

Enriched Category Basics

Eugene W. Stark

Department of Computer Science
Stony Brook University
Stony Brook, New York 11794 USA

March 17, 2025

Abstract

The notion of an enriched category generalizes the concept of category by replacing the hom-sets of an ordinary category by objects of an arbitrary monoidal category. In this article we give a formal definition of enriched categories and we give formal proofs of a relatively narrow selection of facts about them. One of the main results is a proof that a closed monoidal category can be regarded as a category “enriched in itself”. The other main result is a proof of a version of the Yoneda Lemma for enriched categories.

Contents

Contents	2
Introduction	2
1 Closed Monoidal Categories	5
1.1 Definition and Basic Facts	5
1.1.1 An ECMC is a CMC	6
1.1.2 A CMC Extends to an ECMC	9
1.2 Internal Hom Functors	11
1.2.1 Exponentiation by Unity	16
1.2.2 Internal Currying	18
1.2.3 Yoneda Embedding	25
1.3 Enriched Structure	30
1.4 Cartesian Closed Monoidal Categories	40
2 Enriched Categories	48
2.1 Basic Definitions	49
2.1.1 Self-Enrichment	51
2.2 Underlying Category, Functor, and Natural Transformation	54
2.2.1 Underlying Category	54
2.2.2 Underlying Functor	58
2.2.3 Underlying Natural Transformation	61
2.2.4 Self-Enriched Case	65
2.3 Opposite of an Enriched Category	68
2.3.1 Relation between $(-^{op})_0$ and $(-_0)^{op}$	76
2.4 Enriched Hom Functors	80
2.4.1 Covariant Case	80
2.4.2 Contravariant Case	86
2.5 Enriched Yoneda Lemma	93
2.5.1 Preliminaries	93
2.5.2 Covariant Case	102
2.5.3 Contravariant Case	123
Bibliography	124

Introduction

The notion of an enriched category [1] generalizes the concept of category by replacing the hom-sets of an ordinary category by objects of an arbitrary monoidal category $\mathcal{V}$. The choice, for each object a , of a distinguished element $id\ a : a \rightarrow a$ as an identity, is replaced by an arrow $Id\ a : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow Hom\ a\ a$ of $\mathcal{V}$. The composition operation is similarly replaced by a family of arrows $Comp\ a\ b\ c : Hom\ B\ C \otimes Hom\ A\ B \rightarrow Hom\ A\ C$ of $\mathcal{V}$. The identity and composition are required to satisfy unit and associativity laws which are expressed as commutative diagrams in $\mathcal{V}$. Of particular interest is the case in which $\mathcal{V}$ is symmetric monoidal and closed; in that case, as Kelly states ([1], Section 1.6): “The structure of $\mathcal{V}$ -**CAT** then becomes rich enough to permit of Yoneda-lemma arguments formally identical with those in **CAT**.”

The goal of this article is to formalize the basic definition of enriched category and some related notions, and to prove a relatively narrow selection of facts about these definitions. For reference and inspiration, we follow the early sections of the book by Kelly [1]; however a comprehensive formalization of the material in that book is explicitly not our objective here. Rather, beyond the basic definitions we are primarily interested in the following two results: (1) that a closed monoidal category can be regarded as a category “enriched in itself”; and (2) the Yoneda Lemma for enriched categories (specifically, the weak form considered in Section 1.9 of [1]). We needed the basic definitions and result (1) for use in a separate article [4]. Although this material could have been included as part of that other article, as it is general material that does not depend on the specific application considered there, it seemed best to present it as a stand-alone development that would be more readily accessible for use by others. As far as result (2) is concerned, we originally formalized and proved it as part of our exploration leading up to [4]. Ultimately, we did not find result (2) to be necessary for the satisfactory development of that work, but as it is a result of general interest whose formalization did involve some struggle to achieve, it seems worthwhile to include it here.

This article is organized as follows: In Chapter 1 we give formal definitions for the notions “closed monoidal category” and “cartesian closed monoidal category” and prove some facts about them. This builds on the

formal development of the theory of monoidal categories in our previous article [3]. The main goals of this section are to prove some general facts about exponentials that are used in [4], and to do most of the preliminary work (the parts that do not specifically depend on the definition of enriched category) involved in showing that a closed monoidal category is “enriched in itself”. In Chapter 2 we give definitions for “enriched category” and the related notions “enriched functor,” “enriched natural transformation,” and “underlying category,” and we complete the formal statement and proof of “self-enrichment.” We then continue with the definition of the opposite of an enriched category, give definitions for the notions of covariant and contravariant enriched hom functors, and prove corresponding covariant and contravariant versions of the Yoneda Lemma.

Chapter 1

Closed Monoidal Categories

A *closed monoidal category* is a monoidal category such that for every object b , the functor $- \otimes b$ is a left adjoint functor. A right adjoint to this functor takes each object c to the *exponential* $\exp b\ c$. The adjunction yields a natural bijection between $\text{hom}(- \otimes b)\ c$ and $\text{hom}-(\exp b\ c)$. In enriched category theory, the notion of “hom-set” from classical category theory is generalized to that of “hom-object” in a monoidal category. When the monoidal category in question is closed, much of the theory of set-based categories can be reproduced in the more general enriched setting. The main purpose of this section is to prepare the way for such a development; in particular we do the main work required to show that a closed monoidal category is “enriched in itself.”

```
theory ClosedMonoidalCategory
imports MonoidalCategory.CartesianMonoidalCategory
begin
```

1.1 Definition and Basic Facts

As is pointed out in [2], unless symmetry is assumed as part of the definition, there are in fact two notions of closed monoidal category: *left*-closed monoidal category and *right*-closed monoidal category. Here we define versions with and without symmetry, so that we can identify the places where symmetry is actually required.

```
locale closed-monoidal-category =
  monoidal-category +
assumes left-adjoint-tensor:  $\bigwedge b. \text{ide } b \implies \text{left-adjoint-functor } C\ C\ (\lambda x. x \otimes b)$ 
```

```
locale closed-symmetric-monoidal-category =
  closed-monoidal-category +
  symmetric-monoidal-category
```

Similarly to what we have done in previous work, besides the definition of *closed-monoidal-category*, which adds an assumed property to *monoidal-category*

but not any additional structure, we find it convenient also to define *elementary-closed-monoidal-category*, which assumes particular exponential structure to have been chosen, and uses this given structure to express the properties of a closed monoidal category in a more elementary way.

```

locale elementary-closed-monoidal-category =
  monoidal-category +
fixes exp :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and eval :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and Curry :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
assumes eval-in-hom-ax:  $\llbracket \text{ide } b; \text{ide } c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \langle\langle \text{eval } b \ c : \text{exp } b \ c \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle$ 
and ide-exp [intro, simp]:  $\llbracket \text{ide } b; \text{ide } c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ide } (\text{exp } b \ c)$ 
and Curry-in-hom-ax:  $\llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{ide } b; \text{ide } c; \langle\langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle \rrbracket$ 
   $\Longrightarrow \langle\langle \text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ g : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle\rangle$ 
and Uncurry-Curry:  $\llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{ide } b; \text{ide } c; \langle\langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle \rrbracket$ 
   $\Longrightarrow \text{eval } b \ c \cdot (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ g \otimes b) = g$ 
and Curry-Uncurry:  $\llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{ide } b; \text{ide } c; \langle\langle h : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle\rangle \rrbracket$ 
   $\Longrightarrow \text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ (\text{eval } b \ c \cdot (h \otimes b)) = h$ 

locale elementary-closed-symmetric-monoidal-category =
  symmetric-monoidal-category +
  elementary-closed-monoidal-category
begin

  sublocale elementary-symmetric-monoidal-category
    C tensor I lunit runit assoc sym
  using induces-elementary-symmetric-monoidal-categoryCMC by blast

end

```

We now show that, except for the fact that a particular choice of structure has been made, closed monoidal categories and elementary closed monoidal categories amount to the same thing.

