) simp-all
then interpret fn': flow-network ?RES f-aux (Suc i) ⊕ f-i i .
from fn'.flow-cleanup show ?k-i ?value-k-i ?IN-k-i unfolding k-i-def by(rule
someI2-ex; blast) +
qed

have fn-i: flow-network Δ (f-i i)
and value-f-i: value-flow Δ (f-i i) = value-flow Δ (f-aux i)
and d-IN-i: d-IN (f-i i) x ≤ value-flow Δ (f-i i) for i x
proof(induction i)
case 0
{ case 1 show ?case using nontrivial by(unfold-locales)(simp-all add: f-aux
value-aux) }
{ case 2 show ?case by(simp add: value-aux) }
{ case 3 show ?case by(simp) }
next
case (Suc i)
interpret fn: flow-network Δ f-i i using Suc.IH(1) .
let ?RES = residual-network (f-i i)

have k-i: flow ?RES (k-i i)
and value-k-i: value-flow ?RES (k-i i) = value-flow ?RES (f-aux (Suc i) ⊕
f-i i)
and d-IN-k-i: d-IN (k-i i) x ≤ value-flow ?RES (k-i i) for x
using Suc.IH(1-2) by(rule k-i value-k-i IN-k-i) +

interpret RES: flow-attainability ?RES using wf fn.g by(rule residual-flow-attainability)
have le: value-flow Δ (f-i i) ≤ value-flow Δ (f-aux (Suc i))
  unfolding value-aux Suc.IH(2) v-eq using α'-nonneg by(intro ennreal-leI)(simp
add: real field-simps)
{ case 1 show ?case unfolding f-i-simps
proof
  show flow Δ (f-i i ⊕ k-i i) using wf fn.g k-i by(rule flow-residual-add)
  with RES.flowD-finite[OF k-i] show value-flow Δ (f-i i ⊕ k-i i) ≠ ⊤
    by(simp add: value-flow[OF - source-out])
qed(rule nontrivial) }
from value-k-i have value-k: value-flow ?RES (k-i i) = value-flow Δ (f-aux

```

```

(Suc i)) - value-flow Δ (f-aux i)
  by(simp add: value-minus-flow[OF f-aux fn.g le source-out] Suc.IH)
  { case 2 show ?case using value-k
    by(auto simp add: source-out value-plus-flow[OF wf fn.g k-i] Suc.IH value-aux
field-simps intro!: ennreal-leI)
  note value-f = this
  { case 3
    have d-IN (f-i i ⊕ k-i i) x ≤ d-IN (f-i i) x + d-IN (k-i i) x
      using fn.g k-i by(rule d-IN-plus-flow[OF wf])
    also have ... ≤ value-flow Δ (f-i i) + d-IN (k-i i) x using Suc.IH(3) by(rule
add-right-mono)
    also have ... ≤ value-flow Δ (f-i i) + value-flow ?RES (k-i i) using d-IN-k-i
by(rule add-left-mono)
    also have ... = value-flow Δ (f-i (Suc i)) unfolding value-f Suc.IH(2)
value-k
      by(auto simp add: value-aux field-simps intro!: ennreal-leI)
    finally show ?case by simp }
  qed
  interpret fn: flow-network Δ f-i i for i by(rule fn-i)
  note k-i = k-i[OF fn-i value-f-i] and value-k-i = value-k-i[OF fn-i value-f-i]
  and IN-k-i = IN-k-i[OF fn-i value-f-i] and value-diff = value-diff[OF fn-i
value-f-i]

  have ∃x≥0. f-i i e = ennreal x for i e
    using fn.finite-g[of i e] by (cases f-i i e) auto
  then obtain f-i' where f-i': ∀i e. f-i i e = ennreal (f-i' i e) and [simp]: ∀i e.
0 ≤ f-i' i e
    by metis

  { fix i e
    obtain x y :: 'v where e: e = (x, y) by(cases e)
    have k-i i (x, y) ≤ d-IN (k-i i) y by (rule d-IN-ge-point)
    also have ... ≤ value-flow (residual-network (f-i i)) (k-i i) by(rule IN-k-i)
    also have ... < ⊤ using value-k-i[of i] value-diff[of i]
      by(simp add: value-k-i value-f-i value-aux real less-top[symmetric])
    finally have ∃x≥0. k-i i e = ennreal x
      by(cases k-i i e)(auto simp add: e) }
  then obtain k-i' where k-i': ∀i e. k-i i e = ennreal (k-i' i e) and k-i'-nonneg[simp]:
  ∀i e. 0 ≤ k-i' i e
    by metis

  have wf-k: (x, y) ∈ E ==> k-i i (y, x) ≤ f-i i (x, y) + k-i i (x, y) for i x y
    using flowD-capacity[OF k-i, of i (y, x)]
    by (auto split: if-split-asm dest: wf-residual-networkD[OF wf] elim: order-trans)

  have f-i'-0[simp]: f-i' 0 = (λ-. 0) using f-i-simps(1) by (simp del: f-i-simps
add: fun-eq-iff f-i')
  have f-i'-Suc[simp]: f-i' (Suc i) e = (if e ∈ E then f-i' i e + (k-i' i e - k-i' i

```

```

(prod.swap e)) else 0) for i e
  using f-i-simps(2)[of i, unfolded fun-eq-iff, THEN spec, of e] wf-k[of fst e snd
e i]
  by (auto simp del: f-i-simps ennreal-plus
      simp add: fun-eq-iff f-i' k-i' ennreal-plus[symmetric] ennreal-minus split:
if-split-asm)

have k-i'-le: k-i' i e ≤ α' / 2 ^ (Suc i) for i e
proof -
  obtain x y where e: e = (x, y) by(cases e)
  have k-i' i (x, y) ≤ d-IN (k-i' i) y by (rule d-IN-ge-point)
  also have ... ≤ value-flow (residual-network (f-i i)) (k-i' i)
    using IN-k-i[of i y] by(simp add: k-i'[abs-def])
  also have ... = α' / 2 ^ (Suc i) using value-k-i[of i] value-diff[of i]
    by(simp add: value-f-i value-aux real k-i'[abs-def] field-simps ennreal-minus
mult-le-cancel-left1)
  finally show ?thesis using e by simp
qed

have convergent: convergent (λi. f-i' i e) for e
proof(cases α' > 0)
  case False
  obtain x y where [simp]: e = (x, y) by(cases e)

  { fix i
    from False α'-nonneg have α' = 0 by simp
    moreover have f-i i (x, y) ≤ d-IN (f-i i) y by (rule d-IN-ge-point)
    ultimately have f-i i (x, y) = 0 using d-IN-i[of i y]
      by(simp add: value-f-i value-aux real) }
    thus ?thesis by(simp add: f-i' convergent-const)
  next
    case α'-pos: True
    show ?thesis
    proof(rule real-Cauchy-convergent Cauchy-real-Suc-diff)+
      fix n
      have |k-i' n e - k-i' n (prod.swap e)| ≤ |k-i' n e| + |k-i' n (prod.swap e)|
        by (rule abs-triangle-ineq4)
      then have |k-i' n e - k-i' n (prod.swap e)| ≤ α' / 2 ^ n
        using k-i'-le[of n e] k-i'-le[of n prod.swap e] by simp
      then have |f-i' (Suc n) e - f-i' n e| ≤ α' / 2 ^ n
        using flowD-outside[OF fn.g] by (cases e) (auto simp: f-i')
      thus |f-i' (Suc n) e - f-i' n e| ≤ α' / 2 ^ n by simp
    qed simp
  qed
  then obtain f' where f': ∀e. (λi. f-i' i e) —→ f' e unfolding convergent-def
  by metis
  hence f: ∀e. (λi. f-i i e) —→ ennreal (f' e) unfolding f-i' by simp

  have f'-nonneg: 0 ≤ f' e for e

```

```

by (rule LIMSEQ-le-const[OF f']) auto

let ?k = λi x y. (k-i' i (x, y) - k-i' i (y, x)) * indicator E (x, y)
have sum-i': f-i' i (x, y) = (Σ j < i. ?k j x y) for x y i
  by (induction i) auto

have summable-nk: summable (λi. |?k i x y|) for x y
proof(rule summable-rabs-comparison-test)
  show ∃ N. ∀ i ≥ N. |?k i x y| ≤ α' * (1 / 2) ^ i
  proof (intro exI allI impI)
    fix i have |?k i x y| ≤ k-i' i (x, y) + k-i' i (y, x)
      by (auto intro!: abs-triangle-ineq4[THEN order-trans] split: split-indicator)
    also have ... ≤ α' * (1 / 2) ^ i
      using k-i'-le[of i (x, y)] k-i'-le[of i (y, x)] α'-nonneg k-i'-nonneg[of i (x, y)]
      k-i'-nonneg[of i (y, x)]
      by (auto simp add: abs-real-def power-divide split: split-indicator)
    finally show |?k i x y| ≤ α' * (1 / 2) ^ i
      by simp
  qed
  show summable (λi. α' * (1 / 2) ^ i)
    by (rule summable-mult complete-algebra-summable-geometric)+ simp
qed
hence summable-k: summable (λi. ?k i x y) for x y by (auto intro: summable-norm-cancel)

have suminf: (Σ i. (k-i' i (x, y) - k-i' i (y, x)) * indicator E (x, y)) = f' (x,
y) for x y
  by (rule LIMSEQ-unique[OF summable-LIMSEQ])(simp-all add: sum-i'[symmetric]
f' summable-k)

have flow: flow Δ f'
proof
  fix e
  have f' e ≤ Sup {..capacity Δ e} using - f
    by (rule Sup-lim)(simp add: flowD-capacity[OF fn.g])
  then show f' e ≤ capacity Δ e by simp
next
  fix x
  assume x: x ≠ source Δ x ≠ sink Δ

  have integrable-f-i: integrable (count-space UNIV) (λy. f-i' i (x, y)) for i
    using flowD-finite-OUT[OF fn.g x, of i] by (auto intro!: integrableI-bounded
simp add: f-i' d-OUT-def less-top)
  have integrable-f-i': integrable (count-space UNIV) (λy. f-i' i (y, x)) for i
    using flowD-finite-IN[OF fn.g, of x i] x by (auto intro!: integrableI-bounded
simp add: f-i' d-IN-def less-top)

  have integral-k-bounded: (Σ y. norm (?k i x y)) ≤ α' / 2 ^ i (is ?thesis1)
    and integral-k'-bounded: (Σ y. norm (?k i y x)) ≤ α' / 2 ^ i (is ?thesis2)
for i

```

proof –

```

define b where b = ( $\sum^+ y. k \cdot i(x, y) + k \cdot i(y, x)$ )
have b = d-OUT(k-i i) x + d-IN(k-i i) x unfolding b-def
    by(subst nn-integral-add)(simp-all add: d-OUT-def d-IN-def)
also have d-OUT(k-i i) x = d-IN(k-i i) x using k-i by(rule flowD-KIR)(simp-all
add: x)
also have ... + ...  $\leq$  value-flow  $\Delta$  (k-i i) + value-flow  $\Delta$  (k-i i)
    using IN-k-i[of i x, simplified] by-(rule add-mono)
also have ...  $\leq$   $\alpha' / 2^i$  using value-k-i[of i] value-diff[of i]
    by(simp add: value-aux value-f-i field-simps ennreal-minus-if ennreal-plus-if
mult-le-cancel-left1
    del: ennreal-plus)
also have ( $\sum^+ y \in \text{UNIV}. \text{norm}(\text{k } i \ x \ y)$ )  $\leq$  b and ( $\sum^+ y. \text{norm}(\text{k } i \ y$ 
x))  $\leq$  b unfolding b-def
    by(rule nn-integral-mono; simp add: abs-real-def k-i' ennreal-plus-if del:
ennreal-plus split: split-indicator)+
ultimately show ?thesis1 ?thesis2 by(auto)
qed

have integrable-k: integrable (count-space UNIV) ( $\lambda y. \text{k } i \ x \ y$ )
and integrable-k': integrable (count-space UNIV) ( $\lambda y. \text{k } i \ y \ x$ ) for i
using integral-k-bounded[of i] integral-k'-bounded[of i] real
by(auto intro!: integrableI-bounded simp: less-top[symmetric] top-unique en-
nreal-divide-eq-top-iff)

have summable'-k: summable ( $\lambda i. \int y. |\text{k } i \ x \ y| \partial \text{count-space UNIV}$ )
proof(rule summable-comparison-test)
    have  $|\int y. |\text{k } i \ x \ y| \partial \text{count-space UNIV}| \leq \alpha' * (1 / 2)^i$  for i
        using integral-norm-bound-ennreal[OF integrable-norm, OF integrable-k, of
i] integral-k-bounded[of i]
        by(bestsimp simp add: real power-divide dest: order-trans)
        thus  $\exists N. \forall i \geq N. \text{norm}(\int y. |\text{k } i \ x \ y| \partial \text{count-space UNIV}) \leq \alpha' * (1 / 2)^i$ 
        by auto
        show summable ( $\lambda i. \alpha' * (1 / 2)^i$ )
            by(rule summable-mult complete-algebra-summable-geometric)+ simp
qed

have summable'-k': summable ( $\lambda i. \int y. |\text{k } i \ y \ x| \partial \text{count-space UNIV}$ )
proof(rule summable-comparison-test)
    have  $|\int y. |\text{k } i \ y \ x| \partial \text{count-space UNIV}| \leq \alpha' * (1 / 2)^i$  for i
        using integral-norm-bound-ennreal[OF integrable-norm, OF integrable-k', of
i] integral-k'-bounded[of i]
        by(bestsimp simp add: real power-divide dest: order-trans)
        thus  $\exists N. \forall i \geq N. \text{norm}(\int y. |\text{k } i \ y \ x| \partial \text{count-space UNIV}) \leq \alpha' * (1 / 2)^i$ 
        by auto
        show summable ( $\lambda i. \alpha' * (1 / 2)^i$ )
            by(rule summable-mult complete-algebra-summable-geometric)+ simp
qed
```

```

have ( $\lambda i. \int y. ?k i x y \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ ) sums  $\int y. (\sum i. ?k i x y)$ 
 $\partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ 
  using integrable-k by(rule sums-integral)(simp-all add: summable-nk summable'-k)
  also have ... =  $\int y. f'(x, y) \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$  by(rule Bochner-Integration.integral-cong[OF refl])(rule suminf)
    finally have ( $\lambda i. \sum j < i. \int y. ?k j x y \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ ) —→ ... unfolding sums-def .
    also have ( $\lambda i. \sum j < i. \int y. ?k j x y \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ ) = ( $\lambda i. \int y. f \cdot i' i (x, y) \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ )
      unfolding sum-i' by(rule ext Bochner-Integration.integral-sum[symmetric] integrable-k)+
      finally have ( $\lambda i. ennreal (\int y. f \cdot i' i (x, y) \partial\text{count-space } UNIV)$ ) —→ ennreal ( $\int y. f'(x, y) \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ ) by simp
      also have ( $\lambda i. ennreal (\int y. f \cdot i' i (x, y) \partial\text{count-space } UNIV)$ ) = ( $\lambda i. d\text{-OUT} (f \cdot i) i x$ )
        unfolding d-OUT-def f-i' by(rule ext nn-integral-eq-integral[symmetric] integrable-f-i)+ simp
        also have ennreal ( $\int y. f'(x, y) \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ ) = d-OUT f' x
          unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-eq-integral[symmetric])(simp-all add: f'-nonneg, simp add: suminf[symmetric] integrable-suminf integrable-k summable-nk summable'-k)
        also have ( $\lambda i. d\text{-OUT} (f \cdot i) i x$ ) = ( $\lambda i. d\text{-IN} (f \cdot i) i x$ )
          using flowD-KIR[OF fn.g x] by(simp)
        finally have *: ( $\lambda i. d\text{-IN} (f \cdot i) i x$ ) —→ d-OUT ( $\lambda x. ennreal (f' x)$ ) x .

have ( $\lambda i. \int y. ?k i y x \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ ) sums  $\int y. (\sum i. ?k i y x)$ 
 $\partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ 
  using integrable-k' by(rule sums-integral)(simp-all add: summable-nk summable'-k')
  also have ... =  $\int y. f'(y, x) \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$  by(rule Bochner-Integration.integral-cong[OF refl])(rule suminf)
    finally have ( $\lambda i. \sum j < i. \int y. ?k j y x \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ ) —→ ... unfolding sums-def .
    also have ( $\lambda i. \sum j < i. \int y. ?k j y x \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ ) = ( $\lambda i. \int y. f \cdot i' i (y, x) \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ )
      unfolding sum-i' by(rule ext Bochner-Integration.integral-sum[symmetric] integrable-k')++
      finally have ( $\lambda i. ennreal (\int y. f \cdot i' i (y, x) \partial\text{count-space } UNIV)$ ) —→ ennreal ( $\int y. f'(y, x) \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ ) by simp
      also have ( $\lambda i. ennreal (\int y. f \cdot i' i (y, x) \partial\text{count-space } UNIV)$ ) = ( $\lambda i. d\text{-IN} (f \cdot i) i x$ )
        unfolding d-IN-def f-i' by(rule ext nn-integral-eq-integral[symmetric] integrable-f-i')+ simp
        also have ennreal ( $\int y. f'(y, x) \partial\text{count-space } UNIV$ ) = d-IN f' x
          unfolding d-IN-def by(rule nn-integral-eq-integral[symmetric])(simp-all add: f'-nonneg, simp add: suminf[symmetric] integrable-suminf integrable-k' summable-nk summable'-k')
        finally show d-OUT f' x = d-IN f' x using * by(blast intro: LIMSEQ-unique)
qed
moreover

```

```

{ have incseq ( $\lambda i. \text{value-flow } \Delta (f \cdot i \ i)$ )
  by(rule incseq-SucI)(simp add: value-aux value-f-i real field-simps  $\alpha'$ -nonneg
ennreal-leI del: f-i-simps)
  then have ( $\lambda i. \text{value-flow } \Delta (f \cdot i \ i)$ ) ——> ( $SUP i. \text{value-flow } \Delta (f \cdot i \ i)$ ) by(rule
LIMSEQ-SUP)
  also have ( $SUP i. \text{value-flow } \Delta (f \cdot i \ i)$ ) =  $\alpha$ 
  proof -
    have  $\alpha - (SUP i. \text{value-flow } \Delta (f \cdot i \ i)) = (INF i. \alpha - \text{value-flow } \Delta (f \cdot i \ i))$ 
      by(simp add: ennreal-SUP-const-minus real)
    also have  $\alpha - \text{value-flow } \Delta (f \cdot i \ i) = \alpha' / 2^i$  for  $i$ 
      by(simp add: value-f-i value-aux real ennreal-minus-if field-simps mult-le-cancel-left1)
    hence ( $INF i. \alpha - \text{value-flow } \Delta (f \cdot i \ i)$ ) = ( $INF i. \text{ennreal } (\alpha' / 2^i)$ )
      by(auto intro: INF-cong)
    also have ... = 0
    proof(rule LIMSEQ-unique)
      show ( $\lambda i. \alpha' / 2^i$ ) ——> ( $INF i. \text{ennreal } (\alpha' / 2^i)$ )
        by(rule LIMSEQ-INF)(simp add: field-simps real decseq-SucI)
      qed(simp add: LIMSEQ-divide-realpow-zero real ennreal-0[symmetric] del:
ennreal-0)
      finally show ( $SUP i. \text{value-flow } \Delta (f \cdot i \ i)$ ) =  $\alpha$ 
        apply (intro antisym)
        apply (auto simp:  $\alpha$ -def intro!: SUP-mono fn.g) []
        apply (rule ennreal-minus-eq-0)
        apply assumption
        done
    qed
    also have ( $\lambda i. \text{value-flow } \Delta (f \cdot i \ i)$ ) ——>  $\text{value-flow } \Delta f'$ 
      by(simp add: value-flow[OF flow source-out] value-flow[OF fn.g source-out]
f)
    ultimately have  $\text{value-flow } \Delta f' = \alpha$  by(blast intro: LIMSEQ-unique) }
    note value-f = this
    moreover {
      fix x
      have d-IN f' x =  $\int^+ y. \liminf (\lambda i. f \cdot i \ i (y, x))$  unfolding UNIV unfolding
d-IN-def using f
        by(simp add: tendsto-iff-Liminf-eq-Limsup)
      also have ...  $\leq \liminf (\lambda i. d\text{-IN } (f \cdot i \ i) \ x)$  unfolding d-IN-def
        by(rule nn-integral-liminf)(simp-all add:)
      also have ...  $\leq \liminf (\lambda i. \alpha)$  using d-IN-i[of - x] fn.g
        by(auto intro!: Liminf-mono SUP-upper2 eventually-sequentiallyI simp add:
 $\alpha$ -def)
      also have ... =  $\text{value-flow } \Delta f'$  using value-f by(simp add: Liminf-const)
      also note calculation
    } ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed

```

theorem ex-max-flow'': — eliminate assumption of no antiparallel edges using locale wf-residual-network

assumes source-out: $\bigwedge y. \text{edge } \Delta (\text{source } \Delta) \ y \longleftrightarrow y = x$

```

and nontrivial:  $\mathbf{E} \neq \{\}$ 
and real:  $\alpha = \text{ennreal } \alpha'$  and  $\text{nn}[simp]: 0 \leq \alpha'$ 
shows  $\exists f. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{value-flow } \Delta f = \alpha \wedge (\forall x. d\text{-IN } f x \leq \text{value-flow } \Delta f)$ 
proof -
interpret antiparallel-edges  $\Delta$  ..
interpret  $\Delta'': \text{flow-attainability } \Delta''$ 
  by(rule  $\Delta''$ -flow-attainability flow-attainability.axioms(2))+unfold-locales
have wf- $\Delta'': \Delta''.wf\text{-residual-network}$ 
  by(rule  $\Delta''$ -wf-residual-network; simp add: no-loop)

have source-out': edge  $\Delta''$  (source  $\Delta''$ )  $y \longleftrightarrow y = \text{Edge } (\text{source } \Delta) x$  for  $y$ 
  by(auto simp add: source-out)
have nontrivial':  $\mathbf{V}_{\Delta''} - \{\text{source } \Delta'', \text{sink } \Delta''\} \neq \{\}$  using nontrivial by(auto
simp add: V- $\Delta''$ )

have ( $SUP g \in \{g. \text{flow } \Delta'' g\}. \text{value-flow } \Delta'' g = (SUP g \in \{g. \text{flow } \Delta g\}. \text{value-flow } \Delta g)$ ) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof(intro antisym SUP-least; unfold mem-Collect-eq)
fix g
assume g: flow  $\Delta'' g$ 
hence value-flow  $\Delta'' g = \text{value-flow } \Delta (\text{collect } g)$  by(simp add: value-collect)
also { from g have flow  $\Delta$  (collect g) by simp }
then have ...  $\leq$  ?rhs by(blast intro: SUP-upper2)
finally show value-flow  $\Delta'' g \leq \dots$  .
next
fix g
assume g: flow  $\Delta g$ 
hence value-flow  $\Delta g = \text{value-flow } \Delta'' (\text{split } g)$  by simp
also { from g have flow  $\Delta'' (\text{split } g)$  by simp }
then have ...  $\leq$  ?lhs by(blast intro: SUP-upper2)
finally show value-flow  $\Delta g \leq$  ?lhs .
qed
with real have eq: ( $SUP g \in \{g. \text{flow } \Delta'' g\}. \text{value-flow } \Delta'' g$ ) = ennreal  $\alpha'$ 
by(simp add: alpha-def)
from  $\Delta''.ex\text{-max-flow}'[OF wf- $\Delta''$  source-out' nontrivial' eq]
obtain f where f: flow  $\Delta'' f$ 
  and value-flow  $\Delta'' f = \alpha$ 
  and IN:  $\bigwedge x. d\text{-IN } f x \leq \text{value-flow } \Delta'' f$  unfolding eq real using nn by blast
hence flow  $\Delta$  (collect f) and value-flow  $\Delta$  (collect f) =  $\alpha$  by(simp-all add:
value-collect)
moreover {
fix x
have d-IN (collect f) x =  $(\sum^+ y \in \text{range } (\lambda y. \text{Edge } y x). f(y, \text{Vertex } x))$ 
  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-IN-def)
also have ...  $\leq$  d-IN f (Vertex x) unfolding d-IN-def
  by (auto intro!: nn-integral-mono simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
split: split-indicator)
also have ...  $\leq$  value-flow  $\Delta$  (collect f) using IN[of Vertex x] f by(simp add:
value-collect)
}$ 
```

```

also note calculation }
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

context begin — We eliminate the assumption of only one edge leaving the source by introducing a new source vertex.

```

private datatype (plugins del: transfer size) 'v node = SOURCE | Inner (inner: 'v')

```

```

private lemma not-Inner-conv: x ∉ range Inner ↔ x = SOURCE
by(cases x) auto

```

```

private lemma inj-on-Inner [simp]: inj-on Inner A
by(simp add: inj-on-def)

```

```

private inductive edge' :: 'v node ⇒ 'v node ⇒ bool
where
  SOURCE: edge' SOURCE (Inner (source Δ))
  | Inner: edge Δ x y ⇒ edge' (Inner x) (Inner y)

```

```

private inductive-simps edge'-simps [simp]:
edge' SOURCE x
edge' (Inner y) x
edge' y SOURCE
edge' y (Inner x)

```

```

private fun capacity' :: 'v node flow
where
  capacity' (SOURCE, Inner x) = (if x = source Δ then α else 0)
  | capacity' (Inner x, Inner y) = capacity Δ (x, y)
  | capacity' - = 0

```

```

private lemma capacity'-source-in [simp]: capacity' (y, Inner (source Δ)) = (if y
= SOURCE then α else 0)
by(cases y)(simp-all add: capacity-outside source-in)

```

```

private definition Δ' :: 'v node network
where Δ' = (edge = edge', capacity = capacity', source = SOURCE, sink = Inner (sink Δ))

```

```

private lemma Δ'-sel [simp]:
edge Δ' = edge'
capacity Δ' = capacity'
source Δ' = SOURCE
sink Δ' = Inner (sink Δ)
by(simp-all add: Δ'-def)

```

```

private lemma E-Δ': E_Δ' = {(SOURCE, Inner (source Δ))} ∪ (λ(x, y). (Inner
x, Inner y)) ` E

```

```

by(auto elim: edge'.cases)

private lemma  $\Delta'$ -countable-network:
  assumes  $\alpha \neq \top$ 
  shows countable-network  $\Delta'$ 
proof
  show countable  $E_{\Delta'}$  unfolding  $E-\Delta'$  by simp
  show source  $\Delta' \neq \text{sink } \Delta'$  by simp
  show capacity  $\Delta' e = 0$  if  $e \notin E_{\Delta'}$  for  $e$  using that unfolding  $E-\Delta'$ 
    by(cases e rule: capacity'.cases)(auto intro: capacity-outside)
    show capacity  $\Delta' e \neq \top$  for  $e$  by(cases e rule: capacity'.cases)(simp-all add:
      assms)
qed

private lemma  $\Delta'$ -flow-attainability:
  assumes  $\alpha \neq \top$ 
  shows flow-attainability  $\Delta'$ 
proof -
  interpret  $\Delta'$ : countable-network  $\Delta'$  using assms by(rule  $\Delta'$ -countable-network)
  show ?thesis
  proof
    show d-IN (capacity  $\Delta')$   $x \neq \top \vee d\text{-OUT} (\text{capacity } \Delta') x \neq \top$  if sink:  $x \neq \text{sink } \Delta'$  for  $x$ 
    proof(cases x)
      case (Inner x')
        consider (source)  $x' = \text{source } \Delta \mid (IN) x' \neq \text{source } \Delta$  d-IN (capacity  $\Delta)$   $x' \neq \top \mid (OUT) d\text{-OUT} (\text{capacity } \Delta) x' \neq \top$ 
          using finite-capacity[of x'] sink Inner by(auto)
        thus ?thesis
        proof(cases)
          case source
            with Inner have d-IN (capacity  $\Delta')$   $x = (\sum^+ y. \alpha * \text{indicator }\{\text{SOURCE} :: 'v \text{ node}\} y)$ 
              unfolding d-IN-def by(intro nn-integral-cong)(simp split: split-indicator)
              also have ... =  $\alpha$  by(simp add: max-def)
              finally show ?thesis using assms by simp
          next
            case IN
              with Inner have d-IN (capacity  $\Delta')$   $x = (\sum^+ y \in \text{range Inner}. \text{capacity } \Delta (\text{node.inner } y, x'))$ 
                by(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator not-Inner-conv
                  intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
                also have ... = d-IN (capacity  $\Delta)$   $x'$  unfolding d-IN-def
                  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
                finally show ?thesis using Inner sink IN by(simp)
          next
            case OUT
              from Inner have d-OUT (capacity  $\Delta')$   $x = (\sum^+ y \in \text{range Inner}. \text{capacity } \Delta (x', \text{node.inner } y))$ 

```

```

by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator not-Inner-conv
intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
also have ... = d-OUT (capacity  $\Delta$ )  $x'$  by(simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
finally show ?thesis using OUT by auto
qed
qed(simp add: d-IN-def)
show  $\neg$  edge  $\Delta' x x$  for  $x$  by(cases  $x$ )(simp-all add: no-loop)
show  $\neg$  edge  $\Delta' x$  (source  $\Delta'$ ) for  $x$  by simp
qed
qed

private fun lift :: ' $v$  flow  $\Rightarrow$  ' $v$  node flow
where
lift  $f$  (SOURCE, Inner  $y$ ) = (if  $y$  = source  $\Delta$  then value-flow  $\Delta f$  else 0)
| lift  $f$  (Inner  $x$ , Inner  $y$ ) =  $f(x, y)$ 
| lift  $f$  - = 0

private lemma d-OUT-lift-Inner [simp]: d-OUT (lift  $f$ ) (Inner  $x$ ) = d-OUT  $f x$ 
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
have ?lhs = ( $\sum^+ y \in \text{range Inner}. \text{lift } f (\text{Inner } x, y)$ )
by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator not-Inner-conv
intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
also have ... = ?rhs by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-OUT-def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

private lemma d-OUT-lift-SOURCE [simp]: d-OUT (lift  $f$ ) SOURCE = value-flow
 $\Delta f$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
have ?lhs = ( $\sum^+ y. \text{lift } f (\text{SOURCE}, y) * \text{indicator} \{\text{Inner}(\text{source } \Delta)\} y$ )
unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-cong)(case-tac  $x$ ; simp)
also have ... = ?rhs by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator max-def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

private lemma d-IN-lift-Inner [simp]:
assumes  $x \neq \text{source } \Delta$ 
shows d-IN (lift  $f$ ) (Inner  $x$ ) = d-IN  $f x$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
have ?lhs = ( $\sum^+ y \in \text{range Inner}. \text{lift } f (y, \text{Inner } x)$ ) using assms
by(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator not-Inner-conv
intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
also have ... = ?rhs by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-IN-def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

private lemma d-IN-lift-source [simp]: d-IN (lift  $f$ ) (Inner (source  $\Delta$ )) = value-flow
 $\Delta f + d-IN f (\text{source } \Delta)$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

```

```

proof -
  have ?lhs = ( $\sum^+ y. \text{lift } f(y, \text{Inner}(\text{source } \Delta)) * \text{indicator}\{\text{SOURCE}\} y$ ) +
  ( $\sum^+ y \in \text{range Inner}. \text{lift } f(y, \text{Inner}(\text{source } \Delta))$ )
  (is - = ?SOURCE + ?rest)
  unfolding d-IN-def
  apply(subst nn-integral-count-space-indicator, simp)
  apply(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])
  apply(auto simp add: AE-count-space max-def not-Inner-conv split: split-indicator
  intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  done
  also have ?rest = d-IN f (source  $\Delta$ ) by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex
  d-IN-def)
  also have ?SOURCE = value-flow  $\Delta$  f
  by(simp add: max-def one-ennreal-def[symmetric] )
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

private lemma flow-lift [simp]:
  assumes flow  $\Delta$  f
  shows flow  $\Delta'$  (lift f)
proof
  show lift f e  $\leq$  capacity  $\Delta'$  e for e
  by(cases e rule: capacity'.cases)(auto intro: flowD-capacity[OF assms] simp add:
   $\alpha$ -def intro: SUP-upper2 assms)

  fix x
  assume x:  $x \neq \text{source } \Delta' x \neq \text{sink } \Delta'$ 
  then obtain x' where x':  $x = \text{Inner } x'$  by(cases x) auto
  then show KIR (lift f) x using x
  by(cases x' = source  $\Delta$ )(auto simp add: flowD-source-IN[OF assms] dest:
  flowD-KIR[OF assms])
qed

private abbreviation (input) unlift :: ' $v$  node flow  $\Rightarrow$  ' $v$  flow
where unlift f  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda(x, y). f(\text{Inner } x, \text{Inner } y)$ )

private lemma flow-unlift [simp]:
  assumes f: flow  $\Delta'$  f
  shows flow  $\Delta$  (unlift f)
proof
  show unlift f e  $\leq$  capacity  $\Delta$  e for e using flowD-capacity[OF f, of map-prod
  Inner Inner e]
  by(cases e)(simp)
next
  fix x
  assume x:  $x \neq \text{source } \Delta x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$ 
  have d-OUT (unlift f) x = ( $\sum^+ y \in \text{range Inner}. f(\text{Inner } x, y)$ )
  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-OUT-def)
  also have ... = d-OUT f (Inner x) using flowD-capacity[OF f, of (Inner x,

```

```

    SOURCE])
      by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator d-OUT-def not-Inner-conv
        intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
      also have ... = d-IN f (Inner x) using x flowD-KIR[OF f, of Inner x] by(simp)
      also have ... = ( $\sum^+_{y \in \text{range } \text{Inner}. f}$  (y, Inner x))
        using x flowD-capacity[OF f, of (SOURCE, Inner x)]
      by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator d-IN-def not-Inner-conv
        intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
      also have ... = d-IN (unlift f) x by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex
        d-IN-def)
      finally show KIR (unlift f) x .
qed

```

private lemma value-unlift:

```

  assumes f: flow  $\Delta'$  f
  shows value-flow  $\Delta$  (unlift f) = value-flow  $\Delta'$  f
proof -
  have value-flow  $\Delta$  (unlift f) = ( $\sum^+_{y \in \text{range } \text{Inner}. f}$  (Inner (source  $\Delta$ ), y))
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-OUT-def)
  also have ... = d-OUT f (Inner (source  $\Delta$ )) using flowD-capacity[OF f, of
    (Inner (source  $\Delta$ ), SOURCE)]
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator d-OUT-def not-Inner-conv
      intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
  also have ... = d-IN f (Inner (source  $\Delta$ )) using flowD-KIR[OF f, of Inner
    (source  $\Delta$ )] by(simp)
    also have ... = ( $\sum^+_{y \in \text{range } \text{Inner}. f}$  (y, Inner (source  $\Delta$ )) * indicator {SOURCE} y)
      unfolding d-IN-def using flowD-capacity[OF f, of (x, Inner (source  $\Delta$ ))] for
      x]
      by(intro nn-integral-cong)(auto intro!: antisym split: split-indicator if-split-asm
        elim: meta-allE)
    also have ... = f (SOURCE, Inner (source  $\Delta$ )) by simp
    also have ... = ( $\sum^+_{y \in \text{range } \text{Inner}. f}$  (SOURCE, y) * indicator {Inner (source  $\Delta$ )} y)
      by(simp add: one-ennreal-def[symmetric])
    also have ... = value-flow  $\Delta'$  f unfolding d-OUT-def
      unfolding d-OUT-def using flowD-capacity[OF f, of (SOURCE, Inner x)] for
      x] flowD-capacity[OF f, of (SOURCE, SOURCE)]
      apply(intro nn-integral-cong)
      apply(case-tac x)
      apply(auto intro!: antisym split: split-indicator if-split-asm elim: meta-allE)
      done
    finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

theorem ex-max-flow:

```

   $\exists f. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{value-flow } \Delta f = \alpha \wedge (\forall x. d\text{-IN } f x \leq \text{value-flow } \Delta f)$ 
proof(cases  $\alpha$ )
  case (real  $\alpha'$ )
  hence  $\alpha: \alpha \neq \top$  by simp
  then interpret  $\Delta': \text{flow-attainability } \Delta'$  by(rule  $\Delta'$ -flow-attainability)

```

```

have source-out: edge  $\Delta'$  (source  $\Delta'$ )  $y \longleftrightarrow y = \text{Inner}$  (source  $\Delta$ ) for  $y$  by(auto)
have nontrivial:  $\mathbf{E}_{\Delta'} \neq \{\}$  by(auto intro: edge'.intros)

have eq:  $(\text{SUP } g \in \{g. \text{flow } \Delta' g\}. \text{value-flow } \Delta' g) = (\text{SUP } g \in \{g. \text{flow } \Delta g\}. \text{value-flow } \Delta g)$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof(intro antisym SUP-least; unfold mem-Collect-eq)
fix g
assume g: flow  $\Delta' g$ 
hence value-flow  $\Delta' g = \text{value-flow } \Delta (\text{unlift } g)$  by(simp add: value-unlift)
also { from g have flow  $\Delta (\text{unlift } g)$  by simp }
then have ...  $\leq$  ?rhs by(blast intro: SUP-upper2)
finally show value-flow  $\Delta' g \leq \dots$  .

next
fix g
assume g: flow  $\Delta g$ 
hence value-flow  $\Delta g = \text{value-flow } \Delta' (\text{lift } g)$  by simp
also { from g have flow  $\Delta' (\text{lift } g)$  by simp }
then have ...  $\leq$  ?lhs by(blast intro: SUP-upper2)
finally show value-flow  $\Delta g \leq$  ?lhs .

qed
also have ... = ennreal  $\alpha'$  using real by(simp add: alpha-def)
finally obtain f where f: flow  $\Delta' f$ 
and value-f: value-flow  $\Delta' f = (\bigsqcup_{g \in \{g. \text{flow } \Delta' g\}} \text{value-flow } \Delta' g)$ 
and IN-f:  $\bigwedge x. d\text{-IN } f x \leq \text{value-flow } \Delta' f$ 
using  $\langle 0 \leq \alpha' \rangle$  by(blast dest: Delta'.ex-max-flow)[OF source-out nontrivial]
have flow  $\Delta (\text{unlift } f)$  using f by simp
moreover have value-flow  $\Delta (\text{unlift } f) = \alpha$  using f eq value-f by(simp add: value-unlift alpha-def)
moreover {
fix x
have d-IN (unlift f) x =  $(\sum^+_{y \in \text{range Inner}. f} (y, \text{Inner } x))$ 
by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-IN-def)
also have ...  $\leq d\text{-IN } f (\text{Inner } x)$  unfolding d-IN-def
by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator split: split-indicator)
also have ...  $\leq \text{value-flow } \Delta (\text{unlift } f)$  using IN-f[of Inner x] f by(simp add: value-unlift)
also note calculation }
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case top
show ?thesis
proof(cases  $\exists f. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{value-flow } \Delta f = \top$ )
case True
with top show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
hence real:  $\forall f. \alpha = \top \longrightarrow \text{flow } \Delta f \longrightarrow \text{value-flow } \Delta f < \alpha$  using top by

```

```

(auto simp: less-top)
{ fix i
  have  $2 * 2^i < \alpha$  using top by (simp-all add: ennreal-mult-less-top
power-less-top-ennreal)
  from flow-by-value[OF this real] have  $\exists f. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{value-flow } \Delta f = 2 * 2^i$  by blast
  then obtain f-i where f-i:  $\bigwedge i. \text{flow } \Delta (f-i i)$ 
    and value-i:  $\bigwedge i. \text{value-flow } \Delta (f-i i) = 2 * 2^i$  by metis
  define f where  $f e = (\sum^+ i. f-i i e / (2 * 2^i))$  for e
  have  $\text{flow } \Delta f$ 
  proof
    fix e
    have  $f e \leq (\sum^+ i. (\text{SUP } i. f-i i e) / (2 * 2^i))$  unfolding f-def
      by(rule nn-integral-mono)(auto intro!: divide-right-mono-ennreal SUP-upper)
    also have ... =  $(\text{SUP } i. f-i i e) / 2 * (\sum^+ i. 1 / 2^i)$ 
      apply(subst nn-integral-cmult[symmetric])
      apply(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong intro: SUP-upper2
simp: divide-ennreal-def ennreal-inverse-mul power-less-top-ennreal mult-ac)
      done
    also have  $(\sum^+ i. 1 / 2^i) = (\sum i. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2)^i))$ 
      by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-nat power-divide divide-ennreal[symmetric]
ennreal-power[symmetric])
    also have ... =  $\text{ennreal } (\sum i. (1 / 2)^i)$ 
      by(intro suminf-ennreal2 complete-algebra-summable-geometric) simp-all
    also have ... =  $2$  by(subst suminf-geometric; simp)
    also have  $(\text{SUP } i. f-i i e) / 2 * 2 = (\text{SUP } i. f-i i e)$ 
      by (simp add: ennreal-divide-times)
    also have ...  $\leq \text{capacity } \Delta e$  by(rule SUP-least)(rule flowD-capacity[OF f-i])
    finally show  $f e \leq \text{capacity } \Delta e$  .

    fix x
    assume x:  $x \neq \text{source } \Delta \wedge x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$ 
    have d-OUT f x =  $(\sum^+ i \in \text{UNIV}. \sum^+ y. f-i i (x, y) / (2 * 2^i))$ 
      unfolding d-OUT-def f-def
      by(subst nn-integral-snd-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified])
        (simp add: nn-integral-fst-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified])
    also have ... =  $(\sum^+ i. d\text{-OUT } (f-i i) x / (2 * 2^i))$  unfolding d-OUT-def
      by(simp add: nn-integral-divide)
    also have ... =  $(\sum^+ i. d\text{-IN } (f-i i) x / (2 * 2^i))$  by(simp add:
flowD-KIR[OF f-i, OF x])
    also have ... =  $(\sum^+ i \in \text{UNIV}. \sum^+ y. f-i i (y, x) / (2 * 2^i))$ 
      by(simp add: nn-integral-divide d-IN-def)
    also have ... =  $d\text{-IN } f x$  unfolding d-IN-def f-def
      by(subst nn-integral-snd-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified])
        (simp add: nn-integral-fst-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified])
    finally show KIR f x .
  qed
  moreover {
    have  $\text{value-flow } \Delta f = (\sum^+ i. \text{value-flow } \Delta (f-i i) / (2 * 2^i))$ 

```

