

A Verified Solver for Linear Recurrences

Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Linear recurrences with constant coefficients are an interesting class of recurrence equations that can be solved explicitly. The most famous example are certainly the Fibonacci numbers with the equation $f(n) = f(n-1) + f(n-2)$ and the quite non-obvious closed form

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\varphi^n - (-\varphi)^{-n})$$

where φ is the golden ratio.

In this work, I build on existing tools in Isabelle – such as formal power series and polynomial factorisation algorithms – to develop a theory of these recurrences and derive a fully executable solver for them that can be exported to programming languages like Haskell.

Contents

1	Rational formal power series	2
1.1	Some auxiliary	2
1.2	The type of rational formal power series	2
2	Falling factorial as a polynomial	17
3	Miscellaneous material required for linear recurrences	17
4	Partial Fraction Decomposition	20
4.1	Decomposition on general Euclidean rings	20
4.2	Specific results for polynomials	23
5	Factorizations of polynomials	25
6	Solver for rational formal power series	27
7	Material common to homogenous and inhomogenous linear recurrences	29

8	Homogenous linear recurrences	30
9	Eulerian polynomials	32
10	Inhomogenous linear recurrences	34

1 Rational formal power series

```
theory RatFPS
imports
  Complex-Main
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial-Factorial
begin
```

1.1 Some auxiliary

```
abbreviation constant-term :: 'a poly  $\Rightarrow$  'a::zero
  where constant-term p  $\equiv$  coeff p 0
```

```
lemma coeff-0-mult: coeff (p * q) 0 = coeff p 0 * coeff q 0
  <proof>
```

```
lemma coeff-0-div:
  assumes coeff p 0  $\neq$  0
  assumes (q :: 'a :: field poly) dvd p
  shows coeff (p div q) 0 = coeff p 0 div coeff q 0
  <proof>
```

```
lemma coeff-0-add-fract-nonzero:
  assumes coeff (snd (quot-of-fract x)) 0  $\neq$  0 coeff (snd (quot-of-fract y)) 0  $\neq$  0
  shows coeff (snd (quot-of-fract (x + y))) 0  $\neq$  0
  <proof>
```

```
lemma coeff-0-normalize-quot-nonzero [simp]:
  assumes coeff (snd x) 0  $\neq$  0
  shows coeff (snd (normalize-quot x)) 0  $\neq$  0
  <proof>
```

```
abbreviation numerator :: 'a fract  $\Rightarrow$  'a::{ring-gcd,idom-divide,semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}
  where numerator x  $\equiv$  fst (quot-of-fract x)
```

```
abbreviation denominator :: 'a fract  $\Rightarrow$  'a::{ring-gcd,idom-divide,semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}
  where denominator x  $\equiv$  snd (quot-of-fract x)
```

```
declare unit-factor-snd-quot-of-fract [simp]
  normalize-snd-quot-of-fract [simp]
```

```
lemma constant-term-denominator-nonzero-imp-constant-term-denominator-div-gcd-nonzero:
  constant-term (denominator x div gcd a (denominator x))  $\neq$  0
  if constant-term (denominator x)  $\neq$  0
  <proof>
```

1.2 The type of rational formal power series

```
typedef (overloaded) 'a :: field-gcd ratfps =
```

```

    {x :: 'a poly fract. constant-term (denominator x) ≠ 0}
    ⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-ratfps

instantiation ratfps :: (field-gcd) idom
begin

lift-definition zero-ratfps :: 'a ratfps is 0 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition one-ratfps :: 'a ratfps is 1 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition uminus-ratfps :: 'a ratfps ⇒ 'a ratfps is uminus
    ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition plus-ratfps :: 'a ratfps ⇒ 'a ratfps ⇒ 'a ratfps is (+)
    ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition minus-ratfps :: 'a ratfps ⇒ 'a ratfps ⇒ 'a ratfps is (-)
    ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition times-ratfps :: 'a ratfps ⇒ 'a ratfps ⇒ 'a ratfps is (*)
    ⟨proof⟩

instance
    ⟨proof⟩

end

fun ratfps-nth-aux :: ('a::field) poly ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a
where
    ratfps-nth-aux p 0 = inverse (coeff p 0)
  | ratfps-nth-aux p n =
    - inverse (coeff p 0) * sum (λi. coeff p i * ratfps-nth-aux p (n - i)) {1..n}

lemma ratfps-nth-aux-correct: ratfps-nth-aux p n = natfun-inverse (fps-of-poly p)
    n
    ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition ratfps-nth :: 'a :: field-gcd ratfps ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a is
    λx n. let (a,b) = quot-of-fract x
    in (∑ i = 0..n. coeff a i * ratfps-nth-aux b (n - i)) ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition ratfps-subdegree :: 'a :: field-gcd ratfps ⇒ nat is
    λx. poly-subdegree (fst (quot-of-fract x)) ⟨proof⟩

context
includes lifting-syntax
begin

```

lemma *RatFPS-parametric*: $(rel\text{-}prod\ (=)\ (=) ==> (=))$
 $(\lambda(p,q). \text{ if coeff } q\ 0 = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else quot-to-fract } (p, q))$
 $(\lambda(p,q). \text{ if coeff } q\ 0 = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else quot-to-fract } (p, q))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *normalize-quot-quot-of-fract* [simp]:
 $normalize\text{-}quot\ (quot\text{-}of\text{-}fract\ x) = quot\text{-}of\text{-}fract\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context
assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT*('a::field-gcd)
begin

lift-definition *quot-of-ratfps* :: 'a ratfps $\Rightarrow$ ('a poly $\times$ 'a poly) **is**
 $quot\text{-}of\text{-}fract :: 'a\ poly\ fract \Rightarrow ('a\ poly \times 'a\ poly) \langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition *quot-to-ratfps* :: ('a poly $\times$ 'a poly) $\Rightarrow$ 'a ratfps **is**
 $\lambda(x,y). \text{ let } (x',y') = normalize\text{-}quot\ (x,y)$
 $\text{ in if coeff } y'\ 0 = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else quot-to-fract } (x',y')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *quot-to-ratfps-quot-of-ratfps* [code abstype]:
 $quot\text{-}to\text{-}ratfps\ (quot\text{-}of\text{-}ratfps\ x) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coeff-0-snd-quot-of-ratfps-nonzero* [simp]:
 $coeff\ (snd\ (quot\text{-}of\text{-}ratfps\ x))\ 0 \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *quot-of-ratfps-quot-to-ratfps*:
 $coeff\ (snd\ x)\ 0 \neq 0 \implies x \in normalized\text{-}fracts \implies quot\text{-}of\text{-}ratfps\ (quot\text{-}to\text{-}ratfps\ x) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *quot-of-ratfps-0* [simp, code abstract]: $quot\text{-}of\text{-}ratfps\ 0 = (0, 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *quot-of-ratfps-1* [simp, code abstract]: $quot\text{-}of\text{-}ratfps\ 1 = (1, 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition *ratfps-of-poly* :: 'a poly $\Rightarrow$ 'a ratfps **is**
 $to\text{-}fract :: 'a\ poly \Rightarrow -$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ratfps-of-poly-code* [code abstract]:

$\text{quot-of-ratfps} (\text{ratfps-of-poly } p) = (p, 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{zero-ratfps-code} = \text{quot-of-ratfps-0}$

lemmas $\text{one-ratfps-code} = \text{quot-of-ratfps-1}$

lemma $\text{uminus-ratfps-code}$ [code abstract]:
 $\text{quot-of-ratfps} (-x) = (\text{let } (a, b) = \text{quot-of-ratfps } x \text{ in } (-a, b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma plus-ratfps-code [code abstract]:
 $\text{quot-of-ratfps} (x + y) =$
 $(\text{let } (a, b) = \text{quot-of-ratfps } x; (c, d) = \text{quot-of-ratfps } y$
 $\text{in } \text{normalize-quot} (a * d + b * c, b * d))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma minus-ratfps-code [code abstract]:
 $\text{quot-of-ratfps} (x - y) =$
 $(\text{let } (a, b) = \text{quot-of-ratfps } x; (c, d) = \text{quot-of-ratfps } y$
 $\text{in } \text{normalize-quot} (a * d - b * c, b * d))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{ratfps-cutoff} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{field-gcd ratfps} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly}$ **where**
 $\text{ratfps-cutoff } n \ x = \text{poly-of-list} (\text{map} (\text{ratfps-nth } x) [0..<n])$

definition $\text{ratfps-shift} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{field-gcd ratfps} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ratfps}$ **where**
 $\text{ratfps-shift } n \ x = (\text{let } (a, b) = \text{quot-of-ratfps} (x - \text{ratfps-of-poly} (\text{ratfps-cutoff } n$
 $x))$
 $\text{in } \text{quot-to-ratfps} (\text{poly-shift } n \ a, b))$

lemma times-ratfps-code [code abstract]:
 $\text{quot-of-ratfps} (x * y) =$
 $(\text{let } (a, b) = \text{quot-of-ratfps } x; (c, d) = \text{quot-of-ratfps } y;$
 $(e, f) = \text{normalize-quot} (a, d); (g, h) = \text{normalize-quot} (c, b)$
 $\text{in } (e * g, f * h))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ratfps-nth-code [code]:
 $\text{ratfps-nth } x \ n =$
 $(\text{let } (a, b) = \text{quot-of-ratfps } x$
 $\text{in } \sum i = 0..n. \text{coeff } a \ i * \text{ratfps-nth-aux } b \ (n - i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{ratfps-subdegree-code}$ [code]:
 $\text{ratfps-subdegree } x = \text{poly-subdegree} (\text{fst} (\text{quot-of-ratfps } x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

instantiation *ratfps* :: (*field-gcd*) *inverse*
begin

lift-definition *inverse-ratfps* :: 'a *ratfps* $\Rightarrow$ 'a *ratfps* **is**
 $\lambda x.$ *let* (*a*,*b*) = *quot-of-fract* *x*
 in if *coeff* *a* 0 = 0 *then* 0 *else inverse* *x*
<proof>

lift-definition *divide-ratfps* :: 'a *ratfps* $\Rightarrow$ 'a *ratfps* $\Rightarrow$ 'a *ratfps* **is**
 $\lambda f g.$ (*if* *g* = 0 *then* 0 *else*
 let *n* = *ratfps-subdegree* *g*; *h* = *ratfps-shift* *n* *g*
 in ratfps-shift *n* (*f* * *inverse* *h*)) *<proof>*

instance *<proof>*
end

lemma *ratfps-inverse-code* [*code abstract*]:
quot-of-ratfps (*inverse* *x*) =
 (*let* (*a*,*b*) = *quot-of-ratfps* *x*
 in if *coeff* *a* 0 = 0 *then* (0, 1)
 else let *u* = *unit-factor* *a* *in* (*b* *div* *u*, *a* *div* *u*))
<proof>

instantiation *ratfps* :: (*equal*) *equal*
begin

definition *equal-ratfps* :: 'a *ratfps* $\Rightarrow$ 'a *ratfps* $\Rightarrow$ *bool* **where**
 [*simp*]: *equal-ratfps* *x* *y* $\longleftrightarrow$ *x* = *y*