1.1.1 An ECMC is a CMC

```

context elementary-closed-monoidal-category
begin

  notation Curry ( $\langle\langle \text{Curry}[-, -, -] \rangle\rangle$ )

  abbreviation Uncurry ( $\langle\langle \text{Uncurry}[-, -] \rangle\rangle$ )
  where Uncurry[b, c] f  $\equiv \text{eval } b \ c \cdot (f \otimes b)$ 

  lemma Curry-in-hom [intro]:
  assumes ide a and ide b and  $\langle\langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle$  and y = exp b c
  shows  $\langle\langle \text{Curry}[a, b, c] \ g : a \rightarrow y \rangle\rangle$ 
    using assms Curry-in-hom-ax [of a b c g] by fastforce

```

lemma *Curry-simps* [*simp*]:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b* **and** $\langle\langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle$
shows $\text{arr } (\text{Curry}[a, b, c] \ g)$
and $\text{dom } (\text{Curry}[a, b, c] \ g) = a$
and $\text{cod } (\text{Curry}[a, b, c] \ g) = \text{exp } b \ c$
using *assms Curry-in-hom* **by** *blast+*

lemma *eval-in-hom_{ECMC}* [*intro*]:
assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c* **and** $x = \text{exp } b \ c \otimes b$
shows $\langle\langle \text{eval } b \ c : x \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle$
using *assms eval-in-hom-ax* **by** *blast*

lemma *eval-simps* [*simp*]:
assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c*
shows $\text{arr } (\text{eval } b \ c)$ **and** $\text{dom } (\text{eval } b \ c) = \text{exp } b \ c \otimes b$ **and** $\text{cod } (\text{eval } b \ c) = c$
using *assms eval-in-hom_{ECMC}* **by** *blast+*

lemma *Uncurry-in-hom* [*intro*]:
assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c* **and** $\langle\langle f : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle\rangle$ **and** $x = a \otimes b$
shows $\langle\langle \text{Uncurry}[b, c] \ f : x \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Uncurry-simps* [*simp*]:
assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c* **and** $\langle\langle f : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle\rangle$
shows $\text{arr } (\text{Uncurry}[b, c] \ f)$
and $\text{dom } (\text{Uncurry}[b, c] \ f) = a \otimes b$
and $\text{cod } (\text{Uncurry}[b, c] \ f) = c$
using *assms Uncurry-in-hom* **by** *blast+*

lemma *Uncurry-exp*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows $\text{Uncurry}[a, b] \ (\text{exp } a \ b) = \text{eval } a \ b$
using *assms*
by (*metis comp-arr-dom eval-in-hom_{ECMC} in-homE*)

lemma *comp-Curry-arr*:
assumes *ide b* **and** $\langle\langle f : x \rightarrow a \rangle\rangle$ **and** $\langle\langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle$
shows $\text{Curry}[a, b, c] \ g \cdot f = \text{Curry}[x, b, c] \ (g \cdot (f \otimes b))$
proof –
have *a: ide a* **and** *c: ide c* **and** *x: ide x*
using *assms(2–3)* **by** *auto*
have $\text{Curry}[a, b, c] \ g \cdot f =$
 $\text{Curry}[x, b, c] \ (\text{Uncurry}[b, c] \ (\text{Curry}[a, b, c] \ g \cdot f))$
using *assms(1–3) a c x Curry-Uncurry comp-in-homI Curry-in-hom*
by *presburger*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[x, b, c] \ (\text{eval } b \ c \cdot (\text{Curry}[a, b, c] \ g \otimes b) \cdot (f \otimes b))$
using *assms a c interchange*
by (*metis comp-ide-self Curry-in-hom ideD(1) seqI'*)
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[x, b, c] \ (\text{Uncurry}[b, c] \ (\text{Curry}[a, b, c] \ g) \cdot (f \otimes b))$

```

    using comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... = Curry[x, b, c] (g · (f ⊗ b))
    using a c assms(1,3) Uncurry-Curry by simp
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma terminal-arrow-from-functor-eval:
  assumes ide b and ide c
  shows terminal-arrow-from-functor C C (λx. T (x, b)) (exp b c) c (eval b c)
  proof -
    interpret functor C C ⟨λx. T (x, b)⟩
    using assms(1) interchange T.extensionality
    by unfold-locales auto
    interpret arrow-from-functor C C ⟨λx. T (x, b)⟩ ⟨exp b c⟩ c ⟨eval b c⟩
    using assms eval-in-homECMC
    by unfold-locales auto
    show ?thesis
  proof
    show ∧ a f. arrow-from-functor C C (λx. T (x, b)) a c f ⇒
      ∃! g. arrow-from-functor.is-coext C C
        (λx. T (x, b)) (exp b c) (eval b c) a f g

    proof -
      fix a f
      assume f: arrow-from-functor C C (λx. T (x, b)) a c f
      interpret f: arrow-from-functor C C ⟨λx. T (x, b)⟩ a c f
      using f by simp
      show ∃! g. is-coext a f g
    proof
      have a: ide a
      using f.arrow by simp
      show is-coext a f (Curry[a, b, c] f)
      unfolding is-coext-def
      using assms a Curry-in-hom Uncurry-Curry f.arrow by force
      show ∧ g. is-coext a f g ⇒ g = Curry[a, b, c] f
      unfolding is-coext-def
      using assms a Curry-Uncurry f.arrow arrI by force
    qed
  qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma is-closed-monoidal-category:
  shows closed-monoidal-category C T α ι
  using T.extensionality interchange terminal-arrow-from-functor-eval
  apply unfold-locales
  apply auto[5]
  by metis

lemma retraction-eval-ide-self:

```



```

assumes ide a
shows retraction (eval a a)
  by (metis Uncurry-Curry assms comp-lunit-lunit'(1) ide-unity comp-assoc
      lunit-in-hom retractionI)

```

end

```

context elementary-closed-symmetric-monoidal-category
begin

```

```

lemma is-closed-symmetric-monoidal-category:
shows closed-symmetric-monoidal-category C T α ι σ
  by (simp add: closed-symmetric-monoidal-category.intro
      is-closed-monoidal-category symmetric-monoidal-category-axioms)

```

end

1.1.2 A CMC Extends to an ECMC

```

context closed-monoidal-category
begin

```

```

lemma has-exponentials:
assumes ide b and ide c
shows  $\exists x e. \text{ide } x \wedge \langle e : x \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle \wedge$ 
       $(\forall a g. \text{ide } a \wedge$ 
         $\langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle \longrightarrow (\exists ! f. \langle f : a \rightarrow x \rangle \wedge g = e \cdot (f \otimes b)))$ 

```

proof –

```

interpret F: left-adjoint-functor C C ⟨λx. x ⊗ b⟩
  using assms(1) left-adjoint-tensor by simp
obtain x e where e: terminal-arrow-from-functor C C (λx. x ⊗ b) x c e
  using assms F.ex-terminal-arrow [of c] by auto
interpret e: terminal-arrow-from-functor C C ⟨λx. x ⊗ b⟩ x c e
  using e by simp
have  $\bigwedge a g. [\text{ide } a; \langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle] \implies \exists ! f. \langle f : a \rightarrow x \rangle \wedge g = e \cdot (f \otimes b)$ 
  using e.is-terminal category-axioms F.functor-axioms
  unfolding e.is-coext-def arrow-from-functor-def
    arrow-from-functor-axioms-def
  by simp
thus ?thesis
  using e.arrow by metis

```

qed

```

definition some-exp (⟨exp?⟩)
where  $\text{exp}^? b c \equiv \text{SOME } x. \text{ide } x \wedge$ 
       $(\exists e. \langle e : x \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle \wedge$ 

```

$$(\forall a\ g.\ ide\ a \wedge \langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle \longrightarrow (\exists !f.\ \langle f : a \rightarrow x \rangle \wedge g = e \cdot (f \otimes b))))$$

definition *some-eval* ($\langle eval^? \rangle$)

where $eval^? b\ c \equiv SOME\ e.\ \langle e : exp^? b\ c \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle \wedge$
 $(\forall a\ g.\ ide\ a \wedge \langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle \longrightarrow (\exists !f.\ \langle f : a \rightarrow exp^? b\ c \rangle \wedge g = e \cdot (f \otimes b)))$

definition *some-Curry* ($\langle Curry^?[-, -, -] \rangle$)

where $Curry^?[a, b, c]\ g \equiv$
 $THE\ f.\ \langle f : a \rightarrow exp^? b\ c \rangle \wedge g = eval^? b\ c \cdot (f \otimes b)$

abbreviation *some-Uncurry* ($\langle Uncurry^?[-, -] \rangle$)

where $Uncurry^?[b, c]\ f \equiv eval^? b\ c \cdot (f \otimes b)$

lemma *Curry-uniqueness*:

assumes *ide b and ide c*

shows *ide (exp[?] b c) and* $\langle eval^? b\ c : exp^? b\ c \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle$

and $\llbracket ide\ a; \langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle \rrbracket$

$\implies \exists !f.\ \langle f : a \rightarrow exp^? b\ c \rangle \wedge g = Uncurry^?[b, c]\ f$

using *assms some-exp-def some-eval-def has-exponentials*

someI-ex [of $\lambda x.\ ide\ x \wedge (\exists e.\ \langle e : x \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle \wedge$