```

unfolding d-OUT-def f-def
by(subst nn-integral-snd-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified])
  (simp add: nn-integral-fst-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified]
  nn-integral-divide[symmetric])
also have ... =  $\top$ 
  by(simp add: value-i ennreal-mult-less-top power-less-top-ennreal)
finally have value-flow  $\Delta f = \top$  .
}
ultimately show ?thesis using top by auto
qed
qed

end

end

end

end

```

10 The max-flow min-cut theorems in unbounded networks

```

theory MFMC-Unbounded imports
  MFMC-Web
  MFMC-Flow-Attainability
  MFMC-Reduction
begin

```

10.1 More about waves

```

lemma SINK-plus-current:  $SINK(\text{plus-current } f g) = SINK f \cap SINK g$ 
by(auto simp add: SINK.simps set-eq-iff d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0
add-eq-0-iff-both-eq-0)

```

```

abbreviation plus-web :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v current  $\Rightarrow$  'v current  $\Rightarrow$  'v
current ( $\hookleftarrow$   $\curvearrowright$  [66, 66] 65)
where plus-web  $\Gamma f g \equiv$  plus-current  $f(g \upharpoonright \Gamma / f)$ 

```

```

lemma d-OUT-plus-web:
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
  shows  $d\text{-OUT}(f \smile g) x = d\text{-OUT} f x + d\text{-OUT}(g \upharpoonright \Gamma / f) x$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs =  $d\text{-OUT} f x + (\sum^+ y. (\text{if } x \in RF^\circ(TER f) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } g(x, y) * \text{indicator}(-RF(TER f)) y))$ 
  unfolding d-OUT-def by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong
split: split-indicator)
  also have ... = ?rhs by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def intro!: arg-cong2[where

```

```

 $f=(+)]$  nn-integral-cong)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma d-IN-plus-web:
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
  shows  $d\text{-IN} (f \frown g) y = d\text{-IN} f y + d\text{-IN} (g \upharpoonright \Gamma / f) y$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs =  $d\text{-IN} f y + (\sum^+ x. (\text{if } y \in RF (TER f) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } g (x, y) * indicator (- RF^\circ (TER f)) x))$ 
  unfolding d-IN-def by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
  also have ... = ?rhs by(auto simp add: d-IN-def intro!: arg-cong2[where f=(+)] nn-integral-cong)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma plus-web-greater:  $f e \leq (f \frown_\Gamma g) e$ 
by(cases e)(auto split: split-indicator)

lemma current-plus-web:
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
  shows  $\llbracket \text{current } \Gamma f; \text{wave } \Gamma f; \text{current } \Gamma g \rrbracket \implies \text{current } \Gamma (f \frown g)$ 
by(blast intro: current-plus-current current-restrict-current)

context
  fixes  $\Gamma :: ('v, 'more) \text{ web-scheme}$  (structure)
  and  $f g :: 'v \text{ current}$ 
  assumes  $f: \text{current } \Gamma f$ 
  and  $w: \text{wave } \Gamma f$ 
  and  $g: \text{current } \Gamma g$ 
begin

context
  fixes  $x :: 'v$ 
  assumes  $x: x \in \mathcal{E} (TER f \cup TER g)$ 
begin

qualified lemma RF-f:  $x \notin RF^\circ (TER f)$ 
proof
  assume *:  $x \in RF^\circ (TER f)$ 

  from x obtain p y where p:  $\text{path } \Gamma x p y$  and y:  $y \in B \Gamma$ 
  and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. \llbracket x \neq y; z \in \text{set } p \rrbracket \implies z = x \vee z \notin TER f \cup TER g$  by(rule E-E) blast
  from rtrancl-path-distinct[OF p] obtain p'
  where p:  $\text{path } \Gamma x p' y$  and p':  $\text{set } p' \subseteq \text{set } p$  and distinct:  $\text{distinct } (x \# p')$  .

  from * have x':  $x \in RF (TER f)$  and E:  $x \notin \mathcal{E} (TER f)$  by(auto simp add:

```

```

roofed-circ-def)
  hence  $x \notin \text{TER } f$  using not-essentialD[ $\text{OF} - p \ y$ ]  $p'$  bypass by blast
  with roofedD[ $\text{OF} \ x' \ p \ y$ ] obtain  $z$  where  $z: z \in \text{set } p' \ z \in \text{TER } f$  by auto
  with  $p$  have  $y \in \text{set } p'$  by(auto dest!: rtrancl-path-last intro: last-in-set)
  with distinct have  $x \neq y$  by auto
  with bypass  $z \ p'$  distinct show False by auto
qed

private lemma  $\text{RF-}g: x \notin \text{RF}^\circ (\text{TER } g)$ 
proof
  assume  $*: x \in \text{RF}^\circ (\text{TER } g)$ 

  from  $x$  obtain  $p \ y$  where  $p: \text{path } \Gamma \ x \ p \ y$  and  $y: y \in B \ \Gamma$ 
  and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. [x \neq y; z \in \text{set } p] \implies z = x \vee z \notin \text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g$  by(rule E-E) blast
  from rtrancl-path-distinct[ $\text{OF} \ p$ ] obtain  $p'$ 
  where  $p: \text{path } \Gamma \ x \ p' \ y$  and  $p': \text{set } p' \subseteq \text{set } p$  and distinct: distinct  $(x \ # \ p')$  .

  from  $*$  have  $x': x \in \text{RF } (\text{TER } g)$  and  $\mathcal{E}: x \notin \mathcal{E } (\text{TER } g)$  by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def)
  hence  $x \notin \text{TER } g$  using not-essentialD[ $\text{OF} - p \ y$ ]  $p'$  bypass by blast
  with roofedD[ $\text{OF} \ x' \ p \ y$ ] obtain  $z$  where  $z: z \in \text{set } p' \ z \in \text{TER } g$  by auto
  with  $p$  have  $y \in \text{set } p'$  by(auto dest!: rtrancl-path-last intro: last-in-set)
  with distinct have  $x \neq y$  by auto
  with bypass  $z \ p'$  distinct show False by auto
qed

lemma  $\text{TER-plus-web-aux}:$ 
assumes  $\text{SINK}: x \in \text{SINK } (g \upharpoonright \Gamma / f)$  (is  $- \in \text{SINK } ?g$ )
shows  $x \in \text{TER } (f \frown g)$ 
proof
  from  $x$  obtain  $p \ y$  where  $p: \text{path } \Gamma \ x \ p \ y$  and  $y: y \in B \ \Gamma$ 
  and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. [x \neq y; z \in \text{set } p] \implies z = x \vee z \notin \text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g$  by(rule E-E) blast
  from rtrancl-path-distinct[ $\text{OF} \ p$ ] obtain  $p'$ 
  where  $p: \text{path } \Gamma \ x \ p' \ y$  and  $p': \text{set } p' \subseteq \text{set } p$  and distinct: distinct  $(x \ # \ p')$  .

  from  $\text{RF-}f$  have  $x \in \text{SINK } f$ 
  by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def SINK.simps dest: waveD-OUT[ $\text{OF} \ w$ ])
  thus  $x \in \text{SINK } (f \frown g)$  using SINK
  by(simp add: SINK.simps d-OUT-plus-web)
  show  $x \in \text{SAT } \Gamma (f \frown g)$ 
  proof(cases  $x \in \text{TER } f$ )
    case True
    hence  $x \in \text{SAT } \Gamma \ f$  by simp
    moreover have  $\dots \subseteq \text{SAT } \Gamma (f \frown g)$  by(rule SAT-mono plus-web-greater)+
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case False

```

```

with x have  $x \in \text{TER } g$  by auto
from False RF-f have  $x \notin \text{RF } (\text{TER } f)$  by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def)
moreover {
fix z
assume z:  $z \in \text{RF}^\circ (\text{TER } f)$ 
have  $(z, x) \notin \mathbf{E}$ 
proof
assume  $(z, x) \in \mathbf{E}$ 
hence  $\text{path}' : \text{path } \Gamma z (x \# p') y$  using p by(simp add: rtrancl-path.step)
from z have  $z \in \text{RF } (\text{TER } f)$  by(simp add: roofed-circ-def)
from roofedD[OF this path' y] False
consider (path)  $z'$  where  $z' \in \text{set } p' z' \in \text{TER } f \mid (\text{TER}) z \in \text{TER } f$  by
auto
then show False
proof cases
{ case (path z')
with p distinct have  $x \neq y$ 
by(auto 4 3 intro: last-in-set elim: rtrancl-path.cases dest: rtrancl-path-last[symmetric])
from bypass[OF this, of z'] path False p' show False by auto }
note that = this
case TER
with z have  $\neg \text{essential } \Gamma (B \Gamma) (\text{TER } f) z$  by(simp add: roofed-circ-def)
from not-essentialD[OF this path' y] False obtain z' where  $z' \in \text{set } p' z'$ 
 $\in \text{TER } f$  by auto
thus False by(rule that)
qed
qed }

ultimately have  $d\text{-IN } ?g x = d\text{-IN } g x$  unfolding d-IN-def
by(intro nn-integral-cong)(clar simp split: split-indicator simp add: currentD-outside[OF g])
hence  $d\text{-IN } (f \frown g) x \geq d\text{-IN } g x$ 
by(simp add: d-IN-plus-web)
with  $\langle x \in \text{TER } g \rangle$  show ?thesis by(auto elim!: SAT.cases intro: SAT.intros)
qed
qed

qualified lemma SINK-TER-in'':
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \notin \text{RF } (\text{TER } g) \implies d\text{-OUT } g x = 0$ 
shows  $x \in \text{SINK } g$ 
using RF-g by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def SINK.simps assms)

end

lemma wave-plus: wave (quotient-web  $\Gamma f$ )  $(g \upharpoonright \Gamma / f) \implies \text{wave } \Gamma (f \frown g)$ 
using f w by(rule wave-plus-current)(rule current-restrict-current[OF w g])

lemma TER-plus-web'':
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \notin \text{RF } (\text{TER } g) \implies d\text{-OUT } g x = 0$ 
shows  $\mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g) \subseteq \text{TER } (f \frown g)$ 
proof

```

```

fix x
assume *:  $x \in \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g)$ 
moreover have  $x \in \text{SINK } (g \upharpoonright \Gamma / f)$ 
  by(rule in-SINK-restrict-current)(rule MFMC-Unbounded.SINK-TER-in'[OF
 $f w g * \text{assms}])$ 
ultimately show  $x \in \text{TER } (f \frown g)$  by(rule TER-plus-web-aux)
qed

lemma TER-plus-web': wave  $\Gamma g \implies \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g) \subseteq \text{TER } (f \frown g)$ 
by(rule TER-plus-web'')(rule waveD-OUT)

lemma wave-plus': wave  $\Gamma g \implies \text{wave } \Gamma (f \frown g)$ 
by(rule wave-plus)(rule wave-restrict-current[OF  $f w g$ ])

end

lemma RF-TER-plus-web:
fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
assumes  $f: \text{current } \Gamma f$ 
and  $w: \text{wave } \Gamma f$ 
and  $g: \text{current } \Gamma g$ 
and  $w': \text{wave } \Gamma g$ 
shows  $\text{RF } (\text{TER } (f \frown g)) = \text{RF } (\text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g)$ 
proof
have  $\text{RF } (\mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g)) \subseteq \text{RF } (\text{TER } (f \frown g))$ 
  by(rule roofed-mono)(rule TER-plus-web'[OF  $f w g w'$ ])
also have  $\text{RF } (\mathcal{E} (\text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g)) = \text{RF } (\text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g)$  by(rule RF-essential)
finally show ...  $\subseteq \text{RF } (\text{TER } (f \frown g))$  .
next
have  $fg: \text{current } \Gamma (f \frown g)$  using  $f w g$  by(rule current-plus-web)
show  $\text{RF } (\text{TER } (f \frown g)) \subseteq \text{RF } (\text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g)$ 
proof(intro subsetI roofedI)
fix  $x p y$ 
assume  $\text{RF}: x \in \text{RF } (\text{TER } (f \frown g))$  and  $p: \text{path } \Gamma x p y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$ 
from roofedD[OF RF p y] obtain  $z$  where  $z: z \in \text{set } (x \# p)$  and  $\text{TER}: z \in$ 
 $\text{TER } (f \frown g)$  by auto
from TER have SINK:  $z \in \text{SINK } f$ 
  by(auto simp add: SINK.simps d-OUT-plus-web add-eq-0-iff-both-eq-0)
from TER have  $z \in \text{SAT } \Gamma (f \frown g)$  by simp
hence SAT:  $z \in \text{SAT } \Gamma f \cup \text{SAT } \Gamma g$ 
  by(cases z ∈ RF (TER f))(auto simp add: currentD-SAT[OF f] currentD-SAT[OF
g] currentD-SAT[OF fg] d-IN-plus-web d-IN-restrict-current-outside restrict-current-IN-not-RF[OF
g] wave-not-RF-IN-zero[OF f w]))
show  $(\exists z \in \text{set } p. z \in \text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g) \vee x \in \text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g$ 
proof(cases z ∈ RF (TER g))
case False
hence  $z \in \text{SINK } g$  by(simp add: SINK.simps waveD-OUT[OF w'])
with SINK SAT have  $z \in \text{TER } f \cup \text{TER } g$  by auto

```

```

thus ?thesis using z by auto
next
  case True
  from split-list[OF z] obtain ys zs where split:  $x \# p = ys @ z \# zs$  by blast
    with p have path  $\Gamma z z s y$  by(auto elim: rtrancl-path-appendE simp add:
      Cons-eq-append-conv)
    from roofedD[OF True this y] split show ?thesis by(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma RF-TER-Sup:
  fixes  $\Gamma$  (structure)
  assumes f:  $\bigwedge f. f \in Y \implies \text{current } \Gamma f$ 
  and w:  $\bigwedge f. f \in Y \implies \text{wave } \Gamma f$ 
  and Y: Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\leq$ ) Y Y  $\neq \{\}$  countable (support-flow
  (Sup Y))
  shows RF (TER (Sup Y)) = RF ( $\bigcup_{f \in Y} TER f$ )
proof(rule set-eqI iff)+
  fix x
  assume x:  $x \in RF (TER (Sup Y))$ 
  have x:  $x \in RF (RF (\bigcup_{f \in Y} TER f))$ 
  proof
    fix p y
    assume p: path  $\Gamma x p y$  and y:  $y \in B \Gamma$ 
    from roofedD[OF x p y] obtain z where z:  $z \in \text{set}(x \# p)$  and TER:  $z \in
      TER (Sup Y)$  by auto
    from TER have SINK:  $z \in SINK f$  if  $f \in Y$  for f using that by(auto simp
      add: SINK-Sup[OF Y])

    from Y(2) obtain f where y:  $f \in Y$  by blast

    show ( $\exists z \in \text{set } p. z \in RF (\bigcup_{f \in Y} TER f)$ )  $\vee x \in RF (\bigcup_{f \in Y} TER f)$ 
    proof(cases  $\exists f \in Y. z \in RF (TER f)$ )
      case True
      then obtain f where fY:  $f \in Y$  and zf:  $z \in RF (TER f)$  by blast
      from zf have z:  $z \in RF (\bigcup_{f \in Y} TER f)$  by(rule in-roofed-mono)(auto intro:
        fY)
      with z show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case False
      hence *:  $d\text{-IN } fz = 0$  if  $f \in Y$  for f using that by(auto intro: wave-not-RF-IN-zero[OF
        f w])
      hence d-IN (Sup Y) z = 0 using Y(2) by(simp add: d-IN-Sup[OF Y])
      with TER have z:  $z \in SAT \Gamma f$  using *[OF y]
        by(simp add: SAT.simps)
      with SINK[OF y] have z:  $z \in TER f$  by simp
      with z y show ?thesis by(auto intro: roofed-greaterI)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

qed
then show  $x \in RF(\bigcup_{f \in Y} TER f)$  unfolding roofed-idem .
next
fix  $x$ 
assume  $x: x \in RF(\bigcup_{f \in Y} TER f)$ 
have  $x \in RF(RF(TER(\bigsqcup Y)))$ 
proof(rule roofedI)
fix  $p y$ 
assume  $p: path \Gamma x p y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$ 
from roofedD[ $OF x p y$ ] obtain  $z f$  where  $*: z \in set(x \# p)$ 
and  $**: f \in Y$  and  $TER: z \in TER f$  by auto
have  $z \in RF(TER(Sup Y))$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
assume  $z: z \notin RF(TER(Sup Y))$ 
have wave  $\Gamma(Sup Y)$  using  $Y(1-2) w Y(3)$  by(rule wave-lub)
hence d-OUT( $Sup Y$ )  $z = 0$  using  $z$  by(rule waveD-OUT)
hence  $z \in SINK(Sup Y)$  by(simp add: SINK.simps)
moreover have  $z \in SAT \Gamma(Sup Y)$  using TER SAT-Sup-upper[ $OF **$ , of
 $\Gamma$ ] by blast
ultimately have  $z \in TER(Sup Y)$  by simp
hence  $z \in RF(TER(Sup Y))$  by(rule roofed-greaterI)
with  $z$  show False by contradiction
qed
thus  $(\exists z \in set p. z \in RF(TER(Sup Y))) \vee x \in RF(TER(Sup Y))$  using *
by auto
qed
then show  $x \in RF(TER(\bigsqcup Y))$  unfolding roofed-idem .
qed

```

10.2 Hindered webs with reduced weights

context countable-bipartite-web begin

```

context
fixes  $u :: 'v \Rightarrow ennreal$ 
and  $\varepsilon$ 
defines  $\varepsilon \equiv (\int^+ y. u y \partial count-space(B \Gamma))$ 
assumes u-outside:  $\bigwedge x. x \notin B \Gamma \implies u x = 0$ 
and finite- $\varepsilon$ :  $\varepsilon \neq \top$ 
begin

```

```

private lemma u-A:  $x \in A \Gamma \implies u x = 0$ 
using u-outside[of  $x$ ] disjoint by auto

```

```

private lemma u-finite:  $u y \neq \top$ 
proof(cases  $y \in B \Gamma$ )
case True
have  $u y \leq \varepsilon$  unfolding  $\varepsilon$ -def by(rule nn-integral-ge-point)(simp add: True)
also have ...  $< \top$  using finite- $\varepsilon$  by (simp add: less-top[symmetric])

```

```

finally show ?thesis by simp
qed(simp add: u-outside)

lemma hindered-reduce: — Lemma 6.7
assumes u:  $u \leq \text{weight } \Gamma$ 
assumes hindered-by: hindered-by ( $\Gamma(\text{weight} := \text{weight } \Gamma - u)$ )  $\varepsilon$  (is hindered-by  $\Gamma$ )
shows hindered  $\Gamma$ 
proof —
note [simp] = u-finite
let ?TER = TER ? $\Gamma$ 
from hindered-by obtain f
where hindrance-by: hindrance-by ? $\Gamma$  f  $\varepsilon$ 
and f: current ? $\Gamma$  f
and w: wave ? $\Gamma$  f by cases
from hindrance-by obtain a where a:  $a \in A \Gamma$   $a \notin \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$  (?TER f)
and a-le: d-OUT f a < weight  $\Gamma$  a
and ε-less: weight  $\Gamma$  a - d-OUT f a > ε
and ε-nonneg:  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  by(auto simp add: u-A hindrance-by.simps)

from f have f': current  $\Gamma$  f by(rule current-weight-mono)(auto intro: diff-le-self-ennreal)

write Some (⟨⟨-⟩⟩)

define edge'
where edge' xo yo =
(case (xo, yo) of
  (None, Some y)  $\Rightarrow$   $y \in \mathbf{V} \wedge y \notin A \Gamma$ 
  | (Some x, Some y)  $\Rightarrow$  edge  $\Gamma$  x y  $\vee$  edge  $\Gamma$  y x
  | -  $\Rightarrow$  False) for xo yo
define cap
where cap e =
(case e of
  (None, Some y)  $\Rightarrow$  if  $y \in \mathbf{V}$  then u y else 0
  | (Some x, Some y)  $\Rightarrow$  if edge  $\Gamma$  x y  $\wedge$  x  $\neq$  a then f (x, y) else if edge  $\Gamma$  y x
  then max (weight  $\Gamma$  x) (weight  $\Gamma$  y) + 1 else 0
  | -  $\Rightarrow$  0) for e
define Ψ where Ψ = (edge = edge', capacity = cap, source = None, sink = Some a)

have edge'-simps [simp]:
edge' None ⟨y⟩  $\longleftrightarrow$   $y \in \mathbf{V} \wedge y \notin A \Gamma$ 
edge' xo None  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
edge' ⟨x⟩ ⟨y⟩  $\longleftrightarrow$  edge  $\Gamma$  x y  $\vee$  edge  $\Gamma$  y x
for xo x y by(simp-all add: edge'-def split: option.split)

have edge-None1E [elim!]: thesis if edge' None y  $\wedge$  z. ⟦ y = ⟨z⟩; z  $\in \mathbf{V}$ ; z  $\notin A \Gamma$ 
⟧  $\Longrightarrow$  thesis for y thesis
using that by(simp add: edge'-def split: option.split-asm sum.split-asm)

```

```

have edge-Some1E [elim!]: thesis if edge' ⟨x⟩ y ∧z. [ y = ⟨z⟩; edge Γ x z ∨ edge
Γ z x ] ==> thesis for x y thesis
  using that by(simp add: edge'-def split: option.split-asm sum.split-asm)
have edge-Some2E [elim!]: thesis if edge' x ⟨y⟩ [ x = None; y ∈ V; y ∉ A Γ ]
==> thesis ∧z. [ x = ⟨z⟩; edge Γ z y ∨ edge Γ y z ] ==> thesis for x y thesis
  using that by(simp add: edge'-def split: option.split-asm sum.split-asm)

have cap-simps [simp]:
  cap (None, ⟨y⟩) = (if y ∈ V then u y else 0)
  cap (xo, None) = 0
  cap (⟨x⟩, ⟨y⟩) =
    (if edge Γ x y ∧ x ≠ a then f (x, y) else if edge Γ y x then max (weight Γ x)
    (weight Γ y) + 1 else 0)
  for xo x y by(simp-all add: cap-def split: option.split)

have Ψsel [simp]:
  edge Ψ = edge'
  capacity Ψ = cap
  source Ψ = None
  sink Ψ = ⟨a⟩
  by(simp-all add: Ψ-def)

have cap-outside1: ¬ vertex Γ x ==> cap (⟨x⟩, y) = 0 for x y
  by(cases y)(auto simp add: vertex-def)
have capacity-A-weight: d-OUT cap ⟨x⟩ ≤ weight Γ x if x ∈ A Γ for x
proof -
  have d-OUT cap ⟨x⟩ ≤ (∑+ y ∈ range Some. f (x, the y))
    using that disjoint a(1) unfolding d-OUT-def
    by(auto 4 4 intro!: nn-integral-mono simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
notin-range-Some currentD-outside[OF f] split: split-indicator dest: edge-antiparallel
bipartite-E)
  also have ... = d-OUT f x by(simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ... ≤ weight Γ x using f' by(rule currentD-weight-OUT)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
have flow-attainability: flow-attainability Ψ
proof
  have EΨ = (λ(x, y). (⟨x⟩, ⟨y⟩)) ` E ∪ (λ(x, y). (⟨y⟩, ⟨x⟩)) ` E ∪ (λx. (None,
x)) ` (V ∩ - A Γ)
    by(auto simp add: edge'-def split: option.split-asm)
  thus countable EΨ by simp
next
fix v
assume v ≠ sink Ψ
consider (sink) v = None | (A) x where v = ⟨x⟩ x ∈ A Γ
| (B) y where v = ⟨y⟩ y ∉ A Γ y ∈ V | (outside) x where v = ⟨x⟩ x ∉ V
  by(cases v) auto
then show d-IN (capacity Ψ) v ≠ ⊤ ∨ d-OUT (capacity Ψ) v ≠ ⊤
proof cases

```

```

case sink thus ?thesis by(simp add: d-IN-def)
next
  case (A x)
    thus ?thesis using capacity-A-weight[of x] by (auto simp: top-unique)
next
  case (B y)
    have d-IN (capacity  $\Psi$ )  $v \leq (\sum^+ x. f(\text{the } x, y) * \text{indicator}(\text{range Some}) x + u y * \text{indicator}\{\text{None}\} x)$ 
    using B disjoint bipartite-V a(1) unfolding d-IN-def
    by(auto 4 4 intro!: nn-integral-mono simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
      notin-range-Some currentD-outside[OF f] split: split-indicator dest: edge-antiparallel
      bipartite-E)
    also have ...  $= (\sum^+ x \in \text{range Some}. f(\text{the } x, y)) + u y$ 
    by(subst nn-integral-add)(simp-all add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator)
    also have ...  $= d\text{-IN } f y + u y$  by(simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
    also have d-IN f y  $\leq \text{weight } \Gamma y$  using f' by(rule currentD-weight-IN)
    finally show ?thesis by(auto simp add: add-right-mono top-unique split:
      if-split-asm)
next
  case outside
  hence d-OUT (capacity  $\Psi$ )  $v = 0$ 
  by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space cap-def
    vertex-def split: option.split)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
next
  show capacity  $\Psi$   $e \neq \top$  for  $e$  using weight-finite
  by(auto simp add: cap-def max-def vertex-def currentD-finite[OF f'] split:
    option.split prod.split simp del: weight-finite)
  show capacity  $\Psi$   $e = 0$  if  $e \notin E_\Psi$  for  $e$ 
  using that bipartite-V disjoint
  by(auto simp add: cap-def max-def intro: u-outside split: option.split prod.split)
  show  $\neg \text{edge } \Psi x (\text{source } \Psi)$  for  $x$  by simp
  show  $\neg \text{edge } \Psi x x$  for  $x$  by(cases x)(simp-all add: no-loop)
  show source  $\Psi \neq \text{sink } \Psi$  by simp
qed
then interpret  $\Psi$ : flow-attainability  $\Psi$  .

define  $\alpha$  where  $\alpha = (\bigsqcup g \in \{g. \text{flow } \Psi g\}. \text{value-flow } \Psi g)$ 
have  $\alpha \text{-le: } \alpha \leq \varepsilon$ 
proof -
  have  $\alpha \leq d\text{-OUT cap None}$  unfolding alpha-def by(rule SUP-least)(auto intro!:
    d-OUT-mono dest: flowD-capacity)
  also have ...  $\leq \int^+ y. \text{cap}(\text{None}, y) \partial \text{count-space}(\text{range Some})$  unfolding
    d-OUT-def
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator notin-range-Some intro!:
      nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
  also have ...  $\leq \varepsilon$  unfolding epsilon-def
  by(subst (2) nn-integral-count-space-indicator, auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)

```

```

-outside intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
then have finite-flow:  $\alpha \neq \top$  using finite- $\varepsilon$  by (auto simp: top-unique)

from  $\Psi$ .ex-max-flow
obtain j where j: flow  $\Psi$  j
  and value-j: value-flow  $\Psi$  j =  $\alpha$ 
  and IN-j:  $\bigwedge x. d\text{-IN } j x \leq \alpha$ 
  unfolding  $\alpha$ -def by auto

have j-le-f: j (Some x, Some y)  $\leq f(x, y)$  if edge  $\Gamma$  x y for x y
  using that flowD-capacity[OF j, of (Some x, Some y)] a(1) disjoint
  by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bipartite-E intro: order-trans)

have IN-j-finite [simp]:  $d\text{-IN } j x \neq \top$  for x using finite-flow by(rule neq-top-trans)(simp
add: IN-j)

have j-finite[simp]:  $j(x, y) < \top$  for x y
  by (rule le-less-trans[OF d-IN-ge-point])(simp add: IN-j-finite[of y] less-top[symmetric])

have OUT-j-finite:  $d\text{-OUT } j x \neq \top$  for x
proof(cases x = source  $\Psi$   $\vee$  x = sink  $\Psi$ )
  case True thus ?thesis
  proof cases
    case left thus ?thesis using finite-flow value-j by simp
  next
    case right
    have d-OUT (capacity  $\Psi$ ) ⟨a⟩ ≠  $\top$  using capacity-A-weight[of a] a(1) by(auto
simp: top-unique)
      thus ?thesis unfolding right[simplified]
        by(rule neq-top-trans)(rule d-OUT-mono flowD-capacity[OF j])+
  qed
next
  case False then show ?thesis by(simp add: flowD-KIR[OF j])
qed

have IN-j-le-weight:  $d\text{-IN } j \langle x \rangle \leq weight \Gamma x$  for x
proof(cases x ∈ A Γ)
  case xA: True
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases x = a)
    case True
    have d-IN j ⟨x⟩ ≤  $\alpha$  by(rule IN-j)
    also have ... ≤  $\varepsilon$  by(rule α-le)
    also have  $\varepsilon < weight \Gamma a$  using ε-less-diff-le-self-ennreal less-le-trans by blast
    finally show ?thesis using True by(auto intro: order.strict-implies-order)
  next
    case False

```

```

have d-IN j ⟨x⟩ = d-OUT j ⟨x⟩ using flowD-KIR[OF j, of Some x] False by
simp
  also have ... ≤ d-OUT cap ⟨x⟩ using flowD-capacity[OF j] by(auto intro:
d-OUT-mono)
    also have ... ≤ weight Γ x using xA by(rule capacity-A-weight)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
  case xA: False
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases x ∈ B Γ)
    case True
      have d-IN j ⟨x⟩ ≤ d-IN cap ⟨x⟩ using flowD-capacity[OF j] by(auto intro:
d-IN-mono)
      also have ... ≤ (∑+ z. f (the z, x) * indicator (range Some) z) + (∑+ z
:: 'v option. u x * indicator {None} z)
        using True disjoint
        by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto simp add: vertex-def currentD-outside[OF
f] d-IN-def B-out intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
      also have ... = d-IN f x + u x
        by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator[symmetric] nn-integral-count-space-reindex
d-IN-def)
      also have ... ≤ weight Γ x using currentD-weight-IN[OF f, of x] u-finite[of
x]
        using ε-less u by (auto simp add: ennreal-le-minus-iff le-fun-def)
      finally show ?thesis .
  next
    case False
    with xA have x ∉ V using bipartite-V by blast
    then have d-IN j ⟨x⟩ = 0 using False
      by(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0 ver-
tex-def edge'-def split: option.split-asm intro!: Ψ.flowD-outside[OF j])
    then show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
qed

let ?j = j ∘ map-prod Some Some ∘ prod.swap

have finite-j-OUT: (∑+ y∈OUT x. j ((⟨x⟩, ⟨y⟩))) ≠ ⊤ (is ?j-OUT ≠ -) if x ∈
A Γ for x
  using currentD-finite-OUT[OF f', of x]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono j-le-f simp add: d-OUT-def
nn-integral-count-space-indicator outgoing-def split: split-indicator)
have j-OUT-eq: ?j-OUT x = d-OUT j ⟨x⟩ if x ∈ A Γ for x
proof -
  have ?j-OUT x = (∑+ y∈range Some. j (Some x, y)) using that disjoint
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto 4 4 simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
outgoing-def intro!: nn-integral-cong Ψ.flowD-outside[OF j] dest: bipartite-E split:

```

```

split-indicator)
  also have ... = d-OUT j ⟨x⟩
    by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator notin-range-Some
intro!: nn-integral-cong Ψ.flowD-outside[OF j] split: split-indicator)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed

define g where g = f ⊕ ?j
have g-simps: g (x, y) = (f ⊕ ?j) (x, y) for x y by(simp add: g-def)

have OUT-g-A: d-OUT g x = d-OUT f x + d-IN j ⟨x⟩ - d-OUT j ⟨x⟩ if x ∈ A
Γ for x
proof -
  have d-OUT g x = (∑+ y∈OUT x. f (x, y) + j ⟨y⟩, ⟨x⟩) - j ⟨x⟩, ⟨y⟩)
    by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def g-simps currentD-outside[OF f] outgoing-def
nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  also have ... = (∑+ y∈OUT x. f (x, y) + j ⟨y⟩, ⟨x⟩) - (∑+ y∈OUT x.
j ⟨x⟩, ⟨y⟩)
    (is - = - - ?j-OUT) using finite-j-OUT[OF that]
    by(subst nn-integral-diff)(auto simp add: AE-count-space outgoing-def intro!:
order-trans[OF j-le-f])
  also have ... = (∑+ y∈OUT x. f (x, y)) + (∑+ y∈OUT x. j (Some y,
Some x)) - ?j-OUT
    (is - = ?f + ?j-IN - -) by(subst nn-integral-add) simp-all
  also have ?f = d-OUT f x by(subst d-OUT-alt-def[where G=Γ])(simp-all
add: currentD-outside[OF f])
  also have ?j-OUT = d-OUT j ⟨x⟩ using that by(rule j-OUT-eq)
  also have ?j-IN = (∑+ y∈range Some. j (y, ⟨x⟩)) using that disjoint
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto 4 4 simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
outgoing-def intro!: nn-integral-cong Ψ.flowD-outside[OF j] split: split-indicator dest:
bipartite-E)
  also have ... = d-IN j (Some x) using that disjoint
    by(auto 4 3 simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator notin-range-Some
intro!: nn-integral-cong Ψ.flowD-outside[OF j] split: split-indicator)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

have OUT-g-B: d-OUT g x = 0 if x ∉ A Γ for x
  using disjoint that
  by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space g-simps dest:
bipartite-E)

have OUT-g-a: d-OUT g a < weight Γ a using a(1)
proof -
  have d-OUT g a = d-OUT f a + d-IN j ⟨a⟩ - d-OUT j ⟨a⟩ using a(1) by(rule
OUT-g-A)
  also have ... ≤ d-OUT f a + d-IN j ⟨a⟩
    by(rule diff-le-self-ennreal)
  also have ... < weight Γ a + d-IN j ⟨a⟩ - ε

```

```

using finite-ε ε-less currentD-finite-OUT[OF f']
by (simp add: less-diff-eq-ennreal less-top ac-simps)
also have ... ≤ weight Γ a
  using IN-j[THEN order-trans, OF α-le] by (simp add: ennreal-minus-le-iff)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

have OUT-jj: d-OUT ?j x = d-IN j ⟨x⟩ - j (None, ⟨x⟩) for x
proof -
  have d-OUT ?j x = (∑+ y∈range Some. j (y, ⟨x⟩)) by(simp add: d-OUT-def
nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ... = d-IN j ⟨x⟩ - (∑+ y. j (y, ⟨x⟩)) * indicator {None} y
  unfolding d-IN-def
    by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])(auto simp add: max-def Ψ.flowD-finite[OF
j] AE-count-space nn-integral-count-space-indicator split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    also have ... = d-IN j ⟨x⟩ - j (None, ⟨x⟩) by(simp add: max-def)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed

have OUT-jj-finite [simp]: d-OUT ?j x ≠ ⊤ for x
  by(simp add: OUT-jj)

have IN-g: d-IN g x = d-IN f x + j (None, ⟨x⟩) for x
proof(cases x ∈ B Γ)
  case True
  have finite: (∑+ y∈IN x. j (Some y, Some x)) ≠ ⊤ using currentD-finite-IN[OF
f', of x]
    by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono j-le-f simp add: d-IN-def
nn-integral-count-space-indicator incoming-def split: split-indicator)

  have d-IN g x = d-IN (f ⊕ ?j) x by(simp add: g-def)
  also have ... = (∑+ y∈IN x. f (y, x) + j (Some x, Some y)) - j (Some y,
Some x))
    by(auto simp add: d-IN-def currentD-outside[OF f] incoming-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator
intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  also have ... = (∑+ y∈IN x. f (y, x) + j (Some x, Some y)) - (∑+ y∈IN
x. j (Some y, Some x))
    (is - = - - ?j-IN) using finite
    by(subst nn-integral-diff)(auto simp add: AE-count-space incoming-def intro!:
order-trans[OF j-le-f])
  also have ... = (∑+ y∈IN x. f (y, x)) + (∑+ y∈IN x. j (Some x, Some
y)) - ?j-IN
    (is - = ?f + ?j-OUT - -) by(subst nn-integral-add) simp-all
  also have ?f = d-IN f x by(subst d-IN-alt-def[where G=Γ])(simp-all add:
currentD-outside[OF f])
  also have ?j-OUT = (∑+ y∈range Some. j (Some x, y)) using True disjoint
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto 4 4 simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
incoming-def intro!: nn-integral-cong Ψ.flowD-outside[OF j] split: split-indicator
dest: bipartite-E)

```

```

also have ... = d-OUT j (Some x) using disjoint
  by(auto 4 3 simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator notin-range-Some
intro!: nn-integral-cong Ψ.flowD-outside[OF j] split: split-indicator)
also have ... = d-IN j (Some x) using flowD-KIR[OF j, of Some x] True a
disjoint by auto
also have ?j-IN = (∑+ y∈range Some. j (y, Some x)) using True disjoint
  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)(auto 4 4 simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
incoming-def intro!: nn-integral-cong Ψ.flowD-outside[OF j] dest: bipartite-E split:
split-indicator)
also have ... = d-IN j (Some x) - (∑+ y :: 'v option. j (None, Some x) *
indicator {None} y)
  unfolding d-IN-def using flowD-capacity[OF j, of (None, Some x)]
  by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
    (auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator AE-count-space top-unique
image-iff
      intro!: nn-integral-cong ennreal-diff-self split: split-indicator if-split-asm)
also have d-IN f x + d-IN j (Some x) - ... = d-IN f x + j (None, Some x)
  using ennreal-add-diff-cancel-right[OF IN-j-finite[of Some x], of d-IN f x + j
(None, Some x)]
    apply(subst diff-diff-ennreal')
    apply(auto simp add: d-IN-def intro!: nn-integral-ge-point ennreal-diff-le-mono-left)
      apply(simp add: ac-simps)
      done
  finally show ?thesis .
next
  case False
  hence d-IN g x = 0 d-IN f x = 0 j (None, ⟨x⟩) = 0
    using disjoint currentD-IN[OF f', of x] bipartite-V currentD-outside-IN[OF
f'] u-outside[OF False] flowD-capacity[OF j, of (None, ⟨x⟩)]
    by(cases vertex Γ x; auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space
g-simps dest: bipartite-E split: if-split-asm)+
      thus ?thesis by simp
qed

have g: current Γ g
proof
  show d-OUT g x ≤ weight Γ x for x
  proof(cases x ∈ A Γ)
    case False
    thus ?thesis by(simp add: OUT-g-B)
  next
    case True
    with OUT-g-a show ?thesis
    by(cases x = a)(simp-all add: OUT-g-A flowD-KIR[OF j] currentD-weight-OUT[OF
f'])
  qed

  show d-IN g x ≤ weight Γ x for x
  proof(cases x ∈ B Γ)

```

```

case False
hence d-IN g x = 0 using disjoint
  by(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space g-simps
dest: bipartite-E)
  thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case True
  have d-IN g x ≤ (weight Γ x - u x) + u x unfolding IN-g
    using currentD-weight-IN[OF f, of x] flowD-capacity[OF j, of (None, Some
x)] True bipartite-V
    by(intro add-mono)(simp-all split: if-split-asm)
    also have ... = weight Γ x
      using u by (intro diff-add-cancel-ennreal) (simp add: le-fun-def)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed
show g e = 0 if e ∉ E for e using that
  by(cases e)(auto simp add: g-simps)
qed

define cap' where cap' = (λ(x, y). if edge Γ x y then g (x, y) else if edge Γ y x
then 1 else 0)

have cap'-simps [simp]: cap' (x, y) = (if edge Γ x y then g (x, y) else if edge Γ
y x then 1 else 0)
  for x y by(simp add: cap'-def)

define G where G = (edge = λx y. cap' (x, y) > 0)
have Gsel [simp]: edge G x y ↔ cap' (x, y) > 0 for x y by(simp add: G-def)
define reachable where reachable x = (edge G)** x a for x
have reachable-alt-def: reachable ≡ λx. ∃ p. path G x p a
  by(simp add: reachable-def [abs-def] rtranclp-eq-rtrancl-path)

have [simp]: reachable a by(auto simp add: reachable-def)

have AB-edge: edge G x y if edge Γ y x for x y
  using that
  by(auto dest: edge-antiparallel simp add: min-def le-neq-trans add-eq-0-iff-both-eq-0)
have reachable-AB: reachable y if reachable x (x, y) ∈ E for x y
  using that by(auto simp add: reachable-def simp del: Gsel dest!: AB-edge intro:
rtrancl-path.step)
have reachable-BA: g (x, y) = 0 if reachable y (x, y) ∈ E ∨ reachable x for x y
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume g (x, y) ≠ 0
    then have g (x, y) > 0 by (simp add: zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
    hence edge G x y using that by simp
    then have reachable x using ⟨reachable y⟩
      unfolding reachable-def by(rule converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp)
      with ⟨¬ reachable x⟩ show False by contradiction
  qed

```