instance *<proof>*

end

lemma *quot-of-fract-eq-iff* [*simp*]: *quot-of-fract* *x* = *quot-of-fract* *y* $\longleftrightarrow$ *x* = *y*
<proof>

lemma *equal-ratfps-code* [*code*]: *HOL.equal* *x* *y* $\longleftrightarrow$ *quot-of-ratfps* *x* = *quot-of-ratfps* *y*
<proof>

lemma *fps-of-poly-quot-normalize-quot* [*simp*]:
fps-of-poly (*fst* (*normalize-quot* *x*)) / *fps-of-poly* (*snd* (*normalize-quot* *x*)) =
 fps-of-poly (*fst* *x*) / *fps-of-poly* (*snd* *x*)
 if (*snd* *x* :: 'a :: *field-gcd poly*) $\neq$ 0
<proof>

lemma *fps-of-poly-quot-normalize-quot'* [*simp*]:
fps-of-poly (*fst* (*normalize-quot* *x*)) / *fps-of-poly* (*snd* (*normalize-quot* *x*)) =

$$\text{fps-of-poly } (\text{fst } x) / \text{fps-of-poly } (\text{snd } x)$$
if $\text{coeff } (\text{snd } x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow (0 :: 'a :: \text{field-gcd})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition $\text{fps-of-ratfps} :: 'a :: \text{field-gcd} \Rightarrow \text{ratfps} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fps}$ **is**
 $\lambda x. \text{fps-of-poly } (\text{numerator } x) / \text{fps-of-poly } (\text{denominator } x) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fps-of-ratfps-altdef}$:
 $\text{fps-of-ratfps } x = (\text{case } \text{quot-of-ratfps } x \text{ of } (a, b) \Rightarrow \text{fps-of-poly } a / \text{fps-of-poly } b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fps-of-ratfps-ratfps-of-poly [simp]}$: $\text{fps-of-ratfps } (\text{ratfps-of-poly } p) = \text{fps-of-poly } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fps-of-ratfps-0 [simp]}$: $\text{fps-of-ratfps } 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fps-of-ratfps-1 [simp]}$: $\text{fps-of-ratfps } 1 = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fps-of-ratfps-uminus [simp]}$: $\text{fps-of-ratfps } (-x) = - \text{fps-of-ratfps } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fps-of-ratfps-add [simp]}$: $\text{fps-of-ratfps } (x + y) = \text{fps-of-ratfps } x + \text{fps-of-ratfps } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fps-of-ratfps-diff [simp]}$: $\text{fps-of-ratfps } (x - y) = \text{fps-of-ratfps } x - \text{fps-of-ratfps } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{is-unit-div-div-commute}$: $\text{is-unit } b \implies \text{is-unit } c \implies a \text{ div } b \text{ div } c = a \text{ div } c \text{ div } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fps-of-ratfps-mult [simp]}$: $\text{fps-of-ratfps } (x * y) = \text{fps-of-ratfps } x * \text{fps-of-ratfps } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{div-const-unit-poly}$: $\text{is-unit } c \implies p \text{ div } [:c:] = \text{smult } (1 \text{ div } c) p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma normalize-field :
 $\text{normalize } (x :: 'a :: \{\text{normalization-semidom, field}\}) = (\text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{unit-factor-field [simp]}$:
 $\text{unit-factor } (x :: 'a :: \{\text{normalization-semidom, field}\}) = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fps-of-poly-normalize-field*:

fps-of-poly (*normalize* ($p :: 'a :: \{field, normalization-semidom\}$ *poly*)) =
fps-of-poly $p * \text{fps-const } (\text{inverse } (\text{lead-coeff } p))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unit-factor-poly-altdef*: $\text{unit-factor } p = \text{monom } (\text{unit-factor } (\text{lead-coeff } p))$
 0

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *div-const-poly*: $p \text{ div } [:c::'a::field:] = \text{smult } (\text{inverse } c) p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fps-of-ratfps-inverse [simp]*: $\text{fps-of-ratfps } (\text{inverse } x) = \text{inverse } (\text{fps-of-ratfps } x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

includes *fps-syntax*

begin

lemma *ratfps-nth-altdef*: $\text{ratfps-nth } x \ n = \text{fps-of-ratfps } x \ \$ \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fps-of-ratfps-is-unit*: $\text{fps-of-ratfps } a \ \$ \ 0 \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{ratfps-nth } a \ 0 \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ratfps-nth-0 [simp]*: $\text{ratfps-nth } 0 \ n = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fps-of-ratfps-cases*:

obtains $p \ q$ **where** $\text{coeff } q \ 0 \neq 0$ $\text{fps-of-ratfps } f = \text{fps-of-poly } p / \text{fps-of-poly } q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fps-of-ratfps-cutoff [simp]*:

$\text{fps-of-poly } (\text{ratfps-cutoff } n \ x) = \text{fps-cutoff } n \ (\text{fps-of-ratfps } x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subdegree-fps-of-ratfps*:

$\text{subdegree } (\text{fps-of-ratfps } x) = \text{ratfps-subdegree } x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ratfps-subdegree-altdef*:

$\text{ratfps-subdegree } x = \text{subdegree } (\text{fps-of-ratfps } x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

code-datatype *fps-of-ratfps*

lemma *fps-zero-code* [code]: $0 = \text{fps-of-ratfps } 0$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-one-code* [code]: $1 = \text{fps-of-ratfps } 1$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-const-code* [code]: $\text{fps-const } c = \text{fps-of-poly } [:c:]$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-of-poly-code* [code]: $\text{fps-of-poly } p = \text{fps-of-ratfps } (\text{ratfps-of-poly } p)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-X-code* [code]: $\text{fps-X} = \text{fps-of-ratfps } (\text{ratfps-of-poly } [:0,1:])$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-nth-code* [code]: $\text{fps-nth } (\text{fps-of-ratfps } x) \ n = \text{ratfps-nth } x \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-uminus-code* [code]: $-\ \text{fps-of-ratfps } x = \text{fps-of-ratfps } (-x)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-add-code* [code]: $\text{fps-of-ratfps } x + \text{fps-of-ratfps } y = \text{fps-of-ratfps } (x + y)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-diff-code* [code]: $\text{fps-of-ratfps } x - \text{fps-of-ratfps } y = \text{fps-of-ratfps } (x - y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-mult-code* [code]: $\text{fps-of-ratfps } x * \text{fps-of-ratfps } y = \text{fps-of-ratfps } (x * y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-inverse-code* [code]: $\text{inverse } (\text{fps-of-ratfps } x) = \text{fps-of-ratfps } (\text{inverse } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-cutoff-code* [code]: $\text{fps-cutoff } n \ (\text{fps-of-ratfps } x) = \text{fps-of-poly } (\text{ratfps-cutoff } n \ x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *subdegree-code* [code] = *subdegree-fps-of-ratfps*

lemma *fractrel-normalize-quot*:

$\text{fractrel } p \ p \implies \text{fractrel } q \ q \implies$
 $\text{fractrel } (\text{normalize-quot } p) \ (\text{normalize-quot } q) \longleftrightarrow \text{fractrel } p \ q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-of-ratfps-eq-iff* [simp]:

$\text{fps-of-ratfps } p = \text{fps-of-ratfps } q \longleftrightarrow p = q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-of-ratfps-eq-zero-iff* [simp]:

$\text{fps-of-ratfps } p = 0 \longleftrightarrow p = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-factor-snd-quot-of-ratfps* [simp]:
 unit-factor (snd (quot-of-ratfps x)) = 1
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-shift-times-monom-le*:
 $n \leq m \implies \text{poly-shift } n (\text{monom } c \ m * p) = \text{monom } c \ (m - n) * p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-shift-times-monom-ge*:
 $n \geq m \implies \text{poly-shift } n (\text{monom } c \ m * p) = \text{smult } c \ (\text{poly-shift } (n - m) \ p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-shift-times-monom*:
 $\text{poly-shift } n (\text{monom } c \ n * p) = \text{smult } c \ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *monom-times-poly-shift*:
 assumes *poly-subdegree* $p \geq n$
 shows $\text{monom } c \ n * \text{poly-shift } n \ p = \text{smult } c \ p$ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *monom-times-poly-shift'*:
 assumes *poly-subdegree* $p \geq n$
 shows $\text{monom } (1 :: 'a :: \text{comm-semiring-1}) \ n * \text{poly-shift } n \ p = p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subdegree-minus-cutoff-ge*:
 assumes $f - \text{fps-cutoff } n \ (f :: 'a :: \text{ab-group-add fps}) \neq 0$
 shows $\text{subdegree } (f - \text{fps-cutoff } n \ f) \geq n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-shift-times-X-power''*: $\text{fps-shift } n \ (\text{fps-X} \wedge n * f :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1} \text{ fps}) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
 ratfps-shift-code [code abstract]:
 quot-of-ratfps (ratfps-shift n x) =
 (let (a, b) = *quot-of-ratfps* (x - ratfps-of-poly (ratfps-cutoff n x))
 in (poly-shift n a, b)) (is ?lhs1 = ?rhs1) and
 fps-of-ratfps-shift [simp]:
 fps-of-ratfps (ratfps-shift n x) = *fps-shift* n (fps-of-ratfps x)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
 include *fps-syntax*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fps-shift-code* [code]: $\text{fps-shift } n \ (\text{fps-of-ratfps } x) = \text{fps-of-ratfps } (\text{ratfps-shift } n \ x)$