$(\forall a\ g.\ ide\ a \wedge \langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle$

$\longrightarrow (\exists !f.\ \langle f : a \rightarrow x \rangle \wedge g = e \cdot (f \otimes b))))]$

someI-ex [of $\lambda e.\ \langle e : exp^? b\ c \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle \wedge$

$(\forall a\ g.\ ide\ a \wedge \langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle$

$\longrightarrow (\exists !f.\ \langle f : a \rightarrow exp^? b\ c \rangle \wedge g = e \cdot (f \otimes b))))]$

by *auto*

lemma *some-eval-in-hom* [intro]:

assumes *ide b and ide c and* $x = exp^? b\ c \otimes b$

shows $\langle eval^? b\ c : x \rightarrow c \rangle$

using *assms Curry-uniqueness by simp*

lemma *some-Uncurry-some-Curry*:

assumes *ide a and ide b and* $\langle g : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle$

shows $\langle Curry^?[a, b, c]\ g : a \rightarrow exp^? b\ c \rangle$

and $Uncurry^?[b, c]\ (Curry^?[a, b, c]\ g) = g$

proof –

have *ide c*

using *assms(3) by auto*

hence $1: \langle Curry^?[a, b, c]\ g : a \rightarrow exp^? b\ c \rangle \wedge$

$g = Uncurry^?[b, c]\ (Curry^?[a, b, c]\ g)$

using *assms some-Curry-def Curry-uniqueness*

theI' [of $\lambda f.\ \langle f : a \rightarrow exp^? b\ c \rangle \wedge g = Uncurry^?[b, c]\ f]$

by *simp*

show $\langle Curry^?[a, b, c]\ g : a \rightarrow exp^? b\ c \rangle$

using 1 **by** *simp*

show $Uncurry^?[b, c]\ (Curry^?[a, b, c]\ g) = g$

using 1 by simp
qed

lemma *some-Curry-some-Uncurry:*

assumes *ide b and ide c and* $\langle h : a \rightarrow \text{exp}^? b \ c \rangle$

shows $\text{Curry}^?[a, b, c] (\text{Uncurry}^?[b, c] h) = h$

proof –

have $\exists ! f. \langle f : a \rightarrow \text{exp}^? b \ c \rangle \wedge \text{Uncurry}^?[b, c] h = \text{Uncurry}^?[b, c] f$

using *assms ide-dom ide-in-hom*

Curry-uniqueness(3) [of b c a Uncurry[?][b, c] h]

by *auto*

moreover have $\langle h : a \rightarrow \text{exp}^? b \ c \rangle \wedge \text{Uncurry}^?[b, c] h = \text{Uncurry}^?[b, c] h$

using *assms by simp*

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *assms some-Curry-def Curry-uniqueness some-Uncurry-some-Curry*

the1-equality [of $\lambda f. \langle f : a \rightarrow \text{some-exp } b \ c \rangle \wedge$

$\text{Uncurry}^?[b, c] h = \text{Uncurry}^?[b, c] f]$

by *simp*

qed

lemma *extends-to-elementary-closed-monoidal-category_{CMC}:*

shows *elementary-closed-monoidal-category*

C T α ι some-exp some-eval some-Curry

using *Curry-uniqueness some-Uncurry-some-Curry*

some-Curry-some-Uncurry

by *unfold-locales auto*

end

context *closed-symmetric-monoidal-category*

begin

lemma *extends-to-elementary-closed-symmetric-monoidal-category_{CMC}:*

shows *elementary-closed-symmetric-monoidal-category*

C T α ι σ some-exp some-eval some-Curry

by (*simp add: elementary-closed-symmetric-monoidal-category-def*

extends-to-elementary-closed-monoidal-category_{CMC}

symmetric-monoidal-category-axioms)

end

1.2 Internal Hom Functors

For each object x of a closed monoidal category C , we can define a covariant endofunctor $\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} x -$ of C , which takes each arrow g to an arrow $\langle \text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} x \ g : \text{exp } x \ (\text{dom } g) \rightarrow \text{exp } x \ (\text{cod } g) \rangle$. Similarly, for each object y , we can define a contravariant endofunctor $\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} - \ y$ of C , which takes each arrow f of C^{op} to an arrow $\langle \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f \ y : \text{exp } (\text{cod } f) \ y \rightarrow \text{exp } (\text{dom } f) \ y \rangle$ of C .

These two endofunctors commute with each other and compose to form a single binary “internal hom” functor Exp from $C^{op} \times C$ to C .

context *elementary-closed-monoidal-category*
begin

abbreviation *cov-Exp* ($\langle Exp^{\rightarrow} \rangle$)

where $Exp^{\rightarrow} x g \equiv$ if $arr\ g$
 then $Curry[exp\ x\ (dom\ g),\ x,\ cod\ g]\ (g \cdot eval\ x\ (dom\ g))$
 else $null$

abbreviation *cnt-Exp* ($\langle Exp^{\leftarrow} \rangle$)

where $Exp^{\leftarrow} f y \equiv$ if $arr\ f$
 then $Curry[exp\ (cod\ f)\ y,\ dom\ f,\ y]$
 ($eval\ (cod\ f)\ y \cdot (exp\ (cod\ f)\ y \otimes f)$)
 else $null$

lemma *cov-Exp-in-hom*:

assumes *ide x* **and** *arr g*

shows $\langle Exp^{\rightarrow} x g : exp\ x\ (dom\ g) \rightarrow exp\ x\ (cod\ g) \rangle$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *cnt-Exp-in-hom*:

assumes *arr f* **and** *ide y*

shows $\langle Exp^{\leftarrow} f y : exp\ (cod\ f)\ y \rightarrow exp\ (dom\ f)\ y \rangle$

using *assms* **by** *force*

lemma *cov-Exp-ide*:

assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*

shows $Exp^{\rightarrow} a\ b = exp\ a\ b$

using *assms*

by (*metis comp-ide-arr Curry-Uncurry eval-in-hom_{ECMC} ideD(2-3) ide-exp*
ide-in-hom seqI' Uncurry-exp)

lemma *cnt-Exp-ide*:

assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*

shows $Exp^{\leftarrow} a\ b = exp\ a\ b$

using *assms Curry-Uncurry ide-exp ide-in-hom* **by** *force*

lemma *cov-Exp-comp*:

assumes *ide x* **and** *seq g f*

shows $Exp^{\rightarrow} x\ (g \cdot f) = Exp^{\rightarrow} x\ g \cdot Exp^{\rightarrow} x\ f$

proof –

have $Exp^{\rightarrow} x\ g \cdot Exp^{\rightarrow} x\ f =$

$Curry[exp\ x\ (cod\ f),\ x,\ cod\ g]\ (g \cdot eval\ x\ (cod\ f)) \cdot$
 $Curry[exp\ x\ (dom\ f),\ x,\ cod\ f]\ (f \cdot eval\ x\ (dom\ f))$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = Curry[exp\ x\ (dom\ f),\ x,\ cod\ g]$

$((g \cdot eval\ x\ (dom\ g)) \cdot$
 $(Curry[exp\ x\ (dom\ f),\ x,\ cod\ f]\ (f \cdot eval\ x\ (dom\ f)) \otimes x))$

using *assms cov-Exp-in-hom comp-Curry-arr* by *auto*
 also have $\dots = \text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} x (g \cdot f)$
 using *assms Uncurry-Curry comp-assoc* by *fastforce*
 finally show *?thesis* by *simp*
 qed

lemma *cnt-Exp-comp*:
assumes *seq g f* and *ide y*
shows $\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} (g \cdot f) y = \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f y \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} g y$
proof –
 have $\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f y \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} g y =$
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp} (\text{cod } g) y, \text{dom } f, y]$
 $((\text{eval} (\text{cod } f) y \cdot (\text{exp} (\text{cod } f) y \otimes f)) \cdot$
 $(\text{Curry}[\text{exp} (\text{cod } g) y, \text{cod } f, y]$
 $(\text{eval} (\text{cod } g) y \cdot (\text{exp} (\text{cod } g) y \otimes g)) \otimes \text{dom } f))$
 using *assms*
 comp-Curry-arr
 $[of \text{dom } f \text{ Curry}[\text{exp} (\text{cod } g) y, \text{cod } f, y]$
 $(\text{eval} (\text{cod } g) y \cdot (\text{exp} (\text{cod } g) y \otimes g))]$
 by *fastforce*
 also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp} (\text{cod } g) y, \text{dom } f, y]$
 $((\text{Uncurry}[\text{cod } f, y]$
 $(\text{Curry}[\text{exp} (\text{cod } g) y, \text{cod } f, y]$
 $(\text{eval} (\text{cod } g) y \cdot (\text{exp} (\text{cod } g) y \otimes g)))) \cdot$
 $(\text{exp} (\text{cod } g) y \otimes f))$
 using *assms interchange comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr comp-assoc* by *auto*
 also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp} (\text{cod } g) y, \text{dom } f, y]$
 $((\text{eval} (\text{cod } g) y \cdot (\text{exp} (\text{cod } g) y \otimes g)) \cdot (\text{exp} (\text{cod } g) y \otimes f))$
 using *assms Uncurry-Curry* by *auto*
 also have $\dots = \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} (g \cdot f) y$
 using *assms interchange comp-assoc* by *auto*
 finally show *?thesis* by *simp*
 qed