```

have reachable-V: vertex  $\Gamma$   $x$  if reachable  $x$  for  $x$ 
proof -
  from that obtain  $p$  where  $p$ : path  $G$   $x$   $p$  a unfolding reachable-alt-def ..
  then show ?thesis using rtrancl-path-nth[ $OF p$ , of 0] a(1) A-vertex
    by(cases  $p = []$ )(auto 4 3 simp add: vertex-def elim: rtrancl-path.cases split:
if-split-asm)
qed

have finite-j-IN:  $(\int^+ y. j (Some y, Some x) \partialcount-space (\mathbf{IN} x)) \neq \top$  for  $x$ 
proof -
  have  $(\int^+ y. j (Some y, Some x) \partialcount-space (\mathbf{IN} x)) \leq d\text{-IN } f x$ 
  by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono j-le-f simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator
incoming-def split: split-indicator)
  thus ?thesis using currentD-finite-IN[ $OF f'$ , of  $x$ ] by (auto simp: top-unique)
qed

have j-outside:  $j (x, y) = 0$  if  $\neg edge \Psi x y$  for  $x y$ 
  using that flowD-capacity[ $OF j$ , of  $(x, y)$ ]  $\Psi$ .capacity-outside[of  $(x, y)$ ]
  by(auto)

define  $h$  where  $h = (\lambda(x, y). if \text{reachable } x \wedge \text{reachable } y \text{ then } g (x, y) \text{ else } 0)$ 
have h-simps [simp]:  $h (x, y) = (if \text{reachable } x \wedge \text{reachable } y \text{ then } g (x, y) \text{ else } 0)$  for  $x y$ 
  by(simp add: h-def)
have h-le-g:  $h e \leq g e$  for  $e$  by(cases  $e$ ) simp

have OUT-h:  $d\text{-OUT } h x = (if \text{reachable } x \text{ then } d\text{-OUT } g x \text{ else } 0)$  for  $x$ 
proof -
  have  $d\text{-OUT } h x = (\sum^+ y \in \mathbf{OUT} x. h (x, y))$  using h-le-g currentD-outside[ $OF g$ ]
    by(intro d-OUT-alt-def) auto
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y \in \mathbf{OUT} x. if \text{reachable } x \text{ then } g (x, y) \text{ else } 0)$ 
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp add: outgoing-def dest: reachable-AB)
  also have ... =  $(if \text{reachable } x \text{ then } d\text{-OUT } g x \text{ else } 0)$ 
    by(auto intro!: d-OUT-alt-def[symmetric] currentD-outside[ $OF g$ ])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

have IN-h:  $d\text{-IN } h x = (if \text{reachable } x \text{ then } d\text{-IN } g x \text{ else } 0)$  for  $x$ 
proof -
  have  $d\text{-IN } h x = (\sum^+ y \in \mathbf{IN} x. h (y, x))$ 
    using h-le-g currentD-outside[ $OF g$ ] by(intro d-IN-alt-def) auto
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y \in \mathbf{IN} x. if \text{reachable } x \text{ then } g (y, x) \text{ else } 0)$ 
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp add: incoming-def dest: reachable-BA)
  also have ... =  $(if \text{reachable } x \text{ then } d\text{-IN } g x \text{ else } 0)$ 
    by(auto intro!: d-IN-alt-def[symmetric] currentD-outside[ $OF g$ ])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

have h: current  $\Gamma$   $h$  using g h-le-g
proof(rule current-leI)

```

```

show d-OUT h x ≤ d-IN h x if x ∉ A Γ for x
  by(simp add: OUT-h IN-h currentD-OUT-IN[OF g that])
qed

have reachable-full: j (None, ⟨y⟩) = u y if reach: reachable y for y
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume j (None, ⟨y⟩) ≠ u y
  with flowD-capacity[OF j, of (None, ⟨y⟩)]
  have le: j (None, ⟨y⟩) < u y by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: u-outside
Ψ.flowD-outside[OF j] zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
  then obtain y: y ∈ B Γ and uy: u y > 0 using u-outside[of y]
    by(cases y ∈ B Γ; cases u y = 0) (auto simp add: zero-less-iff-neq-zero)

from reach obtain q where q: path G y q a and distinct: distinct (y # q)
  unfolding reachable-alt-def by(auto elim: rtrancl-path-distinct)
have q-Nil: q ≠ [] using q a(1) disjoint y by(auto elim!: rtrancl-path.cases)

let ?E = zip (y # q) q
define E where E = (None, Some y) # map (map-prod Some Some) ?E
define ζ where ζ = Min (insert (u y - j (None, Some y)) (cap' ` set ?E))
let ?j' = λe. (if e ∈ set E then ζ else 0) + j e
define j' where j' = cleanup ?j'

have j-free: 0 < cap' e if e ∈ set ?E for e using that unfolding E-def list.sel
proof –
  from that obtain i where e = ((y # q) ! i, q ! i)
    and i: i < length q by(auto simp add: set-zip)
  have e': edge G ((y # q) ! i) (q ! i) using q i by(rule rtrancl-path-nth)
    thus ?thesis using e by(simp)
qed

have ζ-pos: 0 < ζ unfolding ζ-def using le
  by(auto intro: j-free diff-gr0-ennreal)
have ζ-le: ζ ≤ cap' e if e ∈ set ?E for e using that unfolding ζ-def by auto
have finite-ζ [simplified]: ζ < ⊤ unfolding ζ-def
  by(intro Min-less-iff[THEN iffD2])(auto simp add: less-top[symmetric])

have E-antiparallel: (x', y') ∈ set ?E ==> (y', x') ∉ set ?E for x' y'
  using distinct
  apply(auto simp add: in-set-zip nth-Cons in-set-conv-nth)
  apply(auto simp add: distinct-conv-nth split: nat.split-asm)
  by (metis Suc-lessD less-Suc-eq less-irrefl-nat)

have OUT-j': d-OUT ?j' x' = ζ * card (set [(x'', y) ← E. x'' = x']) + d-OUT
j x' for x'
proof –
  have d-OUT ?j' x' = d-OUT (λe. if e ∈ set E then ζ else 0) x' + d-OUT j x'
    using ζ-pos by(intro d-OUT-add)
  also have d-OUT (λe. if e ∈ set E then ζ else 0) x' = ∫⁺ y. ζ * indicator
(set E) (x', y) ∂count-space UNIV

```

```

unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp)
also have ... = ( $\int^+ e. \zeta * \text{indicator} (\text{set } E) e$  ∂embed-measure (count-space UNIV) (Pair  $x'$ ))
  by(simp add: measurable-embed-measure1 nn-integral-embed-measure)
also have ... = ( $\int^+ e. \zeta * \text{indicator} (\text{set } [(x'', y) \leftarrow E. x'' = x']) e$  ∂count-space UNIV)
  by(auto simp add: embed-measure-count-space' nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
also have ... =  $\zeta * \text{card} (\text{set } [(x'', y) \leftarrow E. x'' = x'])$  using  $\zeta\text{-pos}$  by(simp add: nn-integral-cmult)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
have IN-j': d-IN ?j'  $x' = \zeta * \text{card} (\text{set } [(y, x'') \leftarrow E. x'' = x']) + d\text{-IN } j \text{ } x'$  for
 $x'$ 
proof -
  have d-IN ?j'  $x' = d\text{-IN } (\lambda e. \text{if } e \in \text{set } E \text{ then } \zeta \text{ else } 0) x' + d\text{-IN } j \text{ } x'$ 
    using  $\zeta\text{-pos}$  by(intro d-IN-add)
  also have d-IN  $(\lambda e. \text{if } e \in \text{set } E \text{ then } \zeta \text{ else } 0) x' = \int^+ y. \zeta * \text{indicator} (\text{set } E) (y, x')$  ∂count-space UNIV
    unfolding d-IN-def by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp)
  also have ... = ( $\int^+ e. \zeta * \text{indicator} (\text{set } E) e$  ∂embed-measure (count-space UNIV)  $(\lambda y. (y, x'))$ )
    by(simp add: measurable-embed-measure1 nn-integral-embed-measure)
    also have ... = ( $\int^+ e. \zeta * \text{indicator} (\text{set } [(y, x'') \leftarrow E. x'' = x']) e$  ∂count-space UNIV)
      by(auto simp add: embed-measure-count-space' nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
    also have ... =  $\zeta * \text{card} (\text{set } [(y, x'') \leftarrow E. x'' = x'])$ 
      using  $\zeta\text{-pos}$  by(auto simp add: nn-integral-cmult)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed

have j': flow  $\Psi$  j'
proof
  fix e :: 'v option edge
  consider (None) e = (None, Some y)
    | (Some) x y where e = (Some x, Some y)  $(x, y) \in \text{set } ?E$ 
    | (old) x y where e = (Some x, Some y)  $(x, y) \notin \text{set } ?E$ 
    | y' where e = (None, Some y')  $y \neq y'$ 
    | e = (None, None) | x where e = (Some x, None)
      by(cases e; case-tac a; case-tac b)(auto)
  then show j' e ≤ capacity  $\Psi$  e using uy  $\zeta\text{-pos}$  flowD-capacity[OF j, of e]
  proof(cases)
    case None
    have  $\zeta \leq u y - j$  (None, Some y) by(simp add:  $\zeta\text{-def}$ )
    then have  $\zeta + j$  (None, Some y) ≤ u y
      using  $\zeta\text{-pos}$  by (auto simp add: ennreal-le-minus-iff)
    thus ?thesis using reachable-V[OF reach] None  $\Psi.\text{flowD-outside}[OF j, of (Some y, None)]$  uy
  
```

```

    by(auto simp add: j'-def E-def)
next
  case (Some x' y')
  have e:  $\zeta \leq cap'(x', y')$  using Some(2) by(rule  $\zeta$ -le)
  then consider (backward) edge  $\Gamma x' y'$   $x' \neq a$  | (forward) edge  $\Gamma y' x'$   $\neg$ 
edge  $\Gamma x' y'$ 
  | (a') edge  $\Gamma x' y' x' = a$ 
  using Some  $\zeta$ -pos by(auto split: if-split-asm)
  then show ?thesis
  proof cases
    case backward
    have  $\zeta \leq f(x', y') + j(\text{Some } y', \text{Some } x') - j(\text{Some } x', \text{Some } y')$ 
    using e backward Some(1) by(simp add: g-simps)
    hence  $\zeta + j(\text{Some } x', \text{Some } y') - j(\text{Some } y', \text{Some } x') \leq (f(x', y') +$ 
 $j(\text{Some } y', \text{Some } x') - j(\text{Some } x', \text{Some } y')) + j(\text{Some } x', \text{Some } y') - j(\text{Some } y', \text{Some } x')$ 
    by(intro ennreal-minus-mono add-right-mono) simp-all
    also have ... =  $f(x', y')$ 
    using j-le-f[OF edge  $\Gamma x' y'$ ]
    by(simp-all add: add-increasing2 less-top diff-add-assoc2-ennreal)
    finally show ?thesis using Some backward
    by(auto simp add: j'-def E-def dest: in-set-tlD E-antiparallel)
  next
    case forward
    have  $\zeta + j(\text{Some } x', \text{Some } y') - j(\text{Some } y', \text{Some } x') \leq \zeta + j(\text{Some } x',$ 
 $\text{Some } y')$ 
    by(rule diff-le-self-ennreal)
    also have  $j(\text{Some } x', \text{Some } y') \leq d\text{-IN } j(\text{Some } y')$ 
    by(rule d-IN-ge-point)
    also have ...  $\leq weight \Gamma y'$  by(rule IN-j-le-weight)
    also have  $\zeta \leq 1$  using e forward by simp
    finally have  $\zeta + j(\text{Some } x', \text{Some } y') - j(\text{Some } y', \text{Some } x') \leq max$ 
 $(weight \Gamma x') (weight \Gamma y') + 1$ 
    by(simp add: add-left-mono add-right-mono max-def)(metis (no-types,
lifting) add.commute add-right-mono less-imp-le less-le-trans not-le)
    then show ?thesis using Some forward e
    by(auto simp add: j'-def E-def max-def dest: in-set-tlD E-antiparallel)
  next
    case a'
    with Some have  $a \in set (map fst (zip (y \# q) q))$  by(auto intro:
rev-image-eqI)
    also have map fst (zip (y \# q) q) = butlast (y \# q) by(induction q
arbitrary: y) auto
    finally have False using rtranc1-path-last[OF q q-Nil] distinct q-Nil
    by(cases q rule: rev-cases) auto
    then show ?thesis ..
qed
next
  case (old x' y')

```

```

hence  $j' e \leq j e$  using  $\zeta\text{-pos}$ 
  by(auto simp add:  $j'$ -def E-def intro!: diff-le-self-ennreal)
also have  $j e \leq \text{capacity } \Psi e$  using  $j$  by(rule flowD-capacity)
finally show ?thesis .
qed(auto simp add:  $j'$ -def E-def  $\Psi.\text{flowD-outside}[OF j] uy$ )
next
fix  $x'$ 
assume  $x': x' \neq \text{source } \Psi x' \neq \text{sink } \Psi$ 
then obtain  $x''$  where  $x'': x' = \text{Some } x''$  by auto
have  $d\text{-OUT } ?j' x' = \zeta * \text{card} (\text{set } [(x'', y) \leftarrow E. x'' = x']) + d\text{-OUT } j x'$ 
by(rule OUT-j')
also have  $\text{card} (\text{set } [(x'', y) \leftarrow E. x'' = x']) = \text{card} (\text{set } [(y, x'') \leftarrow E. x'' = x'])$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = length  $[(x'', y) \leftarrow E. x'' = x']$  using distinct
    by(subst distinct-card)(auto simp add: E-def filter-map distinct-map
      inj-map-prod' distinct-zipI1)
  also have ... = length  $[x''' \leftarrow \text{map fst } ?E. x''' = x']$ 
    by(simp add: E-def x'' split-beta cong: filter-cong)
  also have  $\text{map fst } ?E = \text{butlast } (y \# q)$  by(induction q arbitrary: y) simp-all
  also have  $[x''' \leftarrow \text{butlast } (y \# q). x''' = x'] = [x''' \leftarrow y \# q. x''' = x']$ 
    using q-Nil rtrancl-path-last[OF q q-Nil] x' x''
    by(cases q rule: rev-cases) simp-all
  also have  $q = \text{map snd } ?E$  by(induction q arbitrary: y) auto
  also have  $\text{length } [x''' \leftarrow y \# \dots x''' = x'] = \text{length } [x'' \leftarrow \text{map snd } E. x''$ 
 $= x']$  using  $x''$ 
    by(simp add: E-def cong: filter-cong)
  also have ... = length  $[(y, x'') \leftarrow E. x'' = x']$  by(simp cong: filter-cong
    add: split-beta)
  also have ... = ?rhs using distinct
    by(subst distinct-card)(auto simp add: E-def filter-map distinct-map
      inj-map-prod' distinct-zipI1)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
also have  $\zeta * \dots + d\text{-OUT } j x' = d\text{-IN } ?j' x'$ 
  unfolding flowD-KIR[OF j x'] by(rule IN-j'[symmetric])
also have  $d\text{-IN } ?j' x' \neq \top$ 
  using  $\Psi.\text{flowD-finite-IN}[OF j x'(2)] \text{ finite-}\zeta \text{ IN-}j'[\text{of } x']$  by (auto simp:
    top-add ennreal-mult-eq-top-iff)
ultimately show KIR  $j' x'$  unfolding  $j'$ -def by(rule KIR-cleanup)
qed
hence value-flow  $\Psi j' \leq \alpha$  unfolding  $\alpha\text{-def}$  by(auto intro: SUP-upper)
moreover have value-flow  $\Psi j' > \text{value-flow } \Psi j$ 
proof -
  have value-flow  $\Psi j + 0 < \text{value-flow } \Psi j + \zeta * 1$ 
    using  $\zeta\text{-pos value-}j$  finite-flow by simp
  also have  $[(x', y') \leftarrow E. x' = \text{None}] = [(\text{None}, \text{Some } y)]$ 
    using q-Nil by(cases q)(auto simp add: E-def filter-map cong: filter-cong
      split-beta)

```

```

hence  $\zeta * 1 \leq \zeta * \text{card}(\text{set}[(x', y') \leftarrow E. x' = \text{None}])$  using  $\zeta\text{-pos}$ 
by(intro mult-left-mono)(auto simp add: E-def real-of-nat-ge-one-iff neq-Nil-conv
card.insert-remove)
also have value-flow  $\Psi j + \dots = \text{value-flow } \Psi ?j'$ 
using OUT- $j'$  by(simp add: add.commute)
also have  $\dots = \text{value-flow } \Psi j'$  unfolding  $j'\text{-def}$ 
by(subst value-flow-clean-up)(auto simp add: E-def  $\Psi.\text{flowD-outside}[OF j]$ )
finally show ?thesis by(simp add: add-left-mono)
qed
ultimately show False using finite-flow  $\zeta\text{-pos value-}j$ 
by(cases value-flow  $\Psi j \zeta$  rule: ennreal2-cases) simp-all
qed

have sep-h:  $y \in \text{TER } h$  if reach: reachable  $y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$  and TER:  $y \in$ 
? $\text{TER } f$  for  $y$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
assume  $y': y \notin \text{TER } h$ 
from  $y a(1)$  disjoint have yna:  $y \neq a$  by auto

from reach obtain  $p'$  where path  $G y p' a$  unfolding reachable-alt-def ..
then obtain  $p'$  where  $p': \text{path } G y p' a$  and distinct: distinct  $(y \# p')$  by(rule
rtranc1-path-distinct)

have SINK:  $y \in \text{SINK } h$  using y disjoint
by(auto simp add: SINK.simps d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0
intro: currentD-outside[OF g] dest: bipartite-E)
have hg: d-IN  $h y = d\text{-IN } g y$  using reach by(simp add: IN-h)
also have  $\dots = d\text{-IN } f y + j (\text{None}, \text{Some } y)$  by(simp add: IN-g)
also have  $d\text{-IN } f y = \text{weight } \Gamma y - u y$  using currentD-weight-IN[OF f, of y]
 $y$  disjoint TER
by(auto elim!: SAT.cases)
also have  $d\text{-IN } h y < \text{weight } \Gamma y$  using  $y' \text{ currentD-weight-IN}[OF g, of y]$   $y$ 
disjoint SINK
by(auto intro: SAT.intros)
ultimately have le:  $j (\text{None}, \text{Some } y) < u y$ 
by(cases weight  $\Gamma y u y j (\text{None}, \text{Some } y)$  rule: ennreal3-cases; cases  $u y \leq$ 
weight  $\Gamma y$ )
( $\text{auto simp: ennreal-minus ennreal-plus[symmetric]}$  add-top ennreal-less-iff
ennreal-neg simp del: ennreal-plus)
moreover from reach have  $j (\text{None}, \langle y \rangle) = u y$  by(rule reachable-full)
ultimately show False by simp
qed

have w': wave  $\Gamma h$ 
proof
show sep: separating  $\Gamma$  (TER h)
proof(rule ccontr)
assume  $\neg$  ?thesis
then obtain x p y where x:  $x \in A \Gamma$  and y:  $y \in B \Gamma$  and p: path  $\Gamma x p y$ 
```

```

and  $x': x \notin \text{TER } h$  and  $\text{bypass}: \bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin \text{TER } h$ 
by(auto simp add: separating-gen.simps)
from  $p$  disjoint  $x$   $y$  have  $p\text{-eq}: p = [y]$  and  $\text{edge}: (x, y) \in \mathbf{E}$ 
by -(erule rtrancl-path.cases, auto dest: bipartite-E) +
from  $p\text{-eq}$  bypass have  $y': y \notin \text{TER } h$  by simp
have  $\text{reachable } x$  using  $x'$  by(rule contrapos-np)(simp add: SINK.simps
d-OUT-def SAT.A x)
hence  $\text{reach}: \text{reachable } y$  using  $\text{edge}$  by(rule reachable-AB)

have  $*: x \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}} (\text{?TER } f)$  using  $x'$ 
proof(rule contrapos-nn)
assume  $*: x \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}} (\text{?TER } f)$ 
have  $d\text{-OUT } h x \leq d\text{-OUT } g x$  using  $h\text{-le-}g$  by(rule d-OUT-mono)
also from  $*$  have  $x \neq a$  using  $a$  by auto
then have  $d\text{-OUT } j (\text{Some } x) = d\text{-IN } j (\text{Some } x)$  by(auto intro: flowD-KIR[OF
j])
hence  $d\text{-OUT } g x \leq d\text{-OUT } f x$  using  $OUT\text{-}g\text{-}A[\text{OF } x] \text{ IN-}j[\text{of Some } x]$ 
finite-flow
by(auto split: if-split-asm)
also have ... = 0 using  $*$  by(auto elim: SINK.cases)
finally have  $x \in \text{SINK } h$  by(simp add: SINK.simps)
with  $x$  show  $x \in \text{TER } h$  by(simp add: SAT.A)
qed
from  $p$   $p\text{-eq } x$   $y$  have  $\text{path } ?\Gamma x [y] y x \in A ?\Gamma y \in B ?\Gamma$  by simp-all
from  $*$  separatingD[OF separating-essential, OF waveD-separating, OF w this]
have  $y \in ?\text{TER } f$  by auto
with  $\text{reach } y$  have  $y \in \text{TER } h$  by(rule sep-h)
with  $y'$  show False by contradiction
qed
qed(rule h)

have  $OUT\text{-}g\text{-}a: d\text{-OUT } g a = d\text{-OUT } h a$  by(simp add: OUT-h)
have  $a \notin \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } h)$ 
proof
assume  $*: a \in \mathcal{E} (\text{TER } h)$ 

have  $j (\text{Some } a, \text{Some } y) = 0$  for  $y$ 
using flowD-capacity[OF j, of (Some a, Some y)] a(1) disjoint
by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bipartite-E)
then have  $d\text{-OUT } f a \leq d\text{-OUT } g a$  unfolding d-OUT-def
— This step requires that  $j$  does not decrease the outflow of  $a$ . That's why we
set the capacity of the outgoing edges from  $\langle a \rangle$  in  $\Psi$  to 0
by(intro nn-integral-mono)(auto simp add: g-simps currentD-outside[OF f]
intro: )
then have  $a \in \text{SINK } f$  using  $OUT\text{-}g\text{-}a *$  by(simp add: SINK.simps)
with  $a(1)$  have  $a \in ?\text{TER } f$  by(auto intro: SAT.A)
with  $a(2)$  have  $a': \neg \text{essential } \Gamma (B \Gamma) (?\text{TER } f) a$  by simp

from  $*$  obtain  $y$  where  $ay: \text{edge } \Gamma a y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$  and  $y': y \notin \text{TER } h$ 

```

```

using disjoint a(1)
  by(auto 4 4 simp add: essential-def elim: rtrancl-path.cases dest: bipartite-E)
  from not-essentialD[OF a' rtrancl-path.step, OF ay rtrancl-path.base y]
  have TER:  $y \in ?TER f$  by simp

  have reachable y using <reachable a> by(rule reachable-AB)(simp add: ay)
  hence  $y \in TER h$  using y TER by(rule sep-h)
  with  $y'$  show False by contradiction
qed
with < $a \in A \Gamma$ > have hindrance  $\Gamma h$ 
proof
  have  $d\text{-OUT } h a = d\text{-OUT } g a$  by(simp add: OUT-g-a)
  also have ...  $\leq d\text{-OUT } f a + \int^+ y. j$  (Some y, Some a) ∂count-space UNIV
    unfolding d-OUT-def d-IN-def
    by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto simp add: g-simps intro!: nn-integral-mono
      diff-le-self-ennreal)
  also have  $(\int^+ y. j$  (Some y, Some a) ∂count-space UNIV)  $= (\int^+ y. j$  (y,
  Some a) ∂embed-measure (count-space UNIV) Some)
    by(simp add: nn-integral-embed-measure measurable-embed-measure1)
  also have ...  $\leq d\text{-IN } j$  (Some a) unfolding d-IN-def
    by(auto simp add: embed-measure-count-space nn-integral-count-space-indicator
      intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
  also have ...  $\leq \alpha$  by(rule IN-j)
  also have ...  $\leq \varepsilon$  by(rule α-le)
  also have  $d\text{-OUT } f a + \dots < d\text{-OUT } f a + (\text{weight } \Gamma a - d\text{-OUT } f a)$  using
 $\varepsilon$ -less
    using currentD-finite-OUT[OF f] by (simp add: ennreal-add-left-cancel-less)
  also have ...  $= \text{weight } \Gamma a$ 
    using a-le by simp
  finally show  $d\text{-OUT } h a < \text{weight } \Gamma a$  by(simp add: add-left-mono)
qed
then show ?thesis using h w' by(blast intro: hindered.intros)
qed

end

corollary hindered-reduce-current: — Corollary 6.8
fixes  $\varepsilon$  g
defines  $\varepsilon \equiv \sum^+ x \in B \Gamma. d\text{-IN } g x - d\text{-OUT } g x$ 
assumes g: current  $\Gamma g$ 
and  $\varepsilon$ -finite:  $\varepsilon \neq \top$ 
and hindered: hindered-by ( $\Gamma \ominus g$ )  $\varepsilon$ 
shows hindered  $\Gamma$ 
proof -
  define  $\Gamma'$  where  $\Gamma' = \Gamma(\text{weight} := \lambda x. \text{if } x \in A \Gamma \text{ then weight } \Gamma x - d\text{-OUT } g x \text{ else weight } \Gamma x)$ 
  have Γ'-sel [simp]:
    edge  $\Gamma' = \text{edge } \Gamma$ 
     $A \Gamma' = A \Gamma$ 

```

```

 $B \Gamma' = B \Gamma$ 
 $\text{weight } \Gamma' x = (\text{if } x \in A \Gamma \text{ then } \text{weight } \Gamma x - d\text{-OUT } g x \text{ else } \text{weight } \Gamma x)$ 
 $\text{vertex } \Gamma' = \text{vertex } \Gamma$ 
 $\text{web.more } \Gamma' = \text{web.more } \Gamma$ 
for  $x$  by (simp-all add:  $\Gamma'$ -def)
have countable-bipartite-web  $\Gamma'$ 
  by unfold-locales(simp-all add: A-in B-out A-vertex disjoint bipartite-V no-loop
  weight-outside currentD-outside-OUT[OF g] currentD-weight-OUT[OF g] edge-antiparallel,
  rule bipartite-E)
  then interpret  $\Gamma'$ : countable-bipartite-web  $\Gamma'$ .
  let  $?u = \lambda x. (d\text{-IN } g x - d\text{-OUT } g x) * \text{indicator } (- A \Gamma) x$ 

have hindered  $\Gamma'$ 
proof(rule  $\Gamma'.\text{hindered-reduce}$ )
  show  $?u x = 0$  if  $x \notin B \Gamma'$  for  $x$  using that bipartite-V
    by(cases vertex  $\Gamma' x$ )(auto simp add: currentD-outside-OUT[OF g] cur-
    rentD-outside-IN[OF g])

  have  $*: (\sum^+ x \in B \Gamma'. ?u x) = \varepsilon$  using disjoint
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp add:  $\varepsilon$ -def nn-integral-count-space-indicator
    currentD-outside-OUT[OF g] currentD-outside-IN[OF g] not-vertex split: split-indicator)
  thus  $(\sum^+ x \in B \Gamma'. ?u x) \neq \top$  using  $\varepsilon$ -finite by simp

  have  $**: \Gamma'(\text{weight} := \text{weight } \Gamma' - ?u) = \Gamma \ominus g$ 
    using currentD-weight-IN[OF g] currentD-OUT-IN[OF g] currentD-IN[OF
    g] currentD-finite-OUT[OF g]
    by(intro web.equality)(simp-all add: fun-eq-iff diff-diff-ennreal' ennreal-diff-le-mono-left)
    show hindered-by  $(\Gamma'(\text{weight} := \text{weight } \Gamma' - ?u)) (\sum^+ x \in B \Gamma'. ?u x)$ 
      unfolding * ** by(fact hindered)
    show  $(\lambda x. (d\text{-IN } g x - d\text{-OUT } g x) * \text{indicator } (- A \Gamma) x) \leq \text{weight } \Gamma'$ 
      using currentD-weight-IN[OF g]
      by (simp add: le-fun-def ennreal-diff-le-mono-left)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by(rule hindered-mono-web[rotated -1]) simp-all
  qed

end

```

10.3 Reduced weight in a loose web

```

definition reduce-weight :: ('v, 'more) web-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'more)
  web-scheme
where reduce-weight  $\Gamma x r = \Gamma(\text{weight} := \lambda y. \text{weight } \Gamma y - (\text{if } x = y \text{ then } r \text{ else } 0))$ 

```

```

lemma reduce-weight-sel [simp]:
  edge (reduce-weight  $\Gamma x r) = \text{edge } \Gamma$ 
  A (reduce-weight  $\Gamma x r) = A \Gamma$ 

```

```

 $B(\text{reduce-weight } \Gamma x r) = B \Gamma$ 
 $\text{vertex}(\text{reduce-weight } \Gamma x r) = \text{vertex } \Gamma$ 
 $\text{weight}(\text{reduce-weight } \Gamma x r) y = (\text{if } x = y \text{ then } \text{weight } \Gamma x - r \text{ else } \text{weight } \Gamma y)$ 
 $\text{web.more}(\text{reduce-weight } \Gamma x r) = \text{web.more } \Gamma$ 
by(simp-all add: reduce-weight-def zero-ennreal-def[symmetric] vertex-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma essential-reduce-weight [simp]:  $\text{essential}(\text{reduce-weight } \Gamma x r) = \text{essential } \Gamma$ 
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff essential-def)

lemma roofed-reduce-weight [simp]:  $\text{roofed-gen}(\text{reduce-weight } \Gamma x r) = \text{roofed-gen } \Gamma$ 
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff roofed-def)

context countable-bipartite-web begin

context begin
private datatype (plugins del: transfer size) 'a vertex = SOURCE | SINK | Inner (inner: 'a)

private lemma notin-range-Inner:  $x \notin \text{range } \text{Inner} \longleftrightarrow x = \text{SOURCE} \vee x = \text{SINK}$ 
by(cases x) auto

private lemma inj-Inner [simp]:  $\bigwedge A. \text{inj-on } \text{Inner } A$ 
by(simp add: inj-on-def)

lemma unhinder-bipartite:
assumes  $h: \bigwedge n :: \text{nat}. \text{current } \Gamma(h n)$ 
and SAT:  $\bigwedge n. (B \Gamma \cap \mathbf{V}) - \{b\} \subseteq \text{SAT } \Gamma(h n)$ 
and b:  $b \in B \Gamma$ 
and IN:  $(\text{SUP } n. d\text{-IN}(h n) b) = \text{weight } \Gamma b$ 
and h0-b:  $\bigwedge n. d\text{-IN}(h 0) b \leq d\text{-IN}(h n) b$ 
and b-V:  $b \in \mathbf{V}$ 
shows  $\exists h'. \text{current } \Gamma h' \wedge \text{wave } \Gamma h' \wedge B \Gamma \cap \mathbf{V} \subseteq \text{SAT } \Gamma h'$ 
proof -
  write Inner (<<->>)
  define edge'
    where edge' xo yo =
      (case (xo, yo) of
        | (<x>, <y>) => edge  $\Gamma x y \vee \text{edge } \Gamma y x$ 
        | (<x>, SINK) =>  $x \in A \Gamma$ 
        | (SOURCE, <y>) =>  $y = b$ 
        | (SINK, <x>) =>  $x \in A \Gamma$ 
        | - => False) for xo yo
  have edge'-simp [simp]:
    edge' <x> <y>  $\longleftrightarrow$  edge  $\Gamma x y \vee \text{edge } \Gamma y x$ 
    edge' <x> SINK  $\longleftrightarrow$   $x \in A \Gamma$ 
    edge' SOURCE yo  $\longleftrightarrow$   $yo = \langle b \rangle$ 

```

```

edge' SINK  $\langle x \rangle \longleftrightarrow x \in A \Gamma$ 
edge' SINK SINK  $\longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
edge' xo SOURCE  $\longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
for  $x y yo xo$  by(simp-all add: edge'-def split: vertex.split)
have edge'E: thesis if edge' xo yo
 $\wedge x y. [\![ xo = \langle x \rangle; yo = \langle y \rangle; \text{edge } \Gamma x y \vee \text{edge } \Gamma y x ]\!] \implies \text{thesis}$ 
 $\wedge x. [\![ xo = \langle x \rangle; yo = \text{SINK}; x \in A \Gamma ]\!] \implies \text{thesis}$ 
 $\wedge x. [\![ xo = \text{SOURCE}; yo = \langle b \rangle ]\!] \implies \text{thesis}$ 
 $\wedge y. [\![ xo = \text{SINK}; yo = \langle y \rangle; y \in A \Gamma ]\!] \implies \text{thesis}$ 
for xo yo thesis using that by(auto simp add: edge'-def split: option.split-asm
vertex.split-asm)
have edge'-Inner1 [elim!]: thesis if edge'  $\langle x \rangle$  yo
 $\wedge y. [\![ yo = \langle y \rangle; \text{edge } \Gamma x y \vee \text{edge } \Gamma y x ]\!] \implies \text{thesis}$ 
 $\| yo = \text{SINK}; x \in A \Gamma ]\!] \implies \text{thesis}$ 
for x yo thesis using that by(auto elim: edge'E)
have edge'-Inner2 [elim!]: thesis if edge' xo  $\langle y \rangle$ 
 $\wedge x. [\![ xo = \langle x \rangle; \text{edge } \Gamma x y \vee \text{edge } \Gamma y x ]\!] \implies \text{thesis}$ 
 $\| xo = \text{SOURCE}; y = b ]\!] \implies \text{thesis}$ 
 $\| xo = \text{SINK}; y \in A \Gamma ]\!] \implies \text{thesis}$ 
for xo y thesis using that by(auto elim: edge'E)
have edge'-SINK1 [elim!]: thesis if edge' SINK yo
 $\wedge y. [\![ yo = \langle y \rangle; y \in A \Gamma ]\!] \implies \text{thesis}$ 
for yo thesis using that by(auto elim: edge'E)
have edge'-SINK2 [elim!]: thesis if edge' xo SINK
 $\wedge x. [\![ xo = \langle x \rangle; x \in A \Gamma ]\!] \implies \text{thesis}$ 
for xo thesis using that by(auto elim: edge'E)

```

```

define cap
where cap xoyo =
(case xoyo of
 $\langle \langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle \rangle \Rightarrow \text{if edge } \Gamma x y \text{ then } h 0 (x, y) \text{ else if edge } \Gamma y x \text{ then max (weight }$ 
 $\Gamma x) (\text{weight } \Gamma y) \text{ else } 0$ 
|  $\langle \langle x \rangle, \text{SINK} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{if } x \in A \Gamma \text{ then weight } \Gamma x - d\text{-OUT} (h 0) x \text{ else } 0$ 
|  $\langle \text{SOURCE}, yo \rangle \Rightarrow \text{if } yo = \langle b \rangle \text{ then weight } \Gamma b - d\text{-IN} (h 0) b \text{ else } 0$ 
|  $\langle \text{SINK}, \langle y \rangle \rangle \Rightarrow \text{if } y \in A \Gamma \text{ then weight } \Gamma y \text{ else } 0$ 
| -  $\Rightarrow 0$ ) for xoyo
have cap-simps [simp]:
cap ( $\langle \langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle \rangle$ ) = (if edge  $\Gamma x y$  then  $h 0 (x, y)$  else if edge  $\Gamma y x$  then max (weight
 $\Gamma x) (\text{weight } \Gamma y) \text{ else } 0$ )
cap ( $\langle \langle x \rangle, \text{SINK} \rangle$ ) = (if  $x \in A \Gamma$  then weight  $\Gamma x - d\text{-OUT} (h 0) x$  else 0)
cap ( $\langle \text{SOURCE}, yo \rangle$ ) = (if  $yo = \langle b \rangle$  then weight  $\Gamma b - d\text{-IN} (h 0) b$  else 0)
cap ( $\langle \text{SINK}, \langle y \rangle \rangle$ ) = (if  $y \in A \Gamma$  then weight  $\Gamma y$  else 0)
cap ( $\langle \text{SINK}, \text{SINK} \rangle$ ) = 0
cap ( $\langle xo, \text{SOURCE} \rangle$ ) = 0
for x y yo xo by(simp-all add: cap-def split: vertex.split)
define  $\Psi$  where  $\Psi = \{\text{edge} = \text{edge}', \text{capacity} = \text{cap}, \text{source} = \text{SOURCE}, \text{sink} = \text{SINK}\}$ 
have Psi-sel [simp]:
edge  $\Psi = \text{edge}'$ 

```

```

capacity  $\Psi = cap$ 
source  $\Psi = SOURCE$ 
sink  $\Psi = SINK$ 
by(simp-all add:  $\Psi$ -def)

have cap-outside1:  $\neg vertex \Gamma x \implies cap (\langle x \rangle, y) = 0$  for  $x y$  using A-vertex
  by(cases y)(auto simp add: vertex-def)
have capacity-A-weight:  $d\text{-OUT} cap \langle x \rangle \leq 2 * weight \Gamma x$  if  $x \in A \Gamma$  for  $x$ 
proof -
  have  $d\text{-OUT} cap \langle x \rangle \leq (\sum^+ y. h 0 (x, inner y) * indicator (range Inner) y$ 
  +  $weight \Gamma x * indicator \{SINK\} y)$ 
    using that disjoint unfolding d-OUT-def
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono diff-le-self-ennreal simp add: A-in-notin-range-Inner
split: split-indicator)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y \in range Inner. h 0 (x, inner y)) + weight \Gamma x$ 
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator nn-integral-add)
  also have  $(\sum^+ y \in range Inner. h 0 (x, inner y)) = d\text{-OUT} (h 0) x$ 
    by(simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ...  $\leq weight \Gamma x$  using  $h$  by(rule currentD-weight-OUT)
  finally show ?thesis unfolding one-add-one[symmetric] distrib-right by(simp
add: add-right-mono)
qed
have flow-attainability: flow-attainability  $\Psi$ 
proof
  have  $E_\Psi \subseteq (\lambda(x, y). (\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle))` E \cup (\lambda(x, y). (\langle y \rangle, \langle x \rangle))` E \cup (\lambda x. (\langle x \rangle,$ 
 $SINK))` A \Gamma \cup (\lambda x. (SINK, \langle x \rangle))` A \Gamma \cup \{(SOURCE, \langle b \rangle)\}$ 
    by(auto simp add: edge'-def split: vertex.split-asm)
  moreover have countable (A  $\Gamma$ ) using A-vertex by(rule countable-subset) simp
  ultimately show countable  $E_\Psi$  by(auto elim: countable-subset)
next
fix v
assume  $v \neq sink \Psi$ 
then consider (source)  $v = SOURCE | (A) x$  where  $v = \langle x \rangle x \in A \Gamma$ 
| (B)  $y$  where  $v = \langle y \rangle y \notin A \Gamma y \in V | (outside) x$  where  $v = \langle x \rangle x \notin V$ 
  by(cases v) auto
then show  $d\text{-IN} (capacity \Psi) v \neq \top \vee d\text{-OUT} (capacity \Psi) v \neq \top$ 
proof cases
  case source thus ?thesis by(simp add: d-IN-def)
next
  case (A x)
    thus ?thesis using capacity-A-weight[of x] by (auto simp: top-unique en-
nreal-mult-eq-top-iff)
  next
  case (B y)
    have  $d\text{-IN} (capacity \Psi) v \leq (\sum^+ x. h 0 (inner x, y) * indicator (range$ 
 $Inner) x + weight \Gamma b * indicator \{SOURCE\} x)$ 
      using B bipartite-V
      by(auto 4 4 intro!: nn-integral-mono simp add: diff-le-self-ennreal d-IN-def
notin-range-Inner nn-integral-count-space-indicator currentD-outside[OF h] split:

```

```

split-indicator dest: bipartite-E)
  also have ... = ( $\sum^+_{x \in \text{range } \text{Inner. } h} 0 (\text{inner } x, y)) + \text{weight } \Gamma b$ 
    by(simp add: nn-integral-add nn-integral-count-space-indicator)
  also have ( $\sum^+_{x \in \text{range } \text{Inner. } h} 0 (\text{inner } x, y)) = d\text{-IN} (h 0) y$ 
    by(simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have  $d\text{-IN} (h 0) y \leq \text{weight } \Gamma y$  using  $h$  by(rule currentD-weight-IN)
    finally show ?thesis by(auto simp add: top-unique add-right-mono split:
if-split-asm)
  next
    case outside
    hence  $d\text{-OUT} (\text{capacity } \Psi) v = 0$  using A-vertex
      by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff-AE AE-count-space cap-def
vertex-def split: vertex.split)
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
  next
    show capacity  $\Psi e \neq \top$  for  $e$ 
      by(auto simp add: cap-def max-def vertex-def currentD-finite[OF h] split:
vertex.split prod.split)
      show capacity  $\Psi e = 0$  if  $e \notin E_\Psi$  for  $e$  using that
        by(auto simp add: cap-def max-def split: prod.split; split vertex.split)+
      show  $\neg \text{edge } \Psi x (\text{source } \Psi)$  for  $x$  using  $b$  by(auto simp add: B-out)
      show  $\neg \text{edge } \Psi x x$  for  $x$  by(cases x)(simp-all add: no-loop)
      show source  $\Psi \neq \text{sink } \Psi$  by simp
    qed
    then interpret  $\Psi$ : flow-attainability  $\Psi$  .
    define  $\alpha$  where  $\alpha = (\text{SUP}_{f \in \{f. \text{flow } \Psi f\}}. \text{value-flow } \Psi f)$ 

  define  $f$ 
  where  $f n xoyo =$ 
  (case xoyo of
     $(\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle) \Rightarrow$  if edge  $\Gamma x y$  then  $h 0 (x, y) - h n (x, y)$  else if edge  $\Gamma y x$  then
 $h n (y, x) - h 0 (y, x)$  else 0
    | (SOURCE,  $\langle y \rangle) \Rightarrow$  if  $y = b$  then  $d\text{-IN} (h n) b - d\text{-IN} (h 0) b$  else 0
    | ( $\langle x \rangle$ , SINK)  $\Rightarrow$  if  $x \in A \Gamma$  then  $d\text{-OUT} (h n) x - d\text{-OUT} (h 0) x$  else 0
    | (SINK,  $\langle y \rangle) \Rightarrow$  if  $y \in A \Gamma$  then  $d\text{-OUT} (h 0) y - d\text{-OUT} (h n) y$  else 0
    |  $- \Rightarrow 0$ ) for  $n$  xoyo
  have f-cases: thesis if  $\bigwedge x y. e = (\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle) \implies \text{thesis } \bigwedge y. e = (\text{SOURCE}, \langle y \rangle)$ 
 $\implies \text{thesis}$ 
 $\bigwedge x. e = (\langle x \rangle, \text{SINK}) \implies \text{thesis } \bigwedge y. e = (\text{SINK}, \langle y \rangle) \implies \text{thesis } e = (\text{SINK}, \text{SINK}) \implies \text{thesis}$ 
 $\bigwedge xo. e = (xo, \text{SOURCE}) \implies \text{thesis } e = (\text{SOURCE}, \text{SINK}) \implies \text{thesis}$ 
  for  $e :: 'v \text{ vertex edge}$  and thesis
  using that by(cases e; cases fst e snd e rule: vertex.exhaust[case-product vertex.exhaust]) simp-all
  have f-simps [simp]:
     $f n (\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle) = (\text{if edge } \Gamma x y \text{ then } h 0 (x, y) - h n (x, y) \text{ else if edge } \Gamma y x \text{ then } h n (y, x) - h 0 (y, x) \text{ else 0})$ 
     $f n (\text{SOURCE}, \langle y \rangle) = (\text{if } y = b \text{ then } d\text{-IN} (h n) b - d\text{-IN} (h 0) b \text{ else 0})$ 

```