$n\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instantiation $fps :: (equal)\ equal$
begin

definition $equal-fps :: 'a\ fps \Rightarrow 'a\ fps \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $[simp]: equal-fps\ f\ g \longleftrightarrow f = g$

instance $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma $equal-fps-code\ [code]: HOL.equal\ (fps-of-ratfps\ f)\ (fps-of-ratfps\ g) \longleftrightarrow f = g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fps-of-ratfps-divide\ [simp]:$
 $fps-of-ratfps\ (f\ div\ g) = fps-of-ratfps\ f\ div\ fps-of-ratfps\ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ratfps-eqI: fps-of-ratfps\ x = fps-of-ratfps\ y \Longrightarrow x = y\ \langle proof \rangle$

instance $ratfps :: (field-gcd)\ algebraic-semidom$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fps-of-ratfps-dvd\ [simp]:$
 $fps-of-ratfps\ x\ dvd\ fps-of-ratfps\ y \longleftrightarrow x\ dvd\ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $is-unit-ratfps-iff\ [simp]:$
 $is-unit\ x \longleftrightarrow ratfps-nth\ x\ 0 \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instantiation $ratfps :: (field-gcd)\ normalization-semidom$
begin

definition $unit-factor-ratfps :: 'a\ ratfps \Rightarrow 'a\ ratfps$ **where**
 $unit-factor\ x = ratfps-shift\ (ratfps-subdegree\ x)\ x$

definition $normalize-ratfps :: 'a\ ratfps \Rightarrow 'a\ ratfps$ **where**
 $normalize\ x = (if\ x = 0\ then\ 0\ else\ ratfps-of-poly\ (monom\ 1\ (ratfps-subdegree\ x)))$

lemma $fps-of-ratfps-unit-factor\ [simp]:$
 $fps-of-ratfps\ (unit-factor\ x) = unit-factor\ (fps-of-ratfps\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fps-of-ratfps-normalize\ [simp]:$

```

    fps-of-ratfps (normalize x) = normalize (fps-of-ratfps x)
    ⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instance ratfps :: (field-gcd) normalization-semidom-multiplicative
⟨proof⟩

instantiation ratfps :: (field-gcd) semidom-modulo
begin

lift-definition modulo-ratfps :: 'a ratfps ⇒ 'a ratfps ⇒ 'a ratfps is
  λf g. if g = 0 then f else
    let n = ratfps-subdegree g; h = ratfps-shift n g
    in ratfps-of-poly (ratfps-cutoff n (f * inverse h)) * h ⟨proof⟩

lemma fps-of-ratfps-mod [simp]:
  fps-of-ratfps (f mod g :: 'a ratfps) = fps-of-ratfps f mod fps-of-ratfps g
  ⟨proof⟩

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation ratfps :: (field-gcd) euclidean-ring
begin

definition euclidean-size-ratfps :: 'a ratfps ⇒ nat where
  euclidean-size-ratfps x = (if x = 0 then 0 else 2 ^ ratfps-subdegree x)

lemma fps-of-ratfps-euclidean-size [simp]:
  euclidean-size x = euclidean-size (fps-of-ratfps x)
  ⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation ratfps :: (field-gcd) euclidean-ring-cancel
begin

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

lemma *quot-of-ratfps-eq-iff* [simp]: *quot-of-ratfps* $x = \text{quot-of-ratfps } y \longleftrightarrow x = y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ratfps-eq-0-code*: $x = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{fst } (\text{quot-of-ratfps } x) = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *fps-dvd-code* [code-unfold]:
 $x \text{ dvd } y \longleftrightarrow y = 0 \vee ((x :: 'a :: \text{field-gcd fps}) \neq 0 \wedge \text{subdegree } x \leq \text{subdegree } y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ratfps-dvd-code* [code-unfold]:
 $x \text{ dvd } y \longleftrightarrow y = 0 \vee (x \neq 0 \wedge \text{ratfps-subdegree } x \leq \text{ratfps-subdegree } y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

instance *ratfps* :: (*field-gcd*) *normalization-euclidean-semiring* ⟨proof⟩

instantiation *ratfps* :: (*field-gcd*) *euclidean-ring-gcd*
begin

definition *gcd-ratfps* = (*Euclidean-Algorithm.gcd* :: 'a *ratfps* $\Rightarrow$ -)

definition *lcm-ratfps* = (*Euclidean-Algorithm.lcm* :: 'a *ratfps* $\Rightarrow$ -)

definition *Gcd-ratfps* = (*Euclidean-Algorithm.Gcd* :: 'a *ratfps set* $\Rightarrow$ -)

definition *Lcm-ratfps* = (*Euclidean-Algorithm.Lcm* :: 'a *ratfps set* $\Rightarrow$ -)

instance ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma *ratfps-eq-0-iff*: $x = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{fps-of-ratfps } x = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ratfps-of-poly-eq-0-iff*: $\text{ratfps-of-poly } x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ratfps-gcd*:
assumes [simp]: $f \neq 0 \wedge g \neq 0$
shows $\text{gcd } f \ g = \text{ratfps-of-poly } (\text{monom } 1 \ (\text{min } (\text{ratfps-subdegree } f) \ (\text{ratfps-subdegree } g)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ratfps-gcd-altdef*: $\text{gcd } (f :: 'a :: \text{field-gcd ratfps}) \ g =$
 (*if* $f = 0 \wedge g = 0$ *then* 0 *else*
 if $f = 0$ *then* $\text{ratfps-of-poly } (\text{monom } 1 \ (\text{ratfps-subdegree } g))$ *else*
 if $g = 0$ *then* $\text{ratfps-of-poly } (\text{monom } 1 \ (\text{ratfps-subdegree } f))$ *else*
 $\text{ratfps-of-poly } (\text{monom } 1 \ (\text{min } (\text{ratfps-subdegree } f) \ (\text{ratfps-subdegree } g)))$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ratfps-lcm*:

assumes $[simp]: f \neq 0 \ g \neq 0$
shows $\text{lcm } f \ g = \text{ratfps-of-poly } (\text{monom } 1 \ (\max (\text{ratfps-subdegree } f) (\text{ratfps-subdegree } g)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{ratfps-lcm-altdef}: \text{lcm } (f :: 'a :: \text{field-gcd ratfps}) \ g =$
 $(\text{if } f = 0 \vee g = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$
 $\text{ratfps-of-poly } (\text{monom } 1 \ (\max (\text{ratfps-subdegree } f) (\text{ratfps-subdegree } g))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ratfps-Gcd :
assumes $A - \{0\} \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{Gcd } A = \text{ratfps-of-poly } (\text{monom } 1 \ (\text{INF } f \in A - \{0\}. \text{ratfps-subdegree } f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{ratfps-Gcd-altdef}: \text{Gcd } (A :: 'a :: \text{field-gcd ratfps set}) =$
 $(\text{if } A \subseteq \{0\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{ratfps-of-poly } (\text{monom } 1 \ (\text{INF } f \in A - \{0\}. \text{ratfps-subdegree } f))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ratfps-Lcm :
assumes $A \neq \{\} \ 0 \notin A \ \text{bdd-above } (\text{ratfps-subdegree } 'A)$
shows $\text{Lcm } A = \text{ratfps-of-poly } (\text{monom } 1 \ (\text{SUP } f \in A. \text{ratfps-subdegree } f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ratfps-Lcm-altdef :
 $\text{Lcm } (A :: 'a :: \text{field-gcd ratfps set}) =$
 $(\text{if } 0 \in A \vee \neg \text{bdd-above } (\text{ratfps-subdegree } 'A) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$
 $\text{if } A = \{\} \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } \text{ratfps-of-poly } (\text{monom } 1 \ (\text{SUP } f \in A. \text{ratfps-subdegree } f))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fps-of-ratfps-quot-to-ratfps}$:
 $\text{coeff } y \ 0 \neq 0 \implies \text{fps-of-ratfps } (\text{quot-to-ratfps } (x, y)) = \text{fps-of-poly } x / \text{fps-of-poly } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fps-of-ratfps-quot-to-ratfps-code-post1}$:
 $\text{fps-of-ratfps } (\text{quot-to-ratfps } (x, \text{pCons } 1 \ y)) = \text{fps-of-poly } x / \text{fps-of-poly } (\text{pCons } 1 \ y)$
 $\text{fps-of-ratfps } (\text{quot-to-ratfps } (x, \text{pCons } (-1) \ y)) = \text{fps-of-poly } x / \text{fps-of-poly } (\text{pCons } (-1) \ y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fps-of-ratfps-quot-to-ratfps-code-post2}$:
 $\text{fps-of-ratfps } (\text{quot-to-ratfps } (x' :: 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0, field-gcd}\} \ \text{poly}, \text{pCons } (\text{numeral } n) \ y')) =$
 $\text{fps-of-poly } x' / \text{fps-of-poly } (\text{pCons } (\text{numeral } n) \ y')$
 $\text{fps-of-ratfps } (\text{quot-to-ratfps } (x' :: 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0, field-gcd}\} \ \text{poly}, \text{pCons } (-\text{numeral } n) \ y')) =$

$\text{fps-of-poly } x' / \text{fps-of-poly } (\text{pCons } (-\text{numeral } n) \ y')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{fps-of-ratfps-quot-to-ratfps-code-post } [\text{code-post}] =$
 $\text{fps-of-ratfps-quot-to-ratfps-code-post1}$
 $\text{fps-of-ratfps-quot-to-ratfps-code-post2}$

lemma fps-dehorner :

fixes $a \ b \ c :: 'a :: \text{semiring-1}$ **fps** **and** $d \ e \ f :: 'b :: \text{ring-1}$ fps
shows
 $(b + c) * \text{fps-X} = b * \text{fps-X} + c * \text{fps-X}$ $(a * \text{fps-X}) * \text{fps-X} = a * \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} 2$
 $a * \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} m * \text{fps-X} = a * \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } m)$ $a * \text{fps-X} * \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} m = a * \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } m)$
 $a * \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} m * \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} n = a * \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} (m+n)$ $a + (b + c) = a + b + c$ $a * 1 =$
 $a \ 1 * a = a$
 $d + - \ e = d - e$ $(-d) * e = - (d * e)$ $d + (e - f) = d + e - f$
 $(d - e) * \text{fps-X} = d * \text{fps-X} - e * \text{fps-X}$ $\text{fps-X} * \text{fps-X} = \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} 2$ $\text{fps-X} * \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} m = \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } m)$
 $\text{fps-X}^{\wedge} m * \text{fps-X} = \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} \text{Suc } m$
 $\text{fps-X}^{\wedge} m * \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} n = \text{fps-X}^{\wedge} (m + n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fps-divide-1 : $(a :: 'a :: \text{field fps}) / 1 = a$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{fps-of-poly-code-post } [\text{code-post}] =$
 fps-of-poly-simps fps-const-0-eq-0 fps-const-1-eq-1 $\text{numeral-fps-const } [\text{symmetric}]$
 $\text{fps-const-neg } [\text{symmetric}]$ $\text{fps-const-divide } [\text{symmetric}]$
 fps-dehorner Suc-numeral arith-simps fps-divide-1

context

includes term-syntax

begin

definition

$\text{valterm-ratfps} ::$
 $'a :: \{\text{field-gcd, typerep}\} \text{ poly} \times (\text{unit} \Rightarrow \text{Code-Evaluation.term}) \Rightarrow$
 $'a \text{ poly} \times (\text{unit} \Rightarrow \text{Code-Evaluation.term}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ratfps} \times (\text{unit} \Rightarrow \text{Code-Evaluation.term})$

where

$[\text{code-unfold}]$: $\text{valterm-ratfps } k \ l =$
 $\text{Code-Evaluation.valtermify } (/) \ \{\cdot\}$
 $(\text{Code-Evaluation.valtermify } \text{ratfps-of-poly } \{\cdot\} \ k) \ \{\cdot\}$
 $(\text{Code-Evaluation.valtermify } \text{ratfps-of-poly } \{\cdot\} \ l)$

end

instantiation $\text{ratfps} :: (\{\text{field-gcd, random}\}) \text{ random}$

begin

context

includes $\text{state-combinator-syntax}$ **and** term-syntax

begin

definition

Quickcheck-Random.random i =
 Quickcheck-Random.random i $\circ \rightarrow (\lambda \text{num}::'a \text{ poly} \times (\text{unit} \Rightarrow \text{term}).$
 Quickcheck-Random.random i $\circ \rightarrow (\lambda \text{denom}::'a \text{ poly} \times (\text{unit} \Rightarrow \text{term}).$
 Pair (let denom = (if fst denom = 0 then Code-Evaluation.valtermify 1 else
 denom)
 in valterm-ratfps num denom)))

instance $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

instantiation *ratfps* :: $(\{\text{field}, \text{factorial-ring-gcd}, \text{exhaustive}\})$ *exhaustive*
begin

definition

exhaustive-ratfps f d =
 Quickcheck-Exhaustive.exhaustive $(\lambda \text{num}.$
 Quickcheck-Exhaustive.exhaustive $(\lambda \text{denom}.$ *f* (
 let denom = if denom = 0 then 1 else denom
 in ratfps-of-poly num / ratfps-of-poly denom)) d) d

instance $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

instantiation *ratfps* :: $(\{\text{field-gcd}, \text{full-exhaustive}\})$ *full-exhaustive*
begin

definition

full-exhaustive-ratfps f d =
 Quickcheck-Exhaustive.full-exhaustive $(\lambda \text{num}::'a \text{ poly} \times (\text{unit} \Rightarrow \text{term}).$
 Quickcheck-Exhaustive.full-exhaustive $(\lambda \text{denom}::'a \text{ poly} \times (\text{unit} \Rightarrow \text{term}).$
 f (let denom = if fst denom = 0 then Code-Evaluation.valtermify 1 else
 denom
 in valterm-ratfps num denom)) d) d

instance $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

quickcheck-generator *fps constructors: fps-of-ratfps*

end

2 Falling factorial as a polynomial

theory *Pochhammer-Polynomials*

imports

Complex-Main

HOL-Combinatorics.Stirling

HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial

begin

definition *pochhammer-poly* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ 'a :: {comm-semiring-1} *poly* **where**
pochhammer-poly *n* = *Poly* [of-nat (*stirling* *n* *k*). *k* $\leftarrow$ [0..*Suc* *n*]]

lemma *pochhammer-poly-code* [code abstract]:
coeffs (*pochhammer-poly* *n*) = *map* of-nat (*stirling-row* *n*)
 <proof>

lemma *coeff-pochhammer-poly*: *coeff* (*pochhammer-poly* *n*) *k* = of-nat (*stirling* *n* *k*)
 <proof>

lemma *degree-pochhammer-poly* [simp]: *degree* (*pochhammer-poly* *n*) = *n*
 <proof>

lemma *pochhammer-poly-0* [simp]: *pochhammer-poly* 0 = 1
 <proof>

lemma *pochhammer-poly-Suc*: *pochhammer-poly* (*Suc* *n*) = [:of-nat *n*, 1:] * *pochhammer-poly* *n*
 <proof>

lemma *pochhammer-poly-altdef*: *pochhammer-poly* *n* = ($\prod_{i < n}$ [:of-nat *i*, 1:])
 <proof>

lemma *eval-pochhammer-poly*: *poly* (*pochhammer-poly* *n*) *k* = *pochhammer* *k* *n*
 <proof>

lemma *pochhammer-poly-Suc'*:
pochhammer-poly (*Suc* *n*) = *pCons* 0 (*pcompose* (*pochhammer-poly* *n*) [:1, 1:])
 <proof>

end

3 Miscellaneous material required for linear recurrences

theory *Linear-Recurrences-Misc*

imports

Complex-Main

HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra
HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial-Factorial
begin

fun *zip-with* **where**

zip-with *f* (*x*#*xs*) (*y*#*ys*) = *f* *x* *y* # *zip-with* *f* *xs* *ys*
| *zip-with* *f* - - = []

lemma *length-zip-with* [*simp*]: *length* (*zip-with* *f* *xs* *ys*) = *min* (*length* *xs*) (*length* *ys*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zip-with-altdef*: *zip-with* *f* *xs* *ys* = *map* ($\lambda(x,y). f\ x\ y$) (*zip* *xs* *ys*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zip-with-nth* [*simp*]:
 $n < \text{length } xs \implies n < \text{length } ys \implies \text{zip-with } f\ xs\ ys\ !\ n = f\ (xs!n)\ (ys!n)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *take-zip-with*: *take* *n* (*zip-with* *f* *xs* *ys*) = *zip-with* *f* (*take* *n* *xs*) (*take* *n* *ys*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *drop-zip-with*: *drop* *n* (*zip-with* *f* *xs* *ys*) = *zip-with* *f* (*drop* *n* *xs*) (*drop* *n* *ys*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-zip-with*: *map* *f* (*zip-with* *g* *xs* *ys*) = *zip-with* ($\lambda x\ y. f\ (g\ x\ y)$) *xs* *ys*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zip-with-map*: *zip-with* *f* (*map* *g* *xs*) (*map* *h* *ys*) = *zip-with* ($\lambda x\ y. f\ (g\ x)\ (h\ y)$) *xs* *ys*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zip-with-map-left*: *zip-with* *f* (*map* *g* *xs*) *ys* = *zip-with* ($\lambda x\ y. f\ (g\ x)\ y$) *xs* *ys*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zip-with-map-right*: *zip-with* *f* *xs* (*map* *g* *ys*) = *zip-with* ($\lambda x\ y. f\ x\ (g\ y)$) *xs* *ys*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zip-with-swap*: *zip-with* ($\lambda x\ y. f\ y\ x$) *xs* *ys* = *zip-with* *f* *ys* *xs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *set-zip-with*: *set* (*zip-with* *f* *xs* *ys*) = ($\lambda(x,y). f\ x\ y$) ‘ *set* (*zip* *xs* *ys*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zip-with-Pair*: *zip-with* *Pair* (*xs* :: 'a list) (*ys* :: 'b list) = *zip* *xs* *ys*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zip-with-altdef*:

$\text{zip-with } f \text{ } xs \text{ } ys = [f \text{ } (xs!i) \text{ } (ys!i). i \leftarrow [0..\text{min } (\text{length } xs) \text{ } (\text{length } ys)]]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-altdef*: $\text{zip } xs \text{ } ys = [(xs!i, ys!i). i \leftarrow [0..\text{min } (\text{length } xs) \text{ } (\text{length } ys)]]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-poly-roots-bound*:

fixes $p :: 'a :: \{\text{comm-ring-1}, \text{ring-no-zero-divisors}\}$ *poly*
assumes $p \neq 0$
shows $\text{card } \{x. \text{poly } p \text{ } x = 0\} \leq \text{degree } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-eqI-degree*:

fixes $p \text{ } q :: 'a :: \{\text{comm-ring-1}, \text{ring-no-zero-divisors}\}$ *poly*
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{poly } p \text{ } x = \text{poly } q \text{ } x$
assumes $\text{card } A > \text{degree } p \text{ } \text{card } A > \text{degree } q$
shows $p = q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-root-order-induct* [*case-names 0 no-roots root*]:

fixes $p :: 'a :: \text{idom } \text{poly}$
assumes $P \text{ } 0 \bigwedge p. (\bigwedge x. \text{poly } p \text{ } x \neq 0) \implies P \text{ } p$
 $\bigwedge p \text{ } x \text{ } n. n > 0 \implies \text{poly } p \text{ } x \neq 0 \implies P \text{ } p \implies P \text{ } ([: -x, 1:] \wedge^n * p)$
shows $P \text{ } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complex-poly-decompose*:

$\text{smult } (\text{lead-coeff } p) \text{ } (\prod z | \text{poly } p \text{ } z = 0. [: -z, 1:] \wedge \text{order } z \text{ } p) = (p :: \text{complex } \text{poly})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *normalize-field*:

$\text{normalize } (x :: 'a :: \{\text{normalization-semidom}, \text{field}\}) = (\text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-factor-field* [*simp*]:

$\text{unit-factor } (x :: 'a :: \{\text{normalization-semidom}, \text{field}\}) = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coprime-linear-poly*:

fixes $c :: 'a :: \text{field-gcd}$
assumes $c \neq c'$
shows $\text{coprime } [:c, 1:] \text{ } [:c', 1:]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma coprime-linear-poly':
  fixes c :: 'a :: field-gcd
  assumes c ≠ c' c ≠ 0 c' ≠ 0
  shows coprime [1,c] [1,c']
  <proof>

end