lemma *functor-cov-Exp*:
assumes *ide x*
shows *functor C C* ($\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} x$)
 using *assms cov-Exp-ide cov-Exp-in-hom cov-Exp-comp*
 by *unfold-locales auto*

interpretation *Cop*: *dual-category C ..*

lemma *functor-cnt-Exp*:
assumes *ide x*
shows *functor Cop.comp C* ($\lambda f. \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f x$)
 using *assms cnt-Exp-ide cnt-Exp-in-hom cnt-Exp-comp*
 by *unfold-locales auto*

lemma *cov-cnt-Exp-commute*:

assumes $\text{arr } f$ **and** $\text{arr } g$
shows $\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{dom } f) g \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f (\text{dom } g) =$
 $\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f (\text{cod } g) \cdot \text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{cod } f) g$
proof –
have $\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{dom } f) g \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f (\text{dom } g) =$
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{dom } g), \text{dom } f, \text{cod } g]$
 $((g \cdot \text{eval } (\text{dom } f) (\text{dom } g))) \cdot$
 $(\text{Curry}[\text{exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{dom } g), \text{dom } f, \text{dom } g]$
 $(\text{eval } (\text{cod } f) (\text{dom } g) \cdot (\text{exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{dom } g) \otimes f)) \otimes \text{dom } f))$
using *assms cnt-Exp-in-hom comp-Curry-arr* **by** *force*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{dom } g), \text{dom } f, \text{cod } g]$
 $(\text{Uncurry}[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] (\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{cod } f) g) \cdot$
 $(\text{exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{dom } g) \otimes f))$
using *assms comp-assoc Uncurry-Curry* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{dom } g), \text{dom } f, \text{cod } g]$
 $(\text{eval } (\text{cod } f) (\text{cod } g) \cdot (\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{cod } f) g \otimes \text{cod } f) \cdot$
 $(\text{exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{dom } g) \otimes f))$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{dom } g), \text{dom } f, \text{cod } g]$
 $(\text{eval } (\text{cod } f) (\text{cod } g) \cdot (\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{cod } f) g \otimes f))$
using *assms interchange comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr*
by *(metis cov-Exp-in-hom ide-cod in-homE)*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{dom } g), \text{dom } f, \text{cod } g]$
 $(\text{eval } (\text{cod } f) (\text{cod } g) \cdot$
 $(\text{exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{cod } g) \otimes f) \cdot (\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{cod } f) g \otimes \text{dom } f))$
using *assms interchange comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr cov-Exp-in-hom*
by *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f (\text{cod } g) \cdot \text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{cod } f) g$
using *assms cov-Exp-in-hom comp-assoc*
 comp-Curry-arr
 $[\text{of dom } f \text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{cod } f) g \text{exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{dom } g) -$
 $\text{eval } (\text{cod } f) (\text{cod } g) \cdot (\text{exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{cod } g) \otimes f) \text{cod } g]$
by *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

definition Exp

where $\text{Exp } f g \equiv \text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{dom } f) g \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f (\text{dom } g)$

lemma *Exp-in-hom*:

assumes $\text{arr } f$ **and** $\text{arr } g$

shows $\ll \text{Exp } f g : \text{Exp } (\text{cod } f) (\text{dom } g) \rightarrow \text{Exp } (\text{dom } f) (\text{cod } g) \gg$

using *Exp-def assms(1–2) cnt-Exp-ide cov-Exp-ide* **by** *auto*

lemma *Exp-ide*:

assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*

shows $\text{Exp } a b = \text{exp } a b$

unfolding *Exp-def*

using *assms cov-Exp-ide cnt-Exp-ide* **by** *simp*

lemma *Exp-comp*:
assumes *seq g f* **and** *seq k h*
shows $\text{Exp } (g \cdot f) (k \cdot h) = \text{Exp } f k \cdot \text{Exp } g h$
proof –
have $\text{Exp } (g \cdot f) (k \cdot h) = \text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{dom } f) (k \cdot h) \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} (g \cdot f) (\text{dom } h)$
unfolding *Exp-def*
using *assms* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{dom } f) k \cdot \text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{dom } f) h) \cdot$
 $(\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f (\text{dom } h) \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} g (\text{dom } h))$
using *assms cov-Exp-comp cnt-Exp-comp* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{dom } f) k \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f (\text{dom } k)) \cdot$
 $(\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{dom } g) h \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} g (\text{dom } h))$
using *assms comp-assoc cov-cnt-Exp-commute*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) seqE*)
also have $\dots = \text{Exp } f k \cdot \text{Exp } g h$
unfolding *Exp-def* **by** *blast*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

interpretation *CopxC*: *product-category Cop.comp C ..*

lemma *functor-Exp*:
shows *binary-functor Cop.comp C C* ($\lambda fg. \text{Exp } (\text{fst } fg) (\text{snd } fg)$)
using *Exp-in-hom*
apply *unfold-locales*
apply *auto[4]*
using *Exp-def*
apply *auto[2]*
using *Exp-comp*
by *fastforce*

lemma *Exp-x-ide*:
assumes *ide y*
shows $(\lambda x. \text{Exp } x y) = (\lambda x. \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} x y)$
using *assms Exp-ide Exp-def comp-cod-arr cov-Exp-ide* **by** *auto*

lemma *Exp-ide-y*:
assumes *ide x*
shows $(\lambda y. \text{Exp } x y) = (\lambda y. \text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} x y)$
using *assms Exp-ide Exp-def comp-arr-dom cnt-Exp-ide* **by** *auto*

lemma *Uncurry-Exp-dom*:
assumes *arr f*
shows $\text{Uncurry } (\text{dom } f) (\text{cod } f) (\text{Exp } (\text{dom } f) f) = f \cdot \text{eval } (\text{dom } f) (\text{dom } f)$
proof –
have $\text{Uncurry}[\text{dom } f, \text{cod } f] (\text{Exp } (\text{dom } f) f) =$
 $\text{Uncurry}[\text{dom } f, \text{cod } f]$
 $(\text{Curry}[\text{exp } (\text{dom } f) (\text{dom } f), \text{dom } f, \text{cod } f] (f \cdot \text{eval } (\text{dom } f) (\text{dom } f))) \cdot$

```

      Curry[exp (dom f) (dom f), dom f, dom f] (eval (dom f) (dom f)))
unfolding Exp-def
using assms Curry-Uncurry comp-arr-dom by simp
also have ... = Uncurry[dom f, cod f]
      (Curry[exp (dom f) (dom f), dom f, cod f]
      ((f · eval (dom f) (dom f)) ·
      (Curry[exp (dom f) (dom f), dom f, dom f]
      (eval (dom f) (dom f)) ⊗ dom f)))
using assms comp-Curry-arr
by (metis comp-in-homI' Curry-in-hom eval-in-homECMC ide-dom
      ide-exp in-homE)
also have ... = f · eval (dom f) (dom f)
using assms Uncurry-Curry eval-in-homECMC comp-assoc by simp
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

1.2.1 Exponentiation by Unity

In this section we define and develop the properties of inverse arrows $Up\ a : a \rightarrow exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a$ and $Dn\ a : exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a \rightarrow a$, which exist in any closed monoidal category.

interpretation elementary-monoidal-category C tensor unity lunit runit assoc
using induces-elementary-monoidal-category **by** blast

abbreviation Up
where $Up\ a \equiv Curry[a, \mathcal{I}, a]\ r[a]$

abbreviation Dn
where $Dn\ a \equiv eval\ \mathcal{I}\ a \cdot r^{-1}[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a]$

lemma isomorphic-exp-unity:

assumes ide a

shows « $Up\ a : a \rightarrow exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a$ »

and « $Dn\ a : exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a \rightarrow a$ »

and inverse-arrows $(Up\ a)\ (Dn\ a)$

and isomorphic $(exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a)\ a$

proof –

show 1: « $Up\ a : a \rightarrow exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a$ »

using assms ide-unity Curry-in-hom **by** blast

show 2: « $Dn\ a : exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a \rightarrow a$ »

using assms eval-in-hom_{ECMC} [of $\mathcal{I}\ a$] runit-in-hom ide-unity **by** blast

show inverse-arrows $(Up\ a)\ (Dn\ a)$

proof

show ide $((Dn\ a) \cdot Up\ a)$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) « $Up\ a : a \rightarrow exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a$ »

assms comp-runit-runit'(1) ide-unity in-homE comp-assoc

runit'-naturality runit-in-hom Uncurry-Curry)

show ide $(Up\ a \cdot Dn\ a)$

proof –