```

 $f n (\langle x \rangle, SINK) = (if x \in A \Gamma \text{ then } d\text{-OUT} (h n) x - d\text{-OUT} (h 0) x \text{ else } 0)$ 
 $f n (SINK, \langle y \rangle) = (if y \in A \Gamma \text{ then } d\text{-OUT} (h 0) y - d\text{-OUT} (h n) y \text{ else } 0)$ 
 $f n (SOURCE, SINK) = 0$ 
 $f n (SINK, SOURCE) = 0$ 
for  $n x y xo$  by(simp-all add: f-def split: vertex.split)
have OUT-f-SOURCE:  $d\text{-OUT} (f n) SOURCE = d\text{-IN} (h n) b - d\text{-IN} (h 0) b$ 
for  $n$ 
  proof(rule trans)
    show  $d\text{-OUT} (f n) SOURCE = (\sum^+ y. f n (SOURCE, y) * indicator \{\langle b \rangle\})$ 
   $y$ ) unfolding d-OUT-def
    apply(rule nn-integral-cong) subgoal for  $x$  by(cases x) auto done
    show ... =  $d\text{-IN} (h n) b - d\text{-IN} (h 0) b$  using h0-b[of n]
      by(auto simp add: max-def)
  qed

have OUT-f-outside:  $d\text{-OUT} (f n) \langle x \rangle = 0$  if  $x \notin V$  for  $x n$  using A-vertex that
  apply(clar simp simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0)
  subgoal for  $y$  by(cases y)(auto simp add: vertex-def)
  done
have IN-f-outside:  $d\text{-IN} (f n) \langle x \rangle = 0$  if  $x \notin V$  for  $x n$  using b-V that
  apply(clar simp simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0)
  subgoal for  $y$  by(cases y)(auto simp add: currentD-outside-OUT[OF h] vertex-def)
  done

have f: flow  $\Psi$  ( $f n$ ) for  $n$ 
  proof
    show f-le:  $f n e \leq capacity \Psi e$  for  $e$ 
    using currentD-weight-out[OF h] currentD-weight-IN[OF h] currentD-weight-OUT[OF h]
    by(cases e rule: f-cases)
      (auto dest: edge-antiparallel simp add: not-le le-max-iff-disj intro: ennreal-minus-mono ennreal-diff-le-mono-left)

    fix xo
    assume xo ≠ source  $\Psi$  xo ≠ sink  $\Psi$ 
    then consider (A)  $x$  where xo =  $\langle x \rangle$   $x \in A \Gamma$  | (B)  $x$  where xo =  $\langle x \rangle$   $x \in B \Gamma$   $x \in V$ 
      | (outside)  $x$  where xo =  $\langle x \rangle$   $x \notin V$  using bipartite-V by(cases xo) auto
    then show KIR ( $f n$ ) xo
    proof cases
      case outside
      thus ?thesis by(simp add: OUT-f-outside IN-f-outside)
    next
      case A

    have finite1:  $(\sum^+ y. h n (x, y) * indicator A y) \neq \top$  for  $A n$ 
    using currentD-finite-OUT[OF h, of n x, unfolded d-OUT-def]
  
```

```

by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono simp add: split: split-indicator)

let ?h0-ge-hn = {y. h 0 (x, y) ≥ h n (x, y)}
let ?h0-lt-hn = {y. h 0 (x, y) < h n (x, y)}

have d-OUT (f n) ⟨x⟩ = (Σ+ y. f n ((x), y) * indicator (range Inner) y +
f n ((x), y) * indicator {SINK} y)
unfolding d-OUT-def by(intro nn-integral-cong)(auto split: split-indicator
simp add: notin-range-Inner)
also have ... = (Σ+ y ∈ range Inner. f n ((x), y)) + f n ((x), SINK)
by(simp add: nn-integral-add nn-integral-count-space-indicator max.left-commute
max.commute)
also have (Σ+ y ∈ range Inner. f n ((x), y)) = (Σ+ y. h 0 (x, y) - h n (x,
y)) using A
apply(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex cong: nn-integral-cong-simp
outgoing-def)
apply(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator outgoing-def A-in
max.absorb1 currentD-outside[OF h] intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator
dest: edge-antiparallel)
done
also have ... = (Σ+ y. h 0 (x, y) * indicator ?h0-ge-hn y) - (Σ+ y. h n
(x, y) * indicator ?h0-ge-hn y)
apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
apply(simp-all add: AE-count-space finite1 split: split-indicator)
apply(rule nn-integral-cong; auto simp add: max-def not-le split: split-indicator)
by (metis diff-eq-0-ennreal le-less not-le top-greatest)
also have (Σ+ y. h n (x, y) * indicator ?h0-ge-hn y) = d-OUT (h n) x -
(Σ+ y. h n (x, y) * indicator ?h0-lt-hn y)
unfolding d-OUT-def
apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
apply(auto simp add: AE-count-space finite1 currentD-finite[OF h] split:
split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
done
also have (Σ+ y. h 0 (x, y) * indicator ?h0-ge-hn y) - ... + f n ((x),
SINK) =
(Σ+ y. h 0 (x, y) * indicator ?h0-ge-hn y) + (Σ+ y. h n (x, y) * indicator
?h0-lt-hn y) - min (d-OUT (h n) x) (d-OUT (h 0) x)
using finite1[of n {-}] A finite1[of n UNIV]
apply (subst diff-diff-ennreal')
apply (auto simp: d-OUT-def finite1 AE-count-space nn-integral-diff[symmetric]
top-unique nn-integral-add[symmetric]
split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono ennreal-diff-self)
apply (simp add: min-def not-le diff-eq-0-ennreal finite1 less-top[symmetric])
apply (subst diff-add-assoc2-ennreal)
apply (auto simp: add-diff-eq-ennreal intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
apply (subst diff-diff-commute-ennreal)
apply (simp add: ennreal-add-diff-cancel )
done
also have ... = (Σ+ y. h n (x, y) * indicator ?h0-lt-hn y) - (d-OUT (h 0))

```

```

 $x - (\sum^+ y. h 0 (x, y) * indicator ?h0-ge-hn y)) + f n (SINK, \langle x \rangle)$ 
  apply(rule sym)
  using finite1[of 0 \{-}] A finite1[of 0 UNIV]
  apply (subst diff-diff-ennreal')
  apply (auto simp: d-OUT-def finite1 AE-count-space nn-integral-diff[symmetric]
top-unique nn-integral-add[symmetric]
  split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono ennreal-diff-self)
  apply (simp add: min-def not-le diff-eq-0-ennreal finite1 less-top[symmetric])
  apply (subst diff-add-assoc2-ennreal)
  apply (auto simp: add-diff-eq-ennreal intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
  apply (subst diff-diff-commute-ennreal)
  apply (simp-all add: ennreal-add-diff-cancel ac-simps)
  done
  also have d-OUT (h 0) x - ( $\sum^+ y. h 0 (x, y) * indicator ?h0-ge-hn y$ ) =
 $(\sum^+ y. h 0 (x, y) * indicator ?h0-lt-hn y)$ 
  unfolding d-OUT-def
  apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  apply(auto simp add: AE-count-space finite1 currentD-finite[OF h] split:
split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  done
  also have ( $\sum^+ y. h n (x, y) * indicator ?h0-lt-hn y$ ) - ... = ( $\sum^+ y. h n$ 
(x, y) - h 0 (x, y))
  apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  apply(simp-all add: AE-count-space finite1 order.strict-implies-order split:
split-indicator)
  apply(rule nn-integral-cong; auto simp add: currentD-finite[OF h] top-unique
less-top[symmetric] not-less split: split-indicator intro!: diff-eq-0-ennreal)
  done
  also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in range Inner. f n (y, \langle x \rangle)$ ) using A
  apply(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex cong: nn-integral-cong-simp
outgoing-def)
  apply(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator outgoing-def A-in
max.commute currentD-outside[OF h] intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator
dest: edge-antiparallel)
  done
  also have ... + f n (SINK, \langle x \rangle) = ( $\sum^+ y. f n (y, \langle x \rangle) * indicator (range$ 
Inner) y + f n (y, \langle x \rangle) * indicator {SINK} y)
  by(simp add: nn-integral-add nn-integral-count-space-indicator)
  also have ... = d-IN (f n) \langle x \rangle
  using A b disjoint unfolding d-IN-def
by(intro nn-integral-cong)(auto split: split-indicator simp add: notin-range-Inner)
  finally show ?thesis using A by simp
next
  case (B x)

  have finite1: ( $\sum^+ y. h n (y, x) * indicator A y \neq \top$  for A n)
  using currentD-finite-IN[OF h, of n x, unfolded d-IN-def]
  by(rule neq-top-trans)(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)

```

```

have finite-h[simp]: h n (y, x) < ⊤ for y n
  using finite1[of n {y}] by (simp add: less-top)

let ?h0-gt-hn = {y. h 0 (y, x) > h n (y, x)}
let ?h0-le-hn = {y. h 0 (y, x) ≤ h n (y, x)}

have eq: d-IN (h 0) x + f n (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩) = d-IN (h n) x
proof(cases x = b)
  case True with currentD-finite-IN[OF h, of - b] show ?thesis
    by(simp add: add-diff-self-ennreal h0-b)
next
  case False
  with B SAT have x ∈ SAT Γ (h n) x ∈ SAT Γ (h 0) by auto
    with B disjoint have d-IN (h n) x = d-IN (h 0) x by(auto simp add:
currentD-SAT[OF h])
    thus ?thesis using False by(simp add: currentD-finite-IN[OF h])
qed

have d-IN (f n) ⟨x⟩ = (sum+ y. f n (y, ⟨x⟩)) * indicator (range Inner) y + f n
(y, ⟨x⟩) * indicator {SOURCE} y
  using B disjoint unfolding d-IN-def
  by(intro nn-integral-cong)(auto split: split-indicator simp add: notin-range-Inner)
  also have ... = (sum+ y∈range Inner. f n (y, ⟨x⟩)) + f n (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩)
using h0-b[of n]
  by(simp add: nn-integral-add nn-integral-count-space-indicator max-def)
  also have (sum+ y∈range Inner. f n (y, ⟨x⟩)) = (sum+ y. h 0 (y, x) − h n (y,
x))
  using B disjoint
  apply(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex cong: nn-integral-cong-simp
outgoing-def)
  apply(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator outgoing-def B-out
max.commute currentD-outside[OF h] intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator
dest: edge-antiparallel)
  done
  also have ... = (sum+ y. h 0 (y, x) * indicator ?h0-gt-hn y) − (sum+ y. h n
(y, x) * indicator ?h0-gt-hn y)
  apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  apply(simp-all add: AE-count-space finite1 order.strict-implies-order split:
split-indicator)
  apply(rule nn-integral-cong; auto simp add: currentD-finite[OF h] top-unique
less-top[symmetric] not-less split: split-indicator intro!: diff-eq-0-ennreal)
  done
  also have eq-h-0: (sum+ y. h 0 (y, x) * indicator ?h0-gt-hn y) = d-IN (h 0)
x − (sum+ y. h 0 (y, x) * indicator ?h0-le-hn y)
  unfolding d-IN-def
  apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  apply(auto simp add: AE-count-space finite1 currentD-finite[OF h] split:
split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  done

```

```

also have eq-h-n: ( $\sum^+ y. h n (y, x) * indicator ?h0-gt-hn y$ ) = d-IN (h n)
x - ( $\sum^+ y. h n (y, x) * indicator ?h0-le-hn y$ )
  unfolding d-IN-def
  apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  apply(auto simp add: AE-count-space finite1 currentD-finite[OF h] split:
split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  done
also have d-IN (h 0) x - ( $\sum^+ y. h 0 (y, x) * indicator ?h0-le-hn y$ ) = (d-IN
(h n) x - ( $\sum^+ y. h n (y, x) * indicator ?h0-le-hn y$ )) + f n (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩) =
( $\sum^+ y. h n (y, x) * indicator ?h0-le-hn y$ ) - ( $\sum^+ y. h 0 (y, x) * indicator ?h0-le-hn y$ )
  apply (subst diff-add-assoc2-ennreal)
  subgoal by (auto simp add: eq-h-0[symmetric] eq-h-n[symmetric] split:
split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono)
  apply (subst diff-add-assoc2-ennreal)
  subgoal by (auto simp: d-IN-def split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono)
  apply (subst diff-diff-commute-ennreal)
  apply (subst diff-diff-ennreal')
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: d-IN-def split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono) []
  subgoal
    unfolding eq-h-n[symmetric]
    by (rule add-increasing2)
    (auto simp add: d-IN-def split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono)
  apply (subst diff-add-assoc2-ennreal[symmetric])
  unfolding eq
  using currentD-finite-IN[OF h]
  apply simp-all
  done
also have ( $\sum^+ y. h n (y, x) * indicator ?h0-le-hn y$ ) - ( $\sum^+ y. h 0 (y, x)$ 
* indicator ?h0-le-hn y) = ( $\sum^+ y. h n (y, x) - h 0 (y, x)$ )
  apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  apply(simp-all add: AE-count-space max-def finite1 split: split-indicator)
  apply(rule nn-integral-cong; auto simp add: not-le split: split-indicator)
  by (metis diff-eq-0-ennreal le-less not-le top-greatest)
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in range Inner. f n (\langle x \rangle, y)$ ) using B disjoint
  apply(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex cong: nn-integral-cong-simp
outgoing-def)
  apply(auto simp add: B-out currentD-outside[OF h] max.commute intro!:
nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator dest: edge-antiparallel)
  done
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y. f n (\langle x \rangle, y) * indicator (range Inner) y$ )
  by(simp add: nn-integral-add nn-integral-count-space-indicator max.left-commute
max.commute)
also have ... = d-OUT (f n) ⟨x⟩ using B disjoint
  unfolding d-OUT-def by(intro nn-integral-cong)(auto split: split-indicator
simp add: notin-range-Inner)
  finally show ?thesis using B by(simp)
qed

```

qed

```
have weight Γ b - d-IN (h 0) b = (SUP n. value-flow Ψ (f n))
  using OUT-f-SOURCE currentD-finite-IN[OF h, of 0 b] IN
  by (simp add: SUP-diff-ennreal less-top)
also have (SUP n. value-flow Ψ (f n)) ≤ α unfolding α-def
  apply(rule SUP-least)
  apply(rule SUP-upper)
  apply(simp add: f)
  done
also have α ≤ weight Γ b - d-IN (h 0) b unfolding α-def
proof(rule SUP-least; clarsimp)
  fix f
  assume f: flow Ψ f
  have d-OUT f SOURCE = (∑+ y. f (SOURCE, y) * indicator {⟨b⟩} y)
unfolding d-OUT-def
  apply(rule nn-integral-cong)
  subgoal for x using flowD-capacity[OF f, of (SOURCE, x)]
    by(auto split: split-indicator)
    done
  also have ... = f (SOURCE, ⟨b⟩) by(simp add: max-def)
  also have ... ≤ weight Γ b - d-IN (h 0) b using flowD-capacity[OF f, of
(SOURCE, ⟨b⟩)] by simp
  finally show d-OUT f SOURCE ≤ ... .
qed
ultimately have α: α = weight Γ b - d-IN (h 0) b by(rule antisym[rotated])
hence α-finite: α ≠ ⊤ by simp

from Ψ.ex-max-flow
obtain g where g: flow Ψ g
  and value-g: value-flow Ψ g = α
  and IN-g: ∀x. d-IN g x ≤ value-flow Ψ g unfolding α-def by blast

have g-le-h0: g ((⟨x⟩, ⟨y⟩)) ≤ h 0 (x, y) if edge Γ x y for x y
  using flowD-capacity[OF g, of ((⟨x⟩, ⟨y⟩))] that by simp
note [simp] = Ψ.flowD-finite[OF g]

have g-SOURCE: g (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩) = (if x = b then α else 0) for x
proof(cases x = b)
  case True
  have g (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩) = (∑+ y. g (SOURCE, y) * indicator {⟨x⟩} y) by(simp
add: max-def)
  also have ... = value-flow Ψ g unfolding d-OUT-def using True
  by(intro nn-integral-cong)(auto split: split-indicator intro: Ψ.flowD-outside[OF
g])
  finally show ?thesis using value-g by(simp add: True)
qed(simp add: Ψ.flowD-outside[OF g])

let ?g = λ(x, y). g ((⟨y⟩, ⟨x⟩))
```

```

define  $h'$  where  $h' = h \ 0 \oplus ?g$ 
have  $h'$ -sims:  $h'(x, y) = (\text{if edge } \Gamma x y \text{ then } h 0(x, y) + g(\langle y \rangle, \langle x \rangle) - g(\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle)) \text{ else } 0)$  for  $x y$ 
by(simp add:  $h'$ -def)

have  $OUT-h'-B$  [simp]:  $d\text{-}OUT h' x = 0$  if  $x \in B$   $\Gamma$  for  $x$  using that unfolding
 $d\text{-}OUT$ -def
by(simp add: nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0)(simp add:  $h'$ -sims
B-out)
have  $IN-h'-A$  [simp]:  $d\text{-}IN h' x = 0$  if  $x \in A$   $\Gamma$  for  $x$  using that unfolding
 $d\text{-}IN$ -def
by(simp add: nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0)(simp add:  $h'$ -sims
A-in)
have  $h'$ -outside:  $h' e = 0$  if  $e \notin E$  for  $e$  unfolding  $h'$ -def using that by(rule
plus-flow-outside)
have  $OUT-h'$ -outside:  $d\text{-}OUT h' x = 0$  and  $IN-h'$ -outside:  $d\text{-}IN h' x = 0$  if  $x \notin$ 
V for  $x$  using that
by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def d-IN-def nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0
vertex-def intro:  $h'$ -outside)

have  $g\text{-}le\text{-}OUT$ :  $g(SINK, \langle x \rangle) \leq d\text{-}OUT g \langle x \rangle$  for  $x$ 
by (subst flowD-KIR[OF g]) (simp-all add: d-IN-ge-point)

have  $OUT-g\text{-}A$ :  $d\text{-}OUT ?g x = d\text{-}OUT g \langle x \rangle - g(SINK, \langle x \rangle)$  if  $x \in A$   $\Gamma$  for  $x$ 
proof -
  have  $d\text{-}OUT ?g x = (\sum^+ y \in range Inner. g(y, \langle x \rangle))$ 
  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-OUT-def)
  also have ... =  $d\text{-}IN g \langle x \rangle - (\sum^+ y. g(y, \langle x \rangle) * indicator \{SINK\} y)$ 
unfolding d-IN-def
  using that b disjoint flowD-capacity[OF g, of (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩)]
  by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  (auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator notin-range-Inner max-def
intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator if-split-asm)
  also have ... =  $d\text{-}OUT g \langle x \rangle - g(SINK, \langle x \rangle)$  by(simp add: flowD-KIR[OF g] max-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
have  $IN-g\text{-}A$ :  $d\text{-}IN ?g x = d\text{-}OUT g \langle x \rangle - g(\langle x \rangle, SINK)$  if  $x \in A$   $\Gamma$  for  $x$ 
proof -
  have  $d\text{-}IN ?g x = (\sum^+ y \in range Inner. g(\langle x \rangle, y))$ 
  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-IN-def)
  also have ... =  $d\text{-}OUT g \langle x \rangle - (\sum^+ y. g(\langle x \rangle, y) * indicator \{SINK\} y)$ 
unfolding d-OUT-def
  using that b disjoint flowD-capacity[OF g, of (⟨x⟩, SOURCE)]
  by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  (auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator notin-range-Inner max-def
intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator if-split-asm)
  also have ... =  $d\text{-}OUT g \langle x \rangle - g(\langle x \rangle, SINK)$  by(simp add: max-def)

```

```

    finally show ?thesis .
qed
have OUT-g-B: d-OUT ?g x = d-IN g ⟨x⟩ - g (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩) if x ∈ B Γ for x
proof -
  have d-OUT ?g x = (∑+ y∈range Inner. g (y, ⟨x⟩))
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-OUT-def)
  also have ... = d-IN g ⟨x⟩ - (∑+ y. g (y, ⟨x⟩)) * indicator {SOURCE} y
  unfolding d-IN-def
    using that b disjoint flowD-capacity[OF g, of (SINK, ⟨x⟩)]
    by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
      (auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator notin-range-Inner max-def
intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator if-split-asm)
  also have ... = d-IN g ⟨x⟩ - g (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩) by(simp add: max-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
have IN-g-B: d-IN ?g x = d-OUT g ⟨x⟩ if x ∈ B Γ for x
proof -
  have d-IN ?g x = (∑+ y∈range Inner. g ((⟨x⟩, y)))
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex d-IN-def)
  also have ... = d-OUT g ⟨x⟩ unfolding d-OUT-def using that disjoint
    by(auto 4 3 simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator notin-range-Inner
intro!: nn-integral-cong Ψ.flowD-outside[OF g] split: split-indicator)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

have finite-g-IN: d-IN ?g x ≠ ⊤ for x using α-finite
proof(rule neq-top-trans)
  have d-IN ?g x = (∑+ y∈range Inner. g ((⟨x⟩, y)))
    by(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ... ≤ d-OUT g ⟨x⟩ unfolding d-OUT-def
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono
split: split-indicator)
  also have ... = d-IN g ⟨x⟩ by(rule flowD-KIR[OF g]) simp-all
  also have ... ≤ α using IN-g value-g by simp
  finally show d-IN ?g x ≤ α .
qed

have OUT-h'-A: d-OUT h' x = d-OUT (h 0) x + g ((⟨x⟩, SINK) - g (SINK,
⟨x⟩)) if x ∈ A Γ for x
proof -
  have d-OUT h' x = d-OUT (h 0) x + (∑+ y. ?g (x, y) * indicator E (x, y))
- (∑+ y. ?g (y, x) * indicator E (x, y))
  unfolding h'-def
  apply(subst OUT-plus-flow[of Γ h 0 ?g, OF currentD-outside'[OF h]])
  apply(auto simp add: g-le-h0 finite-g-IN)
  done
  also have (∑+ y. ?g (x, y) * indicator E (x, y)) = d-OUT ?g x unfolding
d-OUT-def using that
  by(auto simp add: A-in split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong Ψ.flowD-outside[OF

```

```

g])
also have ... = d-OUT g ⟨x⟩ - g (SINK, ⟨x⟩) using that by(rule OUT-g-A)
  also have ( $\sum^+ y. ?g(y, x) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x)$ ) = d-IN ?g x using that
    unfolding d-IN-def
    by(auto simp add: A-in split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong Ψ.flowD-outside[OF
g])
  also have ... = d-OUT g ⟨x⟩ - g (⟨x⟩, SINK) using that by(rule IN-g-A)
  also have d-OUT (h 0) x + (d-OUT g ⟨x⟩ - g (SINK, ⟨x⟩)) - ... = d-OUT
(h 0) x + g (⟨x⟩, SINK) - g (SINK, ⟨x⟩)
    apply(simp add: g-le-OUT add-diff-eq-ennreal d-OUT-ge-point)
    apply(subst diff-diff-commute-ennreal)
    apply(simp add: add-increasing d-OUT-ge-point g-le-OUT diff-diff-ennreal')
    apply(subst add.assoc)
    apply(subst (2) add.commute)
    apply(subst add.assoc[symmetric])
    apply(subst ennreal-add-diff-cancel-right)
    apply(simp-all add: Ψ.flowD-finite-OUT[OF g])
    done
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

have finite-g-OUT: d-OUT ?g x ≠ ⊤ for x using α-finite
proof(rule neq-top-trans)
  have d-OUT ?g x = ( $\sum^+ y \in \text{range Inner. } g(y, \langle x \rangle)$ )
    by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ... ≤ d-IN g ⟨x⟩ unfolding d-IN-def
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-mono
split: split-indicator)
  also have ... ≤ α using IN-g value-g by simp
  finally show d-OUT ?g x ≤ α .
qed

have IN-h'-B: d-IN h' x = d-IN (h 0) x + g (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩) if x ∈ B Γ for x
proof -
  have g-le: g (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩) ≤ d-IN g ⟨x⟩
    by (rule d-IN-ge-point)

  have d-IN h' x = d-IN (h 0) x + ( $\sum^+ y. g(\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x)$ ) -
( $\sum^+ y. g(\langle y \rangle, \langle x \rangle) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x)$ )
    unfolding h'-def
    by(subst IN-plus-flow[of Γ h 0 ?g, OF currentD-outside'[OF h]])
      (auto simp add: g-le-h0 finite-g-OUT)
  also have ( $\sum^+ y. g(\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x)$ ) = d-IN ?g x unfolding
d-IN-def using that
    by(intro nn-integral-cong)(auto split: split-indicator intro!: Ψ.flowD-outside[OF
g] simp add: B-out)
  also have ... = d-OUT g ⟨x⟩ using that by(rule IN-g-B)
  also have ( $\sum^+ y. g(\langle y \rangle, \langle x \rangle) * \text{indicator } \mathbf{E}(y, x)$ ) = d-OUT ?g x unfolding
d-OUT-def using that

```

```

by(intro nn-integral-cong)(auto split: split-indicator intro!:  $\Psi$ .flowD-outside[OF g] simp add: B-out)
  also have ... = d-IN g ⟨x⟩ - g (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩) using that by(rule OUT-g-B)
  also have d-IN (h 0) x + d-OUT g ⟨x⟩ - ... = d-IN (h 0) x + g (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩)
    using  $\Psi$ .flowD-finite-IN[OF g] g-le
    by(cases d-IN (h 0) x; cases d-IN g ⟨x⟩; cases d-IN g ⟨x⟩; cases g (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩))
      (auto simp: flowD-KIR[OF g] top-add ennreal-minus-if ennreal-plus-if simp del: ennreal-plus)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed

have h': current  $\Gamma$  h'
proof
  fix x
  consider (A)  $x \in A \Gamma$  | (B)  $x \in B \Gamma$  | (outside)  $x \notin \mathbf{V}$  using bipartite-V by auto
  note cases = this

  show d-OUT h' x  $\leq$  weight  $\Gamma$  x
  proof(cases rule: cases)
    case A
    then have d-OUT h' x = d-OUT (h 0) x + g (⟨x⟩, SINK) - g (SINK, ⟨x⟩)
    by(simp add: OUT-h'-A)
      also have ...  $\leq$  d-OUT (h 0) x + g (⟨x⟩, SINK) by(rule diff-le-self-ennreal)
      also have g (⟨x⟩, SINK)  $\leq$  weight  $\Gamma$  x - d-OUT (h 0) x
        using flowD-capacity[OF g, of (⟨x⟩, SINK)] A by simp
      also have d-OUT (h 0) x + ... = weight  $\Gamma$  x
      by(simp add: add-diff-eq-ennreal add-diff-inverse-ennreal currentD-finite-OUT[OF h] currentD-weight-OUT[OF h])
      finally show ?thesis by(simp add: add-left-mono)
    qed(simp-all add: OUT-h'-outside)

  show d-IN h' x  $\leq$  weight  $\Gamma$  x
  proof(cases rule: cases)
    case B
    hence d-IN h' x = d-IN (h 0) x + g (SOURCE, ⟨x⟩) by(rule IN-h'-B)
    also have ...  $\leq$  weight  $\Gamma$  x
      by(simp add: g-SOURCE  $\alpha$  currentD-weight-IN[OF h] add-diff-eq-ennreal add-diff-inverse-ennreal currentD-finite-IN[OF h])
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed(simp-all add: IN-h'-outside)
  next
    show h' e = 0 if e  $\notin$  E for e using that by(simp split: prod.split-asm add: h'-simps)
    qed
  moreover
    have SAT-h': B  $\Gamma$   $\cap$   $\mathbf{V} \subseteq$  SAT  $\Gamma$  h'
```

```

proof
  show  $x \in SAT \Gamma h'$  if  $x \in B \Gamma \cap \mathbf{V}$  for  $x$  using that
  proof(cases  $x = b$ )
    case True
      have  $d\text{-IN } h' x = weight \Gamma x$  using that True
      by(simp add: IN-h'-B g-SOURCE α currentD-weight-IN[OF h] add-diff-eq-ennreal
      add-diff-inverse-ennreal currentD-finite-IN[OF h])
      thus ?thesis by(simp add: SAT.simps)
    next
      case False
        have  $d\text{-IN } h' x = d\text{-IN } (h \ 0) x$  using that False by(simp add: IN-h'-B
        g-SOURCE)
        also have  $\dots = weight \Gamma x$ 
        using SAT[of 0, THEN subsetD, of x] False that currentD-SAT[OF h, of x
        0] disjoint by auto
        finally show ?thesis by(simp add: SAT.simps)
      qed
      qed
      moreover
      have wave  $\Gamma h'$ 
      proof
        have separating  $\Gamma (B \Gamma \cap \mathbf{V})$ 
      proof
        fix  $x y p$ 
        assume  $x: x \in A \Gamma$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$  and  $p: path \Gamma x p y$ 
        hence Nil:  $p \neq []$  using disjoint by(auto simp add: rtrancl-path-simps)
        from rtrancl-path-last[OF p Nil] last-in-set[OF Nil]  $y$  rtrancl-path-Range[OF
        p, of y]
        show  $(\exists z \in set p. z \in B \Gamma \cap \mathbf{V}) \vee x \in B \Gamma \cap \mathbf{V}$  by(auto intro: vertexI2)
        qed
        moreover have TER:  $B \Gamma \cap \mathbf{V} \subseteq TER h'$  using SAT-h' by(auto simp add:
SINK)
        ultimately show separating  $\Gamma (TER h')$  by(rule separating-weakening)
        qed(rule h')
        ultimately show ?thesis by blast
      qed
    end

lemma countable-bipartite-web-reduce-weight:
  assumes weight  $\Gamma x \geq w$ 
  shows countable-bipartite-web (reduce-weight  $\Gamma x w$ )
  using bipartite-V A-vertex bipartite-E disjoint assms
  by unfold-locales (auto 4 3 simp add: weight-outside)

lemma unhinder: — Lemma 6.9
  assumes loose: loose  $\Gamma$ 
  and  $b: b \in B \Gamma$ 
  and wb: weight  $\Gamma b > 0$ 

```

```

and  $\delta : \delta > 0$ 
shows  $\exists \varepsilon > 0. \varepsilon < \delta \wedge \neg \text{hindered}(\text{reduce-weight } \Gamma b \varepsilon)$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg ?\text{thesis}$ 
  hence hindered: hindered ( $\text{reduce-weight } \Gamma b \varepsilon$ ) if  $\varepsilon > 0$   $\varepsilon < \delta$  for  $\varepsilon$  using that
  by simp

from  $b$  disjoint have  $\text{bnA}: b \notin A \Gamma$  by blast

define  $wb$  where  $wb = \text{enn2real}(\text{weight } \Gamma b)$ 
have  $wb\text{-conv}: \text{weight } \Gamma b = \text{ennreal } wb$  by(simp add:  $wb\text{-def less-top[symmetric]}$ )
have  $wb\text{-pos}: wb > 0$  using  $wb$  by(simp add:  $wb\text{-conv}$ )

define  $\varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon n = \min \delta wb / (n + 2)$  for  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
have  $\varepsilon\text{-pos}: \varepsilon n > 0$  for  $n$  using  $wb\text{-pos } \delta$  by(simp add:  $\varepsilon\text{-def}$ )
have  $\varepsilon\text{-nonneg}: 0 \leq \varepsilon n$  for  $n$  using  $\varepsilon\text{-pos}[of n]$  by simp
have  $*: \varepsilon n \leq \min wb \delta / 2$  for  $n$  using  $wb\text{-pos } \delta$ 
  by(auto simp add:  $\varepsilon\text{-def field-simps min-def}$ )
have  $\varepsilon\text{-le}: \varepsilon n \leq wb$  and  $\varepsilon\text{-less}: \varepsilon n < wb$  and  $\varepsilon\text{-less-}\delta: \varepsilon n < \delta$  and  $\varepsilon\text{-le': } \varepsilon n \leq wb / 2$  for  $n$ 
  using  $*[of n] \varepsilon\text{-pos}[of n]$  by(auto)

define  $\Gamma'$  where  $\Gamma' n = \text{reduce-weight } \Gamma b (\varepsilon n)$  for  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
have  $\Gamma'\text{-sel}$  [simp]:
   $\text{edge } (\Gamma' n) = \text{edge } \Gamma$ 
   $A (\Gamma' n) = A \Gamma$ 
   $B (\Gamma' n) = B \Gamma$ 
   $\text{weight } (\Gamma' n) x = \text{weight } \Gamma x - (\text{if } x = b \text{ then } \varepsilon n \text{ else } 0)$ 
   $\text{essential } (\Gamma' n) = \text{essential } \Gamma$ 
   $\text{roofed-gen } (\Gamma' n) = \text{roofed-gen } \Gamma$ 
  for  $n x$  by(simp-all add:  $\Gamma'\text{-def}$ )

have  $\text{vertex-}\Gamma' [simp]: \text{vertex } (\Gamma' n) = \text{vertex } \Gamma$  for  $n$ 
  by(simp add:  $\text{vertex-def fun-eq-iff}$ )

from  $wb$  have  $b \in V$  using  $\text{weight-outside}[of b]$  by(auto intro: ccontr)
interpret  $\Gamma'$ : countable-bipartite-web  $\Gamma' n$  for  $n$  unfolding  $\Gamma'\text{-def}$ 
  using  $wb\text{-pos}$  by(intro countable-bipartite-web-reduce-weight)(simp-all add:  $wb\text{-conv}$ 
 $\varepsilon\text{-le } \varepsilon\text{-nonneg}$ )

obtain  $g$  where  $g: \bigwedge n. \text{current } (\Gamma' n) (g n)$ 
  and  $w: \bigwedge n. \text{wave } (\Gamma' n) (g n)$ 
  and  $hind: \bigwedge n. \text{hindrance } (\Gamma' n) (g n)$  using hindered[OF  $\varepsilon\text{-pos}$ , unfolded
 $wb\text{-conv ennreal-less-iff}$ , OF  $\varepsilon\text{-less-}\delta$ ]
  unfolding hindered.simps  $\Gamma'\text{-def}$  by atomize-elim metis
from  $g$  have  $g\Gamma: \text{current } \Gamma (g n)$  for  $n$ 
  by(rule current-weight-mono)(auto simp add:  $\varepsilon\text{-nonneg diff-le-self-ennreal}$ )
note [simp] = currentD-finite[OF  $g\Gamma$ ]

```

```

have b-TER:  $b \in TER_{\Gamma' n} (g n)$  for  $n$ 
 $\text{proof (rule ccontr)}$ 
  assume  $b': b \notin TER_{\Gamma' n} (g n)$ 
  then have TER:  $TER_{\Gamma' n} (g n) = TER (g n)$  using  $b \varepsilon\text{-nonneg}[of n]$ 
    by(auto simp add: SAT.simps split: if-split-asm intro: ennreal-diff-le-mono-left)
    from w[of n] TER have wave  $\Gamma (g n)$  by(simp add: wave.simps separating-gen.simps)
    moreover have hindrance  $\Gamma (g n)$  using hindrance[of n] TER bnA b'
      by(auto simp add: hindrance.simps split: if-split-asm)
    ultimately show False using loose-unhindered[OF loose] gΓ[of n] by(auto intro: hindered.intros)
  qed

have IN-g-b: d-IN (g n)  $b = weight \Gamma b - \varepsilon n$  for  $n$  using b-TER[of n] bnA
  by(auto simp add: currentD-SAT[OF g])

define factor where  $factor n = (wb - \varepsilon 0) / (wb - \varepsilon n)$  for  $n$ 
have factor-le-1:  $factor n \leq 1$  for  $n$  using wb-pos δ ε-less[of n]
  by(auto simp add: factor-def field-simps ε-def min-def)
have factor-pos:  $0 < factor n$  for  $n$  using wb-pos δ * ε-less by(simp add: factor-def field-simps)
have factor:  $(wb - \varepsilon n) * factor n = wb - \varepsilon 0$  for  $n$  using ε-less[of n]
  by(simp add: factor-def field-simps)

define g' where  $g' = (\lambda n (x, y). if y = b then g n (x, y) * factor n else g n (x, y))$ 
have g'-simps:  $g' n (x, y) = (if y = b then g n (x, y) * factor n else g n (x, y))$ 
  for  $n x y$  by(simp add: g'-def)
have g'-le-g:  $g' n e \leq g n e$  for  $n e$  using factor-le-1[of n]
  by(cases e g n e rule: prod.exhaust[case-product ennreal-cases])
  (auto simp add: g'-simps field-simps mult-left-le)

have 4 + (n * 6 + n * (n * 2)) ≠ (0 :: real) for  $n :: nat$ 
  by(metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) add-is-0 of-nat-eq-0-iff of-nat-numeral zero-neq-numeral)
then have IN-g': d-IN (g' n)  $x = (if x = b then weight \Gamma b - \varepsilon 0 else d-IN (g n) x)$  for  $x n$ 
  using b-TER[of n] bnA factor-pos[of n] factor[of n] wb-pos δ
    by(auto simp add: d-IN-def g'-simps nn-integral-divide nn-integral-cmult currentD-SAT[OF g] wb-conv ε-def field-simps
      ennreal-minus ennreal-mult'[symmetric] intro!: arg-cong[where f=ennreal])
  have OUT-g': d-OUT (g' n)  $x = d-OUT (g n) x - g n (x, b) * (1 - factor n)$ 
    for  $n x$ 
    proof -
      have d-OUT (g' n)  $x = (\sum^+ y. g n (x, y)) - (\sum^+ y. (g n (x, y) * (1 - factor n)) * indicator \{b\} y)$ 
        using factor-le-1[of n] factor-pos[of n]
        apply(cases g n (x, b))
    qed

```

```

apply(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
apply(auto simp add: g'-simps nn-integral-divide d-OUT-def AE-count-space
mult-left-le ennreal-mult-eq-top-iff
ennreal-mult'[symmetric] ennreal-minus-if
intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
apply(simp-all add: field-simps)
done
also have ... = d-OUT (g n) x - g n (x, b) * (1 - factor n) using factor-le-1[of
n]
by(subst nn-integral-indicator-singleton)(simp-all add: d-OUT-def field-simps)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

have g': current (Γ' 0) (g' n) for n
proof
show d-OUT (g' n) x ≤ weight (Γ' 0) x for x
using b-TER[of n] currentD-weight-OUT[OF g, of n x] ε-le[of 0] factor-le-1[of
n]
by(auto simp add: OUT-g' SINK.simps ennreal-diff-le-mono-left)
show d-IN (g' n) x ≤ weight (Γ' 0) x for x
using d-IN-mono[of g' n x, OF g'-le-g] currentD-weight-IN[OF g, of n x]
b-TER[of n] b
by(auto simp add: IN-g' SAT.simps wb-conv ε-def)
show g' n e = 0 if e ∉ EΓ' 0 for e using that by(cases e)(clarsimp simp add:
g'-simps currentD-outside[OF g])
qed

have SINK-g': SINK (g n) = SINK (g' n) for n using factor-pos[of n]
by(auto simp add: SINK.simps currentD-OUT-eq-0[OF g] currentD-OUT-eq-0[OF
g'] g'-simps split: if-split-asm)
have SAT-g': SAT (Γ' n) (g n) = SAT (Γ' 0) (g' n) for n using b-TER[of n]
ε-le'[of 0]
by(auto simp add: SAT.simps wb-conv IN-g' IN-g-b)
have TER-g': TERΓ' n (g n) = TERΓ' 0 (g' n) for n
using b-TER[of n] by(auto simp add: SAT.simps SINK-g' OUT-g' IN-g'
wb-conv ε-def)

have w': wave (Γ' 0) (g' n) for n
proof
have separating (Γ' 0) (TERΓ' n (g n)) using waveD-separating[OF w, of n]
by(simp add: separating-gen.simps)
then show separating (Γ' 0) (TERΓ' 0 (g' n)) unfolding TER-g' .
qed(rule g')

define f where f = rec-nat (g 0) (λn rec. rec ∘Γ' 0 g' (n + 1))
have f-simps [simp]:
f 0 = g 0
f (Suc n) = f n ∘Γ' 0 g' (n + 1)
for n by(simp-all add: f-def)

```