```

4 Partial Fraction Decomposition

```

theory Partial-Fraction-Decomposition
imports
  Main
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial-Factorial
  HOL-Library.Sublist
  Linear-Recurrences-Misc
begin

```

4.1 Decomposition on general Euclidean rings

Consider elements $x, y_1, \dots, y_n$ of a ring R , where the y_i are pairwise coprime. A *Partial Fraction Decomposition* of these elements (or rather the formal quotient $x/(y_1 \dots y_n)$ that they represent) is a finite sum of summands of the form a/y_i^k . Obviously, the sum can be arranged such that there is at most one summand with denominator y_i^n for any combination of i and n ; in particular, there is at most one summand with denominator 1.

We can decompose the summands further by performing division with remainder until in all quotients, the numerator's Euclidean size is less than that of the denominator.

The following function performs the first step of the above process: it takes the values x and $y_1, \dots, y_n$ and returns the numerators of the summands in the decomposition. (the denominators are simply the y_i from the input)

```

fun decompose :: ('a :: euclidean-ring-gcd) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
  decompose x [] = []
| decompose x [y] = [x]
| decompose x (y#ys) =
  (case bezout-coefficients y (prod-list ys) of
   (a, b) ⇒ (b*x) # decompose (a*x) ys)

```

```

lemma decompose-rec:
  ys ≠ [] ⇒ decompose x (y#ys) =
    (case bezout-coefficients y (prod-list ys) of
     (a, b) ⇒ (b*x) # decompose (a*x) ys)
  <proof>

```

lemma *length-decompose* [simp]: $\text{length } (\text{decompose } x \text{ } ys) = \text{length } ys$
 <proof>

fun *decompose'* :: ('a :: euclidean-ring-gcd) $\Rightarrow$ 'a list $\Rightarrow$ 'a list $\Rightarrow$ 'a list **where**
decompose' x [] = []
 | *decompose'* x [y] = [x]
 | *decompose'* - - [] = []
 | *decompose'* x (y#ys) (p#ps) =
 (case bezout-coefficients y p of
 (a, b) $\Rightarrow$ (b*x) # *decompose'* (a*x) ys ps)

primrec *decompose-aux* :: 'a :: {ab-semigroup-mult, monoid-mult} $\Rightarrow$ - **where**
decompose-aux acc [] = [acc]
 | *decompose-aux* acc (x#xs) = acc # *decompose-aux* (x * acc) xs

lemma *decompose-code* [code]:
 $\text{decompose } x \text{ } ys = \text{decompose}' \text{ } x \text{ } ys \text{ } (\text{tl } (\text{rev } (\text{decompose-aux } 1 \text{ } (\text{rev } ys))))$
 <proof>

The next function performs the second step: Given a quotient of the form x/y^n , it returns a list of $x_0, \dots, x_n$ such that $x/y^n = x_0/y^n + \dots + x_{n-1}/y + x_n$ and all x_i have a Euclidean size less than that of y .