```

have  $Up\ a \cdot Dn\ a = (Curry[a, \mathcal{I}, a]\ r[a] \cdot eval\ \mathcal{I}\ a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a]$ 
using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... =
     $Curry[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a \otimes \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, a]\ (r[a] \cdot (eval\ \mathcal{I}\ a \otimes \mathcal{I})) \cdot r^{-1}[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a]$ 
using assms comp-Curry-arr
by (metis eval-in-hom-ax ide-unity runit-in-hom)
also have ... =
     $Curry[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a \otimes \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, a]\ (eval\ \mathcal{I}\ a \cdot r[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a \otimes \mathcal{I}]) \cdot r^{-1}[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a]$ 
using assms runit-naturality
by (metis (no-types, lifting) eval-in-homECMC ide-unity in-homE)
also have ... =  $(Curry[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a, \mathcal{I}, a]\ (eval\ \mathcal{I}\ a) \cdot r[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a]) \cdot r^{-1}[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a]$ 
by (metis assms comp-Curry-arr eval-in-homECMC ide-exp ide-unity
    runit-commutes-with-R runit-in-hom)
also have ... =  $Curry[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a, \mathcal{I}, a]\ (eval\ \mathcal{I}\ a) \cdot r[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a] \cdot r^{-1}[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a]$ 
using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... =  $Curry[exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a, \mathcal{I}, a]\ (eval\ \mathcal{I}\ a)$ 
by (metis assms 1 2 calculation comp-arr-ide comp-runit-runit'(1)
    ide-exp ide-unity seqI')
also have ... =  $exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a$ 
using assms Curry-Uncurry
by (metis ide-exp ide-in-hom ide-unity Uncurry-exp)
finally show ?thesis
using assms ide-exp ide-unity by presburger
qed
qed
thus isomorphic  $(exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a)\ a$ 
by (metis  $\langle\langle Up\ a : a \rightarrow exp\ \mathcal{I}\ a \rangle\rangle\ in-homE\ isoI\ isomorphicI$ 
    isomorphic-symmetric)
qed

```

The maps Up and Dn are natural in a .

lemma *Up-Dn-naturality*:

assumes $arr\ f$

shows $Exp^{\rightarrow} \mathcal{I}\ f \cdot Up\ (dom\ f) = Up\ (cod\ f) \cdot f$

and $Dn\ (cod\ f) \cdot Exp^{\rightarrow} \mathcal{I}\ f = f \cdot Dn\ (dom\ f)$

proof –

show $1: Exp^{\rightarrow} \mathcal{I}\ f \cdot Up\ (dom\ f) = Up\ (cod\ f) \cdot f$

proof –

have $Exp^{\rightarrow} \mathcal{I}\ f \cdot Up\ (dom\ f) =$

$Curry[dom\ f, \mathcal{I}, cod\ f]$

$((f \cdot eval\ \mathcal{I}\ (dom\ f)) \cdot (Curry[dom\ f, \mathcal{I}, dom\ f]\ r[dom\ f] \otimes \mathcal{I}))$

using *assms comp-Curry-arr isomorphic-exp-unity(1)* **by** *auto*

also have ... = $Curry[dom\ f, \mathcal{I}, cod\ f]\ (r[cod\ f] \cdot (f \otimes \mathcal{I}))$

using *assms comp-assoc Uncurry-Curry runit-naturality* **by** *simp*

also have ... = $Up\ (cod\ f) \cdot f$

by (*metis assms comp-Curry-arr ide-cod ide-unity in-homI runit-in-hom*)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

have $Exp^{\rightarrow} \mathcal{I}\ f \cdot inv\ (Dn\ (dom\ f)) = inv\ (Dn\ (cod\ f)) \cdot f$

```

    using assms 1 isomorphic-exp-unity isomorphic-exp-unity
    by (metis ide-cod ide-dom inverse-arrows-sym inverse-unique)
  moreover have 2: iso (Dn (cod f))
    using assms isomorphic-exp-unity [of cod f] by auto
  moreover have 3: iso (Dn (dom f))
    using assms isomorphic-exp-unity [of dom f] by auto
  moreover have seq (inv (Dn (cod f))) f
    using assms 2 by auto
  ultimately show Dn (cod f) · Exp→ I f = f · Dn (dom f)
    using assms 2 3 inv-inv iso-inv-iso comp-assoc isomorphic-exp-unity
    invert-opposite-sides-of-square
    [of inv (eval I (cod f) · r-1[exp I (cod f)]) f Exp→ I f
      inv (eval I (dom f) · r-1[exp I (dom f)])]
  by metis
qed

```

1.2.2 Internal Currying

Currying internalizes to an isomorphism between $\text{exp } (x \otimes a) b$ and $\text{exp } x (\text{exp } a b)$.

```

abbreviation curry
where curry x b c ≡
  Curry[exp (x ⊗ b) c, x, exp b c]
  (Curry[exp (x ⊗ b) c ⊗ x, b, c]
   (eval (x ⊗ b) c · a[exp (x ⊗ b) c, x, b]))

```

```

abbreviation uncurry
where uncurry x b c ≡
  Curry[exp x (exp b c), x ⊗ b, c]
  (eval b c · (eval x (exp b c) ⊗ b) · a-1[exp x (exp b c), x, b])

```

lemma *internal-curry*:

assumes *ide x and ide a and ide b*

shows «*curry x a b : exp (x ⊗ a) b → exp x (exp a b)*»

and «*uncurry x a b : exp x (exp a b) → exp (x ⊗ a) b*»

and *inverse-arrows (curry x a b) (uncurry x a b)*

proof –

show 1: «*curry x a b : exp (x ⊗ a) b → exp x (exp a b)*»

using *assms*

by (*meson assoc-in-hom comp-in-homI Curry-in-hom eval-in-hom_{ECMC}*
ide-exp tensor-preserves-ide)

show 2: «*uncurry x a b : exp x (exp a b) → exp (x ⊗ a) b*»

using *assms ide-exp* **by** *auto*

show *inverse-arrows (curry x a b) (uncurry x a b)*

(**is** *inverse-arrows*

(*Curry (exp (x ⊗ a) b) x (exp a b)*
(Curry (exp (x ⊗ a) b ⊗ x) a b ?F))
(Curry (exp x (exp a b)) (x ⊗ a) b ?G))

proof

```

have F: «?F : (exp (x ⊗ a) b ⊗ x) ⊗ a → b»
  using assms ide-exp by simp
have G: «?G : exp x (exp a b) ⊗ x ⊗ a → b»
  using assms ide-exp by auto
show ide (uncurry x a b · curry x a b)
proof -
  have uncurry x a b · curry x a b =
    Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x ⊗ a, b] (?G · (curry x a b ⊗ x ⊗ a))
    using assms F 1 ide-exp comp-Curry-arr comp-assoc by auto
  also have ... = Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x ⊗ a, b]
    (eval a b · (eval x (exp a b) ⊗ a) · a-1[exp x (exp a b), x, a] ·
    (curry x a b ⊗ x ⊗ a))
    using comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... = Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x ⊗ a, b]
    (eval a b · (eval x (exp a b) ⊗ a) ·
    ((curry x a b ⊗ x) ⊗ a) · a-1[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x, a])
    using assms 1 comp-assoc assoc'-naturality [of curry x a b x a]
    ide-char in-homE
  by metis
  also have ... = Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x ⊗ a, b]
    (eval a b · ((eval x (exp a b) ⊗ a) · ((curry x a b ⊗ x) ⊗ a)) ·
    a-1[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x, a])
    using comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... = Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x ⊗ a, b]
    (eval a b · (Uncurry[x, exp a b] (curry x a b) ⊗ a) ·
    a-1[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x, a])
    using assms comp-ide-self
    interchange [of eval x (exp a b)
    Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x, exp a b]
    (Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b ⊗ x, a, b] ?F) ⊗ x
    a a]
  by fastforce
  also have ... = Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x ⊗ a, b]
    (eval a b ·
    (Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b ⊗ x, a, b] ?F ⊗ a) ·
    a-1[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x, a])
    using assms F ide-exp comp-assoc comp-ide-self
    Uncurry-Curry
    [of exp (x ⊗ a) b x exp a b Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b ⊗ x, a, b] ?F]
  by fastforce
  also have ... = Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x ⊗ a, b]
    (eval (x ⊗ a) b · a[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x, a] ·
    a-1[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x, a])
    using assms Uncurry-Curry
    by (metis F ide-exp comp-assoc tensor-preserves-ide)
  also have ... = Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x ⊗ a, b] (eval (x ⊗ a) b)
    using assms Uncurry-exp by simp
  also have ... = exp (x ⊗ a) b
    using assms Curry-Uncurry

```