```

have f: current ( $\Gamma' \ 0$ ) ( $f\ n$ ) and fw: wave ( $\Gamma' \ 0$ ) ( $f\ n$ ) for n
proof(induction n)
  case (Suc n)
    { case 1 show ?case unfolding f-simps using Suc.IH g' by(rule current-plus-web)
    }
    { case 2 show ?case unfolding f-simps using Suc.IH g' w' by(rule wave-plus')
    }
qed(simp-all add: g w)

have f-inc:  $n \leq m \implies f\ n \leq f\ m$  for n m
proof(induction m rule: dec-induct)
  case (step k)
  note step.IH
  also have f k  $\leq (f\ k \frown_{\Gamma'} g'\ (k + 1))$ 
    by(rule le-funI plus-web-greater)+
  also have ... = f (Suc k) by simp
  finally show ?case .
qed simp

have chain-f: Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\leq$ ) (range f)
  by(rule chain-imageI[where le-a=( $\leq$ )](simp-all add: f-inc))
have countable (support-flow (f n)) for n using current-support-flow[OF f, of n]
  by(rule countable-subset) simp
hence supp-f: countable (support-flow (SUP n. f n)) by(subst support-flow-Sup)simp

have RF-f: RF (TER $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (f n)) = RF ( $\bigcup_{i \leq n} TER_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (g' i)) for n
proof(induction n)
  case 0 show ?case by(simp add: TER-g')
next
  case (Suc n)
  have RF (TER $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (f (Suc n))) = RF $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (TER $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (f n  $\frown_{\Gamma'} g'\ (n + 1)$ ))
by simp
  also have ... = RF $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (TER $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (f n)  $\cup$  TER $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (g' (n + 1))) using f fw
    g' w'
    by(rule RF-TER-plus-web)
  also have ... = RF $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (RF $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (TER $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (f n))  $\cup$  TER $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (g' (n + 1)))
    by(simp add: roofed-idem-Un1)
  also have RF $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (TER $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (f n)) = RF $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  ( $\bigcup_{i \leq n} TER_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (g' i)) by(simp add: Suc.IH)
  also have RF $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (...  $\cup$  TER $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (g' (n + 1))) = RF $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (( $\bigcup_{i \leq n} TER_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (g' i))  $\cup$  TER $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (g' (n + 1)))
    by(simp add: roofed-idem-Un1)
  also have ( $\bigcup_{i \leq n} TER_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (g' i))  $\cup$  TER $_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (g' (n + 1)) = ( $\bigcup_{i \leq Suc\ n} TER_{\Gamma'} \ 0$  (g' i))
    unfolding atMost-Suc UN-insert by(simp add: Un-commute)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed

define gw where gw = (SUP n. f n)

```

```

have  $g\omega$ : current  $(\Gamma' 0)$   $g\omega$  unfolding  $g\omega$ -def using chain-f
  by(rule current-Sup)(auto simp add: f supp-f)
have  $ww$ : wave  $(\Gamma' 0)$   $g\omega$  unfolding  $g\omega$ -def using chain-f
  by(rule wave-lub)(auto simp add: fw supp-f)
from  $g\omega$  have  $g\omega'$ : current  $(\Gamma' n)$   $g\omega$  for n using wb-pos  $\delta$ 
  by(elim current-weight-mono)(auto simp add: ε-le wb-conv ε-def field-simps
ennreal-minus-if min-le-iff-disj)

have  $SINK-g\omega$ :  $SINK g\omega = (\bigcap n. SINK (f n))$  unfolding  $g\omega$ -def
  by(subst SINK-Sup[OF chain-f])(simp-all add: supp-f)
have  $SAT-g\omega$ :  $SAT (\Gamma' 0) (f n) \subseteq SAT (\Gamma' 0) g\omega$  for n
  unfolding  $g\omega$ -def by(rule SAT-Sup-upper) simp

have  $g\text{-}b\text{-}out$ :  $g n (b, x) = 0$  for n x using b-TER[of n] by(simp add: SINK.simps
currentD-OUT-eq-0[OF g])
have  $g'\text{-}b\text{-}out$ :  $g' n (b, x) = 0$  for n x by(simp add: g'-simps g-b-out)
have  $f n (b, x) = 0$  for n x by(induction n)(simp-all add: g-b-out g'-b-out)
hence  $b\text{-}SINK\text{-}f$ :  $b \in SINK (f n)$  for n by(simp add: SINK.simps d-OUT-def)
hence  $b\text{-}SINK\text{-}g\omega$ :  $b \in SINK g\omega$  by(simp add: SINK-gω)

have  $RF\text{-}circ$ :  $RF^\circ_{\Gamma' n} (TER_{\Gamma' 0} (g' n)) = RF^\circ_{\Gamma' 0} (TER_{\Gamma' 0} (g' n))$  for n
by(simp add: roofed-circ-def)
have edge-restrict- $\Gamma'$ :  $edge (quotient-web (\Gamma' 0) (g' n)) = edge (quotient-web (\Gamma'
n) (g n))$  for n
  by(simp add: fun-eq-iff TER-g' RF-circ)
have restrict-curr-g':  $f \upharpoonright \Gamma' 0 / g' n = f \upharpoonright \Gamma' n / g n$  for n f
  by(simp add: restrict-current-def RF-circ TER-g')

have  $RF\text{-}restrict$ : roofed-gen  $(quotient-web (\Gamma' n) (g n)) = roofed-gen (quotient-web
(\Gamma' 0) (g' n))$  for n
  by(simp add: roofed-def fun-eq-iff edge-restrict- $\Gamma'$ )

have  $g\omega r'$ : current  $(quotient-web (\Gamma' 0) (g' n)) (g\omega \upharpoonright \Gamma' 0 / g' n)$  for n using
 $w' g\omega$ 
  by(rule current-restrict-current)
have  $g\omega r$ : current  $(quotient-web (\Gamma' n) (g n)) (g\omega \upharpoonright \Gamma' n / g n)$  for n using w
 $g\omega'$ 
  by(rule current-restrict-current)
have  $wwr$ : wave  $(quotient-web (\Gamma' n) (g n)) (g\omega \upharpoonright \Gamma' n / g n)$  (is wave ? $\Gamma'$  ? $g\omega$ )
for n
proof
  have *: wave  $(quotient-web (\Gamma' 0) (g' n)) (g\omega \upharpoonright \Gamma' 0 / g' n)$ 
    using g' w' gω ww by(rule wave-restrict-current)
  have d-IN  $(g\omega \upharpoonright \Gamma' n / g n) b = 0$ 
    by(rule d-IN-restrict-current-outside roofed-greaterI b-TER)+
  hence SAT-subset:  $SAT (quotient-web (\Gamma' 0) (g' n)) (g\omega \upharpoonright \Gamma' n / g n) \subseteq SAT
? \Gamma' (g\omega \upharpoonright \Gamma' n / g n)$ 
    using b-TER[of n] wb-pos
    by(auto simp add: SAT.simps TER-g' RF-circ wb-conv ε-def field-simps)

```

```

ennreal-minus-if split: if-split-asm)
hence TER-subset: TERquotient-web(Γ' 0) (g' n) (gω ∣ Γ' n / g n) ⊆ TER?Γ'
(gω ∣ Γ' n / g n)
using SINK-g' by(auto simp add: restrict-curr-g')

show separating ?Γ' (TER?Γ' ?gω) (is separating - ?TER)
proof
fix x y p
assume xy: x ∈ A ?Γ' y ∈ B ?Γ' and p: path ?Γ' x p y
from p have p': path (quotient-web (Γ' 0) (g' n)) x p y by(simp add:
edge-restrict-Γ')
with waveD-separating[OF *, THEN separatingD, simplified, OF p'] TER-g'[of
n] SINK-g' SAT-g' restrict-curr-g' SAT-subset xy
show (∃ z∈set p. z ∈ ?TER) ∨ x ∈ ?TER by auto
qed

show d-OUT (gω ∣ Γ' n / g n) x = 0 if x ∉ RF?Γ' ?TER for x
unfolding restrict-curr-g'[symmetric] using TER-subset that
by(intro waveD-OUT[OF *])(auto simp add: TER-g' restrict-curr-g' RF-restrict
intro: in-roofed-mono)
qed

have RF-gω: RF (TERΓ' 0 gω) = RF (∪ n. TERΓ' 0 (g' n))
proof -
have RFΓ' 0 (TERΓ' 0 gω) = RF (∪ i. TERΓ' 0 (f i))
unfolding gω-def by(subst RF-TER-Sup[OF - - chain-f])(auto simp add: f
fw supp-f)
also have ... = RF (∪ i. RF (TERΓ' 0 (f i))) by(simp add: roofed-UN)
also have ... = RF (∪ i. ∪ j≤i. TERΓ' 0 (g' j)) unfolding RF-f roofed-UN
by simp
also have (∪ i. ∪ j≤i. TERΓ' 0 (g' j)) = (∪ i. TERΓ' 0 (g' i)) by auto
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

have SAT-plus-ω: SAT (Γ' n) (g n ∩Γ' n gω) = SAT (Γ' 0) (g' n ∩Γ' 0 gω)
for n
apply(intro set-eqI)
apply(simp add: SAT.simps IN-plus-current[OF g w gwr] IN-plus-current[OF
g' w' gwr] TER-g')
apply(cases d-IN (gω ∣ Γ' n / g n) b)
apply(auto simp add: SAT.simps wb-conv d-IN-plus-web IN-g')
apply(simp-all add: wb-conv IN-g-b restrict-curr-g' ε-def field-simps)
apply(metis TER-g' b-TER roofed-greaterI) +
done

have SINK-plus-ω: SINK (g n ∩Γ' n gω) = SINK (g' n ∩Γ' 0 gω) for n
apply(rule set-eqI; simp add: SINK.simps OUT-plus-current[OF g w gwr]
OUT-plus-current[OF g' w'] current-restrict-current[OF w' gω])
using factor-pos[of n]
by(auto simp add: RF-circ TER-g' restrict-curr-g' currentD-OUT-eq-0[OF g]

```

```

currentD-OUT-eq-0[OF g' g'-simps split: if-split-asm)
have TER-plus- $\omega$ :  $TER_{\Gamma'} n (g n \cap_{\Gamma'} n g\omega) = TER_{\Gamma'} 0 (g' n \cap_{\Gamma'} 0 g\omega)$  for  $n$ 
  by(rule set-eqI iffI)+(simp-all add: SAT-plus- $\omega$  SINK-plus- $\omega$ )

define  $h$  where  $h n = g n \cap_{\Gamma'} n g\omega$  for  $n$ 
have  $h$ : current ( $\Gamma' n$ ) ( $h n$ ) for  $n$  unfolding  $h\text{-def}$  using  $g w$ 
  by(rule current-plus-current)(rule current-restrict-current[OF w g\omega'])
have  $hw$ : wave ( $\Gamma' n$ ) ( $h n$ ) for  $n$  unfolding  $h\text{-def}$  using  $g w g\omega' w\omega r$  by(rule
wave-plus)

define  $T$  where  $T = TER_{\Gamma'} 0 g\omega$ 
have RF- $h$ :  $RF (TER_{\Gamma'} n (h n)) = RF T$  for  $n$ 
proof -
  have  $RF_{\Gamma'} 0 (TER_{\Gamma'} n (h n)) = RF_{\Gamma'} 0 (RF_{\Gamma'} 0 (TER_{\Gamma'} 0 g\omega) \cup TER_{\Gamma'} 0 (g' n))$ 
    unfolding  $h\text{-def}$  TER-plus- $\omega$  RF-TER-plus-web[OF g' w' g\omega w\omega] roofed-idem-Un1
    by(simp add: Un-commute)
  also have ... =  $RF ((\bigcup n. TER_{\Gamma'} 0 (g' n)) \cup TER_{\Gamma'} 0 (g' n))$ 
    by(simp add: RF-g\omega roofed-idem-Un1)
  also have ... =  $RF_{\Gamma'} 0 T$  unfolding  $T\text{-def}$ 
    by(auto simp add: RF-g\omega intro!: arg-cong2[where f=roofed] del: equalityI)
auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
have OUT-h-nT: d-OUT ( $h n$ )  $x = 0$  if  $x \notin RF T$  for  $n x$ 
  by(rule waveD-OUT[OF hw])(simp add: RF-h that)
have IN-h-nT: d-IN ( $h n$ )  $x = 0$  if  $x \notin RF T$  for  $n x$ 
  by(rule wave-not-RF-IN-zero[OF h hw])(simp add: RF-h that)
have OUT-h-b: d-OUT ( $h n$ )  $b = 0$  for  $n$  using b-TER[of  $n$ ] b-SINK-g\omega[THEN
in-SINK-restrict-current]
  by(auto simp add: h-def OUT-plus-current[OF g w g\omega r] SINK.simps)
have OUT-h-E: d-OUT ( $h n$ )  $x = 0$  if  $x \in \mathcal{E} T$  for  $x n$  using that
  apply(subst (asm) E-RF[symmetric])
  apply(subst (asm) (1 2) RF-h[symmetric, of  $n$ ])
  apply(subst (asm) E-RF)
  apply(simp add: SINK.simps)
done
have IN-h-E: d-IN ( $h n$ )  $x = weight (\Gamma' n) x$  if  $x \in \mathcal{E} T$   $x \notin A \Gamma$  for  $x n$  using
that
  apply(subst (asm) E-RF[symmetric])
  apply(subst (asm) (1 2) RF-h[symmetric, of  $n$ ])
  apply(subst (asm) E-RF)
  apply(simp add: currentD-SAT[OF h])
done

have b-SAT:  $b \in SAT (\Gamma' 0) (h 0)$  using b-TER[of 0]
  by(auto simp add: h-def SAT.simps d-IN-plus-web intro: order-trans)
have b-T:  $b \in T$  using b-SINK-g\omega b-TER by(simp add: T-def)(metis SAT-g\omega
subsetD f-simps(1))

```

```

have essential:  $b \in \mathcal{E} T$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
assume  $b \notin \mathcal{E} T$ 
hence  $b: b \notin \mathcal{E} (\text{TER}_{\Gamma'} \varrho (h 0))$ 
proof(rule contrapos-nn)
assume  $b \in \mathcal{E} (\text{TER}_{\Gamma'} \varrho (h 0))$ 
then obtain  $p y$  where  $p: \text{path } \Gamma b p y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$  and  $\text{distinct}: \text{distinct}$   

 $(b \# p)$ 
and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin \text{RF} (\text{TER}_{\Gamma'} \varrho (h 0))$  by(rule E-E-RF)
auto
from bypass have bypass':  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin T$  unfolding RF-h by(auto
intro: roofed-greaterI)
have essential  $\Gamma (B \Gamma) T b$  using  $p y$  by(rule essentialI)(auto dest: bypass')
then show  $b \in \mathcal{E} T$  using b-T by simp
qed

have h0: current  $\Gamma (h 0)$  using  $h[\text{of } 0]$  by(rule current-weight-mono)(simp-all
add: wb-conv ε-nonneg)
moreover have wave  $\Gamma (h 0)$ 
proof
have separating  $(\Gamma' 0) (\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma'} \varrho (\text{TER}_{\Gamma'} \varrho (h 0)))$  by(rule separating-essential)(rule
waveD-separating[OF hw])
then have separating  $\Gamma (\mathcal{E} (\text{TER}_{\Gamma'} \varrho (h 0)))$  by(simp add: separating-gen.simps)
moreover have subset:  $\mathcal{E} (\text{TER}_{\Gamma'} \varrho (h 0)) \subseteq \text{TER} (h 0)$  using ε-nonneg[of
0] b
by(auto simp add: SAT.simps wb-conv split: if-split-asm)
ultimately show separating  $\Gamma (\text{TER} (h 0))$  by(rule separating-weakening)
qed(rule h0)
ultimately have  $h 0 = \text{zero-current}$  by(rule looseD-wave[OF loose])
then have d-IN  $(h 0) b = 0$  by(simp)
with b-SAT wb ⟨ $b \notin A \Gamma$ ⟩ show False by(simp add: SAT.simps wb-conv ε-def
ennreal-minus-if split: if-split-asm)
qed

define S where  $S = \{x \in \text{RF} (T \cap B \Gamma) \cap A \Gamma. \text{essential } \Gamma (T \cap B \Gamma) (\text{RF}$   

 $(T \cap B \Gamma) \cap A \Gamma) x\}$ 
define Γ-h where  $\Gamma-h = \emptyset$  edge  $= \lambda x y. \text{edge } \Gamma x y \wedge x \in S \wedge y \in T \wedge y \in B$   

 $\Gamma, \text{weight} = \lambda x. \text{weight } \Gamma x * \text{indicator} (S \cup T \cap B \Gamma) x, A = S, B = T \cap B \Gamma\}$ 
have Γ-h-sel [simp]:
edge Γ-h x y  $\longleftrightarrow$  edge Γ x y  $\wedge x \in S \wedge y \in T \wedge y \in B \Gamma$ 
A Γ-h = S
B Γ-h = T ∩ B Γ
weight Γ-h x = weight Γ x * indicator (S ∪ T ∩ B Γ) x
for x y
by(simp-all add: Γ-h-def)

have vertex-Γ-hD:  $x \in S \cup (T \cap B \Gamma)$  if vertex Γ-h x for x
using that by(auto simp add: vertex-def)

```

```

have S-vertex: vertex  $\Gamma$ -h  $x$  if  $x \in S$  for  $x$ 
proof -
  from that have  $a: x \in A \Gamma$  and  $RF: x \in RF (T \cap B \Gamma)$  and  $ess: essential \Gamma$ 
 $(T \cap B \Gamma) (RF (T \cap B \Gamma) \cap A \Gamma) x$ 
  by(simp-all add: S-def)
  from ess obtain  $p y$  where  $p: path \Gamma x p y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$  and  $yT: y \in T$ 
    and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. z \in set p \implies z \notin RF (T \cap B \Gamma) \cap A \Gamma$  by(rule essentialE-RF)(auto intro: roofed-greaterI)
  from  $p a y$  disjoint have edge  $\Gamma x y$ 
  by(cases)(auto 4 3 elim: rtrancl-path.cases dest: bipartite-E)
  with that  $yT$  show ?thesis by(auto intro!: vertexI1)
qed
have OUT-not-S: d-OUT ( $h n$ )  $x = 0$  if  $x \notin S$  for  $x n$ 
proof(rule classical)
  assume  $*: d-OUT (h n) x \neq 0$ 
  consider  $(A) x \in A \Gamma | (B) x \in B \Gamma | (outside) x \notin A \Gamma x \notin B \Gamma$  by blast
  then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case B with currentD-OUT[ $OF h$ , of  $x n$ ] show ?thesis by simp
next
  case outside with currentD-outside-OUT[ $OF h$ , of  $x n$ ] show ?thesis by(simp
add: not-vertex)
next
  case A
  from * obtain  $y$  where  $xy: h n (x, y) \neq 0$  using currentD-OUT-eq-0[ $OF$ 
 $h$ , of  $n x$ ] by auto
  then have edge: edge  $\Gamma x y$  using currentD-outside[ $OF h$ ] by(auto)
  hence  $p: path \Gamma x [y] y$  by(simp add: rtrancl-path-simps)

from bipartite-E[ $OF$  edge] have  $x: x \in A \Gamma$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$  by simp-all
moreover have  $x \in RF (RF (T \cap B \Gamma))$ 
proof
  fix  $p y'$ 
  assume  $p: path \Gamma x p y'$  and  $y': y' \in B \Gamma$ 
  from  $p x y'$  disjoint have  $py: p = [y']$ 
  by(cases)(auto 4 3 elim: rtrancl-path.cases dest: bipartite-E)
  have separating ( $\Gamma' 0$ )  $(RF_{\Gamma' 0} (TER_{\Gamma' 0} (h 0)))$  unfolding separating-RF
    by(rule waveD-separating[ $OF hw$ ])
  from separatingD[ $OF$  this, of  $x p y'$ ]  $py p x y'$ 
  have  $x \in RF T \vee y' \in RF T$  by(auto simp add: RF-h)
  thus  $(\exists z \in set p. z \in RF (T \cap B \Gamma)) \vee x \in RF (T \cap B \Gamma)$ 
proof cases
  case right with  $y' py$  show ?thesis by(simp add: RF-in-B)
next
  case left
  have  $x \notin \mathcal{E} T$  using OUT-h-E[of  $x n$ ]  $xy$  by(auto simp add: cur-
rentD-OUT-eq-0[ $OF h$ ])
  with left have  $x \in RF^\circ T$  by(simp add: roofed-circ-def)
  from RF-circ-edge-forward[ $OF$  this, of  $y' p py$ ] have  $y' \in RF T$  by(simp

```

```

add: rtrancl-path-simps)
  with y' have y' ∈ T by(simp add: RF-in-B)
  with y' show ?thesis using py by(auto intro: roofed-greaterI)
    qed
  qed
  moreover have y ∈ T using IN-h-nT[of y n] y xy by(auto simp add: RF-in-B
currentD-IN-eq-0[OF h])
  with p x y disjoint have essential Γ (T ∩ B Γ) (RF (T ∩ B Γ) ∩ A Γ) x
by(auto intro!: essentialI)
  ultimately have x ∈ S unfolding roofed-idem by(simp add: S-def)
  with that show ?thesis by contradiction
  qed
qed

have B-vertex: vertex Γ-h y if T: y ∈ T and B: y ∈ B Γ and w: weight Γ y >
0 for y
proof -
  from T B disjoint ε-less[of 0] w
  have d-IN (h 0) y > 0 using IN-h-E[of y 0] by(cases y ∈ A Γ)(auto simp add:
essential-BI wb-conv ennreal-minus-if)
  then obtain x where xy: h 0 (x, y) ≠ 0 using currentD-IN-eq-0[OF h, of 0
y] by(auto)
  then have edge: edge Γ x y using currentD-outside[OF h] by(auto)
  from xy have d-OUT (h 0) x ≠ 0 by(auto simp add: currentD-OUT-eq-0[OF
h])
  hence x ∈ S using OUT-not-S[of x 0] by(auto)
  with edge T B show ?thesis by(simp add: vertexI2)
qed

have Γ-h: countable-bipartite-web Γ-h
proof
  show V_{Γ-h} ⊆ A Γ-h ∪ B Γ-h by(auto simp add: vertex-def)
  show A Γ-h ⊆ V_{Γ-h} using S-vertex by auto
  show x ∈ A Γ-h ∧ y ∈ B Γ-h if edge Γ-h x y for x y using that by auto
  show A Γ-h ∩ B Γ-h = {} using disjoint by(auto simp add: S-def)
  have E_{Γ-h} ⊆ E by auto
  thus countable E_{Γ-h} by(rule countable-subset) simp
  show weight Γ-h x ≠ ⊤ for x by(simp split: split-indicator)
  show weight Γ-h x = 0 if x ∉ V_{Γ-h} for x
    using that S-vertex B-vertex[of x]
    by(cases weight Γ-h x > 0)(auto split: split-indicator)
qed
then interpret Γ-h: countable-bipartite-web Γ-h .

have essential-T: essential Γ (B Γ) T = essential Γ (B Γ) (TER_{Γ'} 0 (h 0))
proof(rule ext iffI)+
  fix x
  assume essential Γ (B Γ) T x
  then obtain p y where p: path Γ x p y and y: y ∈ B Γ and distinct: distinct

```

```

(x # p)
  and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. z \in set p \implies z \notin RF T$  by(rule essentialE-RF)auto
  from bypass have bypass':  $\bigwedge z. z \in set p \implies z \notin TER_{\Gamma'} \varrho(h \ 0)$ 
    unfolding RF-h[of 0, symmetric] by(blast intro: roofed-greaterI)
  show essential  $\Gamma (B \ \Gamma) (TER_{\Gamma'} \varrho(h \ 0)) x$  using p y
    by(blast intro: essentialI dest: bypass')
next
fix x
assume essential  $\Gamma (B \ \Gamma) (TER_{\Gamma'} \varrho(h \ 0)) x$ 
then obtain p y where p: path  $\Gamma x p y$  and y:  $y \in B \ \Gamma$  and distinct: distinct
(x # p)
  and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. z \in set p \implies z \notin RF (TER_{\Gamma'} \varrho(h \ 0))$  by(rule essentialE-RF)auto
  from bypass have bypass':  $\bigwedge z. z \in set p \implies z \notin T$ 
    unfolding RF-h[of 0] by(blast intro: roofed-greaterI)
  show essential  $\Gamma (B \ \Gamma) T x$  using p y
    by(blast intro: essentialI dest: bypass')
qed

have h': current  $\Gamma$ -h ( $h \ n$ ) for n
proof
  show d-OUT ( $h \ n$ )  $x \leq weight \Gamma$ -h x for x
    using currentD-weight-OUT[OF h, of n x] ε-nonneg[of n] Γ'.currentD-OUT'[OF
    h, of x n] OUT-not-S
    by(auto split: split-indicator if-split-asm elim: order-trans intro: diff-le-self-ennreal
    in-roofed-mono simp add: OUT-h-b roofed-circ-def)

    show d-IN ( $h \ n$ )  $x \leq weight \Gamma$ -h x for x
      using currentD-weight-IN[OF h, of n x] currentD-IN[OF h, of x n] ε-nonneg[of
      n] b-T b Γ'.currentD-IN'[OF h, of x n] IN-h-nT[of x n]
      by(cases x ∈ B Γ)(auto 4 3 split: split-indicator split: if-split-asm elim:
      order-trans intro: diff-le-self-ennreal simp add: S-def roofed-circ-def RF-in-B)

    show  $h \ n \ e = 0$  if  $e \notin E_{\Gamma-h}$  for e
      using that OUT-not-S[offset e n] currentD-outside'[OF h, of e n] Γ'.currentD-IN'[OF
      h, of snd e n] disjoint
      apply(cases e ∈ E)
        apply(auto split: prod.split-asm simp add: currentD-OUT-eq-0[OF h] cur-
        rentD-IN-eq-0[OF h])
        apply(cases fst e ∈ S; clarsimp simp add: S-def)
        apply(frule RF-circ-edge-forward[rotated])
        apply(erule roofed-circI, blast)
        apply(drule bipartite-E)
        apply(simp add: RF-in-B)
      done
qed

have SAT-h':  $B \ \Gamma$ -h ∩  $V_{\Gamma-h} - \{b\} \subseteq SAT \ \Gamma$ -h ( $h \ n$ ) for n
proof

```

```

fix x
assume x ∈ B Γ-h ∩ V_{Γ-h} - {b}
then have x: x ∈ T and B: x ∈ B Γ and b: x ≠ b and vertex: x ∈ V_{Γ-h} by
auto
from B disjoint have xnA: x ∉ A Γ by blast
from x B have x ∈ E T by(simp add: essential-BI)
hence d-IN (h n) x = weight (Γ' n) x using xnA by(rule IN-h-E)
with xnA b x B show x ∈ SAT Γ-h (h n) by(simp add: currentD-SAT[OF h])
qed
moreover have b ∈ B Γ-h using b essential by simp
moreover have (λn. min δ wb * (1 / (real (n + 2)))) —→ 0
apply(rule LIMSEQ-ignore-initial-segment)
apply(rule tends-to-mult-right-zero)
apply(rule lim-1-over-real-power[where s=1, simplified])
done
then have (INF n. ennreal (ε n)) = 0 using wb-pos δ
apply(simp add: ε-def)
apply(rule INF-Lim)
apply(rule decseq-SucI)
apply(simp add: field-simps min-def)
apply(simp add: add.commute ennreal-0[symmetric] del: ennreal-0)
done
then have (SUP n. d-IN (h n) b) = weight Γ-h b using essential b bnA wb
IN-h-E[of b]
by(simp add: SUP-const-minus-ennreal)
moreover have d-IN (h 0) b ≤ d-IN (h n) b for n using essential b bnA wb-pos
δ IN-h-E[of b]
by(simp add: wb-conv ε-def field-simps ennreal-minus-if min-le-iff-disj)
moreover have b-V: b ∈ V_{Γ-h} using b wb essential by(auto dest: B-vertex)
ultimately have ∃h'. current Γ-h h' ∧ wave Γ-h h' ∧ B Γ-h ∩ V_{Γ-h} ⊆ SAT
Γ-h h'
by(rule Γ-h.unhinder-bipartite[OF h'])
then obtain h' where h': current Γ-h h' and h'w: wave Γ-h h'
and B-SAT': B Γ-h ∩ V_{Γ-h} ⊆ SAT Γ-h h' by blast

have h'': current Γ h'
proof
show d-OUT h' x ≤ weight Γ x for x using currentD-weight-OUT[OF h', of
x]
by(auto split: split-indicator-asm elim: order-trans intro: )
show d-IN h' x ≤ weight Γ x for x using currentD-weight-IN[OF h', of x]
by(auto split: split-indicator-asm elim: order-trans intro: )
show h' e = 0 if e ∉ E for e using currentD-outside'[OF h', of e] that by
auto
qed
moreover have wave Γ h'
proof
have separating (Γ' 0) T unfolding T-def by(rule waveD-separating[OF ww])
hence separating Γ T by(simp add: separating-gen.simps)

```

```

hence *: separating  $\Gamma (\mathcal{E} T)$  by(rule separating-essential)
show separating  $\Gamma (TER h')$ 
proof
fix  $x p y$ 
assume  $x: x \in A \Gamma$  and  $p: path \Gamma x p y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$ 
from  $p x y$  disjoint have  $py: p = [y]$ 
by(cases)(auto 4 3 elim: rtrancl-path.cases dest: bipartite-E)
from separatingD[ $OF * p x y$ ]  $py$  have  $x \in \mathcal{E} T \vee y \in \mathcal{E} T$  by auto
then show  $(\exists z \in set p. z \in TER h') \vee x \in TER h'$ 
proof cases
case left
then have  $x \notin V_{\Gamma-h}$  using  $x$  disjoint
by(auto 4 4 dest!: vertex- $\Gamma$ -hD simp add: S-def elim: essentialE-RF intro!
roofed-greaterI dest: roofedD)
hence  $d\text{-OUT } h' x = 0$  by(intro currentD-outside-OUT[ $OF h'$ ])
with  $x$  have  $x \in TER h'$  by(auto simp add: SAT.A SINK.simps)
thus ?thesis ..
next
case right
have  $y \in SAT \Gamma h'$ 
proof(cases weight  $\Gamma y > 0$ )
case True
with  $py x y$  right have vertex  $\Gamma-h y$  by(auto intro: B-vertex)
hence  $y \in SAT \Gamma-h h'$  using B-SAT' right  $y$  by auto
with right  $y$  disjoint show ?thesis
by(auto simp add: currentD-SAT[ $OF h'$ ] currentD-SAT[ $OF h'$ ] S-def)
qed(auto simp add: SAT.simps)
with currentD-OUT[ $OF h', of y$ ]  $y$  right have  $y \in TER h'$  by(auto simp
add: SINK)
thus ?thesis using  $py$  by simp
qed
qed
qed( $rule h''$ )
ultimately have  $h' = zero-current$  by(rule looseD-wave[ $OF loose$ ])
hence  $d\text{-IN } h' b = 0$  by simp
moreover from essential b b-V B-SAT' have  $b \in SAT \Gamma-h h'$  by(auto)
ultimately show False using wb b essential disjoint by(auto simp add: SAT.simps
S-def)
qed
end

```

10.4 Single-vertex saturation in unhindered bipartite webs

The proof of lemma 6.10 in [2] is flawed. The transfinite steps (taking the least upper bound) only preserves unhinderedness, but not looseness. However, the single steps to non-limit ordinals assumes that $\Omega - f_i$ is loose in order to apply Lemma 6.9.

Counterexample: The bipartite web with three nodes a_1, a_2, a_3 in A and two nodes b_1, b_2 in B and edges $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_1), (a_2, b_2), (a_3, b_2)$ and weights $a_1 = a_3 = 1$ and $a_2 = 2$ and $b_1 = 3$ and $b_2 = 2$. Then, we can get a sequence of weight reductions on b_2 from 2 to 1.5, 1.25, 1.125, etc. with limit 1. All maximal waves in the restricted webs in the sequence are *zero-current*, so in the limit, we get $k = 0$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ for a_2 and b_2 . Now, the restricted web for the two is not loose because it contains the wave which assigns 1 to (a_3, b_2) .

We prove a stronger version which only assumes and ensures on unhinderedness.

context *countable-bipartite-web* **begin**

lemma *web-flow-iff*: *web-flow* $\Gamma f \longleftrightarrow \text{current } \Gamma f$
using *bipartite-V* **by**(*auto simp add*: *web-flow.simps*)

lemma *countable-bipartite-web-minus-web*:

assumes $f: \text{current } \Gamma f$

shows *countable-bipartite-web* $(\Gamma \ominus f)$

using *bipartite-V* *A-vertex bipartite-E disjoint currentD-finite-OUT[OF f]* *currentD-weight-OUT[OF f]* *currentD-weight-IN[OF f]* *currentD-outside-OUT[OF f]* *currentD-outside-IN[OF f]*
by *unfold-locales* (*auto simp add*: *weight-outside*)

lemma *current-plus-current-minus*:

assumes $f: \text{current } \Gamma f$

and $g: \text{current } (\Gamma \ominus f) g$

shows *current* Γ (*plus-current* $f g$) (*is current - ?fg*)

proof

interpret $\Gamma: \text{countable-bipartite-web}$ $\Gamma \ominus f$ **using** f **by**(*rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web*)
show *d-OUT* $?fg x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ **for** x

using *currentD-weight-OUT[OF g, of x]* *currentD-OUT[OF g, of x]* *currentD-finite-OUT[OF f, of x]* *currentD-OUT[OF f, of x]* *currentD-outside-IN[OF f, of x]* *currentD-outside-OUT[OF f, of x]* *currentD-weight-OUT[OF f, of x]*
by(*cases* $x \in A \Gamma \vee x \in B \Gamma$)(*auto simp add*: *add.commute d-OUT-def nn-integral-add not-vertex ennreal-le-minus-iff split: if-split-asm*)

show *d-IN* $?fg x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ **for** x

using *currentD-weight-IN[OF g, of x]* *currentD-IN[OF g, of x]* *currentD-finite-IN[OF f, of x]* *currentD-OUT[OF f, of x]* *currentD-outside-IN[OF f, of x]* *currentD-outside-OUT[OF f, of x]* *currentD-weight-IN[OF f, of x]*
by(*cases* $x \in A \Gamma \vee x \in B \Gamma$)(*auto simp add*: *add.commute d-IN-def nn-integral-add not-vertex ennreal-le-minus-iff split: if-split-asm*)

show $?fg e = 0$ **if** $e \notin E$ **for** e **using** *that currentD-outside'[OF f, of e]* *currentD-outside'[OF g, of e]* **by**(*cases* e) *simp*

qed

lemma *wave-plus-current-minus*:

assumes $f: \text{current } \Gamma f$

and $w: \text{wave } \Gamma f$

```

and  $g: \text{current } (\Gamma \ominus f) g$ 
and  $w': \text{wave } (\Gamma \ominus f) g$ 
shows  $\text{wave } \Gamma (\text{plus-current } f g)$  (is  $\text{wave} - ?fg$ )
proof
  show  $fg: \text{current } \Gamma ?fg$  using  $f g$  by(rule current-plus-current-minus)
  show  $\text{sep}: \text{separating } \Gamma (\text{TER } ?fg)$ 
  proof
    fix  $x p y$ 
    assume  $x: x \in A \Gamma$  and  $p: \text{path } \Gamma x p y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$ 
    from  $p x y$  disjoint have  $py: p = [y]$ 
    by(cases)(auto 4 3 elim: rtrancl-path.cases dest: bipartite-E)
    with waveD-separating[THEN separatingD, OF w p x y] have  $x \in \text{TER } f \vee y \in \text{TER } f$  by auto
    thus  $(\exists z \in \text{set } p. z \in \text{TER } ?fg) \vee x \in \text{TER } ?fg$ 
    proof cases
      case right
        with  $y$  disjoint have  $y \in \text{TER } ?fg$  using currentD-OUT[OF fg y]
        by(auto simp add: SAT.simps SINK.simps d-IN-def nn-integral-add not-le add-increasing2)
        thus ?thesis using py by simp
      next
        case  $x': \text{left}$ 
        from  $p$  have  $\text{path } (\Gamma \ominus f) x p y$  by simp
        from waveD-separating[THEN separatingD, OF w' this]  $x y py$ 
        have  $x \in \text{TER}_{\Gamma \ominus f} g \vee y \in \text{TER}_{\Gamma \ominus f} g$  by auto
        thus ?thesis
        proof cases
          case left
          hence  $x \in \text{TER } ?fg$  using  $x x'$ 
          by(auto simp add: SAT.simps SINK.simps d-OUT-def nn-integral-add)
          thus ?thesis ..
        next
          case right
          hence  $y \in \text{TER } ?fg$  using disjoint y currentD-OUT[OF fg y] currentD-OUT[OF f y] currentD-finite-IN[OF f, of y]
          by(auto simp add: add.commute SINK.simps SAT.simps d-IN-def nn-integral-add ennreal-minus-le-iff split: if-split-asm)
          with py show ?thesis by auto
        qed
        qed
        qed
      qed

lemma minus-plus-current:
  assumes  $f: \text{current } \Gamma f$ 
  and  $g: \text{current } (\Gamma \ominus f) g$ 
  shows  $\Gamma \ominus \text{plus-current } f g = \Gamma \ominus f \ominus g$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof(rule web.equality)
  have weight ?lhs x = weight ?rhs x for x

```

```

using currentD-weight-IN[ $\text{OF } f$ , of  $x$ ] currentD-weight-IN[ $\text{OF } g$ , of  $x$ ]
by (auto simp add: d-IN-def d-OUT-def nn-integral-add diff-add-eq-diff-diff-swap-ennreal
add-increasing2 diff-add-assoc2-ennreal add.assoc)
thus weight ?lhs = weight ?rhs ..
qed simp-all

lemma unhindered-minus-web:
assumes unhindered:  $\neg \text{hindered } \Gamma$ 
and f: current  $\Gamma$  f
and w: wave  $\Gamma$  f
shows  $\neg \text{hindered } (\Gamma \ominus f)$ 
proof
assume hindered  $(\Gamma \ominus f)$ 
then obtain g where g: current  $(\Gamma \ominus f)$  g
and w': wave  $(\Gamma \ominus f)$  g
and hind: hindrance  $(\Gamma \ominus f)$  g by cases
let ?fg = plus-current f g
have fg: current  $\Gamma$  ?fg using f g by (rule current-plus-current-minus)
moreover have wave  $\Gamma$  ?fg using f w g w' by (rule wave-plus-current-minus)
moreover from hind obtain a where a:  $a \in A \Gamma$  and nE:  $a \notin \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma \ominus f}(\text{TER}_{\Gamma \ominus f} g)$ 
and wa: d-OUT g a < weight  $(\Gamma \ominus f)$  a by cases auto
from a have hindrance  $\Gamma$  ?fg
proof
show a  $\notin \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } ?fg)$ 
proof
assume E:  $a \in \mathcal{E}(\text{TER } ?fg)$ 
then obtain p y where p: path  $\Gamma$  a p y and y:  $y \in B \Gamma$ 
and bypass:  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin \text{RF } (\text{TER } ?fg)$  by (rule E-E-RF) blast
from p a y disjoint have py: p = [y]
by (cases) (auto 4 3 elim: rtrancl-path.cases dest: bipartite-E)
from bypass[of y] py have y  $\notin \text{TER } ?fg$  by (auto intro: roofed-greaterI)
with currentD-OUT[ $\text{OF } fg$  y] have y  $\notin \text{SAT } \Gamma$  ?fg by (auto simp add: SINK.simps)
hence y  $\notin \text{SAT } (\Gamma \ominus f)$  g using y currentD-OUT[ $\text{OF } fg$ ] currentD-finite-IN[ $\text{OF } f$ , of y]
by (auto simp add: SAT.simps d-IN-def nn-integral-add ennreal-minus-le-iff
add.commute)
hence essential  $(\Gamma \ominus f)$  (B  $(\Gamma \ominus f)$ ) ( $\text{TER}_{\Gamma \ominus f} g$ ) a using p py y
by (auto intro!: essentialI)
moreover from E a have a  $\in \text{TER}_{\Gamma \ominus f} g$ 
by (auto simp add: SAT.A SINK-plus-current)
ultimately have a  $\in \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma \ominus f}(\text{TER}_{\Gamma \ominus f} g)$  by blast
thus False using nE by contradiction
qed
show d-OUT ?fg a < weight  $\Gamma$  a using a wa currentD-finite-OUT[ $\text{OF } f$ , of a]
by (simp add: d-OUT-def less-diff-eq-ennreal less-top add.commute nn-integral-add)
qed
ultimately have hindered  $\Gamma$  by (blast intro: hindered.intros)

```

with unhindered show False by contradiction
qed

lemma loose-minus-web:
assumes unhindered: \neg hindered Γ
and f : current Γf
and w : wave Γf
and maximal: $\bigwedge w. [\text{current } \Gamma w; \text{wave } \Gamma w; f \leq w] \implies f = w$
shows loose ($\Gamma \ominus f$) (**is** loose Γ)
proof
fix g
assume g : current Γg **and** w' : wave Γg
let $?g = plus-current f g$
from $f g$ **have** current $\Gamma ?g$ **by**(rule current-plus-current-minus)
moreover from $f w g w'$ **have** wave $\Gamma ?g$ **by**(rule wave-plus-current-minus)
moreover have $f \leq ?g$ **by**(clarsimp simp add: le-fun-def)
ultimately have eq: $f = ?g$ **by**(rule maximal)
have $g e = 0$ **for** e
proof(cases e)
case ($Pair x y$)
have $f e \leq d\text{-OUT } f x$ **unfolding** $d\text{-OUT-def}$ $Pair$ **by**(rule nn-integral-ge-point)
simp
also have $\dots \leq weight \Gamma x$ **by**(rule currentD-weight-OUT[$OF f$])
also have $\dots < \top$ **by**(simp add: less-top[symmetric])
finally show $g e = 0$ **using** $Pair eq[THEN fun-cong, of e]$
by(cases $f e g e$ rule: ennreal2-cases)(simp-all add: fun-eq-iff)
qed
thus $g = (\lambda e. 0)$ **by**(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
next
show $\neg hindrance \Gamma zero-current$ **using** unhindered
proof(rule contrapos-nn)
assume hindrance $\Gamma zero-current$
then obtain x **where** $a: x \in A \Gamma$ **and** $\mathcal{E}: x \notin \mathcal{E}_\Gamma$ ($TER_\Gamma zero-current$)
and weight: $d\text{-OUT zero-current } x < weight \Gamma x$ **by** cases
have hindrance Γf
proof
show $a': x \in A \Gamma$ **using** a **by** simp
with weight **show** $d\text{-OUT } f x < weight \Gamma x$
by(simp add: less-diff-eq-ennreal less-top[symmetric] currentD-finite-OUT[$OF f$])
show $x \notin \mathcal{E} (TER f)$
proof
assume $x \in \mathcal{E} (TER f)$
then obtain $p y$ **where** $p: path \Gamma x p y$ **and** $y: y \in B \Gamma$
and bypass: $\bigwedge z. z \in set p \implies z \notin RF (TER f)$ **by**(rule E-E-RF) auto
from $p a' y$ **disjoint have** $py: p = [y]$
by(cases)(auto 4 3 elim: rtrancl-path.cases dest: bipartite-E)
hence $y \notin (TER f)$ **using** bypass[of y] **by**(auto intro: roofed-greaterI)
hence weight $\Gamma y > 0$ **using** currentD-OUT[$OF f y$] disjoint y