fun *normalise-decomp* :: ('a :: semiring-modulo) $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ nat $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\times$ ('a list)
where
normalise-decomp x y 0 = (x, [])
 | *normalise-decomp* x y (Suc n) = (
 case *normalise-decomp* (x div y) y n of
 (z, rs) $\Rightarrow$ (z, x mod y # rs))

lemma *length-normalise-decomp* [simp]: $\text{length } (\text{snd } (\text{normalise-decomp } x \text{ } y \text{ } n)) = n$
 <proof>

The following constant implements the full process of partial fraction decomposition: The input is a quotient $x/(y_1^{k_1} \dots y_n^{k_n})$ and the output is a sum of an entire element and terms of the form a/y_i^k where a has a Euclidean size less than y_i .

definition *partial-fraction-decomposition* ::
 'a :: euclidean-ring-gcd $\Rightarrow$ ('a $\times$ nat) list $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\times$ 'a list list **where**
partial-fraction-decomposition x ys = (if ys = [] then (x, []) else
 (let zs = [let (y, n) = ys ! i
 in *normalise-decomp* (decompose x (map ($\lambda(y,n). y \wedge \text{Suc } n$) ys) ! i)
 y (Suc n).
 i $\leftarrow$ [0.. $\text{length } ys$]]
 in (sum-list (map fst zs), map snd zs)))

lemma *length-pfd1* [simp]:
 $\text{length } (\text{snd } (\text{partial-fraction-decomposition } x \text{ } ys)) = \text{length } ys$

<proof>

lemma *length-pfd2 [simp]:*

$i < \text{length } ys \implies \text{length } (\text{snd } (\text{partial-fraction-decomposition } x \text{ } ys) ! i) = \text{snd } (ys ! i) + 1$

<proof>

lemma *size-normalise-decomp:*

$a \in \text{set } (\text{snd } (\text{normalise-decomp } x \text{ } y \text{ } n)) \implies y \neq 0 \implies \text{euclidean-size } a < \text{euclidean-size } y$

<proof>

lemma *size-partial-fraction-decomposition:*

$i < \text{length } xs \implies \text{fst } (xs ! i) \neq 0 \implies x \in \text{set } (\text{snd } (\text{partial-fraction-decomposition } y \text{ } xs) ! i)$

$\implies \text{euclidean-size } x < \text{euclidean-size } (\text{fst } (xs ! i))$

<proof>

A homomorphism φ from a Euclidean ring R into another ring S with a notion of division. We will show that for any $x, y \in R$ such that $\phi(y)$ is a unit, we can perform partial fraction decomposition on the quotient $\varphi(x)/\varphi(y)$.

The obvious choice for S is the fraction field of R , but other choices may also make sense: If, for example, R is a ring of polynomials $K[X]$, then one could let $S = K$ and φ the evaluation homomorphism. Or one could let $S = K[[X]]$ (the ring of formal power series) and φ the canonical homomorphism from polynomials to formal power series.

locale *pfd-homomorphism =*

fixes *lift* :: ('a :: euclidean-ring-gcd) $\Rightarrow$ ('b :: euclidean-semiring-cancel)

assumes *lift-add*: $\text{lift } (a + b) = \text{lift } a + \text{lift } b$

assumes *lift-mult*: $\text{lift } (a * b) = \text{lift } a * \text{lift } b$

assumes *lift-0 [simp]*: $\text{lift } 0 = 0$

assumes *lift-1 [simp]*: $\text{lift } 1 = 1$

begin

lemma *lift-power*:

$\text{lift } (a \wedge^n) = \text{lift } a \wedge^n$

<proof>

definition *from-decomp* :: 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ nat $\Rightarrow$ 'b **where**

$\text{from-decomp } x \text{ } y \text{ } n = \text{lift } x \text{ div } \text{lift } y \wedge^n$

lemma *decompose*:

assumes $ys \neq []$ *pairwise coprime (set ys) distinct ys*

$\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } ys \implies \text{is-unit } (\text{lift } y)$

shows $(\sum_{i < \text{length } ys} \text{lift } (\text{decompose } x \text{ } ys ! i) \text{ div } \text{lift } (ys ! i)) = \text{lift } x \text{ div } \text{lift } (\text{prod-list } ys)$

<proof>

lemma *normalise-decomp*:
fixes $x\ y :: 'a$ **and** $n :: nat$
assumes *is-unit* (*lift* y)
defines $xs \equiv snd\ (normalise-decomp\ x\ y\ n)$
shows $lift\ (fst\ (normalise-decomp\ x\ y\ n)) + (\sum i < n. from-decomp\ (xs!i)\ y\ (n-i)) = lift\ x\ div\ lift\ y\ \wedge\ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lift-prod-list*: $lift\ (prod-list\ xs) = prod-list\ (map\ lift\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lift-sum*: $lift\ (sum\ f\ A) = sum\ (\lambda x. lift\ (f\ x))\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *partial-fraction-decomposition*:
fixes $ys :: ('a \times nat)\ list$
defines $ys' \equiv map\ (\lambda(x,n). x\ \wedge\ Suc\ n)\ ys :: 'a\ list$
assumes *unit*: $\bigwedge y. y \in fst\ 'set\ ys \implies is-unit\ (lift\ y)$
assumes *coprime*: *pairwise coprime* (*set* ys')
assumes *distinct*: *distinct* ys'
assumes *partial-fraction-decomposition* $x\ ys = (a, zs)$
shows $lift\ a + (\sum i < length\ ys. \sum j \leq snd\ (ys!i). from-decomp\ (zs!i!j)\ (fst\ (ys!i))\ (snd\ (ys!i)+1 - j)) = lift\ x\ div\ lift\ (prod-list\ ys')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

4.2 Specific results for polynomials

definition *divmod-field-poly* $:: 'a :: field\ poly \Rightarrow 'a\ poly \Rightarrow 'a\ poly \times 'a\ poly$ **where**
 $divmod-field-poly\ p\ q = (p\ div\ q, p\ mod\ q)$

lemma *divmod-field-poly-code* [code]:
 $divmod-field-poly\ p\ q =$
 $(let\ cg = coeffs\ q$
 $in\ if\ cg = []\ then\ (0, p)$
 $else\ let\ cf = coeffs\ p; ilc = inverse\ (last\ cg);$
 $ch = map\ ((*)\ ilc)\ cg;$
 $(q, r) =$
 $divmod-poly-one-main-list\ []\ (rev\ cf)\ (rev\ ch)$
 $(1 + length\ cf - length\ cg)$
 $in\ (poly-of-list\ (map\ ((*)\ ilc)\ q), poly-of-list\ (rev\ r)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *normalise-decomp-poly* $:: 'a :: field-gcd\ poly \Rightarrow 'a\ poly \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a\ poly \times 'a\ poly\ list$

where $[simp]: \text{normalise-decomp-poly } (p :: - \text{ poly}) \ q \ n = \text{normalise-decomp } p \ q \ n$

lemma *normalise-decomp-poly-code* $[code]:$

$\text{normalise-decomp-poly } x \ y \ 0 = (x, [])$
 $\text{normalise-decomp-poly } x \ y \ (\text{Suc } n) =$
 $\text{let } (x', r) = \text{divmod-field-poly } x \ y;$
 $(z, rs) = \text{normalise-decomp-poly } x' \ y \ n$
 $\text{in } (z, r \# rs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *poly-pfd-simple where*

$\text{poly-pfd-simple } x \ cs = (\text{if } cs = [] \text{ then } (x, []) \text{ else}$
 $(\text{let } zs = [\text{let } (c, n) = cs ! i$
 $\text{in } \text{normalise-decomp-poly } (\text{decompose } x$
 $(\text{map } (\lambda(c,n). [:1, -c:] \wedge \text{Suc } n) \ cs) ! i) \ [:1, -c:] \ (n+1).$
 $i \leftarrow [0..<\text{length } cs])$
 $\text{in } (\text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{fst } zs), \text{map } (\text{map } (\lambda p. \text{coeff } p \ 0) \circ \text{snd}) \ zs)))$

lemma *poly-pfd-simple-code* $[code]:$

$\text{poly-pfd-simple } x \ cs =$
 $(\text{if } cs = [] \text{ then } (x, []) \text{ else}$
 $\text{let } zs = \text{zip-with } (\lambda(c,n) \ \text{decomp. normalise-decomp-poly decomp } [:1, -c:]$
 $(n+1))$
 $cs \ (\text{decompose } x \ (\text{map } (\lambda(c,n). [:1, -c:] \wedge \text{Suc } n) \ cs))$
 $\text{in } (\text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{fst } zs), \text{map } (\text{map } (\lambda p. \text{coeff } p \ 0) \circ \text{snd}) \ zs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fst-poly-pfd-simple:*

$\text{fst } (\text{poly-pfd-simple } x \ cs) =$
 $\text{fst } (\text{partial-fraction-decomposition } x \ (\text{map } (\lambda(c,n). ([:1, -c:], n)) \ cs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *const-polyI: degree $p = 0 \implies [: \text{coeff } p \ 0:] = p$*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *snd-poly-pfd-simple:*

$\text{map } (\text{map } (\lambda c. [:c :: 'a :: \text{field-gcd:}])) \ (\text{snd } (\text{poly-pfd-simple } x \ cs)) =$
 $(\text{snd } (\text{partial-fraction-decomposition } x \ (\text{map } (\lambda(c,n). ([:1, -c:], n)) \ cs)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-pfd-simple:*

$\text{partial-fraction-decomposition } x \ (\text{map } (\lambda(c,n). ([:1, -c:], n)) \ cs) =$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{poly-pfd-simple } x \ cs), \text{map } (\text{map } (\lambda c. [:c:]))) \ (\text{snd } (\text{poly-pfd-simple } x$
 $cs)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

5 Factorizations of polynomials

theory *Factorizations*

imports

Complex-Main

Linear-Recurrences-Misc

HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra

HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial-Factorial

begin

We view a factorisation of a polynomial as a pair consisting of the leading coefficient and a list of roots with multiplicities. This gives us a factorization into factors of the form $(X - c)^{n+1}$.

definition *interp-factorization* **where**

interp-factorization = $(\lambda(a, cs). \text{Polynomial.smult } a (\prod (c, n) \leftarrow cs. [: -c, 1:] \wedge \text{Suc } n))$

An alternative way to factorise is as a pair of the leading coefficient and factors of the form $(1 - cX)^{n+1}$.

definition *interp-alt-factorization* **where**

interp-alt-factorization = $(\lambda(a, cs). \text{Polynomial.smult } a (\prod (c, n) \leftarrow cs. [: 1, -c:] \wedge \text{Suc } n))$

definition *is-factorization-of* **where**

is-factorization-of *fctrs* *p* =
 $(\text{interp-factorization } fctrs = p \wedge \text{distinct } (\text{map fst } (\text{snd } fctrs)))$

definition *is-alt-factorization-of* **where**

is-alt-factorization-of *fctrs* *p* =
 $(\text{interp-alt-factorization } fctrs = p \wedge 0 \notin \text{set } (\text{map fst } (\text{snd } fctrs)) \wedge \text{distinct } (\text{map fst } (\text{snd } fctrs)))$

Regular and alternative factorisations are related by reflecting the polynomial.