```

    by (metis Curry-Uncurry ide-exp ide-in-hom tensor-preserves-ide
        Uncurry-exp)
  finally have uncurry x a b · curry x a b = exp (x ⊗ a) b
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using assms by simp
qed
show ide (curry x a b · uncurry x a b)
proof -
  have curry x a b · uncurry x a b =
    Curry[exp x (exp a b), x, exp a b]
    (Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b ⊗ x, a, b] ?F · (uncurry x a b ⊗ x))
  using assms 2 F Curry-in-hom comp-Curry-arr by simp
  also have ... = Curry[exp x (exp a b), x, exp a b]
    (Curry[exp x (exp a b) ⊗ x, a, b]
    (eval (x ⊗ a) b · a[exp (x ⊗ a) b, x, a] ·
    ((uncurry x a b ⊗ x) ⊗ a)))
  proof -
    have Curry[exp (x ⊗ a) b ⊗ x, a, b] ?F · (uncurry x a b ⊗ x) =
      Curry[exp x (exp a b) ⊗ x, a, b] (?F · ((uncurry x a b ⊗ x) ⊗ a))
    using assms(1-2) 2 F comp-Curry-arr ide-in-hom by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using comp-assoc by simp
  qed
  also have ... = Curry[exp x (exp a b), x, exp a b]
    (Curry[exp x (exp a b) ⊗ x, a, b]
    (eval (x ⊗ a) b ·
    (uncurry x a b ⊗ x ⊗ a) · a[exp x (exp a b), x, a]))
  using assms 2
    assoc-naturality [of Curry (exp x (exp a b)) (x ⊗ a) b ?G x a]
  by auto
  also have ... = Curry[exp x (exp a b), x, exp a b]
    (Curry[exp x (exp a b) ⊗ x, a, b]
    (eval a b · (eval x (exp a b) ⊗ a) ·
    a-1[exp x (exp a b), x, a] · a[exp x (exp a b), x, a]))
  using assms Uncurry-Curry
  by (metis G ide-exp comp-assoc tensor-preserves-ide)
  also have ... = Curry[exp x (exp a b), x, exp a b]
    (Curry[exp x (exp a b) ⊗ x, a, b]
    (Uncurry[a, b] (eval x (exp a b))))
  using assms
  by (metis G arrI cod-assoc' comp-arr-dom comp-assoc-assoc'(2)
    ide-exp seqE)
  also have ... = Curry[exp x (exp a b), x, exp a b] (eval x (exp a b))
    by (simp add: assms(1-3) Curry-Uncurry eval-in-homECMC)
  also have ... = exp x (exp a b)
    using assms Curry-Uncurry Uncurry-exp
    by (metis ide-exp ide-in-hom)
  finally have curry x a b · uncurry x a b = exp x (exp a b)

```

```

    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using assms by fastforce
qed
qed
qed

```

Internal currying and uncurrying are the components of natural isomorphisms between the contravariant functors $Exp^{\leftarrow} (- \otimes b) \, c$ and $Exp^{\leftarrow} - (exp \, b \, c)$.

```

lemma uncurry-naturality:
  assumes ide b and ide c and Cop.arr f
  shows uncurry (Cop.cod f) b c · Exp← f (exp b c) =
    Curry[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f ⊗ b, c]
      (eval (Cop.dom f ⊗ b) c · (uncurry (Cop.dom f) b c ⊗ f ⊗ b))
  and Exp← (f ⊗ b) c · uncurry (Cop.dom f) b c =
    Curry[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f ⊗ b, c]
      (eval (Cop.dom f ⊗ b) c · (uncurry (Cop.dom f) b c ⊗ f ⊗ b))
  and uncurry (Cop.cod f) b c · Exp← f (exp b c) =
    Exp← (f ⊗ b) c · uncurry (Cop.dom f) b c
proof -
  interpret xb: functor Cop.comp Cop.comp ⟨λx. x ⊗ b⟩
    using assms(1) T.fixing-ide-gives-functor-2 [of b]
  by (simp add: category-axioms dual-category.intro dual-functor.intro
    dual-functor.is-functor)
  interpret F: functor Cop.comp C ⟨λx. Exp← x (exp b c)⟩
    using assms functor-cnt-Exp by blast
  have *: ∧x. Cop.ide x ⇒
    Uncurry (x ⊗ b) c (uncurry x b c) =
      eval b c · (eval x (exp b c) ⊗ b) · a-1[exp x (exp b c), x, b]
    using assms Uncurry-Curry Cop.ide-char by auto
  show 1: uncurry (Cop.cod f) b c · cnt-Exp f (exp b c) =
    Curry[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f ⊗ b, c]
      (eval (Cop.dom f ⊗ b) c · (uncurry (Cop.dom f) b c ⊗ f ⊗ b))
  proof -
    have uncurry (Cop.cod f) b c · cnt-Exp f (exp b c) =
      Curry[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f ⊗ b, c]
        ((eval b c ·
          (eval (Cop.cod f) (exp b c) ⊗ b) ·
          a-1[exp (Cop.cod f) (exp b c), (Cop.cod f), b]) ·
          (cnt-Exp f (exp b c) ⊗ Cop.cod f ⊗ b))
      using assms ide-exp cnt-Exp-in-hom comp-Curry-arr by auto
    also have ... = Curry[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f ⊗ b, c]
      ((eval b c ·
        (eval (Cop.cod f) (exp b c) ⊗ b) ·
        ((cnt-Exp f (exp b c) ⊗ Cop.cod f) ⊗ b)) ·
        a-1[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f, b])
      using assms comp-assoc
      assoc'-naturality [of cnt-Exp f (exp b c) Cop.cod f b]

```

by *auto*
 also have ... = Curry[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f $\otimes$ b, c]
 (Uncurry[b, c]
 (Uncurry[Cop.cod f, exp b c]
 (Curry[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f, exp b c]
 (eval (Cop.dom f) (exp b c) .
 (exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c) $\otimes$ f))))) .
 a⁻¹[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f, b])
 using *assms interchange* by *simp*
 also have ... = Curry[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f $\otimes$ b, c]
 (eval b c .
 ((eval (Cop.dom f) (exp b c) .
 (exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c) $\otimes$ f)) $\otimes$ b) .
 a⁻¹[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f, b])
 using *assms Uncurry-Curry comp-assoc* by *force*
 also have ... = Curry[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f $\otimes$ b, c]
 (eval b c .
 ((eval (Cop.dom f) (exp b c) $\otimes$ b) .
 ((exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c) $\otimes$ f) $\otimes$ b)) .
 a⁻¹[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f, b])
 using *assms interchange* by *simp*
 also have ... = Curry[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f $\otimes$ b, c]
 ((eval b c . (eval (Cop.dom f) (exp b c) $\otimes$ b) .
 a⁻¹[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), cod f, b]) .
 (exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c) $\otimes$ f $\otimes$ b))
 using *assms assoc'-naturality* [of exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c) f b] *comp-assoc*
 by *simp*
 also have ... = Curry[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f $\otimes$ b, c]
 (Uncurry[Cop.dom f $\otimes$ b, c]
 (uncurry (Cop.dom f) b c) .
 (exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c) $\otimes$ f $\otimes$ b))
 using *assms ** by *simp*
 also have ... =
 Curry (exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c)) (Cop.cod f $\otimes$ b) c
 (eval (Cop.dom f $\otimes$ b) c .
 (uncurry (Cop.dom f) b c $\otimes$ (Cop.dom f $\otimes$ b) . (f $\otimes$ b)))
 using *assms ide-exp internal-curry*(2) *interchange comp-assoc*
 comp-arr-dom [of uncurry (Cop.dom f) b c]
 by *auto*
 also have ... = Curry[exp (Cop.dom f) (exp b c), Cop.cod f $\otimes$ b, c]
 (eval (Cop.dom f $\otimes$ b) c .
 (uncurry (Cop.dom f) b c $\otimes$ f $\otimes$ b))
 using *assms*(1,3) *comp-cod-arr interchange* by *fastforce*
 finally show ?thesis by *blast*
 qed
 show 2: Exp[←] (f $\otimes$ b) c . uncurry (Cop.dom f) b c = ...
 proof -
 have Exp[←] (f $\otimes$ b) c . uncurry (Cop.dom f) b c =
 Curry[exp (Cop.dom f $\otimes$ b) c, Cop.cod f $\otimes$ b, c]

$(\text{eval } (\text{Cop.dom } f \otimes b) \ c \cdot (\text{exp } (\text{Cop.dom } f \otimes b) \ c \otimes f \otimes b)) \cdot$
 $\text{uncurry } (\text{Cop.dom } f) \ b \ c$
using *assms comp-arr-dom* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp } (\text{Cop.dom } f) \ (\text{exp } b \ c), \ \text{Cop.cod } f \otimes b, \ c]$
 $((\text{eval } (\text{Cop.dom } f \otimes b) \ c \cdot$
 $(\text{exp } (\text{Cop.dom } f \otimes b) \ c \otimes f \otimes b)) \cdot$
 $(\text{uncurry } (\text{Cop.dom } f) \ b \ c \otimes \text{Cop.cod } f \otimes b))$
using *assms Curry-in-hom comp-Curry-arr* **by** *force*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp } (\text{Cop.dom } f) \ (\text{exp } b \ c), \ \text{Cop.cod } f \otimes b, \ c]$
 $(\text{eval } (\text{Cop.dom } f \otimes b) \ c \cdot$
 $(\text{exp } (\text{Cop.dom } f \otimes b) \ c \cdot \text{uncurry } (\text{Cop.dom } f) \ b \ c$
 $\otimes (f \otimes b) \cdot (\text{Cop.cod } f \otimes b)))$
proof –
have $\text{seq } (\text{exp } (\text{Cop.dom } f \otimes b) \ c) \ (\text{uncurry } (\text{Cop.dom } f) \ b \ c)$
using *assms* **by** *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms internal-curry comp-assoc interchange* **by** *simp*
qed
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp } (\text{Cop.dom } f) \ (\text{exp } b \ c), \ \text{Cop.cod } f \otimes b, \ c]$
 $(\text{eval } (\text{Cop.dom } f \otimes b) \ c \cdot$
 $(\text{uncurry } (\text{Cop.dom } f) \ b \ c \otimes f \otimes b))$
proof –
have $(f \otimes b) \cdot (\text{Cop.cod } f \otimes b) = f \otimes b$
using *assms interchange comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr* **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms internal-curry comp-cod-arr* [of $\text{uncurry } (\text{Cop.dom } f) \ b \ c]$
by *simp*
qed
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
show $\text{uncurry } (\text{Cop.cod } f) \ b \ c \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f \ (\text{exp } b \ c) =$
 $\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} (f \otimes b) \ c \cdot \text{uncurry } (\text{Cop.dom } f) \ b \ c$
using 1 2 **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *natural-isomorphism-uncurry*:
assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c*
shows *natural-isomorphism Cop.comp C*
 $(\lambda x. \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} x \ (\text{exp } b \ c)) \ (\lambda x. \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} (x \otimes b) \ c)$
 $(\lambda f. \text{uncurry } (\text{Cop.cod } f) \ b \ c \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f \ (\text{exp } b \ c))$
proof –
interpret *xb: functor Cop.comp Cop.comp* $\langle \lambda x. x \otimes b \rangle$
using *assms(1) T.fixing-ide-gives-functor-2*
by (*simp add: category-axioms dual-category.intro dual-functor.intro*
dual-functor.is-functor)
interpret *Exp-c: functor Cop.comp C* $\langle \lambda x. \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} x \ c \rangle$
using *assms functor-cnt-Exp* **by** *blast*
interpret *F: functor Cop.comp C* $\langle \lambda x. \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} x \ (\text{exp } b \ c) \rangle$
using *assms functor-cnt-Exp* **by** *blast*