```

    by(auto simp add: SINK.simps SAT.simps diff-gr0-ennreal)
    hence  $y \notin \text{TER}_{\mathcal{T}}$  zero-current using  $y$  disjoint by(auto)
    hence essential ? $\Gamma$  ( $B$  ? $\Gamma$ ) ( $\text{TER}_{\mathcal{T}}$  zero-current)  $x$  using  $p$   $y$   $py$  by(auto
intro!: essentialI)
    with  $a$  have  $x \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}}$  ( $\text{TER}_{\mathcal{T}}$  zero-current) by simp
    with  $\mathcal{E}$  show False by contradiction
qed
qed
thus hindered  $\Gamma$  using  $f w ..$ 
qed
qed

lemma weight-minus-web:
assumes  $f$ : current  $\Gamma f$ 
shows weight ( $\Gamma \ominus f$ )  $x = (\text{if } x \in A \Gamma \text{ then weight } \Gamma x - d\text{-OUT } f x \text{ else weight }$ 
 $\Gamma x - d\text{-IN } f x)$ 
proof(cases  $x \in B \Gamma$ )
case True
with currentD-OUT[ $OF f$  True] disjoint show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
hence  $d\text{-IN } f x = 0$   $d\text{-OUT } f x = 0$  if  $x \notin A \Gamma$ 
using currentD-outside-OUT[ $OF f$ , of  $x$ ] currentD-outside-IN[ $OF f$ , of  $x$ ] bi-
partite-V that by auto
then show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma (in -) separating-minus-web [simp]: separating-gen ( $G \ominus f$ ) = separat-
ing-gen  $G$ 
by(simp add: separating-gen.simps fun-eq-iff)

lemma current-minus:
assumes  $f$ : current  $\Gamma f$ 
and  $g$ : current  $\Gamma g$ 
and  $le: \bigwedge e. g e \leq f e$ 
shows current ( $\Gamma \ominus g$ ) ( $f - g$ )
proof -
interpret  $\Gamma$ : countable-bipartite-web  $\Gamma \ominus g$  using  $g$  by(rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web)
note [simp del] = minus-web-sel(2)
and [simp] = weight-minus-web[ $OF g$ ]
show ?thesis
proof
show  $d\text{-OUT } (f - g) x \leq \text{weight } (\Gamma \ominus g) x$  for  $x$  unfolding fun-diff-def
using currentD-weight-OUT[ $OF f$ , of  $x$ ] currentD-weight-IN[ $OF g$ , of  $x$ ]
by(subst d-OUT-diff)(simp-all add: le currentD-finite-OUT[ $OF g$ ] currentD-OUT'[ $OF$ 
 $f$ ] currentD-OUT'[ $OF g$ ] ennreal-minus-mono)
show  $d\text{-IN } (f - g) x \leq \text{weight } (\Gamma \ominus g) x$  for  $x$  unfolding fun-diff-def
using currentD-weight-IN[ $OF f$ , of  $x$ ] currentD-weight-OUT[ $OF g$ , of  $x$ ]

```

```

by(subst d-IN-diff)(simp-all add: le currentD-finite-IN[OF g] currentD-IN[OF f]
f] currentD-IN[OF g] ennreal-minus-mono)
show ( $f - g$ )  $e = 0$  if  $e \notin E_{\Gamma} \ominus g$  for  $e$  using that  $currentD-outside'[OF f]$ 
currentD-outside'[OF g] by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma

```

assumes  $w: wave \Gamma f$ 
and  $g: current \Gamma g$ 
and  $le: \bigwedge e. g \leq_f e$ 
shows  $wave-minus: wave (\Gamma \ominus g) (f - g)$ 
and  $TER-minus: TER f \subseteq TER_{\Gamma \ominus g} (f - g)$ 

```

proof

```

have  $x \in SINK f \implies x \in SINK (f - g)$  for  $x$  using  $d-OUT-mono[of g - f, OF$ 
le, of x]
by(auto simp add: SINK.simps fun-diff-def d-OUT-diff le currentD-finite-OUT[OF
g])
moreover have  $x \in SAT \Gamma f \implies x \in SAT (\Gamma \ominus g) (f - g)$  for  $x$ 
by(auto simp add: SAT.simps currentD-OUT'[OF g] fun-diff-def d-IN-diff le
currentD-finite-IN[OF g] ennreal-minus-mono)
ultimately show  $TER: TER f \subseteq TER_{\Gamma \ominus g} (f - g)$  by(auto)

```

from w **have** $separating \Gamma (TER f)$ **by**(*rule waveD-separating*)
 thus $separating (\Gamma \ominus g) (TER_{\Gamma \ominus g} (f - g))$ **using** TER **by**(*simp add: separating-weakening*)

fix x

```

assume  $x \notin RF_{\Gamma \ominus g} (TER_{\Gamma \ominus g} (f - g))$ 
hence  $x \notin RF (TER f)$  using  $TER$  by(auto intro: in-roofed-mono)
hence  $d-OUT f x = 0$  by(rule waveD-OUT[OF w])
moreover have  $0 \leq_f e$  for  $e$  using  $le[of e]$  by simp
ultimately show  $d-OUT (f - g) x = 0$  unfolding  $d-OUT-def$ 
by(simp add: nn-integral-0-iff emeasure-count-space-eq-0)

```

qed

lemma (in -) essential-minus-web [*simp*]: $essential (\Gamma \ominus f) = essential \Gamma$
by(*simp add: essential-def fun-eq-iff*)

lemma (in -) RF-in-essential: **fixes** B **shows** $essential \Gamma B S x \implies x \in roofed-gen$
 $\Gamma B S \longleftrightarrow x \in S$
by(*auto intro: roofed-greaterI elim!: essentialE-RF dest: roofedD*)

lemma (in -) d-OUT-fun-upd:

```

assumes  $f (x, y) \neq \top$   $f (x, y) \geq 0$   $k \neq \top$   $k \geq 0$ 
shows  $d-OUT (f((x, y) := k)) x' = (if x = x' then d-OUT f x - f (x, y) + k$ 
else d-OUT f x')
(is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )
proof(cases x = x')

```

```

case True
have ?lhs = ( $\sum^+ y'. f(x, y') + k * \text{indicator}\{y\} y'$ ) - ( $\sum^+ y'. f(x, y') * \text{indicator}\{y\} y'$ )
unfolding d-OUT-def using assms True
by(subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
    (auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp add: AE-count-space split: split-indicator)
also have ( $\sum^+ y'. f(x, y') + k * \text{indicator}\{y\} y'$ ) = d-OUT f x + ( $\sum^+ y'. k * \text{indicator}\{y\} y'$ )
unfolding d-OUT-def using assms
by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])
    (auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
also have ... - ( $\sum^+ y'. f(x, y') * \text{indicator}\{y\} y'$ ) = ?rhs using True assms
    by(subst diff-add-assoc2-ennreal[symmetric])(auto simp add: d-OUT-def intro!: nn-integral-ge-point)
finally show ?thesis.
qed(simp add: d-OUT-def)

lemma unhindered-saturate1: — Lemma 6.10
assumes unhindered:  $\neg \text{hindered } \Gamma$ 
and a:  $a \in A \Gamma$ 
shows  $\exists f. \text{current } \Gamma f \wedge \text{d-OUT } f a = \text{weight } \Gamma a \wedge \neg \text{hindered } (\Gamma \ominus f)$ 
proof —
    from a A-vertex have a-vertex: vertex  $\Gamma a$  by auto
    from unhindered have  $\neg \text{hindrance } \Gamma$  zero-current by(auto intro!: hindered.intro: simp add:)
    then have a-E: a ∈ E (A Γ) if weight Γ a > 0
    proof(rule contrapos-np)
        assume a ∉ E (A Γ)
        with a have  $\neg \text{essential } \Gamma (B \Gamma) (A \Gamma) a$  by simp
        hence  $\neg \text{essential } \Gamma (B \Gamma) (A \Gamma \cup \{x. \text{weight } \Gamma x \leq 0\}) a$ 
            by(rule contrapos-nn)(erule essential-mono; simp)
        with a that show hindrance Γ zero-current by(auto intro: hindrance)
    qed

define F where F =  $(\lambda(\varepsilon, h :: 'v \text{ current}). \text{plus-current } \varepsilon h)$ 
have F-simps: F ( $\varepsilon, h$ ) = plus-current ε h for  $\varepsilon h$  by(simp add: F-def)
define Fld where Fld =  $\{(\varepsilon, h).$ 
    current  $\Gamma \varepsilon \wedge (\forall x. x \neq a \longrightarrow \text{d-OUT } \varepsilon x = 0) \wedge$ 
    current  $(\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon) h \wedge \text{wave } (\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon) h \wedge$ 
     $\neg \text{hindered } (\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h))\}$ 
define leg where leg = restrict-rel Fld  $\{(f, f'). f \leq f'\}$ 
have Fld: Field leg = Fld by(auto simp add: leg-def)
have F-I [intro?]:  $(\varepsilon, h) \in \text{Field leg}$ 
    if current  $\Gamma \varepsilon$  and  $\bigwedge x. x \neq a \implies \text{d-OUT } \varepsilon x = 0$ 
    and current  $(\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon) h$  and wave  $(\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon) h$ 
    and  $\neg \text{hindered } (\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h))$ 
    for  $\varepsilon h$  using that by(simp add: Fld Fld-def)
have ε-curr: current  $\Gamma \varepsilon$  if  $(\varepsilon, h) \in \text{Field leg}$  for  $\varepsilon h$  using that by(simp add: Fld Fld-def)

```

```

have OUT- $\varepsilon$ :  $\bigwedge x. x \neq a \implies d\text{-OUT } \varepsilon x = 0$  if  $(\varepsilon, h) \in \text{Field leq}$  for  $\varepsilon h$  using
that by(simp add: Fld Fld-def)
  have h: current  $(\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon) h$  if  $(\varepsilon, h) \in \text{Field leq}$  for  $\varepsilon h$  using that by(simp add:
Fld Fld-def)
  have h-w: wave  $(\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon) h$  if  $(\varepsilon, h) \in \text{Field leq}$  for  $\varepsilon h$  using that by(simp add:
Fld Fld-def)
  have unhindered':  $\neg \text{hindered } (\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h)$  if  $\varepsilon h \in \text{Field leq}$  for  $\varepsilon h$  using that
by(simp add: Fld Fld-def split: prod.split-asm)
  have f: current  $\Gamma (F \varepsilon h)$  if  $\varepsilon h \in \text{Field leq}$  for  $\varepsilon h$  using  $\varepsilon\text{-curr } h$  that
    by(cases  $\varepsilon h$ )(simp add: F-simps current-plus-current-minus)
  have out- $\varepsilon$ :  $\varepsilon(x, y) = 0$  if  $(\varepsilon, h) \in \text{Field leq}$   $x \neq a$  for  $\varepsilon h x y$ 
  proof(rule antisym)
    have  $\varepsilon(x, y) \leq d\text{-OUT } \varepsilon x$  unfolding d-OUT-def by(rule nn-integral-ge-point)
  simp
    with OUT- $\varepsilon$ [OF that] show  $\varepsilon(x, y) \leq 0$  by simp
  qed simp
  have IN- $\varepsilon$ :  $d\text{-IN } \varepsilon x = \varepsilon(a, x)$  if  $(\varepsilon, h) \in \text{Field leq}$  for  $\varepsilon h x$ 
  proof(rule trans)
    show  $d\text{-IN } \varepsilon x = (\sum^+ y. \varepsilon(y, x) * \text{indicator } \{a\} y)$  unfolding d-IN-def
      by(rule nn-integral-cong)(simp add: out- $\varepsilon$ [OF that] split: split-indicator)
  qed(simp add: max-def  $\varepsilon\text{-curr}$ [OF that])
  have leqI:  $((\varepsilon, h), (\varepsilon', h')) \in \text{leq}$  if  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$   $h \leq h' (\varepsilon, h) \in \text{Field leq } (\varepsilon', h') \in$ 
  Field leq for  $\varepsilon h \varepsilon' h'$ 
    using that unfolding Fld by(simp add: leq-def in-restrict-rel-iff)

  have chain-Field:  $\text{Sup } M \in \text{Field leq}$  if  $M: M \in \text{Chains leq}$  and  $\text{nempty}: M \neq \{\}$  for  $M$ 
    unfolding Sup-prod-def
  proof
    from nempty obtain  $\varepsilon h$  where in-M:  $(\varepsilon, h) \in M$  by auto
    with M have Field:  $(\varepsilon, h) \in \text{Field leq}$  by(rule Chains-FieldD)

    from M have chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain  $(\lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon'. (\varepsilon, \varepsilon') \in \text{leq}) M$ 
      by(intro Chains-into-chain) simp
    hence chain': Complete-Partial-Order.chain  $(\leq) M$ 
      by(auto simp add: chain-def leq-def in-restrict-rel-iff)
    hence chain1: Complete-Partial-Order.chain  $(\leq) (\text{fst } M)$ 
      and chain2: Complete-Partial-Order.chain  $(\leq) (\text{snd } M)$ 
      by(rule chain-imageI; auto)+

    have outside1:  $\text{Sup } (\text{fst } M) (x, y) = 0$  if  $\neg \text{edge } \Gamma x y$  for  $x y$  using that
      by(auto intro!: SUP-eq-const simp add: nempty dest!: Chains-FieldD[OF M]
       $\varepsilon\text{-curr currentD-outside}$ )
      then have support-flow  $(\text{Sup } (\text{fst } M)) \subseteq \mathbf{E}$  by(auto elim!: support-flow.cases
      intro: ccontr)
        hence supp-flow1: countable (support-flow  $(\text{Sup } (\text{fst } M))$ ) by(rule count-
        able-subset) simp

    show SM1: current  $\Gamma (\text{Sup } (\text{fst } M))$ 
  
```

```

by(rule current-Sup[OF chain1 - - supp-flow1])(auto dest: Chains-FieldD[OF
M, THEN ε-curr] simp add: nempty)
show OUT1-na: d-OUT (Sup (fst ` M)) x = 0 if x ≠ a for x using that
by(subst d-OUT-Sup[OF chain1 - supp-flow1])(auto simp add: nempty intro!:
SUP-eq-const dest: Chains-FieldD[OF M, THEN OUT-ε])

interpret SM1: countable-bipartite-web Γ ⊢ Sup (fst ` M)
using SM1 by(rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web)

let ?h = Sup (snd ` M)
have outside2: ?h (x, y) = 0 if ¬ edge Γ x y for x y using that
by(auto intro!: SUP-eq-const simp add: nempty dest!: Chains-FieldD[OF M]
h currentD-outside)
then have support-flow ?h ⊆ E by(auto elim!: support-flow.cases intro: ccontr)
hence supp-flow2: countable (support-flow ?h) by(rule countable-subset) simp

have OUT1: d-OUT (Sup (fst ` M)) x = (SUP (ε, h)∈M. d-OUT ε x) for x
by (subst d-OUT-Sup [OF chain1 - supp-flow1])
(simp-all add: nempty split-beta image-comp)
have OUT1': d-OUT (Sup (fst ` M)) x = (if x = a then SUP (ε, h)∈M. d-OUT
ε a else 0) for x
unfolding OUT1 by(auto intro!: SUP-eq-const simp add: nempty OUT-ε
dest!: Chains-FieldD[OF M])
have OUT1-le: (⊔εh∈M. d-OUT (fst εh) x) ≤ weight Γ x for x
using currentD-weight-OUT[OF SM1, of x] OUT1[of x] by(simp add:
split-beta)
have OUT1-nonneg: 0 ≤ (⊔εh∈M. d-OUT (fst εh) x) for x using in-M
by(rule SUP-upper2) simp
have IN1: d-IN (Sup (fst ` M)) x = (SUP (ε, h)∈M. d-IN ε x) for x
by (subst d-IN-Sup [OF chain1 - supp-flow1])
(simp-all add: nempty split-beta image-comp)
have IN1-le: (⊔εh∈M. d-IN (fst εh) x) ≤ weight Γ x for x
using currentD-weight-IN[OF SM1, of x] IN1[of x] by(simp add: split-beta)
have IN1-nonneg: 0 ≤ (⊔εh∈M. d-IN (fst εh) x) for x using in-M by(rule
SUP-upper2) simp
have IN1': d-IN (Sup (fst ` M)) x = (SUP (ε, h)∈M. ε (a, x)) for x
unfolding IN1 by(rule SUP-cong[OF refl])(auto dest!: Chains-FieldD[OF M]
IN-ε)

have directed: ∃εk''∈M. F (snd εk) + F (fst εk') ≤ F (snd εk'') + F (fst εk'')
if mono: ∀f g. (∧z. f z ≤ g z) ⇒ F f ≤ F g εk ∈ M εk' ∈ M
for εk εk' and F :: - ⇒ ennreal
using chainD[OF chain that(2–3)]
proof cases
case left
hence snd εk ≤ snd εk' by(simp add: leq-def less-eq-prod-def in-restrict-rel-iff)
hence F (snd εk) + F (fst εk') ≤ F (snd εk') + F (fst εk')
by(intro add-right-mono mono)(clarify simp add: le-fun-def)
with that show ?thesis by blast

```

```

next
  case right
    hence  $\text{fst } \varepsilon k' \leq \text{fst } \varepsilon k$  by(simp add: leq-def less-eq-prod-def in-restrict-rel-iff)
    hence  $F(\text{snd } \varepsilon k) + F(\text{fst } \varepsilon k') \leq F(\text{snd } \varepsilon k) + F(\text{fst } \varepsilon k)$ 
      by(intro add-left-mono mono)(clar simp simp add: le-fun-def)
    with that show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  have directed-OUT:  $\exists \varepsilon k'' \in M. d\text{-OUT}(\text{snd } \varepsilon k) x + d\text{-OUT}(\text{fst } \varepsilon k') x \leq d\text{-OUT}(\text{snd } \varepsilon k'') x + d\text{-OUT}(\text{fst } \varepsilon k'') x$ 
    if  $\varepsilon k \in M$   $\varepsilon k' \in M$  for  $x \varepsilon k \varepsilon k'$  by(rule directed; rule d-OUT-mono that)
    have directed-IN:  $\exists \varepsilon k'' \in M. d\text{-IN}(\text{snd } \varepsilon k) x + d\text{-IN}(\text{fst } \varepsilon k') x \leq d\text{-IN}(\text{snd } \varepsilon k'') x + d\text{-IN}(\text{fst } \varepsilon k'') x$ 
      if  $\varepsilon k \in M$   $\varepsilon k' \in M$  for  $x \varepsilon k \varepsilon k'$  by(rule directed; rule d-IN-mono that)

let ?T =  $\Gamma \ominus \text{Sup}(\text{fst } ' M)$ 

have hM2: current ?T h if  $\varepsilon h: (\varepsilon, h) \in M$  for  $\varepsilon h$ 
proof
  from  $\varepsilon h$  have Field:  $(\varepsilon, h) \in \text{Field}$  leq by(rule Chains-FieldD[OF M])
  then have H: current ( $\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon$ ) h and  $\varepsilon\text{-curr}': \text{current } \Gamma \varepsilon$  by(rule h  $\varepsilon\text{-curr}$ )+
    from  $\varepsilon\text{-curr}'$  interpret  $\Gamma$ : countable-bipartite-web  $\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon$  by(rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web)

  fix x
  have  $d\text{-OUT } h x \leq d\text{-OUT } ?h x$  using  $\varepsilon h$  by(intro d-OUT-mono)(auto intro:
  SUP-upper2)
    also have OUT:  $\dots = (\text{SUP } h \in \text{snd } ' M. d\text{-OUT } h x)$  using chain2 -
  supp-flow2
    by(rule d-OUT-Sup)(simp-all add: nempty)
    also have  $\dots = \dots + (\text{SUP } \varepsilon \in \text{fst } ' M. d\text{-OUT } \varepsilon x) - (\text{SUP } \varepsilon \in \text{fst } ' M.$ 
  d-OUT  $\varepsilon x)$ 
    using OUT1-le[of x]
    by (intro ennreal-add-diff-cancel-right[symmetric] neq-top-trans[OF weight-finite,
  of - x])
      (simp add: image-comp)
    also have  $\dots = (\text{SUP } (\varepsilon, k) \in M. d\text{-OUT } k x + d\text{-OUT } \varepsilon x) - (\text{SUP } \varepsilon \in \text{fst } '$ 
  M. d-OUT  $\varepsilon x)$  unfolding split-def
      by (subst SUP-add-directed-ennreal[OF directed-OUT])
        (simp-all add: image-comp)
    also have  $(\text{SUP } (\varepsilon, k) \in M. d\text{-OUT } k x + d\text{-OUT } \varepsilon x) \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ 
      apply(clar simp dest!: Chains-FieldD[OF M] intro!: SUP-least)
      subgoal premises that for  $\varepsilon h$ 
        using currentD-weight-OUT[OF h[OF that], of x] currentD-weight-OUT[OF
   $\varepsilon\text{-curr}[OF that]$ , of x]
          countable-bipartite-web-minus-web[OF  $\varepsilon\text{-curr}$ , THEN countable-bipartite-web.currentD-OUT',
  OF that h[OF that], where x=x]
            by (auto simp add: ennreal-le-minus-iff split: if-split-asm)
            done
        also have  $(\text{SUP } \varepsilon \in \text{fst } ' M. d\text{-OUT } \varepsilon x) = d\text{-OUT}(\text{Sup}(\text{fst } ' M)) x$  using

```

```

 $OUT1$ 
  by (simp add: split-beta image-comp)
  finally show  $d\text{-}OUT h x \leq weight \Gamma x$ 
    using  $\Gamma.currentD\text{-}OUT'[OF h[OF Field], of x] currentD\text{-}weight\text{-}IN[OF SM1, of x]$  by(auto simp add: ennreal-minus-mono)

    have  $d\text{-}IN h x \leq d\text{-}IN ?h x$  using  $\varepsilon h$  by(intro d-IN-mono)(auto intro: SUP-upper2)
    also have  $IN: \dots = (SUP h \in snd ` M. d\text{-}IN h x)$  using chain2 - supp-flow2
      by(rule d-IN-Sup)(simp-all add: nempty)
    also have  $\dots = \dots + (SUP \varepsilon \in fst ` M. d\text{-}IN \varepsilon x) - (SUP \varepsilon \in fst ` M. d\text{-}IN \varepsilon x)$ 
      using IN1-le[of x]
      by (intro ennreal-add-diff-cancel-right [symmetric] neq-top-trans [OF weight-finite, of - x])
        (simp add: image-comp)
    also have  $\dots = (SUP (\varepsilon, k) \in M. d\text{-}IN k x + d\text{-}IN \varepsilon x) - (SUP \varepsilon \in fst ` M. d\text{-}IN \varepsilon x)$  unfolding split-def
      by (subst SUP-add-directed-ennreal [OF directed-IN])
        (simp-all add: image-comp)
    also have  $(SUP (\varepsilon, k) \in M. d\text{-}IN k x + d\text{-}IN \varepsilon x) \leq weight \Gamma x$ 
      apply(clarsimp dest!: Chains-FieldD[OF M] intro!: SUP-least)
      subgoal premises that for  $\varepsilon h$ 
        using currentD-weight-OUT[OF h, OF that, where  $x=x$ ] currentD-weight-IN[OF h, OF that, where  $x=x$ ]
          countable-bipartite-web-minus-web[OF  $\varepsilon$ -curr, THEN countable-bipartite-web.currentD-OUT',
          OF that  $h[OF that]$ , where  $x=x$ ]
            currentD-OUT'[OF  $\varepsilon$ -curr, OF that, where  $x=x$ ] currentD-IN[OF  $\varepsilon$ -curr, OF that, of x] currentD-weight-IN[OF  $\varepsilon$ -curr, OF that, where  $x=x$ ]
              by (auto simp add: ennreal-le-minus-iff image-comp
                split: if-split-asm intro: add-increasing2 order-trans [rotated]))
        done
      also have  $(SUP \varepsilon \in fst ` M. d\text{-}IN \varepsilon x) = d\text{-}IN (Sup (fst ` M)) x$ 
        using IN1 by (simp add: split-beta image-comp)
      finally show  $d\text{-}IN h x \leq weight \Gamma x$ 
        using currentD-IN[OF h[OF Field], of x] currentD-weight-OUT[OF SM1, of x]
          by(auto simp add: ennreal-minus-mono)
            (auto simp add: ennreal-le-minus-iff add-increasing2)
        show  $h e = 0$  if  $e \notin E_\Gamma$  for  $e$  using currentD-outside'[OF H, of e] that by
          simp
        qed

        from nempty have  $snd ` M \neq \{\}$  by simp
        from chain2 this - supp-flow2 show current: current  $\Gamma ?h$ 
          by(rule current-Sup)(clarify; rule hM2; simp)

        have wM: wave  $\Gamma h$  if  $(\varepsilon, h) \in M$  for  $\varepsilon h$ 
        proof

```

```

let ?Γ' = Γ ⊕ ε
have subset: TER?Γ' h ⊆ TER?Γ h
using currentD-OUT'[OF SM1] currentD-OUT'[OF ε-curr[OF Chains-FieldD[OF
M that]]] that
  by(auto 4 7 elim!: SAT.cases intro: SAT.intros elim!: order-trans[rotated]
intro: ennreal-minus-mono d-IN-mono intro!: SUP-upper2 split: if-split-asm)

from h-w[OF Chains-FieldD[OF M], OF that] have separating ?Γ' (TER?Γ'
h) by(rule waveD-separating)
  then show separating ?Γ (TER?Γ h) using subset by(auto intro: separat-
ing-weakening)
qed(rule hM2[OF that])
show wave: wave ?Γ ?h using chain2 `snd `M ≠ {}` - supp-flow2
  by(rule wave-lub)(clarify; rule wM; simp)

define f where f = F (Sup (fst `M), Sup (snd `M))
have supp-flow: countable (support-flow f)
  using supp-flow1 supp-flow2 support-flow-plus-current[of Sup (fst `M) ?h]
  unfolding f-def F-simps by(blast intro: countable-subset)
have f-alt: f = Sup ((λ(ε, h). plus-current ε h) `M)
  apply (simp add: fun-eq-iff split-def f-def nempty F-def image-comp)
  apply (subst (1 2) add.commute)
  apply (subst SUP-add-directed-ennreal)
  apply (rule directed)
  apply (auto dest!: Chains-FieldD [OF M])
done

have f-curr: current Γ f unfolding f-def F-simps using SM1 current by(rule
current-plus-current-minus)
have IN-f: d-IN f x = d-IN (Sup (fst `M)) x + d-IN (Sup (snd `M)) x for x
  unfolding f-def F-simps plus-current-def
  by(rule d-IN-add SM1 current)+

have OUT-f: d-OUT f x = d-OUT (Sup (fst `M)) x + d-OUT (Sup (snd `M)) x for x
  unfolding f-def F-simps plus-current-def
  by(rule d-OUT-add SM1 current)+

show ¬ hindered (Γ ⊕ f) (is ¬ hindered ?Ω) — Assertion 6.11
proof
  assume hindered: hindered ?Ω
  then obtain g where g: current ?Ω g and g-w: wave ?Ω g and hindrance:
hindrance ?Ω g by cases
    from hindrance obtain z where z: z ∈ A Γ and zE: z ∉ E?Ω (TER?Ω g)
      and OUT-z: d-OUT g z < weight ?Ω z by cases auto
    define δ where δ = weight ?Ω z - d-OUT g z
    have δ-pos: δ > 0 using OUT-z by(simp add: δ-def diff-gr0-ennreal del:
minus-websel)
    then have δ-finite[simp]: δ ≠ ⊤ using z
      by(simp-all add: δ-def)

```

```

have  $\exists (\varepsilon, h) \in M. d\text{-OUT } f a < d\text{-OUT } (\text{plus-current } \varepsilon h) a + \delta$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg ?thesis$ 
  hence greater:  $d\text{-OUT } (\text{plus-current } \varepsilon h) a + \delta \leq d\text{-OUT } f a$  if  $(\varepsilon, h) \in M$ 
for  $\varepsilon h$  using that by auto

  have chain'': Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\leq$ )  $((\lambda(\varepsilon, h). \text{plus-current } \varepsilon h)$ 
`  $M)$ 
    using chain' by(rule chain-imageI)(auto simp add: le-fun-def add-mono)

  have  $d\text{-OUT } f a + 0 < d\text{-OUT } f a + \delta$ 
    using currentD-finite-OUT[OF f-curr, of a] by(simp add: delta-pos)
  also have  $d\text{-OUT } f a + \delta = (\text{SUP } (\varepsilon, h) \in M. d\text{-OUT } (\text{plus-current } \varepsilon h) a)$ 
+  $\delta$ 
    using chain'' nempty supp-flow
    unfolding f-alt by(subst d-OUT-Sup)
      (simp-all add: plus-current-def [abs-def] split-def image-comp)
  also have ...  $\leq d\text{-OUT } f a$ 
    unfolding ennreal-SUP-add-left[symmetric, OF nempty]
  proof(rule SUP-least, clarify)
    show  $d\text{-OUT } (\text{plus-current } \varepsilon h) a + \delta \leq d\text{-OUT } f a$  if  $(\varepsilon, h) \in M$  for  $\varepsilon h$ 
      using greater[OF that] currentD-finite-OUT[OF Chains-FieldD[OF M
that, THEN f], of a]
        by(auto simp add: ennreal-le-minus-iff add.commute F-def)
    qed
    finally show False by simp
  qed
  then obtain  $\varepsilon h$  where hM:  $(\varepsilon, h) \in M$  and close:  $d\text{-OUT } f a < d\text{-OUT } (\text{plus-current } \varepsilon h) a + \delta$  by blast
  have Field:  $(\varepsilon, h) \in \text{Field}$  leq using hM by(rule Chains-FieldD[OF M])
  then have  $\varepsilon: \text{current } \Gamma \varepsilon$ 
    and unhindered-h:  $\neg \text{hindered } (\Gamma \ominus F (\varepsilon, h))$ 
    and h-curr:  $\text{current } (\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon) h$ 
    and h-w:  $\text{wave } (\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon) h$ 
    and OUT- $\varepsilon$ :  $x \neq a \implies d\text{-OUT } \varepsilon x = 0$  for  $x$ 
      by(rule ε-curr OUT-ε h h-w unhindered')+
  let ?εh = plus-current ε h
  have εh-curr:  $\text{current } \Gamma ?\varepsilon h$  using Field unfolding F-simps[symmetric]
by(rule f)

interpret h: countable-bipartite-web  $\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon$  using ε by(rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web)
interpret εh: countable-bipartite-web  $\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon h$  using εh-curr by(rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web)
note [simp del] = minus-web-sel(2)
and [simp] = weight-minus-web[OF εh-curr] weight-minus-web[OF SM1,
simplified]

define k where k e = Sup (fst ` M) e - ε e for e
have k-simps:  $k(x, y) = \text{Sup } (\text{fst } ` M) (x, y) - \varepsilon (x, y)$  for  $x y$  by(simp add:

```

```

k-def)
  have k-alt:  $k(x, y) = (\text{if } x = a \wedge \text{edge } \Gamma x y \text{ then } \text{Sup}(\text{fst}' M)(a, y) - \varepsilon(a, y) \text{ else } 0)$  for  $x y$ 
    by (cases  $x = a$ )
      (auto simp add: k-simps out- $\varepsilon$  [OF Field] currentD-outside [OF  $\varepsilon$ ] image-comp
        intro!: SUP-eq-const [OF nonempty] dest!: Chains-FieldD [OF M]
        intro: currentD-outside [OF  $\varepsilon$ -curr] out- $\varepsilon$ )
  have OUT-k:  $d\text{-OUT } k x = (\text{if } x = a \text{ then } d\text{-OUT } (\text{Sup}(\text{fst}' M)) a - d\text{-OUT } \varepsilon a \text{ else } 0)$  for  $x$ 
    proof -
      have d-OUT k x =  $(\text{if } x = a \text{ then } (\sum^+ y. \text{Sup}(\text{fst}' M)(a, y) - \varepsilon(a, y)) \text{ else } 0)$ 
        using currentD-outside[OF SM1] currentD-outside[OF  $\varepsilon$ ]
        by (auto simp add: k-alt d-OUT-def intro!: nn-integral-cong)
      also have  $(\sum^+ y. \text{Sup}(\text{fst}' M)(a, y) - \varepsilon(a, y)) = d\text{-OUT } (\text{Sup}(\text{fst}' M)) a - d\text{-OUT } \varepsilon a$ 
        using currentD-finite-OUT[OF  $\varepsilon$ , of a] hM unfolding d-OUT-def
        by (subst nn-integral-diff[symmetric])(auto simp add: AE-count-space intro!: SUP-upper2)
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
  have IN-k:  $d\text{-IN } k y = (\text{if } \text{edge } \Gamma a y \text{ then } \text{Sup}(\text{fst}' M)(a, y) - \varepsilon(a, y) \text{ else } 0)$  for  $y$ 
    proof -
      have d-IN k y =  $(\sum^+ x. (\text{if } \text{edge } \Gamma x y \text{ then } \text{Sup}(\text{fst}' M)(a, y) - \varepsilon(a, y) \text{ else } 0) * \text{indicator } \{a\} x)$ 
        unfolding d-IN-def by (rule nn-integral-cong)(auto simp add: k-alt outgoing-def split: split-indicator)
      also have ... =  $(\text{if } \text{edge } \Gamma a y \text{ then } \text{Sup}(\text{fst}' M)(a, y) - \varepsilon(a, y) \text{ else } 0)$ 
      using hM
        by (auto simp add: max-def intro!: SUP-upper2)
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
  have OUT- $\varepsilon h$ :  $d\text{-OUT } ?\varepsilon h x = d\text{-OUT } \varepsilon x + d\text{-OUT } h x$  for  $x$ 
    unfolding plus-current-def by (rule d-OUT-add)+
  have IN- $\varepsilon h$ :  $d\text{-IN } ?\varepsilon h x = d\text{-IN } \varepsilon x + d\text{-IN } h x$  for  $x$ 
    unfolding plus-current-def by (rule d-IN-add)+
  have OUT1-le':  $d\text{-OUT } (\text{Sup}(\text{fst}' M)) x \leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$  for  $x$ 
    using OUT1-le[of x] unfolding OUT1 by (simp add: split-beta')
  have k:  $\text{current } (\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon h) k$ 
  proof
    fix x
    show  $d\text{-OUT } k x \leq \text{weight } (\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon h) x$ 
      using a OUT1-na[of x] currentD-weight-OUT[OF hM2[OF hM], of x]
      currentD-weight-IN[OF  $\varepsilon h$ -curr, of x]
  
```

```

currentD-weight-IN[ $\text{OF } \varepsilon$ , of  $x$ ] OUT1-le'[of  $x$ ]
apply(auto simp add: diff-add-eq-diff-diff-swap-ennreal diff-add-assoc2-ennreal[symmetric]
      OUT- $k$  OUT- $\varepsilon$  OUT- $\varepsilon h$  IN- $\varepsilon h$  currentD-OUT'[ $\text{OF } \varepsilon$ ]
      IN- $\varepsilon$ [ $\text{OF Field}$ ]  $h.\text{currentD-OUT}'[\text{OF } h\text{-curr}]$ )
apply(subst diff-diff-commute-ennreal)
apply(intro ennreal-minus-mono)
apply(auto simp add: ennreal-le-minus-iff ac-simps less-imp-le OUT1)
done

have *: ( $\bigcup_{xa \in M} \text{fst } xa (a, x)$ )  $\leq d\text{-IN} (\text{Sup } (\text{fst}'M)) x$ 
  unfolding IN1 by (intro SUP-subset-mono) (auto simp: split-beta' d-IN-ge-point)
also have ...  $\leq \text{weight } \Gamma x$ 
  using IN1-le[of  $x$ ] IN1 by (simp add: split-beta')
finally show  $d\text{-IN } k x \leq \text{weight } (\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon h) x$ 
  using currentD-weight-IN[ $\text{OF } \varepsilon h\text{-curr}$ , of  $x$ ] currentD-weight-OUT[ $\text{OF } \varepsilon h\text{-curr}$ , of  $x$ ]
  currentD-weight-IN[ $\text{OF } hM^2[\text{OF } hM]$ , of  $x$ ] IN- $\varepsilon$ [ $\text{OF Field}$ , of  $x$ ] *
apply (auto simp add: IN- $k$  outgoing-def IN- $\varepsilon h$  IN- $\varepsilon A$ -in diff-add-eq-diff-diff-swap-ennreal)
apply (subst diff-diff-commute-ennreal)
apply (intro ennreal-minus-mono[ $\text{OF } -$  order-refl])
apply (auto simp add: ennreal-le-minus-iff ac-simps image-comp intro: order-trans add-mono)
done
show  $k e = 0$  if  $e \notin \mathbf{E}_\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon h$  for  $e$ 
  using that by (cases  $e$ ) (simp add: k-alt)
qed

define  $q$  where  $q = (\sum^+_{y \in B} (\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon h). d\text{-IN } k y - d\text{-OUT } k y)$ 
have  $q\text{-alt}$ :  $q = (\sum^+_{y \in -A} (\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon h). d\text{-IN } k y - d\text{-OUT } k y)$  using disjoint
by(auto simp add: q-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator currentD-outside-OUT[ $\text{OF } k$ ] currentD-outside-IN[ $\text{OF } k$ ] not-vertex split: split-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
have  $q\text{-simps}$ :  $q = d\text{-OUT} (\text{Sup } (\text{fst}' M)) a - d\text{-OUT } \varepsilon a$ 
proof -
have  $q = (\sum^+ y. d\text{-IN } k y)$  using a IN1 OUT1 OUT1-na unfolding q-alt
  by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator OUT- $k$  IN- $\varepsilon$ [ $\text{OF Field}$ ] OUT- $\varepsilon$  currentD-outside[ $\text{OF } \varepsilon$ ] outgoing-def no-loop A-in IN- $k$  intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
also have ... =  $d\text{-OUT} (\text{Sup } (\text{fst}' M)) a - d\text{-OUT } \varepsilon a$  using currentD-finite-OUT[ $\text{OF } \varepsilon$ , of  $a$ ]  $hM$  currentD-outside[ $\text{OF } SM1$ ] currentD-outside[ $\varepsilon$ ]
  by(subst d-OUT-diff[symmetric])(auto simp add: d-OUT-def IN- $k$  intro!: SUP-upper2 nn-integral-cong)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
have  $q\text{-finite}$ :  $q \neq \top$  using currentD-finite-OUT[ $\text{OF } SM1$ , of  $a$ ]
  by(simp add: q-simps)
have  $q\text{-nonneg}$ :  $0 \leq q$  using  $hM$  by(auto simp add: q-simps intro!: d-OUT-mono)

```

```

SUP-upper2)
have q-less-δ:  $q < \delta$  using close
  unfolding q-simps δ-def OUT-εh OUT-f
proof -
  let ?F = d-OUT (Sup (fst'M)) a and ?S = d-OUT (Sup (snd'M)) a
  and ?ε = d-OUT ε a and ?h = d-OUT h a and ?w = weight ( $\Gamma \ominus f$ ) z
- d-OUT g z
  have ?F + ?h ≤ ?F + ?S
    using hM by (auto intro!: add-mono d-OUT-mono SUP-upper2)
  also assume ?F + ?S < ?ε + ?h + ?w
  finally have ?h + ?F < ?h + (?w + ?ε)
    by (simp add: ac-simps)
  then show ?F - ?ε < ?w
  using currentD-finite-OUT[OF ε, of a] hM unfolding ennreal-add-left-cancel-less
    by (subst minus-less-iff-ennreal) (auto intro!: d-OUT-mono SUP-upper2
simp: less-top)
qed

define g' where g' = plus-current g (Sup (snd ' M) - h)
have g'-simps: g' e = g e + Sup (snd ' M) e - h e for e
using hM by (auto simp add: g'-def intro!: add-diff-eq-ennreal intro: SUP-upper2)
have OUT-g': d-OUT g' x = d-OUT g x + (d-OUT (Sup (snd ' M)) x -
d-OUT h x) for x
  unfolding g'-simps[abs-def] using εh.currentD-finite-OUT[OF k] hM
h.currentD-finite-OUT[OF h-curr] hM
  apply(subst d-OUT-diff)
  apply(auto simp add: add-diff-eq-ennreal[symmetric] k-simps intro: add-increasing
intro!: SUP-upper2)
  apply(subst d-OUT-add)
  apply(auto simp add: add-diff-eq-ennreal[symmetric] k-simps intro: add-increasing
intro!:")
  apply(simp add: add-diff-eq-ennreal SUP-apply[abs-def])
  apply(auto simp add: g'-def image-comp intro!: add-diff-eq-ennreal[symmetric]
d-OUT-mono intro: SUP-upper2)
  done
have IN-g': d-IN g' x = d-IN g x + (d-IN (Sup (snd ' M)) x - d-IN h x) for
x
  unfolding g'-simps[abs-def] using εh.currentD-finite-IN[OF k] hM h.currentD-finite-IN[OF
h-curr] hM
  apply(subst d-IN-diff)
  apply(auto simp add: add-diff-eq-ennreal[symmetric] k-simps intro: add-increasing
intro!: SUP-upper2)
  apply(subst d-IN-add)
  apply(auto simp add: add-diff-eq-ennreal[symmetric] k-simps intro: add-increasing
intro!: SUP-upper)
  apply(auto simp add: g'-def SUP-apply[abs-def] image-comp intro!: add-diff-eq-ennreal[symmetric]
d-IN-mono intro: SUP-upper2)
  done