lemma *interp-factorization-reflect*:

assumes $(0 :: 'a :: \text{idom}) \notin \text{fst } ' \text{set } (\text{snd } fctrs)$

shows $\text{reflect-poly } (\text{interp-factorization } fctrs) = \text{interp-alt-factorization } fctrs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *interp-alt-factorization-reflect*:

assumes $(0 :: 'a :: \text{idom}) \notin \text{fst } ' \text{set } (\text{snd } fctrs)$

shows $\text{reflect-poly } (\text{interp-alt-factorization } fctrs) = \text{interp-factorization } fctrs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coeff-0-interp-factorization*:

$\text{coeff } (\text{interp-factorization } fctrs) \ 0 = (0 :: 'a :: \text{idom}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{fst } fctrs = 0 \vee 0 \in \text{fst } ' \text{set } (\text{snd } fctrs)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reflect-factorization*:

assumes $\text{coeff } p \ 0 \neq (0 :: 'a :: \text{idom})$
assumes *is-factorization-of fctrs* p
shows *is-alt-factorization-of fctrs* (*reflect-poly* p)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reflect-factorization'*:

assumes $\text{coeff } p \ 0 \neq (0 :: 'a :: \text{idom})$
assumes *is-alt-factorization-of fctrs* p
shows *is-factorization-of fctrs* (*reflect-poly* p)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-in-factorization-iff*:

assumes *is-factorization-of fctrs* p
shows $\text{coeff } p \ 0 = 0 \iff p = 0 \vee (0 :: 'a :: \text{idom}) \in \text{fst } \text{'set } (\text{snd } \text{fctrs})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-prod-list [simp]*: $\text{poly } (\text{prod-list } ps) \ x = \text{prod-list } (\text{map } (\lambda p. \text{poly } p \ x) \ ps)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-factorization-of-roots*:

fixes $a :: 'a :: \text{idom}$
assumes *is-factorization-of* (a, fctrs) $p \ p \neq 0$
shows $\text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } \text{fctrs}) = \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in monoid-mult*) *prod-list-prod-nth*: $\text{prod-list } xs = (\prod_{i < \text{length } xs} xs \ ! \ i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *order-prod*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f \ x \neq 0$
assumes $\bigwedge x \ y. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \neq y \implies \text{coprime } (f \ x) \ (f \ y)$
shows $\text{order } c \ (\text{prod } f \ A) = (\sum_{x \in A} \text{order } c \ (f \ x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-factorization-of-order*:

fixes $p :: 'a :: \text{field-gcd poly}$
assumes $p \neq 0$
assumes *is-factorization-of* (a, fctrs) p
assumes $(c, n) \in \text{set } \text{fctrs}$
shows $\text{order } c \ p = \text{Suc } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

For complex polynomials, a factorisation in the above sense always exists.

lemma *complex-factorization-exists*:

$\exists \text{fctrs. is-factorization-of fctrs } (p :: \text{complex poly})$

<proof>

By reflecting the polynomial, this means that for complex polynomials with non-zero constant coefficient, the alternative factorisation also exists.

corollary *complex-alt-factorization-exists:*

assumes *coeff p 0 ≠ 0*

shows $\exists \text{fctrs. is-alt-factorization-of fctrs } (p :: \text{complex poly})$

<proof>

end

6 Solver for rational formal power series

theory *Rational-FPS-Solver*

imports

Complex-Main

Pochhammer-Polynomials

Partial-Fraction-Decomposition

Factorizations

HOL-Computational-Algebra.Field-as-Ring

begin

We can determine the k -th coefficient of an FPS of the form $d/(1 - cX)^n$, which is an important step in solving linear recurrences. The k -th coefficient of such an FPS is always of the form $p(k)c^k$ where p is the following polynomial:

definition *inverse-irred-power-poly* :: $'a :: \text{field-char-0} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly}$ **where**

inverse-irred-power-poly d n =

*Poly [(d * of-nat (stirling n (k+1))) / (fact (n - 1)). k ← [0..<n]]*

lemma *one-minus-const-fps-X-neg-power''*:

fixes $c :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$

assumes $n: n > 0$

shows $\text{fps-const } d / ((1 - \text{fps-const } (c :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}) * \text{fps-X}) ^ n) =$

$\text{Abs-fps } (\lambda k. \text{poly } (\text{inverse-irred-power-poly } d \ n) \ (\text{of-nat } k) * c ^ k) \ (\text{is ?lhs}$

$= \text{?rhs})$

<proof>

include *fps-syntax*

<proof>

lemma *inverse-irred-power-poly-code* [*code abstract*]:

coeffs (inverse-irred-power-poly d n) =

(if n = 0 ∨ d = 0 then [] else

let e = d / (fact (n - 1))

*in [e * of-nat x. x ← tl (stirling-row n)])*

<proof>

lemma *solve-rat-fps-aux*:

fixes $p :: 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0}, \text{field-gcd}\}$ **poly** **and** $cs :: ('a \times \text{nat}) \text{ list}$
assumes *distinct*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{fst } cs)$
assumes *azs*: $(a, zs) = \text{poly-pfd-simple } p \text{ cs}$
assumes *nz*: $0 \notin \text{fst } ' \text{ set } cs$
shows $\text{fps-of-poly } p / \text{fps-of-poly } (\prod (c,n) \leftarrow cs. [:1, -c:]^{\text{Suc } n}) =$
 $\text{Abs-fps } (\lambda k. \text{coeff } a \ k + (\sum i < \text{length } cs. \text{poly } (\sum j \leq \text{snd } (cs ! i). \text{inverse-irred-power-poly } (zs ! i ! j) (\text{snd } (cs ! i) + 1 - j)))$
 $(\text{of-nat } k) * (\text{fst } (cs ! i))^{\wedge k})$ **(is - = ?rhs)**
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *solve-factored-ratfps* ::
 $('a :: \{\text{field-char-0}, \text{field-gcd}\}) \text{ poly} \Rightarrow ('a \times \text{nat}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly} \times ('a \text{ poly} \times 'a)$
list **where**
 $\text{solve-factored-ratfps } p \text{ cs} = (\text{let } n = \text{length } cs \text{ in case } \text{poly-pfd-simple } p \text{ cs of } (a,$
 $zs) \Rightarrow$
 $(a, \text{zip-with } (\lambda zs (c,n). ((\sum (z,j) \leftarrow \text{zip } zs [0..<\text{Suc } n]. \text{inverse-irred-power-poly } z (n + 1 - j)), c)) \text{ } zs \text{ } cs))$

lemma *length-snd-poly-pfd-simple* [simp]: $\text{length } (\text{snd } (\text{poly-pfd-simple } p \text{ cs})) =$
 $\text{length } cs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-nth-snd-poly-pfd-simple* [simp]:
 $i < \text{length } cs \implies \text{length } (\text{snd } (\text{poly-pfd-simple } p \text{ cs}) ! i) = \text{snd } (cs ! i) + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *solve-factored-ratfps-roots*:
 $\text{map } \text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{solve-factored-ratfps } p \text{ cs})) = \text{map } \text{fst } cs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *interp-ratfps-solution* **where**
 $\text{interp-ratfps-solution} = (\lambda (p,cs) n. \text{coeff } p \ n + (\sum (q,c) \leftarrow cs. \text{poly } q \ (\text{of-nat } n) * c^{\wedge n}))$

lemma *solve-factored-ratfps*:
fixes $p :: 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0}, \text{field-gcd}\}$ **poly** **and** $cs :: ('a \times \text{nat}) \text{ list}$
assumes *distinct*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{fst } cs)$
assumes *nz*: $0 \notin \text{fst } ' \text{ set } cs$
shows $\text{fps-of-poly } p / \text{fps-of-poly } (\prod (c,n) \leftarrow cs. [:1, -c:]^{\text{Suc } n}) =$
 $\text{Abs-fps } (\text{interp-ratfps-solution } (\text{solve-factored-ratfps } p \text{ cs}))$ **(is ?lhs = ?rhs)**
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *solve-factored-ratfps'* **where**
 $\text{solve-factored-ratfps}' = (\lambda p (a,cs). \text{solve-factored-ratfps } (\text{smult } (\text{inverse } a) \ p) \ cs)$

lemma *solve-factored-ratfps'*:
assumes *is-alt-factorization-of fctrs q q* $\neq 0$
shows $Abs\text{-}fps\ (interp\text{-}ratfps\text{-}solution\ (solve\text{-}factored\text{-}ratfps'\ p\ fctrs)) =$
 $fps\text{-}of\text{-}poly\ p\ /\ fps\text{-}of\text{-}poly\ q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *degree-Poly-eq*:
assumes $xs = [] \vee last\ xs \neq 0$
shows $degree\ (Poly\ xs) = length\ xs - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *degree-Poly'*: $degree\ (Poly\ xs) \leq length\ xs - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *degree-inverse-irred-power-poly-le*:
 $degree\ (inverse\text{-}irred\text{-}power\text{-}poly\ c\ n) \leq n - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *degree-inverse-irred-power-poly*:
assumes $c \neq 0$
shows $degree\ (inverse\text{-}irred\text{-}power\text{-}poly\ c\ n) = n - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reflect-poly-0-iff [simp]*: $reflect\text{-}poly\ p = 0 \longleftrightarrow p = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *degree-sum-list-le*: $(\bigwedge p. p \in set\ ps \implies degree\ p \leq T) \implies degree\ (sum\text{-}list\ ps) \leq T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *ratfps-closed-form-exists*:
fixes $q :: complex\ poly$
assumes $nz: coeff\ q\ 0 \neq 0$
defines $q' \equiv reflect\text{-}poly\ q$
obtains $r\ rs$
where $\bigwedge n. fps\text{-}nth\ (fps\text{-}of\text{-}poly\ p\ /\ fps\text{-}of\text{-}poly\ q)\ n =$
 $coeff\ r\ n + (\sum c \mid poly\ q'\ c = 0. poly\ (rs\ c)\ (of\text{-}nat\ n) * c \wedge n)$
and $\bigwedge z. poly\ q'\ z = 0 \implies degree\ (rs\ z) \leq order\ z\ q' - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

7 Material common to homogenous and inhomogenous linear recurrences

theory *Linear-Recurrences-Common*
imports

Complex-Main
HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra
begin

definition *lr-fps-denominator* **where**
lr-fps-denominator cs = Poly (rev cs)

lemma *lr-fps-denominator-code* [*code abstract*]:
coeffs (lr-fps-denominator cs) = rev (dropWhile ((=) 0) cs)
<proof>

definition *lr-fps-denominator'* **where**
lr-fps-denominator' cs = Poly cs

lemma *lr-fps-denominator'-code* [*code abstract*]:
coeffs (lr-fps-denominator' cs) = strip-while ((=) 0) cs
<proof>

lemma *lr-fps-denominator-nz*: *last cs ≠ 0 ⇒ cs ≠ [] ⇒ lr-fps-denominator cs ≠ 0*
<proof>

lemma *lr-fps-denominator'-nz*: *last cs ≠ 0 ⇒ cs ≠ [] ⇒ lr-fps-denominator' cs ≠ 0*
<proof>

end

8 Homogenous linear recurrences

theory *Linear-Homogenous-Recurrences*
imports
Complex-Main
RatFPS
Rational-FPS-Solver
Linear-Recurrences-Common
begin