```

interpret G: functor Cop.comp C ⟨λx. Exp← (x ⊗ b) c⟩
proof –
  interpret G: composite-functor Cop.comp Cop.comp C
    ⟨λx. x ⊗ b⟩ ⟨λy. Exp← y c⟩
  ..
  have G.map = (λx. Exp← (x ⊗ b) c)
  by auto
  thus functor Cop.comp C (λx. Exp← (x ⊗ b) c)
  using G.functor-axioms by metis
qed
interpret φ: transformation-by-components Cop.comp C
  ⟨λx. Exp← x (exp b c)⟩ ⟨λx. Exp← (x ⊗ b) c⟩
  ⟨λx. uncurry x b c⟩
proof
  show ∧a. Cop.ide a ⇒
    «uncurry a b c : Exp← a (exp b c) → Exp← (a ⊗ b) c»
  using assms internal-curry(2) Cop.ide-char cnt-Exp-ide by auto
  show ∧f. Cop.arr f ⇒
    uncurry (Cop.cod f) b c · Exp← f (exp b c) =
    Exp← (f ⊗ b) c · uncurry (Cop.dom f) b c
  using assms uncurry-naturality by simp
qed
have natural-isomorphism Cop.comp C
  (λx. Exp← x (exp b c)) (λx. Exp← (x ⊗ b) c) φ.map
proof
  fix a
  assume a: Cop.ide a
  show iso (φ.map a)
  using a assms internal-curry [of a b c] φ.map-simp-ide
    inverse-arrows-sym
  by auto
qed
moreover have φ.map = (λf. uncurry (Cop.cod f) b c · Exp← f (exp b c))
  using assms φ.map-def by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding φ.map-def by simp
qed

lemma natural-isomorphism-curry:
assumes ide b and ide c
shows natural-isomorphism Cop.comp C
  (λx. Exp← (x ⊗ b) c) (λx. Exp← x (exp b c))
  (λf. curry (Cop.cod f) b c · Exp← (f ⊗ b) c)
proof –
  interpret φ: natural-isomorphism Cop.comp C
    ⟨λx. Exp← x (exp b c)⟩ ⟨λx. Exp← (x ⊗ b) c⟩
    ⟨λf. uncurry (Cop.cod f) b c · Exp← f (exp b c)⟩
  using assms natural-isomorphism-uncurry by blast
  interpret ψ: inverse-transformation Cop.comp C

```



```

      ⟨λx. Exp← x (exp b c)⟩ ⟨λx. Exp← (x ⊗ b) c⟩
      ⟨λf. uncurry (Cop.cod f) b c · Exp← f (exp b c)⟩

..
have 1: ∧ a. Cop.ide a ⇒ ψ.map a = curry a b c
proof -
  fix a
  assume a: Cop.ide a
  have inverse-arrows
    (uncurry (Cop.cod a) b c · Exp← a (exp b c)) (ψ.map a)
  using assms a ψ.inverts-components by blast
  moreover
  have inverse-arrows
    (uncurry (Cop.cod a) b c · Exp← a (exp b c)) (curry a b c)
  by (metis assms a Cop.ideD(1,3) Cop.ide-char φ.F.preserves-ide
    φ.preserves-reflects-arr comp-arr-ide internal-curry(3)
    inverse-arrows-sym)
  ultimately show ψ.map a = curry a b c
  using internal-curry inverse-arrow-unique by simp
qed
have ψ.map = (λf. curry (Cop.cod f) b c · Exp← (f ⊗ b) c)
proof
  fix f
  show ψ.map f = curry (Cop.cod f) b c · Exp← (f ⊗ b) c
  using assms 1 ψ.inverts-components internal-curry(3) ψ.naturality2
    Cop.ide-char ψ.extensionality
  by auto
qed
thus ?thesis
  using ψ.natural-isomorphism-axioms by simp
qed

```

1.2.3 Yoneda Embedding

The internal hom provides a closed monoidal category C with a "Yoneda embedding", which is a mapping that takes each arrow g of C to a natural transformation from the contravariant functor $Exp^{\leftarrow} - (dom\ g)$ to the contravariant functor $Exp^{\leftarrow} - (cod\ g)$. Note that here the target category is C itself, not a category of sets and functions as in the classical case. Note also that we are talking here about ordinary functors and natural transformations. We can easily prove from general considerations that the Yoneda embedding (so-defined) is faithful. However, to obtain a fullness result requires the development of a certain amount of enriched category theory, which we do elsewhere.