```

```

have  $h': current (\Gamma \ominus Sup (fst' M)) h$  using  $hM$  by(rule  $hM2$ )
let  $\mathcal{?}\Gamma = \Gamma \ominus \mathcal{?}\varepsilon h \ominus k$ 
interpret  $\Gamma: web \mathcal{?}\Gamma$  using  $k$  by(rule  $\varepsilon h.web-minus-web$ )
note [ $simp$ ] =  $\varepsilon h.weight-minus-web[OF k] h.weight-minus-web[OF h-curr]$   

 $weight-minus-web[OF f-curr] SM1.weight-minus-web[OF h', simplified]$ 

interpret  $\Omega: countable-bipartite-web \Gamma \ominus f$  using  $f-curr$  by(rule  $countable-bipartite-web-minus-web$ )

have  $*: \Gamma \ominus f = \Gamma \ominus Sup (fst' M) \ominus Sup (snd' M)$  unfolding  $f\text{-def } F\text{-simps}$   

using  $SM1.current$  by(rule  $minus-plus-current$ )
have  $OUT\text{-}\varepsilon k: d\text{-}OUT (Sup (fst' M)) x = d\text{-}OUT \varepsilon x + d\text{-}OUT k x$  for  $x$   

using  $OUT1'[of x] currentD\text{-finite-}OUT[OF \varepsilon] hM$   

by(auto simp add:  $OUT\text{-}k OUT\text{-}\varepsilon add\text{-}diff\text{-}self\text{-}ennreal SUP\text{-}upper2$ )
have  $IN\text{-}\varepsilon k: d\text{-}IN (Sup (fst' M)) x = d\text{-}IN \varepsilon x + d\text{-}IN k x$  for  $x$   

using  $IN1'[of x] currentD\text{-finite-}IN[OF \varepsilon] currentD\text{-outside}[OF \varepsilon] cur-$   

 $rentD\text{-outside}[OF \varepsilon\text{-}curr]$   

by(auto simp add:  $IN\text{-}k IN\text{-}\varepsilon[OF Field] add\text{-}diff\text{-}self\text{-}ennreal split\text{-}beta nempty$   

 $image\text{-}comp$   

dest!:  $Chains\text{-}FieldD[OF M]$  intro!:  $SUP\text{-}eq\text{-}const$  intro!:  $SUP\text{-}upper2[OF$   

 $hM]$ )
have  $**: \mathcal{?}\Gamma = \Gamma \ominus Sup (fst' M) \ominus h$ 
proof(rule  $web.equality$ )
show  $weight \mathcal{?}\Gamma = weight (\Gamma \ominus Sup (fst' M) \ominus h)$ 
using  $OUT\text{-}\varepsilon k OUT\text{-}\varepsilon h currentD\text{-finite-}OUT[OF \varepsilon] IN\text{-}\varepsilon k IN\text{-}\varepsilon h cur-$   

 $rentD\text{-finite-}IN[OF \varepsilon]$   

by(auto simp add:  $diff\text{-}add\text{-}eq\text{-}diff\text{-}diff\text{-}swap\text{-}ennreal diff\text{-}diff\text{-}commute\text{-}ennreal$ )
qed simp-all
have  $g'\text{-}alt: g' = plus\text{-}current (Sup (snd' M)) g - h$   

by(simp add: fun\text{-}eq\text{-}iff  $g'\text{-}simps add\text{-}diff\text{-}eq\text{-}ennreal add\text{-}commute$ )

have  $current (\Gamma \ominus Sup (fst' M)) (plus\text{-}current (Sup (snd' M)) g)$  using  

 $current g$  unfolding *
by(rule  $SM1.current\text{-}plus\text{-}current\text{-}minus$ )
hence  $g': current \mathcal{?}\Gamma g'$  unfolding * **  $g'\text{-}alt$  using  $hM2[OF hM]$ 
by(rule  $SM1.current\text{-}minus$ )(auto intro!: add\text{-}increasing2 SUP\text{-}upper2 hM)

have  $wave (\Gamma \ominus Sup (fst' M)) (plus\text{-}current (Sup (snd' M)) g)$  using  $current$   

 $wave g g\text{-}w$ 
unfolding * by(rule  $SM1.wave\text{-}plus\text{-}current\text{-}minus$ )
then have  $g'\text{-}w: wave \mathcal{?}\Gamma g'$  unfolding * **  $g'\text{-}alt$  using  $hM2[OF hM]$ 
by(rule  $SM1.wave\text{-}minus$ )(auto intro!: add\text{-}increasing2 SUP\text{-}upper2 hM)

have  $hindrance\text{-}by \mathcal{?}\Gamma g' q$ 
proof
show  $z \in A \mathcal{?}\Gamma$  using  $z$  by simp
show  $z \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{?}\Gamma} (TER_{\mathcal{?}\Gamma} g')$ 
proof

```

```

assume  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\Omega}(\text{TER}_{\Omega} g')$ 
hence  $\text{OUT}_z \cdot d\text{-OUT}_{g'} z = 0$ 
and  $\text{ess: essential } \Omega(B \Gamma) (\text{TER}_{\Omega} g') z$  by(simp-all add: SINK.simps)
from  $\text{ess}$  obtain  $p y$  where  $p: \text{path } \Gamma z p y$  and  $y: y \in B \Gamma$ 
and  $\text{bypass: } \bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin \text{RF}(\text{TER}_{\Omega} g')$  by(rule essentialE-RF)
auto
from  $y$  have  $y': y \notin A \Gamma$  using disjoint by blast
from  $p z y$  obtain  $py: p = [y]$  and  $\text{edge: edge } \Gamma z y$  using disjoint
    by(cases)(auto 4 3 elim: rtranc-path.cases dest: bipartite-E)
hence  $y\text{RF}: y \notin \text{RF}(\text{TER}_{\Omega} g')$  using bypass[of y] by(auto)
with wave-not-RF-IN-zero[ $\text{OF } g' g'\text{-w}$ , of y] have  $\text{IN}_{g'\text{-y}}: d\text{-IN}_{g'} y = 0$ 
    by(auto intro: roofed-greaterI)
with  $y\text{RF } y y'$  have  $w\text{-y}: \text{weight } \Omega y > 0$  using currentD-OUT[ $\text{OF } g'$ ,
of y]
by(auto simp add: RF-in-B currentD-SAT[ $\text{OF } g'$ ] SINK.simps zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
have  $y \notin \text{SAT}(\Gamma \ominus f) g$ 
proof
    assume  $y \in \text{SAT}(\Gamma \ominus f) g$ 
    with  $y$  disjoint have  $\text{IN}_{g\text{-y}}: d\text{-IN}_g y = \text{weight } (\Gamma \ominus f) y$  by(auto simp
add: currentD-SAT[ $\text{OF } g]$ )
    have  $0 < \text{weight } \Gamma y - d\text{-IN}(\bigsqcup x \in M. \text{fst } x) y - d\text{-IN}_h y$ 
    using  $y' w\text{-y}$  unfolding ** by auto
    have  $d\text{-IN}_{g'} y > 0$ 
    using  $y' w\text{-y} hM$  unfolding **
    apply(simp add: IN-g' IN-f IN-g-y diff-add-eq-diff-diff-swap-ennreal)
    apply(subst add-diff-eq-ennreal)
    apply(auto intro!: SUP-upper2 d-IN-mono simp: diff-add-self-ennreal
diff-gt-0-iff-gt-ennreal)
    done
    with  $\text{IN}_{g'\text{-y}}$  show False by simp
qed
then have  $y \notin \text{TER}_{\Gamma \ominus f} g$  by simp
    with  $p y py$  have  $\text{essential } \Gamma(B \Gamma) (\text{TER}_{\Gamma \ominus f} g) z$  by(auto intro:
essentialI)
    moreover with  $z$  waveD-separating[ $\text{OF } g\text{-w}$ , THEN separating-RF-A]
have  $z \in \mathcal{E}_{\Omega}(\text{TER}_{\Omega} g)$ 
    by(auto simp add: RF-in-essential)
    with  $z\mathcal{E}$  show False by contradiction
qed
have  $\delta \leq \text{weight } \Omega z - d\text{-OUT}_{g'} z$ 
    unfolding **  $\text{OUT}_{g'} z$  using z
    apply (simp add: delta-def OUT-f diff-add-eq-diff-diff-swap-ennreal)
    apply (subst (5) diff-diff-commute-ennreal)
    apply (rule ennreal-minus-mono[ $\text{OF } - \text{order-refl}$ ])
    apply (auto simp add: ac-simps diff-add-eq-diff-diff-swap-ennreal[symmetric]
add-diff-self-ennreal image-comp
        intro!: ennreal-minus-mono[ $\text{OF } \text{order-refl}$ ] SUP-upper2[ $\text{OF } hM$ ]
d-OUT-mono)
    done

```

```

then show q-z:  $q < \text{weight } ?\Gamma z - d\text{-OUT } g' z$  using  $q\text{-less-}\delta$  by simp
  then show  $d\text{-OUT } g' z < \text{weight } ?\Gamma z$  using  $q\text{-nonneg } z$ 
  by(auto simp add: less-diff-eq-ennreal less-top[symmetric] ac-simps  $\Gamma.currentD\text{-finite-OUT}[OF$ 
 $g']$ 
    intro: le-less-trans[rotated] add-increasing)
qed
then have hindered-by: hindered-by  $(\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon h \ominus k) q$  using  $g' g'\text{-}w$  by(rule
hindered-by.intros)
  then have hindered  $(\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon h)$  using  $q\text{-finite unfolding } q\text{-def}$  by -(rule
 $\varepsilon h.hindered\text{-reduce-current}[OF k])$ 
    with unhindered-h show False unfolding F-simps by contradiction
qed
qed

define sat where sat =
 $(\lambda(\varepsilon, h).$ 
  let
     $f = F(\varepsilon, h);$ 
     $k = \text{SOME } k. current(\Gamma \ominus f) k \wedge wave(\Gamma \ominus f) k \wedge (\forall k'. current(\Gamma \ominus f)$ 
 $k' \wedge wave(\Gamma \ominus f) k' \wedge k \leq k' \longrightarrow k = k')$ 
  in
    if  $d\text{-OUT } (\text{plus-current } f k) a < \text{weight } \Gamma a$  then
      let
         $\Omega = \Gamma \ominus f \ominus k;$ 
         $y = \text{SOME } y. y \in \mathbf{OUT}_\Omega a \wedge \text{weight } \Omega y > 0;$ 
         $\delta = \text{SOME } \delta. \delta > 0 \wedge \delta < \text{enn2real } (\min(\text{weight } \Omega a) (\text{weight } \Omega y)) \wedge$ 
         $\neg \text{hindered } (\text{reduce-weight } \Omega y \delta)$ 
        in
          (plus-current  $\varepsilon$  (zero-current((a, y) :=  $\delta$ )), plus-current h k)
        else  $(\varepsilon, h)$ 
      else
    have zero: (zero-current, zero-current)  $\in Field\ leg$ 
      by(rule F-I)(simp-all add: unhindered F-def)

have a-TER:  $a \in TER_{\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h} k$ 
  if that:  $\varepsilon h \in Field\ leg$ 
  and k: current  $(\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h) k$  and k-w: wave  $(\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h) k$ 
  and less:  $d\text{-OUT } (\text{plus-current } (F \varepsilon h) k) a < \text{weight } \Gamma a$  for  $\varepsilon h k$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg ?thesis$ 
  hence  $\mathcal{E}: a \notin \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h} (TER_{\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h} k)$  by auto
  from that have f: current  $\Gamma (F \varepsilon h)$  and unhindered:  $\neg \text{hindered } (\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h)$ 
    by(cases  $\varepsilon h$ ; simp add: f unhindered'; fail)+

  from less have  $d\text{-OUT } k a < \text{weight } (\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h) a$  using a currentD-finite-OUT[OF
f, of a]
    by(simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-add less-diff-eq-ennreal add.commute
less-top[symmetric])
    with -  $\mathcal{E}$  have hindrance  $(\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h) k$  by(rule hindrance)(simp add: a)

```

then have hindered $(\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h)$ **using** $k k\text{-}w ..$
with unhindered show *False* **by contradiction**
qed

note *minus-web-sel(2)[simp del]*

let $?P\text{-}y = \lambda \varepsilon h k y. y \in \mathbf{OUT}_{\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h \ominus k} a \wedge \text{weight } (\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h \ominus k) y > 0$
have $\text{Ex}\text{-}y: \text{Ex } (?P\text{-}y \varepsilon h k)$

if that: $\varepsilon h \in \mathbf{Field}$ **leq**

and $k: \text{current } (\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h) k$ **and** $k\text{-}w: \text{wave } (\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h) k$

and less: $d\text{-OUT}(\text{plus-current } (F \varepsilon h) k) a < \text{weight } \Gamma a$ **for** $\varepsilon h k$

proof(rule *ccontr*)

let $?Omega = \Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h \ominus k$

assume $*: \neg ?thesis$

interpret $\Gamma: \text{countable-bipartite-web}$ $\Gamma \ominus F \varepsilon h$ **using** $f[\text{OF that}]$ **by**(rule *countable-bipartite-web-minus-web*)

note $[simp] = \text{weight-minus-web}[\text{OF } f[\text{OF that}]] \Gamma.\text{weight-minus-web}[\text{OF } k]$

have *hindrance* $?Omega$ *zero-current*

proof

show $a \in A ?Omega$ **using** a **by** *simp*

show $a \notin \mathcal{E} ?Omega$ ($\text{TER} ?Omega$ *zero-current*) (**is** $a \notin \mathcal{E}_- ?TER$)

proof

assume $a \in \mathcal{E} ?Omega ?TER$

then obtain $p y$ **where** $p: \text{path } ?Omega a p y$ **and** $y: y \in B ?Omega$

and bypass: $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } p \implies z \notin RF ?Omega ?TER$ **by**(rule *E-E-RF*)(*auto*)

from $a p y$ **disjoint** **have** *Nil*: $p \neq []$ **by**(*auto simp add: rtrancl-path-simps*)

hence edge $?Omega a (p ! 0) p ! 0 \notin RF ?Omega ?TER$

using *rtrancl-path-nth* [$OF p$, $of 0$] **bypass** **by** *auto*

with $*$ **show** *False* **by**(*auto simp add: not-less outgoing-def intro: roofed-greaterI*)

qed

have $d\text{-OUT}(\text{plus-current } (F \varepsilon h) k) x = d\text{-OUT}(F \varepsilon h) x + d\text{-OUT} k x$ **for**

x

by(*simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-add*)

then show $d\text{-OUT zero-current } a < \text{weight } ?Omega a$ **using** *less a-TER* [OF *that* $k k\text{-}w$ *less* a]

by(*simp add: SINK.simps diff-gr0-ennreal*)

qed

hence hindered $?Omega$

by(*auto intro!: hindered.intros order-trans* [OF *currentD-weight-OUT* [$OF k$]]
order-trans [OF *currentD-weight-IN* [$OF k$]])

moreover have $\neg \text{hindered } ?Omega$ **using** *unhindered'* [OF *that*] $k k\text{-}w$ **by**(rule
 $\Gamma.\text{unhindered-minus-web}$)

ultimately show *False* **by** *contradiction*

qed

have *increasing*: $\varepsilon h \leq \text{sat } \varepsilon h \wedge \text{sat } \varepsilon h \in \mathbf{Field}$ **leq** **if** $\varepsilon h \in \mathbf{Field}$ **leq** **for** εh

```

proof(cases  $\varepsilon h$ )
  case (Pair  $\varepsilon h$ )
    with that have that:  $(\varepsilon, h) \in \text{Field}$  leg by simp
    have  $f$ : current  $\Gamma (F(\varepsilon, h))$  and unhindered:  $\neg \text{hindered} (\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h))$ 
      and  $\varepsilon$ : current  $\Gamma \varepsilon$ 
      and  $h$ : current  $(\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon)$   $h$  and  $h$ -w: wave  $(\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon)$   $h$  and  $OUT$ - $\varepsilon$ :  $x \neq a \implies d$ -OUT  $\varepsilon x = 0$  for  $x$ 
        using that by(rule  $f$  unhindered'  $\varepsilon$ -curr  $OUT$ - $\varepsilon$   $h$   $h$ -w)+
        interpret  $\Gamma$ : countable-bipartite-web  $\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h)$  using  $f$  by(rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web)
        note [simp] = weight-minus-web[OF  $f$ ]

      let  $?P-k = \lambda k. \text{current} (\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h)) k \wedge \text{wave} (\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h)) k \wedge (\forall k'. \text{current} (\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h)) k' \wedge \text{wave} (\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h)) k' \wedge k \leq k' \implies k = k')$ 
      define  $k$  where  $k = \text{Eps } ?P-k$ 
      have  $\text{Ex } ?P-k$  by(intro ex-maximal-wave)(simp-all)
      hence  $?P-k k$  unfolding  $k$ -def by(rule someI-ex)
      hence  $k$ : current  $(\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h)) k$  and  $k$ -w: wave  $(\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h)) k$ 
        and maximal:  $\bigwedge k'. [\text{current} (\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h)) k'; \text{wave} (\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h)) k'; k \leq k'] \implies k = k'$  by blast+
      note [simp] =  $\Gamma.\text{weight-minus-web}[OF k]$ 

      let  $?fk = \text{plus-current} (F(\varepsilon, h)) k$ 
      have  $IN$ -fk:  $d$ -IN  $?fk x = d$ -IN  $(F(\varepsilon, h)) x + d$ -IN  $k x$  for  $x$ 
        by(simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-add)
      have  $OUT$ -fk:  $d$ -OUT  $?fk x = d$ -OUT  $(F(\varepsilon, h)) x + d$ -OUT  $k x$  for  $x$ 
        by(simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-add)
      have  $fk$ : current  $\Gamma ?fk$  using  $f k$  by(rule current-plus-current-minus)

      show  $?thesis$ 
      proof(cases  $d$ -OUT  $?fk a < weight \Gamma a$ )
        case less:  $\text{True}$ 

        define  $\Omega$  where  $\Omega = \Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h) \ominus k$ 
        have  $B$ - $\Omega$  [simp]:  $B \Omega = B \Gamma$  by(simp add:  $\Omega$ -def)

        have loose:  $loose \Omega$  unfolding  $\Omega$ -def using unhindered  $k$   $k$ -w maximal by(rule  $\Gamma.loose-minus-web$ )
        interpret  $\Omega$ : countable-bipartite-web  $\Omega$  using  $k$  unfolding  $\Omega$ -def
          by(rule  $\Gamma.\text{countable-bipartite-web-minus-web}$ )

        have  $a$ - $\mathcal{E}$ :  $a \in TER_{\Gamma \ominus F(\varepsilon, h)} k$  using that  $k$   $k$ -w less by(rule a-TER)
        then have  $weight$ - $\Omega$ - $a$ :  $weight \Omega a = weight \Gamma a - d$ -OUT  $(F(\varepsilon, h)) a$ 
          using a disjoint by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def  $\Omega$ -def SINK.simps)
        then have  $weight$ - $a$ :  $0 < weight \Omega a$  using less a $\mathcal{E}$ 
          by(simp add: OUT-fk SINK.simps diff-gr0-ennreal)

      let  $?P-y = \lambda y. y \in \text{OUT}_{\Omega} a \wedge weight \Omega y > 0$ 
      define  $y$  where  $y = \text{Eps } ?P-y$ 

```

```

have Ex ?P-y using that k k-w less unfolding Ω-def by(rule Ex-y)
hence ?P-y y unfolding y-def by(rule someI-ex)
hence y-OUT: y ∈ OUTΩ a and weight-y: weight Ω y > 0 by blast+
from y-OUT have y-B: y ∈ B Ω by(auto simp add: outgoing-def Ω-def dest:
bipartite-E)
with weight-y have yRF: y ∉ RFΓ ⊕ F(ε, h) (TERΓ ⊕ F(ε, h) k)
unfolding Ω-def using currentD-OUT[OF k, of y] disjoint
by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: SINK.simps currentD-SAT[OF k]
rooted-circ-def RF-in-B Γ.currentD-finite-IN[OF k])
hence IN-k-y: d-IN k y = 0 by(rule wave-not-RF-IN-zero[OF k k-w])

define bound where bound = enn2real (min (weight Ω a) (weight Ω y))
have bound-pos: bound > 0 using weight-y weight-a using Ω.weight-finite
by(cases weight Ω a weight Ω y rule: ennreal2-cases)
(simp-all add: bound-def min-def split: if-split-asm)

let ?P-δ = λδ. δ > 0 ∧ δ < bound ∧ ¬ hindered (reduce-weight Ω y δ)
define δ where δ = Eps ?P-δ
let ?Ω = reduce-weight Ω y δ

from Ω.unhinder[OF loose - weight-y bound-pos] y-B disjoint
have Ex ?P-δ by(auto simp add: Ω-def)
hence ?P-δ δ unfolding δ-def by(rule someI-ex)
hence δ-pos: 0 < δ and δ-le-bound: δ < bound and unhindered': ¬ hindered
?Ω by blast+
from δ-pos have δ-nonneg: 0 ≤ δ by simp
from δ-le-bound δ-pos have δ-le-a: δ < weight Ω a and δ-le-y: δ < weight Ω
y
by(cases weight Ω a weight Ω y rule: ennreal2-cases;
simp add: bound-def min-def ennreal-less-iff split: if-split-asm)+

let ?Γ = Γ ⊕ ?fk
interpret Γ': countable-bipartite-web ?Γ by(rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web
fk)+
note [simp] = weight-minus-web[OF fk]

let ?g = zero-current((a, y) := δ)
have OUT-g: d-OUT ?g x = (if x = a then δ else 0) for x
proof(rule trans)
show d-OUT ?g x = (∑+ z. (if x = a then δ else 0) * indicator {y} z)
unfolding d-OUT-def
by(rule nn-integral-cong) simp
show ... = (if x = a then δ else 0) using δ-pos by(simp add: max-def)
qed
have IN-g: d-IN ?g x = (if x = y then δ else 0) for x
proof(rule trans)
show d-IN ?g x = (∑+ z. (if x = y then δ else 0) * indicator {a} z)
unfolding d-IN-def
by(rule nn-integral-cong) simp

```

```

show ... = (if  $x = y$  then  $\delta$  else 0) using  $\delta\text{-pos}$  by(simp add: max-def)
qed

have  $g$ : current  $\Gamma$  ? $g$ 
proof
  show  $d\text{-OUT } ?g x \leq weight \ ?\Gamma x$  for  $x$ 
    proof(cases  $x = a$ )
      case False
        then show ?thesis using currentD-weight-OUT[ $OF fk$ , of  $x$ ] currentD-weight-IN[ $OF fk$ , of  $x$ ]
          by(auto simp add: OUT-g zero-ennreal-def[symmetric])
      next
        case True
        then show ?thesis using  $\delta\text{-le-}a$  a a-E  $\delta\text{-pos}$  unfolding OUT-g
          by(simp add: OUT-g  $\Omega$ -def SINK.simps OUT-fk split: if-split-asm)
      qed
      show  $d\text{-IN } ?g x \leq weight \ ?\Gamma x$  for  $x$ 
      proof(cases  $x = y$ )
        case False
          then show ?thesis using currentD-weight-OUT[ $OF fk$ , of  $x$ ] currentD-weight-IN[ $OF fk$ , of  $x$ ]
            by(auto simp add: IN-g zero-ennreal-def[symmetric])
        next
          case True
          then show ?thesis using  $\delta\text{-le-}y$  y-B a-E  $\delta\text{-pos}$  currentD-OUT[ $OF k$ , of  $y$ ]
             $IN\text{-}k\text{-}y$ 
            by(simp add: OUT-g  $\Omega$ -def SINK.simps OUT-fk IN-fk IN-g split: if-split-asm)
          qed
          show ?g  $e = 0$  if  $e \notin E_{\Gamma}$  for  $e$  using y-OUT that by(auto simp add:  $\Omega$ -def outgoing-def)
        qed
      interpret  $\Gamma'': web \Gamma \ominus ?fk \ominus ?g$  using g by(rule  $\Gamma'.web\text{-minus}\text{-}web$ )
      let ? $\varepsilon'$  = plus-current  $\varepsilon$  (zero-current(( $a, y$ ) :=  $\delta$ ))
      let ? $h'$  = plus-current  $h$   $k$ 
      have  $F': F (? $\varepsilon', ?h') = plus\text{-current} (plus\text{-current} (F (\varepsilon, h)) k) (zero\text{-current} ((a, y) := \delta))$  (is - = ? $f'$ )
        by(auto simp add: F-simps fun-eq-iff add-ac)
      have sat:  $sat (\varepsilon, h) = (? $\varepsilon', ?h')$  using less
        by(simp add: sat-def k-def  $\Omega$ -def Let-def y-def bound-def  $\delta$ -def)

      have le:  $(\varepsilon, h) \leq (? $\varepsilon', ?h')$  using  $\delta\text{-pos}$ 
        by(auto simp add: le-fun-def add-increasing2 add-increasing)

      have current ( $\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon$ )  $((\lambda\_. 0)((a, y) := ennreal \delta))$  using g
        by(rule current-weight-mono)(auto simp add: weight-minus-web[ $OF \varepsilon$ ] intro!: ennreal-minus-mono d-OUT-mono d-IN-mono, simp-all add: F-def add-increasing2)
        with  $\varepsilon$  have  $\varepsilon'': current \Gamma ?\varepsilon'$  by(rule current-plus-current-minus)$$$ 
```

moreover have $\text{eq-0: } d\text{-OUT } ?\varepsilon' x = 0 \text{ if } x \neq a \text{ for } x \text{ unfolding plus-current-def}$
using that
by(*subst d-OUT-add*)(*simp-all add: δ-nonneg d-OUT-fun-upd OUT-ε*)
moreover
from ε' **interpret** ε' : *countable-bipartite-web* $\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon'$ **by**(*rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web*)
from ε **interpret** ε : *countable-bipartite-web* $\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon$ **by**(*rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web*)
have g' : *current* ($\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon$) $?g$ **using** g
apply(*rule current-weight-mono*)
apply(*auto simp add: weight-minus-web[OF ε] intro!: ennreal-minus-mono*
d-OUT-mono d-IN-mono)
apply(*simp-all add: F-def add-increasing2*)
done
have k' : *current* ($\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon \ominus h$) k **using** k **unfolding** *F-simps minus-plus-current[OF ε h]*.
with h **have** *current* ($\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon$) (*plus-current h k*) **by**(*rule ε.current-plus-current-minus*)
hence *current* ($\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon$) (*plus-current (plus-current h k) ?g*) **using** g **unfolding**
minus-plus-current[OF f k]
unfolding *F-simps minus-plus-current[OF ε h] ε.minus-plus-current[OF h k', symmetric]*
by(*rule ε.current-plus-current-minus*)
then have *current* ($\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon \ominus ?g$) (*plus-current (plus-current h k) ?g - ?g*)
using g'
by(*rule ε.current-minus*)(*auto simp add: add-increasing*)
then have h'' : *current* ($\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon'$) $?h'$
by(*rule arg-cong2[where f=current, THEN iffD1, rotated -1]*)
(*simp-all add: minus-plus-current[OF ε g'] fun-eq-iff add-diff-eq-ennreal[symmetric]*)
moreover have *wave* ($\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon'$) $?h'$
proof
have *separating* ($\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon$) (*TER $_{\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon}$ (plus-current h k)*)
using k *k-w unfolding* *F-simps minus-plus-current[OF ε h]*
by(*intro waveD-separating ε.wave-plus-current-minus[OF h h-w]*)
moreover have *TER $_{\Gamma \ominus \varepsilon}$ (plus-current h k) ⊆ TER $_{\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon'}$ (plus-current h k))
by(*auto 4 4 simp add: SAT.simps weight-minus-web[OF ε] weight-minus-web[OF ε'] split: if-split-asm elim: order-trans[rotated] intro!: ennreal-minus-mono d-IN-mono add-increasing2 δ-nonneg*)
ultimately show *sep: separating* ($\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon'$) (*TER $_{\Gamma \ominus ?\varepsilon'}$?h'*)
by(*simp add: minus-plus-current[OF ε g'] separating-weakening*)
qed(rule h'')
moreover
have \neg *hindered* ($\Gamma \ominus F (?\varepsilon', ?h')$) **using** *unhindered'*
proof(rule contrapos-nn)
assume *hindered* ($\Gamma \ominus F (?\varepsilon', ?h')$)
thus hindered $?Ω$
proof(rule hindered-mono-web[rotated -1])
show *weight* $?Ω z = weight$ ($\Gamma \ominus F (?\varepsilon', ?h')$) z **if** $z \notin A$ ($\Gamma \ominus F (?\varepsilon', ?h')$) **for** z
using that unfolding *F'*
apply(*cases z = y*)*

```

apply(simp-all add: Ω-def minus-plus-current[OF fk g] Γ'.weight-minus-web[OF
g] IN-g)
  apply(simp-all add: plus-current-def d-IN-add diff-add-eq-diff-diff-swap-ennreal
currentD-finite-IN[OF f])
    done
    have y ≠ a using y-B a disjoint by auto
    then show weight (Γ ⊕ F (?ε', ?h')) z ≤ weight ?Ω z if z ∈ A (Γ ⊕ F
(?ε', ?h')) for z
      using that y-B disjoint δ-nonneg unfolding F'
      apply(cases z = a)
      apply(simp-all add: Ω-def minus-plus-current[OF fk g] Γ'.weight-minus-web[OF
g] OUT-g)
        apply(auto simp add: plus-current-def d-OUT-add diff-add-eq-diff-diff-swap-ennreal
currentD-finite-OUT[OF f])
          done
          qed(simp-all add: Ω-def)
        qed
        ultimately have (?ε', ?h') ∈ Field leq by-(rule F-I)
        with Pair le sat that show ?thesis by(auto)
      next
        case False
        with currentD-weight-OUT[OF fk, of a] have d-OUT ?fk a = weight Γ a by
simp
        have sat εh = εh using False Pair by(simp add: sat-def k-def)
        thus ?thesis using that Pair by(auto)
      qed
    qed

    have bourbaki-witt-fixpoint Sup leq sat using increasing chain-Field unfolding
leq-def
    by(intro bourbaki-witt-fixpoint-restrict-rel)(auto intro: Sup-upper Sup-least)
    then interpret bourbaki-witt-fixpoint Sup leq sat .

define f where f = fixp-above (zero-current, zero-current)
have Field: f ∈ Field leq using fixp-above-Field[OF zero] unfolding f-def .
then have f: current Γ (F f) and unhindered: ¬ hindered (Γ ⊕ F f)
  by(cases f; simp add: f unhindered'; fail)+
interpret Γ: countable-bipartite-web Γ ⊕ F f using f by(rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web)
note [simp] = weight-minus-web[OF f]
have Field': (fst f, snd f) ∈ Field leq using Field by simp

let ?P-k = λk. current (Γ ⊕ F f) k ∧ wave (Γ ⊕ F f) k ∧ (forall k'. current (Γ ⊕ F
f) k' ∧ wave (Γ ⊕ F f) k' ∧ k ≤ k' → k = k')
define k where k = Eps ?P-k
have Ex ?P-k by(intro ex-maximal-wave)(simp-all)
hence ?P-k k unfolding k-def by(rule someI-ex)
hence k: current (Γ ⊕ F f) k and k-w: wave (Γ ⊕ F f) k
  and maximal: ∀k'. [ current (Γ ⊕ F f) k'; wave (Γ ⊕ F f) k'; k ≤ k' ] ⇒ k
= k' by blast+

```

```

note [simp] =  $\Gamma.\text{weight-minus-web}[OF\ k]$ 

let ?fk = plus-current ( $F\ f$ )  $k$ 
have IN-fk:  $d\text{-IN}\ ?fk\ x = d\text{-IN}\ (F\ f)\ x + d\text{-IN}\ k\ x$  for  $x$ 
  by(simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-add)
have OUT-fk:  $d\text{-OUT}\ ?fk\ x = d\text{-OUT}\ (F\ f)\ x + d\text{-OUT}\ k\ x$  for  $x$ 
  by(simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-add)
have fk: current  $\Gamma\ ?fk$  using  $f\ k$  by(rule current-plus-current-minus)

have d-OUT ?fk  $a \geq \text{weight}\ \Gamma\ a$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg\ ?thesis$ 
  hence less:  $d\text{-OUT}\ ?fk\ a < \text{weight}\ \Gamma\ a$  by simp

define  $\Omega$  where  $\Omega = \Gamma \ominus F\ f \ominus k$ 
have B- $\Omega$  [simp]:  $B\ \Omega = B\ \Gamma$  by(simp add:  $\Omega$ -def)

have loose: loose  $\Omega$  unfolding  $\Omega$ -def using unhindered  $k\ k\text{-}w$  maximal by(rule
 $\Gamma.\text{loose-minus-web})$ 
interpret  $\Omega$ : countable-bipartite-web  $\Omega$  using  $k$  unfolding  $\Omega$ -def
  by(rule  $\Gamma.\text{countable-bipartite-web-minus-web})$ 

have a- $\mathcal{E}$ :  $a \in \text{TER}_{\Gamma \ominus F\ f}\ k$  using Field  $k\ k\text{-}w$  less by(rule a-TER)
then have weight  $\Omega\ a = \text{weight}\ \Gamma\ a - d\text{-OUT}\ (F\ f)\ a$ 
  using a disjoint by(auto simp add: roofed-circ-def  $\Omega$ -def SINK.simps)
then have weight-a:  $0 < \text{weight}\ \Omega\ a$  using less a- $\mathcal{E}$ 
  by(simp add: OUT-fk SINK.simps diff-gr0-ennreal)

let ?P-y =  $\lambda y. y \in \text{OUT}_{\Omega}\ a \wedge \text{weight}\ \Omega\ y > 0$ 
define y where  $y = \text{Eps}\ ?P-y$ 
have Ex ?P-y using Field  $k\ k\text{-}w$  less unfolding  $\Omega$ -def by(rule Ex-y)
hence ?P-y y unfolding y-def by(rule someI-ex)
hence  $y \in \text{OUT}_{\Omega}\ a$  and weight-y:  $\text{weight}\ \Omega\ y > 0$  by blast+
then have y-B:  $y \in B\ \Omega$  by(auto simp add: outgoing-def  $\Omega$ -def dest: bipartite-E)

define bound where bound = enn2real (min (weight  $\Omega\ a$ ) (weight  $\Omega\ y$ ))
have bound-pos: bound > 0 using weight-y weight-a  $\Omega$ .weight-finite
  by(cases weight  $\Omega\ a$  weight  $\Omega\ y$  rule: ennreal2-cases)
    (simp-all add: bound-def min-def split: if-split-asm)

let ?P- $\delta$  =  $\lambda\delta. \delta > 0 \wedge \delta < \text{bound} \wedge \neg\ hindered\ (\text{reduce-weight}\ \Omega\ y\ \delta)$ 
define  $\delta$  where  $\delta = \text{Eps}\ ?P-\delta$ 
from  $\Omega.\text{unhinder}[OF\ loose\ -\ \text{weight}-y\ bound\text{-pos}]\ y\text{-}B$  disjoint have Ex ?P- $\delta$ 
  by(auto simp add:  $\Omega$ -def)
hence ?P- $\delta\ \delta$  unfolding  $\delta$ -def by(rule someI-ex)
hence  $\delta\text{-pos}: 0 < \delta$  by blast+

let ?f' = (plus-current (fst f) (zero-current((a, y) :=  $\delta$ )), plus-current (snd f)
  k)

```

```

have sat:  $?f' = sat f$  using less by(simp add: sat-def k-def  $\Omega$ -def Let-def y-def bound-def  $\delta$ -def split-def)
also have ... = f unfolding f-def using fixp-above-unfold[ $OF$  zero] by simp
finally have fst  $?f'(a, y) = fst f(a, y)$  by simp
hence  $\delta = 0$  using currentD-finite[ $OF$   $\varepsilon$ -curr[ $OF$  Field']]  $\delta$ -pos
by(cases fst f (a, y)) simp-all
with  $\delta$ -pos show False by simp
qed

with currentD-weight-OUT[ $OF$  fk, of a] have d-OUT  $?fk a = weight \Gamma a$  by simp
moreover have current  $\Gamma ?fk$  using f k by(rule current-plus-current-minus)
moreover have  $\neg hindered(\Gamma \ominus ?fk)$  unfolding minus-plus-current[ $OF$  f k]
using unhindered k k-w by(rule  $\Gamma.unhindered-minus-web$ )
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

```

10.5 Linkability of unhindered bipartite webs

```

context countable-bipartite-web begin

theorem unhindered-linkable:
assumes unhindered:  $\neg hindered \Gamma$ 
shows linkable  $\Gamma$ 
proof(cases A  $\Gamma = \{\}$ )
case True
thus ?thesis by(auto intro!: exI[where x=zero-current] linkage.intros simp add: web-flow-iff)
next
case nempty: False
let ?P =  $\lambda f a f'. current(\Gamma \ominus f) f' \wedge d\text{-OUT } f' a = weight(\Gamma \ominus f) a \wedge \neg hindered(\Gamma \ominus f \ominus f')$ 
define enum where enum = from-nat-into (A  $\Gamma$ )
have enum-A: enum n  $\in$  A  $\Gamma$  for n using from-nat-into[ $OF$  nempty, of n]
by(simp add: enum-def)
have vertex-enum [simp]: vertex  $\Gamma$  (enum n) for n using enum-A[of n] A-vertex
by blast

define f where f = rec-nat zero-current ( $\lambda n f. let f' = SOME f'. ?P f (enum n) f' in plus-current f f'$ )
have f-0 [simp]: f 0 = zero-current by(simp add: f-def)
have f-Suc: f (Suc n) = plus-current (f n) (Eps (?P (f n) (enum n))) for n
by(simp add: f-def)

have f: current  $\Gamma$  (f n)

```

```

and sat:  $\bigwedge m. m < n \implies d\text{-OUT}(f n) (\text{enum } m) = \text{weight } \Gamma (\text{enum } m)$ 
and unhindered:  $\neg \text{hindered } (\Gamma \ominus f n) \text{ for } n$ 
proof(induction n)
  case 0
    { case 1 thus ?case by simp }
    { case 2 thus ?case by simp }
    { case 3 thus ?case using unhindered by simp }
  next
    case (Suc n)
    interpret  $\Gamma$ : countable-bipartite-web  $\Gamma \ominus f n$  using Suc.IH(1) by(rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web)

    define  $f'$  where  $f' = \text{Eps} (\text{?P } (f n) (\text{enum } n))$ 
    have  $\text{Ex } (\text{?P } (f n) (\text{enum } n))$  using Suc.IH(3) by(rule  $\Gamma$ .unhindered-saturate1)(simp add: enum-A)
      hence  $\text{?P } (f n) (\text{enum } n) f'$  unfolding  $f'$ -def by(rule someI-ex)
      hence  $f': \text{current } (\Gamma \ominus f n) f'$ 
        and OUT:  $d\text{-OUT } f' (\text{enum } n) = \text{weight } (\Gamma \ominus f n) (\text{enum } n)$ 
        and unhindered':  $\neg \text{hindered } (\Gamma \ominus f n \ominus f')$  by blast+
      have  $f\text{-Suc}: f (\text{Suc } n) = \text{plus-current } (f n) f'$  by(simp add:  $f'$ -def f-Suc)
        { case 1 show ?case unfolding f-Suc using Suc.IH(1)  $f'$  by(rule current-plus-current-minus) }
        note  $f'' = \text{this}$ 
        { case (2 m)
          have  $d\text{-OUT } (f (\text{Suc } n)) (\text{enum } m) \leq \text{weight } \Gamma (\text{enum } m)$  using  $f''$  by(rule currentD-weight-OUT)
          moreover have  $\text{weight } \Gamma (\text{enum } m) \leq d\text{-OUT } (f (\text{Suc } n)) (\text{enum } m)$ 
        proof(cases m = n)
          case True
          then show ?thesis unfolding f-Suc using OUT True
            by(simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-add enum-A add-diff-self-ennreal less-imp-le)
        next
          case False
          hence  $m < n$  using 2 by simp
          thus ?thesis using Suc.IH(2)[OF ‹m < n›] unfolding f-Suc
            by(simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-add add-increasing2 )
        qed
        ultimately show ?case by(rule antisym) }
        { case 3 show ?case unfolding f-Suc minus-plus-current[OF Suc.IH(1)  $f'$ ] by(rule unhindered') }
      qed
      interpret  $\Gamma$ : countable-bipartite-web  $\Gamma \ominus f n$  for n using f by(rule countable-bipartite-web-minus-web)

      have  $\text{Ex-P}: \text{Ex } (\text{?P } (f n) (\text{enum } n)) \text{ for } n$  using unhindered by(rule  $\Gamma$ .unhindered-saturate1)(simp add: enum-A)
      have f-mono:  $f n \leq f (\text{Suc } n) \text{ for } n$  using someI-ex[OF Ex-P, of n]
        by(auto simp add: le-fun-def f-Suc enum-A intro: add-increasing2 dest: )
    
```

```

hence incseq: incseq f by(rule incseq-SucI)
hence chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain ( $\leq$ ) (range f) by(rule incseq-chain-range)

define g where g = Sup (range f)
have support-flow g  $\subseteq$  E
  by (auto simp add: g-def support-flow.simps currentD-outside [OF f] image-comp
    elim: contrapos-pp)
then have countable-g: countable (support-flow g) by(rule countable-subset) simp
  with chain - - have g: current  $\Gamma$  g unfolding g-def by(rule current-Sup)(auto
    simp add: f)
moreover
have d-OUT g x = weight  $\Gamma$  x if x  $\in$  A  $\Gamma$  for x
proof(rule antisym)
  show d-OUT g x  $\leq$  weight  $\Gamma$  x using g by(rule currentD-weight-OUT)
  have countable (A  $\Gamma$ ) using A-vertex by(rule countable-subset) simp
  from that subset-range-from-nat-into[OF this] obtain n where x = enum n
  unfolding enum-def by blast
  with sat[of n Suc n] have d-OUT (f (Suc n)) x  $\geq$  weight  $\Gamma$  x by simp
  then show weight  $\Gamma$  x  $\leq$  d-OUT g x using countable-g unfolding g-def
    by(subst d-OUT-Sup[OF chain])(auto intro: SUP-upper2)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: web-flow-iff linkage.simps)
qed

end