The following is the numerator of the rational generating function of a linear homogenous recurrence.

definition *lhr-fps-numerator* **where**
lhr-fps-numerator m cs f = (let N = length cs - 1 in
*Poly [(∑ i ≤ min N k. cs ! (N - i) * f (k - i)). k ← [0..<N+m]])*

lemma *lhr-fps-numerator-code* [*code abstract*]:
coeffs (lhr-fps-numerator m cs f) = (let N = length cs - 1 in
*strip-while ((=) 0) [(∑ i ≤ min N k. cs ! (N - i) * f (k - i)). k ← [0..<N+m]])*
<proof>

lemma *lhr-fps-aux*:
fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{field}$
assumes $\bigwedge n. n \geq m \implies (\sum k \leq N. c \ k * f \ (n + k)) = 0$
assumes $cN: c \ N \neq 0$
defines $p \equiv \text{Poly} \ [c \ (N - k). k \leftarrow [0..<\text{Suc } N]]$
defines $q \equiv \text{Poly} \ [(\sum i \leq \min N \ k. c \ (N - i) * f \ (k - i)). k \leftarrow [0..<N+m]]$
shows $\text{Abs-fps } f = \text{fps-of-poly } q \ / \ \text{fps-of-poly } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
include *fps-syntax*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lhr-fps*:
fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{field}$ **and** $cs :: 'a \text{ list}$
defines $N \equiv \text{length } cs - 1$
assumes $cs: cs \neq []$
assumes $\bigwedge n. n \geq m \implies (\sum k \leq N. cs ! k * f \ (n + k)) = 0$
assumes $cN: \text{last } cs \neq 0$
shows $\text{Abs-fps } f = \text{fps-of-poly} \ (\text{lhr-fps-numerator } m \ cs \ f) \ / \ \text{fps-of-poly} \ (\text{lr-fps-denominator } cs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *lhr* **where**
 $\text{lhr } cs \ fs \ n =$
 $(\text{if } (cs :: 'a :: \text{field list}) = [] \vee \text{last } cs = 0 \vee \text{length } fs < \text{length } cs - 1 \text{ then}$
 undefined else
 $(\text{if } n < \text{length } fs \text{ then } fs ! n \text{ else}$
 $(\sum k < \text{length } cs - 1. cs ! k * \text{lhr } cs \ fs \ (n + 1 - \text{length } cs + k)) \ / \ -\text{last}$
 $cs))$

declare *lhr.simps* [*simp del*]

lemma *lhr-rec*:
assumes $cs \neq []$ $\text{last } cs \neq 0$ $\text{length } fs \geq \text{length } cs - 1$ $n \geq \text{length } fs$
shows $(\sum k < \text{length } cs. cs ! k * \text{lhr } cs \ fs \ (n + 1 - \text{length } cs + k)) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lhrI*:
assumes $cs \neq []$ $\text{last } cs \neq 0$ $\text{length } fs \geq \text{length } cs - 1$
assumes $\bigwedge n. n < \text{length } fs \implies f \ n = fs ! n$
assumes $\bigwedge n. n \geq \text{length } fs \implies (\sum k < \text{length } cs. cs ! k * f \ (n + 1 - \text{length } cs + k)) = 0$
shows $f \ n = \text{lhr } cs \ fs \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

locale *linear-homogenous-recurrence* =
fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{comm-semiring-0}$ **and** $cs \ fs :: 'a \text{ list}$
assumes $\text{base}: n < \text{length } fs \implies f \ n = fs ! n$

```

assumes cs-not-null [simp]: cs ≠ [] and last-cs [simp]: last cs ≠ 0
and hd-cs [simp]: hd cs ≠ 0 and enough-base: length fs + 1 ≥ length cs
assumes rec:  $n \geq \text{length } fs - \text{length } cs \implies (\sum k < \text{length } cs. cs ! k * f (n + k))$ 
= 0
begin

```

```

lemma lhr-fps-numerator-altdef:
  lhr-fps-numerator (length fs + 1 - length cs) cs f =
    lhr-fps-numerator (length fs + 1 - length cs) cs (! fs)
<proof>

end

```

```

lemma solve-lhr-aux:
assumes linear-homogenous-recurrence f cs fs
assumes is-factorization-of-fctrs (lr-fps-denominator' cs)
shows  $f = \text{interp-ratfps-solution } (\text{solve-factored-ratfps}' (\text{lhr-fps-numerator}$ 
    (length fs + 1 - length cs) cs (! fs)) fctrs)
<proof>

```

```

definition
  lhr-fps as fs = (
    let m = length fs + 1 - length as;
    p = lhr-fps-numerator m as ( $\lambda n. fs ! n$ );
    q = lr-fps-denominator as
    in ratfps-of-poly p / ratfps-of-poly q)

```

```

lemma lhr-fps-correct:
fixes  $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0}, \text{field-gcd}\}$ 
assumes linear-homogenous-recurrence f cs fs
shows  $\text{fps-of-ratfps } (\text{lhr-fps } cs fs) = \text{Abs-fps } f$ 
<proof>

```

```

end

```

9 Eulerian polynomials

```

theory Eulerian-Polynomials
imports
  Complex-Main
  HOL-Combinatorics.Stirling
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra
begin

```

The Eulerian polynomials are a sequence of polynomials that is related to

the closed forms of the power series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^k X^n$$

for a fixed k .

primrec *eulerian-poly* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ '*a* :: *idom poly* **where**
eulerian-poly 0 = 1
| *eulerian-poly* (Suc *n*) = (let *p* = *eulerian-poly* *n* in
[:0,1,-1:] * *pderiv* *p* + *p* * [:1, of-nat *n*:])

lemmas *eulerian-poly-Suc* [simp del] = *eulerian-poly.simps*(2)

lemma *eulerian-poly*:

fps-of-poly (*eulerian-poly* *k* :: '*a* :: *field poly*) =
Abs-fps ($\lambda n. \text{of-nat } (n+1) \wedge k$) * (1 - *fps-X*) $\wedge$ (*k* + 1)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *eulerian-poly'*:

Abs-fps ($\lambda n. \text{of-nat } (n+1) \wedge k$) =
fps-of-poly (*eulerian-poly* *k* :: '*a* :: *field poly*) / (1 - *fps-X*) $\wedge$ (*k* + 1)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *eulerian-poly''*:

assumes *k*: *k* > 0
shows *Abs-fps* ($\lambda n. \text{of-nat } n \wedge k$) =
fps-of-poly (*pCons* 0 (*eulerian-poly* *k* :: '*a* :: *field poly*)) / (1 - *fps-X*) $\wedge$
(*k* + 1)
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *fps-monom-poly* :: '*a* :: *field* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ '*a poly*

where *fps-monom-poly* *c* *k* = (if *k* = 0 then 1 else *pcompose* (*pCons* 0 (*eulerian-poly* *k*)) [:0,*c*:])

primrec *fps-monom-poly-aux* :: '*a* :: *field* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ '*a poly* **where**

fps-monom-poly-aux *c* 0 = [:*c*:]
| *fps-monom-poly-aux* *c* (Suc *k*) =
(let *p* = *fps-monom-poly-aux* *c* *k*
in [:0,1,-*c*:] * *pderiv* *p* + [:1, of-nat *k* * *c*:] * *p*)

lemma *fps-monom-poly-aux*:

fps-monom-poly-aux *c* *k* = *smult* *c* (*pcompose* (*eulerian-poly* *k*) [:0,*c*:])
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *fps-monom-poly-code* [*code*]:

fps-monom-poly *c* *k* = (if *k* = 0 then 1 else *pCons* 0 (*fps-monom-poly-aux* *c* *k*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *fps-monom-aux*:

$Abs-fps (\lambda n. of-nat\ n \wedge k) = fps-of-poly (fps-monom-poly\ 1\ k) / (1 - fps-X) \wedge^{(k+1)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fps-monom*:

$Abs-fps (\lambda n. of-nat\ n \wedge k * c \wedge n) =$
 $fps-of-poly (fps-monom-poly\ c\ k) / (1 - fps-const\ c * fps-X) \wedge^{(k+1)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

10 Inhomogenous linear recurrences

theory *Linear-Inhomogenous-Recurrences*

imports

Complex-Main

Linear-Homogenous-Recurrences

Eulerian-Polynomials

RatFPS

begin

definition *lir-fps-numerator* **where**

$lir-fps-numerator\ m\ cs\ f\ g = (let\ N = length\ cs - 1\ in$
 $Poly\ [(\sum_{i \leq min\ N\ k} cs\ !\ (N - i) * f\ (k - i)) - g\ k. k \leftarrow [0..<N+m]])$

lemma *lir-fps-numerator-code* $[code\ abstract]:$

$coeffs\ (lir-fps-numerator\ m\ cs\ f\ g) = (let\ N = length\ cs - 1\ in$
 $strip-while\ ((=)\ 0)\ [(\sum_{i \leq min\ N\ k} cs\ !\ (N - i) * f\ (k - i)) - g\ k. k \leftarrow [0..<N+m]])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale *linear-inhomogenous-recurrence* =

fixes $f\ g :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm-ring$ **and** $cs\ fs :: 'a\ list$

assumes *base*: $n < length\ fs \implies f\ n = fs\ !\ n$

assumes *cs-not-null* $[simp]: cs \neq []$ **and** *last-cs* $[simp]: last\ cs \neq 0$

and *hd-cs* $[simp]: hd\ cs \neq 0$ **and** *enough-base*: $length\ fs + 1 \geq length\ cs$

assumes *rec*: $n \geq length\ fs + 1 - length\ cs \implies$

$(\sum_{k < length\ cs} cs\ !\ k * f\ (n + k)) = g\ (n + length\ cs - 1)$

begin

lemma *coeff-0-lr-fps-denominator* $[simp]: coeff\ (lr-fps-denominator\ cs)\ 0 = last\ cs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lir-fps-numerator-altdef*:

$lir-fps-numerator\ (length\ fs + 1 - length\ cs)\ cs\ f\ g =$

$lir-fps-numerator\ (length\ fs + 1 - length\ cs)\ cs\ (!)\ fs\ g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

context
begin

private lemma *lir-fps-aux*:

fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: field$

assumes $rec: \bigwedge n. n \geq m \implies (\sum k \leq N. c \ k * f \ (n + k)) = g \ (n + N)$

assumes $cN: c \ N \neq 0$

defines $p \equiv Poly \ [c \ (N - k). k \leftarrow [0..<Suc \ N]]$

defines $q \equiv Poly \ [(\sum i \leq min \ N \ k. c \ (N - i) * f \ (k - i)) - g \ k. k \leftarrow [0..<N+m]]$

shows $Abs-fps \ f = (fps-of-poly \ q + Abs-fps \ g) / fps-of-poly \ p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

include *fps-syntax*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lir-fps*:

fixes $f \ g :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: field$ **and** $cs :: 'a \ list$

defines $N \equiv length \ cs - 1$

assumes $cs: cs \neq []$

assumes $\bigwedge n. n \geq m \implies (\sum k \leq N. cs \ ! \ k * f \ (n + k)) = g \ (n + N)$

assumes $cN: last \ cs \neq 0$

shows $Abs-fps \ f = (fps-of-poly \ (lir-fps-numerator \ m \ cs \ f \ g) + Abs-fps \ g) /$
 $fps-of-poly \ (lir-fps-denominator \ cs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

type-synonym $'a \ polyexp = ('a \times nat \times 'a) \ list$

definition *eval-polyexp* :: $('a :: semiring-1) \ polyexp \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

$eval-polyexp \ xs = (\lambda n. \sum (a,k,b) \leftarrow xs. a * of-nat \ n \ ^k * b \ ^n)$

lemma *eval-polyexp-Nil* [*simp*]: $eval-polyexp \ [] = (\lambda -. 0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eval-polyexp-Cons*:

$eval-polyexp \ (x \# xs) = (\lambda n. (case \ x \ of \ (a,k,b) \Rightarrow a * of-nat \ n \ ^k * b \ ^n) +$
 $eval-polyexp \ xs \ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *polyexp-fps* :: $('a :: field) \ polyexp \Rightarrow 'a \ fps$ **where**

$polyexp-fps \ xs =$

$(\sum (a,k,b) \leftarrow xs. fps-of-poly \ (Polynomial.smult \ a \ (fps-monom-poly \ b \ k)) /$
 $(1 - fps-const \ b * fps-X) \ ^{(k + 1)})$

lemma *polyexp-fps-Nil* [simp]: *polyexp-fps* [] = 0
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *polyexp-fps-Cons*:
polyexp-fps (x#xs) = (case x of (a,k,b) ⇒
 fps-of-poly (*Polynomial.smult* a (*fps-monom-poly* b k)) / (1 - *fps-const* b *
fps-X) ^ (k + 1)) +
polyexp-fps xs
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *polyexp-ratfps* :: ('a :: field-gcd) *polyexp* ⇒ 'a *ratfps* **where**
polyexp-ratfps xs =
 (∑ (a,k,b) ← xs. *ratfps-of-poly* (*Polynomial.smult* a (*fps-monom-poly* b k)) /
ratfps-of-poly ([1, -b:] ^ (k + 1)))

lemma *polyexp-ratfps-Nil* [simp]: *polyexp-ratfps* [] = 0
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *polyexp-ratfps-Cons*: *polyexp-ratfps* (x#xs) = (case x of (a,k,b) ⇒
ratfps-of-poly (*Polynomial.smult* a (*fps-monom-poly* b k)) /
ratfps-of-poly ([1, -b:] ^ (k + 1))) + *polyexp-ratfps* xs
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *polyexp-fps*: *Abs-fps* (*eval-polyexp* xs) = *polyexp-fps* xs
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *polyexp-ratfps* [simp]: *fps-of-ratfps* (*polyexp-ratfps* xs) = *polyexp-fps* xs
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *lir-fps* ::
 'a :: field-gcd list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a *polyexp* ⇒ ('a *ratfps*) option **where**
lir-fps cs fs g = (if cs = [] ∨ length fs < length cs - 1 then None else
 let m = length fs + 1 - length cs;
 p = *lir-fps-numerator* m cs (λn. fs ! n) (*eval-polyexp* g);
 q = *lr-fps-denominator* cs
 in Some ((*ratfps-of-poly* p + *polyexp-ratfps* g) * *inverse* (*ratfps-of-poly* q)))

lemma *lir-fps-correct*:
fixes f :: nat ⇒ 'a :: field-gcd
assumes *linear-inhomogenous-recurrence* f (*eval-polyexp* g) cs fs
shows map-option *fps-of-ratfps* (*lir-fps* cs fs g) = Some (*Abs-fps* f)
 ⟨proof⟩

end

theory *Rational-FPS-Asymptotics*
imports

HOL—Library.Landau-Symbols
Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization
HOL—Real-Asymp.Real-Asymp
Count-Complex-Roots.Count-Complex-Roots
Linear-Homogenous-Recurrences
Linear-Inhomogenous-Recurrences
RatFPS
Rational-FPS-Solver
HOL—Library.Code-Target-Numeral

begin

lemma *poly-asymp-equiv*:

assumes $p \neq 0$ **and** $F \leq \text{at-infinity}$

shows $\text{poly } p \sim [F] (\lambda x. \text{lead-coeff } p * x^{\text{degree } p})$

<proof>

lemma *poly-bigtheta*:

assumes $p \neq 0$ **and** $F \leq \text{at-infinity}$

shows $\text{poly } p \in \Theta[F](\lambda x. x^{\text{degree } p})$

<proof>

lemma *poly-bigo*:

assumes $F \leq \text{at-infinity}$ **and** $\text{degree } p \leq k$

shows $\text{poly } p \in O[F](\lambda x. x^k)$

<proof>

lemma *reflect-poly-dvdI*:

fixes $p \ q :: 'a :: \{\text{comm-semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors}\}$ *poly*

assumes $p \text{ dvd } q$

shows $\text{reflect-poly } p \text{ dvd } \text{reflect-poly } q$

<proof>

lemma *smult-altdef*: $\text{smult } c \ p = [:c:] * p$

<proof>

lemma *smult-power*: $\text{smult } (c^n) \ (p^n) = (\text{smult } c \ p)^n$

<proof>

lemma *order-reflect-poly-ge*:

fixes $c :: 'a :: \text{field}$

assumes $c \neq 0$ **and** $p \neq 0$

shows $\text{order } c \ (\text{reflect-poly } p) \geq \text{order } (1 / c) \ p$

<proof>

lemma *order-reflect-poly*:

fixes $c :: 'a :: \text{field}$

assumes $c \neq 0$ **and** $\text{coeff } p \ 0 \neq 0$

shows $\text{order } c \ (\text{reflect-poly } p) = \text{order } (1 / c) \ p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-reflect-eq-0-iff*:

$\text{poly} (\text{reflect-poly } p) (x :: 'a :: \text{field}) = 0 \iff p = 0 \vee x \neq 0 \wedge \text{poly } p (1 / x) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *ratfps-nth-bigo*:

fixes $q :: \text{complex poly}$
assumes $R > 0$
assumes *roots1*: $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{ball } 0 (1 / R) \implies \text{poly } q z \neq 0$
assumes *roots2*: $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{sphere } 0 (1 / R) \implies \text{poly } q z = 0 \implies \text{order } z q \leq \text{Suc } k$
shows $\text{fps-nth} (\text{fps-of-poly } p / \text{fps-of-poly } q) \in O(\lambda n. \text{of-nat } n^k * \text{of-real } R^n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *order-power*: $p \neq 0 \implies \text{order } c (p^n) = n * \text{order } c p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *same-root-imp-not-coprime*:

assumes $\text{poly } p x = 0$ **and** $\text{poly } q (x :: 'a :: \{\text{factorial-ring-gcd}, \text{semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\}) = 0$
shows $\neg \text{coprime } p q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ratfps-nth-bigo-square-free-factorization*:

fixes $p :: \text{complex poly}$
assumes *square-free-factorization* $q (b, cs)$
assumes $q \neq 0$ **and** $R > 0$
assumes *roots1*: $\bigwedge c l. (c, l) \in \text{set } cs \implies \forall x \in \text{ball } 0 (1 / R). \text{poly } c x \neq 0$
assumes *roots2*: $\bigwedge c l. (c, l) \in \text{set } cs \implies l > \text{Suc } k \implies \forall x \in \text{sphere } 0 (1 / R). \text{poly } c x \neq 0$
shows $\text{fps-nth} (\text{fps-of-poly } p / \text{fps-of-poly } q) \in O(\lambda n. \text{of-nat } n^k * \text{of-real } R^n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *proots-within-card-zero-iff*:

assumes $p \neq (0 :: 'a :: \text{idom poly})$
shows $\text{card} (\text{proots-within } p A) = 0 \iff (\forall x \in A. \text{poly } p x \neq 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ratfps-nth-bigo-square-free-factorization'*:

fixes $p :: \text{complex poly}$
assumes *square-free-factorization* $q (b, cs)$

assumes $q \neq 0$ and $R > 0$
assumes *roots1*: *list-all* ($\lambda cl. \text{proots-ball-card } (fst\ cl)\ 0\ (1 / R) = 0$) *cs*
assumes *roots2*: *list-all* ($\lambda cl. \text{proots-sphere-card } (fst\ cl)\ 0\ (1 / R) = 0$)
 $(filter\ (\lambda cl. snd\ cl > Suc\ k)\ cs)$
shows $fps\text{-}nth\ (fps\text{-of-poly } p / fps\text{-of-poly } q) \in O(\lambda n. of\text{-nat } n^{\wedge} k * of\text{-real } R^{\wedge} n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *ratfps-has-asymptotics* **where**
 $ratfps\text{-has-asymptotics } q\ k\ R \longleftrightarrow q \neq 0 \wedge R > 0 \wedge$
 $(let\ cs = snd\ (yun\text{-factorization } gcd\ q)$
 $in\ list\text{-all } (\lambda cl. \text{proots-ball-card } (fst\ cl)\ 0\ (1 / R) = 0)\ cs \wedge$
 $list\text{-all } (\lambda cl. \text{proots-sphere-card } (fst\ cl)\ 0\ (1 / R) = 0)\ (filter\ (\lambda cl. snd\ cl > Suc\ k)\ cs))$

lemma *ratfps-has-asymptotics-correct*:
assumes *ratfps-has-asymptotics* $q\ k\ R$
shows $fps\text{-}nth\ (fps\text{-of-poly } p / fps\text{-of-poly } q) \in O(\lambda n. of\text{-nat } n^{\wedge} k * of\text{-real } R^{\wedge} n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

value *map* ($fps\text{-}nth\ (fps\text{-of-poly } [:0, 1:] / fps\text{-of-poly } [:1, -1, -1 :: real:])$) $[0..<5]$

method *ratfps-bigo* = (*rule* *ratfps-has-asymptotics-correct*; *eval*)

lemma $fps\text{-}nth\ (fps\text{-of-poly } [:0, 1:] / fps\text{-of-poly } [:1, -1, -1 :: complex:]) \in$
 $O(\lambda n. of\text{-nat } n^{\wedge} 0 * complex\text{-of-real } 1.618034^{\wedge} n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fps\text{-}nth\ (fps\text{-of-poly } 1 / fps\text{-of-poly } [:1, -3, 3, -1 :: complex:]) \in$
 $O(\lambda n. of\text{-nat } n^{\wedge} 2 * complex\text{-of-real } 1^{\wedge} n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fps\text{-}nth\ (fps\text{-of-poly } f / fps\text{-of-poly } [:5, 4, 3, 2, 1 :: complex:]) \in$
 $O(\lambda n. of\text{-nat } n^{\wedge} 0 * complex\text{-of-real } 0.69202^{\wedge} n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end