```

```

context countable-web begin

theorem loose-linkable: — Theorem 6.2
  assumes loose  $\Gamma$ 
  shows linkable  $\Gamma$ 
proof -
  interpret bi: countable-bipartite-web bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$  by(rule countable-bipartite-web-of)
  have  $\neg$  hindered (bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$ ) using assms by(rule unhindered-bipartite-web-of)
  then have linkable (bipartite-web-of  $\Gamma$ )
    by(rule bi.unhindered-linkable)
  then show ?thesis by(rule linkable-bipartite-web-ofD) simp
qed

lemma ex-orthogonal-current: — Lemma 4.15
   $\exists f S. \text{web-flow } \Gamma f \wedge \text{separating } \Gamma S \wedge \text{orthogonal-current } \Gamma f S$ 
  by(rule ex-orthogonal-current')(rule countable-web.loose-linkable[OF countable-web-quotient-web])

```

end

10.6 Glueing the reductions together

context countable-network **begin**

context begin

qualified lemma *max-flow-min-cut'*:

assumes *source-in*: $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x$ (*source* Δ)
and *sink-out*: $\bigwedge y. \neg \text{edge } \Delta (\text{sink } \Delta) y$
and *undead*: $\bigwedge x y. \text{edge } \Delta x y \implies (\exists z. \text{edge } \Delta y z) \vee (\exists z. \text{edge } \Delta z x)$
and *source-sink*: $\neg \text{edge } \Delta (\text{source } \Delta) (\text{sink } \Delta)$
and *no-loop*: $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x x$
and *capacity-pos*: $\bigwedge e. e \in \mathbf{E} \implies \text{capacity } \Delta e > 0$
shows $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S$
by(rule *max-flow-min-cut'*)(rule *countable-web.ex-orthogonal-current[OF countable-web-web-of-network]*, fact+)

qualified lemma *max-flow-min-cut''*:

assumes *sink-out*: $\bigwedge y. \neg \text{edge } \Delta (\text{sink } \Delta) y$
and *source-in*: $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x$ (*source* Δ)
and *no-loop*: $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x x$
and *capacity-pos*: $\bigwedge e. e \in \mathbf{E} \implies \text{capacity } \Delta e > 0$
shows $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S$

proof –

interpret *antiparallel-edges* Δ ..
interpret $\Delta'': \text{countable-network}$ Δ'' **by**(rule $\Delta''\text{-countable-network}$)
have $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta'' f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta'' S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta'' f S$
by(rule $\Delta''.\text{max-flow-min-cut}'$)(auto simp add: *sink-out source-in no-loop capacity-pos elim: edg.cases*)
then obtain $f S$ **where** $f: \text{flow } \Delta'' f$ **and** $\text{cut}: \text{cut } \Delta'' S$ **and** $\text{ortho}: \text{orthogonal } \Delta'' f S$ **by** *blast*
have $\text{flow } \Delta (\text{collect } f)$ **using** f **by**(rule *flow-collect*)
moreover have $\text{cut } \Delta (\text{cut}' S)$ **using** cut **by**(rule *cut-cut'*)
moreover have $\text{orthogonal } \Delta (\text{collect } f) (\text{cut}' S)$ **using** $\text{ortho } f$ **by**(rule *orthogonal-cut'*)
ultimately show ?thesis **by** *blast*
qed

qualified lemma *max-flow-min-cut'''*:

assumes *sink-out*: $\bigwedge y. \neg \text{edge } \Delta (\text{sink } \Delta) y$
and *source-in*: $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{edge } \Delta x$ (*source* Δ)
and *capacity-pos*: $\bigwedge e. e \in \mathbf{E} \implies \text{capacity } \Delta e > 0$
shows $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S$

proof –

interpret *antiparallel-edges* Δ ..
interpret $\Delta'': \text{countable-network}$ Δ'' **by**(rule $\Delta''\text{-countable-network}$)
have $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta'' f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta'' S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta'' f S$
by(rule $\Delta''.\text{max-flow-min-cut}''$)(auto simp add: *sink-out source-in capacity-pos elim: edg.cases*)
then obtain $f S$ **where** $f: \text{flow } \Delta'' f$ **and** $\text{cut}: \text{cut } \Delta'' S$ **and** $\text{ortho}: \text{orthogonal } \Delta'' f S$ **by** *blast*
have $\text{flow } \Delta (\text{collect } f)$ **using** f **by**(rule *flow-collect*)

```

moreover have cut  $\Delta$  ( $cut' S$ ) using cut by(rule cut-cut')
moreover have orthogonal  $\Delta$  ( $collect f$ ) ( $cut' S$ ) using ortho  $f$  by(rule orthogonal-cut')
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

theorem max-flow-min-cut:
 $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S$ 

proof -
interpret  $\Delta'''$ : countable-network  $\Delta'''$  by(rule  $\Delta'''$ -countable-network)
have  $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta''' f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta''' S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta''' f S$  by(rule  $\Delta'''$ .max-flow-min-cut''')
auto
then obtain  $f S$  where  $f: \text{flow } \Delta''' f$  and  $\text{cut}: \text{cut } \Delta''' S$  and  $\text{ortho}: \text{orthogonal } \Delta''' f S$  by blast
from flow- $\Delta'''$ [OF this] show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

end

end

```

```

theory Max-Flow-Min-Cut-Countable imports
MFMC-Bounded
MFMC-Unbounded
begin

```

11 The Max-Flow Min-Cut theorem

```

theorem max-flow-min-cut-countable:
fixes  $\Delta$  (structure)
assumes countable-E [simp]: countable  $\mathbf{E}$ 
and source-neq-sink [simp]: source  $\Delta \neq \text{sink } \Delta$ 
and capacity-outside:  $\forall e. e \notin \mathbf{E} \longrightarrow \text{capacity } \Delta e = 0$ 
and capacity-finite [simp]:  $\forall e. \text{capacity } \Delta e \neq \top$ 
shows  $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S$ 

proof -
interpret countable-network  $\Delta$  using assms by(unfold-locales) auto
show ?thesis by(rule max-flow-min-cut)
qed

```

```

hide-const (open) A B weight

```

```

end

```

```

theory Rel-PMF-Characterisation imports

```

Matrix-For-Marginals

begin

12 Characterisation of *rel-pmf*

```

proposition rel-pmf-measureI:
  fixes p :: 'a pmf and q :: 'b pmf
  assumes le:  $\bigwedge A. \text{measure}(\text{measure-pmf } p) A \leq \text{measure}(\text{measure-pmf } q) \{y. \exists x \in A. R x y\}$ 
  shows rel-pmf R p q
  proof -
    let ?A = set-pmf p and ?f =  $\lambda x. \text{ennreal}(\text{pmf } p x)$ 
    and ?B = set-pmf q and ?g =  $\lambda y. \text{ennreal}(\text{pmf } q y)$ 
    define R' where R' =  $\{(x, y) \in ?A \times ?B. R x y\}$ 

    have  $(\sum^+ x \in ?A. ?f x) = (\sum^+ y \in ?B. ?g y)$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
      and  $(\sum^+ y \in ?B. ?g y) \neq \top$  (is ?bounded)
    proof -
      have ?lhs =  $(\sum^+ x. ?f x)$  ?rhs =  $(\sum^+ y. ?g y)$ 
        by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator pmf-eq-0-set-pmf intro!
          nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
        then show ?lhs = ?rhs ?bounded by(simp-all add: nn-integral-pmf-eq-1)
      qed
      moreover
      have  $(\sum^+ x \in X. ?f x) \leq (\sum^+ y \in R' \cap X. ?g y)$  (is ?lhs  $\leq$  ?rhs) if X  $\subseteq$  set-pmf
      p for X
      proof -
        have ?lhs = measure(measure-pmf p) X
          by(simp add: nn-integral-pmf measure-pmf.emeasure-eq-measure)
        also have ...  $\leq$  measure(measure-pmf q) {y.  $\exists x \in X. R x y\}$  by(simp add: le)
        also have ... = measure(measure-pmf q) (R'  $\cap$  X) using that
          by(auto 4 3 simp add: R'-def AE-measure-pmf-iff intro!: measure-eq-AE)
        also have ... = ?rhs by(simp add: nn-integral-pmf measure-pmf.emeasure-eq-measure)
        finally show ?thesis .
      qed
      moreover have countable ?A countable ?B by simp-all
      moreover have R'  $\subseteq$  ?A  $\times$  ?B by(auto simp add: R'-def)
      ultimately obtain h
      where supp:  $\bigwedge x y. 0 < h x y \implies (x, y) \in R'$ 
      and bound:  $\bigwedge x y. h x y \neq \top$ 
      and p:  $\bigwedge x. x \in ?A \implies (\sum^+ y \in ?B. h x y) = ?f x$ 
      and q:  $\bigwedge y. y \in ?B \implies (\sum^+ x \in ?A. h x y) = ?g y$ 
      by(rule bounded-matrix-for-marginals-ennreal) blast+
      
      let ?z =  $\lambda(x, y). \text{enn2real}(h x y)$ 
      define z where z = embed-pmf ?z
      have nonneg:  $\bigwedge xy. 0 \leq ?z xy$  by clarsimp
      have outside:  $h x y = 0$  if  $x \notin \text{set-pmf } p \vee y \notin \text{set-pmf } q \vee \neg R x y$  for x y
        using supp[of x y] that by(cases h x y > 0)(auto simp add: R'-def)

```

```

have prob:  $(\sum^+ xy. ?z xy) = 1$ 
proof -
  have  $(\sum^+ xy. ?z xy) = (\sum^+ x. \sum^+ y. (ennreal \circ ?z) (x, y))$ 
    unfolding nn-integral-fst-count-space by(simp add: split-def o-def)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x. (\sum^+ y. h x y))$  using bound
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex ennreal-enn2real-if)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x \in ?A. (\sum^+ y \in ?B. h x y))$ 
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong nn-integral-zero' simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator
      outside split: split-indicator)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x \in ?A. ?f x)$  by(auto simp add: p intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x. ?f x)$ 
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator pmf-eq-0-set-pmf intro!:
      nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
  finally show ?thesis by(simp add: nn-integral-pmf-eq-1)
qed
note z = nonneg prob
have z-sel [simp]:  $pmf z (x, y) = enn2real (h x y)$  for x y
  by(simp add: z-def pmf-embed-pmf[OF z])

show ?thesis
proof
  show  $R x y$  if  $(x, y) \in set-pmf z$  for x y using that
    using that outside[of x y] unfolding set-pmf-iff
    by(auto simp add: enn2real-eq-0-iff)

  show map-pmf fst z = p
  proof(rule pmf-eqI)
    fix x
    have pmf (map-pmf fst z) x =  $(\sum^+ e \in range (Pair x). pmf z e)$ 
      by(auto simp add: ennreal-pmf-map nn-integral-measure-pmf nn-integral-count-space-indicator
        intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
    also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y. h x y)$ 
      using bound by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex ennreal-enn2real-if)
    also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y \in ?B. h x y)$  using outside
      by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong
        split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = ?f x using p[of x] apply(cases x ∈ set-pmf p)
      by(auto simp add: set-pmf-iff AE-count-space outside intro!: nn-integral-zero')
    finally show pmf (map-pmf fst z) x = pmf p x by simp
  qed

  show map-pmf snd z = q
  proof(rule pmf-eqI)
    fix y
    have pmf (map-pmf snd z) y =  $(\sum^+ e \in range (\lambda x. (x, y)). pmf z e)$ 
      by(auto simp add: ennreal-pmf-map nn-integral-measure-pmf nn-integral-count-space-indicator
        intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
    also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x. h x y)$ 
      using bound by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex ennreal-enn2real-if)
  qed

```

```

also have ... = ( $\sum^+_{x \in ?A} h x y$ ) using outside
  by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong
split: split-indicator)
also have ... = ?g y using q[of y] apply(cases y ∈ set-pmf q)
  by(auto simp add: set-pmf-iff AE-count-space outside intro!: nn-integral-zero')
  finally show pmf (map-pmf snd z) y = pmf q y by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

12.1 Code generation for rel-pmf

```

proposition rel-pmf-measureI':
  fixes p :: 'a pmf and q :: 'b pmf
  assumes le:  $\bigwedge A. A \subseteq \text{set-pmf } p \implies \text{measure-pmf.prob } p A \leq \text{measure-pmf.prob } q$ 
  { $y \in \text{set-pmf } q. \exists x \in A. R x y$ }
  shows rel-pmf R p q
  proof(rule rel-pmf-measureI)
    fix A
    let ?A = A ∩ set-pmf p
    have measure-pmf.prob p A = measure-pmf.prob p ?A by(simp add: measure-Int-set-pmf)
    also have ... ≤ measure-pmf.prob q { $y \in \text{set-pmf } q. \exists x \in ?A. R x y$ } by(rule le)
    simp
    also have ... ≤ measure-pmf.prob q { $y. \exists x \in A. R x y$ }
      by(rule measure-pmf.finite-measure-mono) auto
    finally show measure-pmf.prob p A ≤ ... .
  qed

lemma rel-pmf-code [code]:
  rel-pmf R p q  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (let B = set-pmf q in
     $\forall A \in \text{Pow}(\text{set-pmf } p). \text{measure-pmf.prob } p A \leq \text{measure-pmf.prob } q (\text{snd } ' \text{Set.filter } (\text{case-prod } R) (A \times B))$ )
  unfolding Let-def
  proof(intro iffI strip)
    have eq: snd ' Set.filter (case-prod R) (A × set-pmf q) = { $y. \exists x \in A. R x y$ } ∩
    set-pmf q for A
      by(auto intro: rev-image-eqI simp add: Set.filter-def)
    show measure-pmf.prob p A ≤ measure-pmf.prob q (snd ' Set.filter (case-prod
R) (A × set-pmf q))
      if rel-pmf R p q and A ∈ Pow (set-pmf p) for A
        using that by(auto dest: rel-pmf-measureD simp add: eq measure-Int-set-pmf)
        show rel-pmf R p q if  $\forall A \in \text{Pow}(\text{set-pmf } p). \text{measure-pmf.prob } p A \leq \text{measure-pmf.prob } q$  (snd ' Set.filter (case-prod R) (A × set-pmf q))
        using that by(intro rel-pmf-measureI')(auto intro: ord-le-eq-trans arg-cong2[where
f=measure] simp add: eq)
      qed

  end

```

```

theory Rel-PMF-Characterisation-MFMC
imports
  MFMC-Bounded
  MFMC-Unbounded
  HOL-Library.Simps-Case-Conv
begin

13 Characterisation of rel-pmf proved via MFMC

context begin

private datatype ('a, 'b) vertex = Source | Sink | Left 'a | Right 'b

private lemma inj-Left [simp]: inj-on Left X
by(simp add: inj-on-def)

private lemma inj-Right [simp]: inj-on Right X
by(simp add: inj-on-def)

context fixes p :: 'a pmf and q :: 'b pmf and R :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool begin

private inductive edge' :: ('a, 'b) vertex ⇒ ('a, 'b) vertex ⇒ bool where
  edge' Source (Left x) if x ∈ set-pmf p
  | edge' (Left x) (Right y) if R x y x ∈ set-pmf p y ∈ set-pmf q
  | edge' (Right y) Sink if y ∈ set-pmf q

private inductive-simps edge'-simps [simp]:
  edge' xv (Left x)
  edge' (Left x) (Right y)
  edge' (Right y) yv
  edge' Source (Right y)
  edge' Source Sink
  edge' xv Source
  edge' Sink yv
  edge' (Left x) Sink

private inductive-cases edge'-SourceE [elim!]: edge' Source yv
private inductive-cases edge'-LeftE [elim!]: edge' (Left x) yv
private inductive-cases edge'-RightE [elim!]: edge' xv (Right y)
private inductive-cases edge'-SinkE [elim!]: edge' xv Sink

private function cap :: ('a, 'b) vertex flow where
  cap (xv, Left x) = (if xv = Source then ennreal (pmf p x) else 0)
  | cap (Left x, Right y) =
    (if R x y ∧ x ∈ set-pmf p ∧ y ∈ set-pmf q
     then pmf q y — Return pmf q y so that total weight of x's neighbours is finite,
     i.e., the network satisfies bounded-countable-network.

```

```

else 0)
| cap (Right y, yv) = (if yv = Sink then ennreal (pmf q y) else 0)
| cap (Source, Right y) = 0
| cap (Source, Sink) = 0
| cap (xv, Source) = 0
| cap (Sink, yv) = 0
| cap (Left x, Sink) = 0
  by pat-completeness auto
termination by lexicographic-order

private definition  $\Delta$  :: ('a, 'b) vertex network
  where  $\Delta = (\text{edge} = \text{edge}', \text{capacity} = \text{cap}, \text{source} = \text{Source}, \text{sink} = \text{Sink})$ 

private lemma  $\Delta\text{-sel}$  [simp]:
  edge  $\Delta = \text{edge}'$ 
  capacity  $\Delta = \text{cap}$ 
  source  $\Delta = \text{Source}$ 
  sink  $\Delta = \text{Sink}$ 
  by(simp-all add:  $\Delta\text{-def}$ )

private lemma IN-Left [simp]:  $\text{IN}_\Delta (\text{Left } x) = (\text{if } x \in \text{set-pmf } p \text{ then } \{\text{Source}\} \text{ else } \{\})$ 
  by(auto simp add: incoming-def)
private lemma OUT-Right [simp]:  $\text{OUT}_\Delta (\text{Right } y) = (\text{if } y \in \text{set-pmf } q \text{ then } \{\text{Sink}\} \text{ else } \{\})$ 
  by(auto simp add: outgoing-def)

interpretation network: countable-network  $\Delta$ 
proof
  show source  $\Delta \neq \text{sink } \Delta$  by simp
  show capacity  $\Delta e = 0$  if  $e \notin \mathbf{E}_\Delta$  for  $e$  using that
    by(cases e; cases fst e; cases snd e)(auto simp add: pmf-eq-0-set-pmf)
  show capacity  $\Delta e \neq \text{top}$  for  $e$  by(cases e rule: cap.cases)(auto)
  have  $\mathbf{E}_\Delta \subseteq ((\text{Pair Source} \circ \text{Left})` \text{set-pmf } p) \cup (\text{map-prod Left Right}` (\text{set-pmf } p \times \text{set-pmf } q)) \cup ((\lambda y. (\text{Right } y, \text{Sink}))` \text{set-pmf } q)$ 
    by(auto elim: edge'.cases)
  thus countable  $\mathbf{E}_\Delta$  by(rule countable-subset) auto
qed

private lemma OUT-cap-Source: d-OUT cap Source = 1
proof -
  have d-OUT cap Source =  $(\sum^+_{y \in \text{range Left}} \text{cap}(\text{Source}, y))$ 
    by(auto 4 4 simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong network.capacity-outside[simplified] split: split-indicator)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y. \text{pmf } p y)$  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ... = 1 by(simp add: nn-integral-pmf)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

private lemma IN-cap-Left: d-IN cap (Left x) = pmf p x

```

```

by(subst d-IN-alt-def[of - Δ])(simp-all add: pmf-eq-0-set-pmf nn-integral-count-space-indicator max-def)
private lemma OUT-cap-Right: d-OUT cap (Right y) = pmf q y
  by(subst d-OUT-alt-def[of - Δ])(simp-all add: pmf-eq-0-set-pmf nn-integral-count-space-indicator max-def)

private lemma rel-pmf-measureI-aux:
  assumes ex-flow:  $\exists f S. \text{flow } \Delta f \wedge \text{cut } \Delta S \wedge \text{orthogonal } \Delta f S$ 
  and le:  $\bigwedge A. \text{measure}(\text{measure-pmf } p) A \leq \text{measure}(\text{measure-pmf } q) \{y. \exists x \in A. R x y\}$ 
  shows rel-pmf R p q
  proof -
    from ex-flow obtain f S
      where f: flow Δ f and cut: cut Δ S and ortho: orthogonal Δ f S by blast
    from cut obtain Source: Source ∈ S and Sink: Sink ∉ S by cases simp

    have f-finite [simp]:  $f e < \text{top}$  for e
      using network.flowD-finite[OF f, of e] by (simp-all add: less-top)

    have IN-f-Left: d-IN f (Left x) = f (Source, Left x) for x
      by(subst d-IN-alt-def[of - Δ])(simp-all add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator max-def network.flowD-outside[OF f])
    have OUT-f-Right: d-OUT f (Right y) = f (Right y, Sink) for y
      by(subst d-OUT-alt-def[of - Δ])(simp-all add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator max-def network.flowD-outside[OF f])

    have value-flow  $\Delta f \leq 1$  using flowD-capacity-OUT[OF f, of Source] by(simp add: OUT-cap-Source)
    moreover have  $1 \leq \text{value-flow } \Delta f$ 
    proof -
      let ?L = Left -' S ∩ set-pmf p
      let ?R' =  $\{y | y. x \in \text{set-pmf } p \wedge \text{Left } x \in S \wedge R x y \wedge y \in \text{set-pmf } q \wedge \text{Right } y \in S\}$ 
      let ?R'' =  $\{y | y. x \in \text{set-pmf } p \wedge \text{Left } x \in S \wedge R x y \wedge y \in \text{set-pmf } q \wedge \neg \text{Right } y \in S\}$ 
      have value-flow  $\Delta f = (\sum^+_{x \in \text{range Left. } f} f(\text{Source}, x))$  unfolding d-OUT-def
        by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong network.flowD-outside[OF f] split: split-indicator)
      also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{x. f(\text{Source}, \text{Left } x) * \text{indicator } ?L x}) + (\sum^+_{x. f(\text{Source}, \text{Left } x) * \text{indicator } (- ?L) x})$ 
        by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
      also have  $(\sum^+_{x. f(\text{Source}, \text{Left } x) * \text{indicator } (- ?L) x}) = (\sum^+_{x \in - ?L. \text{cap}(\text{Source}, \text{Left } x)}$ 
        using orthogonalD-out[OF ortho - Source]
        apply(auto simp add: set-pmf-iff network.flowD-outside[OF f] nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
      subgoal for x by(cases x ∈ set-pmf p)(auto simp add: set-pmf-iff network.flowD-outside[OF f])

```

```

done
also have ... = ( $\sum^+_{x \in -} ?L. pmf p x$ ) by simp
also have ... = emeasure p (- ?L) by(simp add: nn-integral-pmf)
also have  $(\sum^+ x. f (\text{Source}, \text{Left } x) * \text{indicator } ?L x) = (\sum^+ x \in ?L. d\text{-IN } f (\text{Left } x))$ 
by(subst d-IN-alt-def[of -  $\Delta$ ])(auto simp add: network.flowD-outside[OF f]
nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x \in ?L. d\text{-OUT } f (\text{Left } x)$ )
by(rule nn-integral-cong flowD-KIR[OF f, symmetric])+ simp-all
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x. \sum^+ y. f (\text{Left } x, y) * \text{indicator } (\text{range Right}) y * \text{indicator } ?L x$ )
by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong
network.flowD-outside[OF f] split: split-indicator)
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in \text{range Right}. \sum^+ x. f (\text{Left } x, y) * \text{indicator } ?L x$ )
by(subst nn-integral-fst-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified])
(simp add: nn-integral-snd-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified]
nn-integral-count-space-indicator nn-integral-cmult[symmetric] mult-ac)
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y. \sum^+ x. f (\text{Left } x, \text{Right } y) * \text{indicator } ?L x$ )
by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y. \sum^+ x. f (\text{Left } x, \text{Right } y) * \text{indicator } ?L x * \text{indicator } ?R' y$ ) +
( $\sum^+ y. \sum^+ x. f (\text{Left } x, \text{Right } y) * \text{indicator } ?L x * \text{indicator } ?R'' y$ )
by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric]; simp)
(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric]; auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator
intro!: network.flowD-outside[OF f])
also have ( $\sum^+ y. \sum^+ x. f (\text{Left } x, \text{Right } y) * \text{indicator } ?L x * \text{indicator } ?R'$ 
y) =
 $(\sum^+ y. \sum^+ x. f (\text{Left } x, \text{Right } y) * \text{indicator } ?R' y)$ 
apply(clarsimp simp add: network.flowD-outside[OF f] intro!: nn-integral-cong
split: split-indicator)
subgoal for y x by(cases edge  $\Delta$  (Left x) (Right y))(auto intro: orthogonalD-in[OF ortho] network.flowD-outside[OF f])
done
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y. \sum^+ x \in \text{range Left}. f (x, \text{Right } y) * \text{indicator } ?R' y$ )
by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in ?R'. d\text{-IN } f (\text{Right } y)$ )
by(subst d-IN-alt-def[of -  $\Delta$ ])(auto simp add: network.flowD-outside[OF f]
nn-integral-count-space-indicator incoming-def intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in ?R'. d\text{-OUT } f (\text{Right } y)$ ) using flowD-KIR[OF f] by
simp
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in ?R'. d\text{-OUT cap } (\text{Right } y)$ )
by(auto 4 3 intro!: nn-integral-cong simp add: d-OUT-def network.flowD-outside[OF f]
Sink dest: intro: orthogonalD-out[OF ortho, of Right - Sink, simplified])
also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in ?R'. pmf q y$ ) by(simp add: OUT-cap-Right)
also have ... = emeasure q ?R' by(simp add: nn-integral-pmf)
also have ( $\sum^+ y. \sum^+ x. f (\text{Left } x, \text{Right } y) * \text{indicator } ?L x * \text{indicator } ?R'' y$ )  $\geq$  emeasure q ?R'' (is ?lhs  $\geq$  -)
proof -
have ?lhs = ( $\sum^+ y. \sum^+ x. (\text{if } R x y \text{ then } pmf q y \text{ else } 0) * \text{indicator } ?L x$ 

```

```

* indicator ?R'' y)
  by(rule nn-integral-cong)+(auto split: split-indicator simp add: network.flowD-outside[OF
f] simp add: orthogonalD-out[OF ortho, of Left - Right -, simplified])
  also have ... ≥ (∑+ y. pmf q y * indicator ?R'' y)
    by(rule nn-integral-mono)(auto split: split-indicator intro: order-trans[OF -
nn-integral-ge-point])
  also have (∑+ y. pmf q y * indicator ?R'' y) = (∑+ y∈?R''. pmf q y)
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong
split: split-indicator)
  finally show ?thesis by(simp add: nn-integral-pmf)
qed
ultimately have value-flow Δ f ≥ emeasure q ?R' + emeasure q ?R'' +
emeasure p (- ?L)
  by(simp add: add-right-mono)
also have emeasure q ?R' + emeasure q ?R'' = emeasure q {y|y x. x ∈ set-pmf
p ∧ Left x ∈ S ∧ R x y ∧ y ∈ set-pmf q}
  by(subst plus-emeasure)(auto intro!: arg-cong2[where f=emeasure])
also have ... ≥ emeasure p ?L using le[of ?L]
  by(auto elim!: order-trans simp add: measure-pmf.emeasure-eq-measure AE-measure-pmf-iff
intro!: measure-pmf.finite-measure-mono-AE)
ultimately have value-flow Δ f ≥ emeasure (measure-pmf p) ?L + emeasure
(measure-pmf p) (- ?L)
  by (smt (verit, best) add-right-mono inf.absorb-iff2 le-inf-iff)
also have emeasure (measure-pmf p) ?L + emeasure (measure-pmf p) (- ?L)
= emeasure (measure-pmf p) (?L ∪ - ?L)
  by(subst plus-emeasure) auto
also have ?L ∪ - ?L = UNIV by blast
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
ultimately have val: value-flow Δ f = 1 by simp

have SAT-p: f (Source, Left x) = pmf p x for x
proof(rule antisym)
  show f (Source, Left x) ≤ pmf p x using flowD-capacity[OF f, of (Source, Left
x)] by simp
  show pmf p x ≤ f (Source, Left x)
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume *: ¬ ?thesis
    have finite: (∑+ y. f (Source, Left y) * indicator (- {x}) y) ≠ ∞
    proof -
      have (∑+ y. f (Source, Left y) * indicator (- {x}) y) ≤ (∑+ y∈range
Left. f (Source, y))
        by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex intro!: nn-integral-mono
split: split-indicator)
      also have ... = value-flow Δ f
        by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!:
nn-integral-cong network.flowD-outside[OF f] split: split-indicator)
      finally show ?thesis using val by (auto simp: top-unique)
    qed
  qed

```

```

have value-flow  $\Delta f = (\sum^+_{y \in \text{range } \text{Left. } f} f(\text{Source}, y))$ 
  by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong network.flowD-outside[OF f] split: split-indicator)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{y. f(\text{Source}, \text{Left } y) * \text{indicator } (-\{x\}) y} + (\sum^+_{y. f(\text{Source}, \text{Left } y) * \text{indicator } \{x\} y})$ 
    by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
    also have ... <  $(\sum^+_{y. f(\text{Source}, \text{Left } y) * \text{indicator } (-\{x\}) y} + (\sum^+_{y. pmf p y * \text{indicator } \{x\} y})$ 
      using * finite
      by(auto simp add:)
    also have ...  $\leq (\sum^+_{y. pmf p y * \text{indicator } (-\{x\}) y} + (\sum^+_{y. pmf p y * \text{indicator } \{x\} y})$ 
      using flowD-capacity[OF f, of (Source, Left -)]
      by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
    also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{y. pmf p y})$ 
      by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = 1 unfolding nn-integral-pmf by simp
    finally show False using val by simp
qed
qed

have IN-Sink: d-IN f Sink = 1
proof -
  have d-IN f Sink =  $(\sum^+_{x \in \text{range } \text{Right. } f} f(x, \text{Sink}))$  unfolding d-IN-def
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong network.flowD-outside[OF f] simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator split: split-indicator)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{y. d-OUT f(\text{Right } y)})$  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex OUT-f-Right)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{y. d-IN f(\text{Right } y)})$  by(simp add: flowD-KIR[OF f])
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{y. (\sum^+_{x \in \text{range } \text{Left. } f} f(x, \text{Right } y)))}$ 
    by(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong network.flowD-outside[OF f] split: split-indicator)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{y. \sum^+_{x. f(\text{Left } x, \text{Right } y)})}$  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{x. \sum^+_{y. f(\text{Left } x, \text{Right } y)})}$ 
    by(subst nn-integral-fst-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified])(simp add: nn-integral-snd-count-space[where f=case-prod -, simplified])
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{x. (\sum^+_{y \in \text{range } \text{Right. } f} f(\text{Left } x, y)))}$ 
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{x. d-OUT f(\text{Left } x))}$  unfolding d-OUT-def
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong network.flowD-outside[OF f] simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator split: split-indicator)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{x. d-IN f(\text{Left } x))}$  by(simp add: flowD-KIR[OF f])
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+_{x. pmf p x})$  by(simp add: IN-f-Left SAT-p)
  also have ... = 1 unfolding nn-integral-pmf by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

have SAT-q: f (Right y, Sink) = pmf q y for y

```

```

proof(rule antisym)
  show  $f(\text{Right } y, \text{Sink}) \leq pmf q y$  using flowD-capacity[ $OF f$ , of ( $\text{Right } y, \text{Sink}$ )] by simp
    show  $pmf q y \leq f(\text{Right } y, \text{Sink})$ 
    proof(rule ccontr)
      assume  $*: \neg ?thesis$ 
      have finite:  $(\sum^+ x. f(\text{Right } x, \text{Sink}) * indicator(-\{y\}) x) \neq \infty$ 
      proof -
        have  $(\sum^+ x. f(\text{Right } x, \text{Sink}) * indicator(-\{y\}) x) \leq (\sum^+ x \in \text{range Right}. f(x, \text{Sink}))$ 
          by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
        also have ... =  $d\text{-IN } f \text{ Sink}$ 
          by(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong network.flowD-outside[ $OF f$ ] split: split-indicator)
        finally show ?thesis using IN-Sink by (auto simp: top-unique)
      qed
      have  $d\text{-IN } f \text{ Sink} = (\sum^+ x \in \text{range Right}. f(x, \text{Sink}))$ 
        by(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong network.flowD-outside[ $OF f$ ] split: split-indicator)
      also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x. f(\text{Right } x, \text{Sink}) * indicator(-\{y\}) x) + (\sum^+ x. f(\text{Right } x, \text{Sink}) * indicator\{\{y\}\} x)$ 
        by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
      also have ... <  $(\sum^+ x. f(\text{Right } x, \text{Sink}) * indicator(-\{y\}) x) + (\sum^+ x. pmf q x * indicator\{\{y\}\} x)$  using * finite
        by auto
      also have ... ≤  $(\sum^+ x. pmf q x * indicator(-\{y\}) x) + (\sum^+ x. pmf q x * indicator\{\{y\}\} x)$ 
        using flowD-capacity[ $OF f$ , of ( $\text{Right } -, \text{Sink}$ )]
        by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono split: split-indicator)
      also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x. pmf q x)$ 
        by(subst nn-integral-add[symmetric])(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
      also have ... = 1 unfolding nn-integral-pmf by simp
      finally show False using IN-Sink by simp
    qed
  qed

let ?z =  $\lambda(x, y). enn2real(f(\text{Left } x, \text{Right } y))$ 
have nonneg:  $\bigwedge xy. 0 \leq ?z xy$  by clarsimp
have prob:  $(\sum^+ xy. ?z xy) = 1$ 
proof -
  have  $(\sum^+ xy. ?z xy) = (\sum^+ x. \sum^+ y. (ennreal \circ ?z)(x, y))$ 
    unfolding nn-integral-fst-count-space by(simp add: split-def o-def)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x. (\sum^+ y \in \text{range Right}. f(\text{Left } x, y)))$ 
    by(auto simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  also have ... =  $(\sum^+ x. d\text{-OUT } f(\text{Left } x))$ 
    by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator split: split-indicator)

```

```

intro!: nn-integral-cong network.flowD-outside[OF f])
  also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x. d\text{-IN } f (\text{Left } x)$ ) using flowD-KIR[OF f] by simp
  also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x \in \text{range Left}. f (\text{Source}, x)$ ) by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex
IN-f-Left)
  also have ... = value-flow  $\Delta$  f
    by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong
network.flowD-outside[OF f] split: split-indicator)
    finally show ?thesis using val by(simp)
qed
note z = nonneg prob
define z where z = embed-pmf ?z
have z-sel [simp]: pmf z (x, y) = enn2real (f (Left x, Right y)) for x y
  by(simp add: z-def pmf-embed-pmf[OF z])

show ?thesis
proof
  show R x y if (x, y) ∈ set-pmf z for x y
    using that network.flowD-outside[OF f, of (Left x, Right y)] unfolding
set-pmf-iff
    by(auto simp add: enn2real-eq-0-iff)

  show map-pmf fst z = p
  proof(rule pmf-eqI)
    fix x
    have pmf (map-pmf fst z) x = ( $\sum^+ e \in \text{range} (\text{Pair } x). pmf z e$ )
      by(auto simp add: ennreal-pmf-map nn-integral-measure-pmf nn-integral-count-space-indicator
intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = ( $\sum^+ y \in \text{range Right}. f (\text{Left } x, y)$ ) by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
    also have ... = d-OUT f (Left x)
      by(auto simp add: d-OUT-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!:
nn-integral-cong network.flowD-outside[OF f] split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = d-IN f (Left x) by(rule flowD-KIR[OF f]) simp-all
    also have ... = f (Source, Left x) by(simp add: IN-f-Left)
    also have ... = pmf p x by(simp add: SAT-p)
    finally show pmf (map-pmf fst z) x = pmf p x by simp
qed

show map-pmf snd z = q
proof(rule pmf-eqI)
  fix y
  have pmf (map-pmf snd z) y = ( $\sum^+ e \in \text{range} (\lambda x. (x, y)). pmf z e$ )
    by(auto simp add: ennreal-pmf-map nn-integral-measure-pmf nn-integral-count-space-indicator
intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator)
  also have ... = ( $\sum^+ x \in \text{range Left}. f (x, Right y)$ ) by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
  also have ... = d-IN f (Right y)
    by(auto simp add: d-IN-def nn-integral-count-space-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong
network.flowD-outside[OF f] split: split-indicator)
  also have ... = d-OUT f (Right y) by(simp add: flowD-KIR[OF f])
  also have ... = f (Right y, Sink) by(simp add: OUT-f-Right)

```

```

also have ... = pmf q y by(simp add: SAT-q)
finally show pmf (map-pmf snd z) y = pmf q y by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

proposition *rel-pmf-measureI-unbounded*: — Proof uses the unbounded max-flow min-cut theorem

```

assumes le:  $\bigwedge A. \text{measure} (\text{measure-pmf } p) A \leq \text{measure} (\text{measure-pmf } q) \{y. \exists x \in A. R x y\}$ 
shows rel-pmf R p q
using assms by(rule rel-pmf-measureI-aux[OF network.max-flow-min-cut])

```

interpretation *network: bounded-countable-network* Δ

proof

```
have OUT-Left: d-OUT cap (Left x)  $\leq 1$  for x
```

proof —

```
have d-OUT cap (Left x)  $\leq (\sum^+ y \in \text{range Right}. \text{cap} (\text{Left } x, y))$ 
```

```
by(subst d-OUT-alt-def[of -  $\Delta$ ])(auto intro: network.capacity-outside[simplified] intro!: nn-integral-mono simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator outgoing-def split: split-indicator)
```

```
also have ... =  $(\sum^+ y. \text{cap} (\text{Left } x, \text{Right } y))$  by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-reindex)
```

```
also have ...  $\leq (\sum^+ y. \text{pmf } q y)$  by(rule nn-integral-mono)(simp)
```

```
also have ... = 1 by(simp add: nn-integral-pmf)
```

```
finally show ?thesis .
```

qed

```
show d-OUT (capacity  $\Delta$ )  $x < \top$  if  $x \in \mathbf{V}_\Delta$   $x \neq \text{source } \Delta$   $x \neq \text{sink } \Delta$  for x
```

```
using that by(cases x)(auto simp add: OUT-cap-Right intro: le-less-trans[OF OUT-Left])
```

qed

proposition *rel-pmf-measureI-bounded*: — Proof uses the bounded max-flow min-cut theorem

```

assumes le:  $\bigwedge A. \text{measure} (\text{measure-pmf } p) A \leq \text{measure} (\text{measure-pmf } q) \{y. \exists x \in A. R x y\}$ 

```

shows rel-pmf R p q

```
using assms by(rule rel-pmf-measureI-aux[OF network.max-flow-min-cut-bounded])
```

end

end

interpretation *rel-spmf-characterisation* by unfold-locales(rule rel-pmf-measureI-bounded)

corollary *rel-pmf-distr-mono*: $\text{rel-pmf } R \text{ OO rel-pmf } S \leq \text{rel-pmf } (R \text{ OO } S)$

— This fact has already been proven for the registration of 'a pmf as a BNF, but this proof is much shorter and more elegant. See [3] for a comparison of formalisations.

proof(intro le-funI le-boolI rel-pmf-measureI-bounded, elim relcomppE)

fix p q r A

```

assume pq: rel-pmf R p q and qr: rel-pmf S q r
have measure (measure-pmf p) A ≤ measure (measure-pmf q) {y. ∃ x∈A. R x y}
    (is - ≤ measure - ?B) using pq by(rule rel-pmf-measureD)
also have ... ≤ measure (measure-pmf r) {z. ∃ y∈?B. S y z}
    using qr by(rule rel-pmf-measureD)
also have {z. ∃ y∈?B. S y z} = {z. ∃ x∈A. (R OO S) x z} by auto
finally show measure (measure-pmf p) A ≤ measure (measure-pmf r) ...
qed

end

```

References

- [1] R. Aharoni. Menger's theorem for graphs containing no infinite paths. *Europ. J. Combinatorics*, 4:201–204, 1983.
- [2] R. Aharoni, E. Berger, A. Georgakopoulos, A. Perlstein, and P. Sprüssel. The max-flow min-cut theorem for countable networks. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 101:1–17, 2011.
- [3] J. Hözl, A. Lochbihler, and D. Traytel. A formalized hierarchy of probabilistic system types. In C. Urban and X. Zhang, editors, *Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2015)*, volume 9236 of *LNCS*, pages 203–220. Springer, 2015.
- [4] H. G. Kellerer. Funktionen auf Produkträumen mit vorgegebenen Marginal-Funktionen. *Math. Annalen*, 144:323–344, 1961.