```

lemma yoneda-embedding:
assumes «g : a → b»
shows natural-transformation Cop.comp C
      (λx. Exp← x a) (λx. Exp← x b) (λx. Exp x g)
and Uncurry[a, b] (Exp a g · Curry[I, a, a] l[a]) · l-1[a] = g

```

```

proof –
  interpret Exp: binary-functor Cop.comp C C  $\langle \lambda fg. \text{Exp } (fst\ fg) \ (snd\ fg) \rangle$ 
    using functor-Exp by blast
  interpret Exp-g: natural-transformation Cop.comp C
     $\langle \lambda x. \text{Exp } x \ (dom\ g) \rangle \langle \lambda x. \text{Exp } x \ (cod\ g) \rangle \langle \lambda x. \text{Exp } x \ g \rangle$ 
    using assms Exp.fixing-arr-gives-natural-transformation-2 [of g] by auto
  show natural-transformation Cop.comp C  $(\lambda x. \text{Exp}^\leftarrow x\ a) \ (\lambda x. \text{Exp}^\leftarrow x\ b)$ 
     $(\lambda x. \text{Exp } x\ g)$ 
    using assms Exp-x-ide Exp-x-ide Exp-g.natural-transformation-axioms
    by auto
  show Uncurry[a, b]  $(\text{Exp } a\ g \cdot \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, a, a] \ 1[a]) \cdot 1^{-1}[a] = g$ 
  proof –
    have Uncurry[a, b]  $(\text{Exp } a\ g \cdot \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, a, a] \ 1[a]) \cdot 1^{-1}[a] =$ 
       $(eval\ a\ b \cdot (\text{Exp } a\ g \otimes a) \cdot (\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, a, a] \ 1[a] \otimes a)) \cdot 1^{-1}[a]$ 
      using assms Exp-ide lunit-in-hom
      interchange [of Exp a g Curry[ $\mathcal{I}$ , a, a] l[a] a a]
      by auto
    also have  $\dots = g \cdot (eval\ a\ a \cdot (\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, a, a] \ 1[a] \otimes a)) \cdot 1^{-1}[a]$ 
      using assms Uncurry-Exp-dom comp-assoc by (metis in-homE)
    also have  $\dots = g \cdot 1[a] \cdot 1^{-1}[a]$ 
      using assms Uncurry-Curry ide-dom ide-unity lunit-in-hom by auto
    also have  $\dots = g$ 
      using assms comp-arr-dom by force
    finally show ?thesis
      by blast
  qed
qed

lemma yoneda-embedding-is-faithful:
assumes par g g' and  $(\lambda x. \text{Exp } x\ g) = (\lambda x. \text{Exp } x\ g')$ 
shows  $g = g'$ 
proof –
  have  $g \cdot eval\ (dom\ g) \ (dom\ g) = g' \cdot eval\ (dom\ g) \ (dom\ g)$ 
    using assms Uncurry-Exp-dom by metis
  thus  $g = g'$ 
    using assms retraction-eval-ide-self retraction-is-epi
    by (metis epi-cancel eval-simps(1,3) ide-dom seqI)
qed

```

The following is a version of the key fact underlying the classical Yoneda Lemma: for any natural transformation τ from $\text{Exp}^\leftarrow - a$ to $\text{Exp}^\leftarrow - b$, there is a fixed arrow $g : a \rightarrow b$, depending only on the single component $\tau\ a$, such that the compositions $\tau\ x \cdot e$ of an arbitrary component $\tau\ x$ with arbitrary global elements $e : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{exp } x\ a$ depend on τ only via g , and hence only via $\tau\ a$.

```

lemma hom-transformation-expansion:
assumes natural-transformation
  Cop.comp C  $(\lambda x. \text{Exp}^\leftarrow x\ a) \ (\lambda x. \text{Exp}^\leftarrow x\ b) \ \tau$ 
and ide a and ide b

```

shows $\llbracket \text{Uncurry}[a, b] (\tau a \cdot \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, a, a] \text{ l}[a]) \cdot \text{l}^{-1}[a] : a \rightarrow b \rrbracket$
and $\bigwedge x e. \llbracket \text{ide } x; \llbracket e : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{exp } x a \rrbracket \rrbracket \implies$
 $\tau x \cdot e = \text{Exp } x (\text{Uncurry}[a, b] (\tau a \cdot \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, a, a] \text{ l}[a]) \cdot \text{l}^{-1}[a]) \cdot e$
proof –
interpret τ : *natural-transformation Cop.comp C*
 $\langle \lambda x. \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} x a \rangle \langle \lambda x. \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} x b \rangle \tau$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
let $?Id\text{-}a = \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, a, a] \text{ l}[a]$
have $Id\text{-}a$: $\llbracket ?Id\text{-}a : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{exp } a a \rrbracket$
using *assms ide-unity* **by** *blast*
let $?g = \text{Uncurry}[a, b] (\tau a \cdot ?Id\text{-}a) \cdot \text{l}^{-1}[a]$
show g : $\llbracket ?g : a \rightarrow b \rrbracket$
using *assms(2-3) Id-a cnt-Exp-ide* **by** *auto*
have $*$: $\bigwedge x e. \llbracket \text{ide } x; \llbracket e : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{exp } x a \rrbracket \rrbracket$
 $\implies \tau x \cdot e = \text{Curry}[\text{exp } x a, x, b] (?g \cdot \text{eval } x a) \cdot e$
proof –
fix $x e$
assume x : *ide* x
assume e : $\llbracket e : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{exp } x a \rrbracket$
let $?e' = \text{Uncurry } x a e \cdot \text{l}^{-1}[x]$
have e' : $\llbracket ?e' : x \rightarrow a \rrbracket$
using *assms(2) x e* **by** *blast*
have 1 : $e = \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} ?e' a \cdot ?Id\text{-}a$
proof –
have $\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} ?e' a \cdot ?Id\text{-}a =$
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } a a, x, a] (\text{eval } a a \cdot (\text{exp } a a \otimes ?e')) \cdot ?Id\text{-}a$
using *assms(2) e'* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots =$
 $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, x, a] (\text{eval } a a \cdot (\text{exp } a a \otimes ?e') \cdot (?Id\text{-}a \otimes x))$
using *assms(2) Id-a e' x comp-Curry-arr comp-assoc* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, x, a] (\text{eval } a a \cdot (?Id\text{-}a \otimes ?e'))$
using *assms(2) e' Id-a interchange comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr in-homE*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
also have $\dots = \text{Curry } \mathcal{I} x a (\text{eval } a a \cdot (?Id\text{-}a \otimes a) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes ?e'))$
using *assms(2) interchange*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) e' Id-a comp-arr-ide comp-cod-arr ide-char ide-unity in-homE seqI*)
also have $\dots =$
 $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, x, a] (\text{Uncurry } a a (\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, a, a] \text{ l}[a]) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes ?e'))$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, x, a] (\text{l}[a] \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes ?e'))$
using *assms(2) Uncurry-Curry comp-assoc ide-unity lunit-in-hom*
by *presburger*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, x, a] (?e' \cdot \text{l}[x])$
using *assms(2) e' in-homE lunit-naturality*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, x, a] (\text{Uncurry}[x, a] e \cdot \text{l}^{-1}[x] \cdot \text{l}[x])$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, x, a] (\text{Uncurry}[x, a] e)$

```

    using assms(2) x e comp-arr-dom Uncurry-simps(2) by force
  also have ... = e
    using assms(2) x e Curry-Uncurry ide-unity by blast
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
have  $\tau x \cdot e = \tau x \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} ?e' a \cdot ?Id-a$ 
  using 1 by simp
also have ... =  $(\tau x \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} ?e' a) \cdot ?Id-a$ 
  using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... =  $(\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} ?e' b \cdot \tau a) \cdot ?Id-a$ 
  using  $e' \tau$ .naturality [of ?e'] by auto
also have ... =  $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } a \ b, x, b] (\text{eval } a \ b \cdot (\text{exp } a \ b \otimes ?e')) \cdot \tau a \cdot ?Id-a$ 
  using assms(2)  $e'$  comp-assoc by auto
also have ... =
   $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, x, b] ((\text{eval } a \ b \cdot (\text{exp } a \ b \otimes ?e')) \cdot (\tau a \cdot ?Id-a \otimes x))$ 
proof -
  have  $\langle \tau a \cdot ?Id-a : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{exp } a \ b \rangle$ 
    using  $Id-a$  assms(2-3) in-homI cnt-Exp-ide
    by (intro comp-in-homI) auto
  moreover have  $\langle \text{eval } a \ b \cdot (\text{exp } a \ b \otimes ?e') : \text{exp } a \ b \otimes x \rightarrow b \rangle$ 
    using assms(2-3)  $e'$  ide-in-hom by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using x comp-Curry-arr by blast
qed
also have ... =  $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, x, b] (\text{eval } a \ b \cdot (\text{exp } a \ b \otimes ?e') \cdot (\tau a \cdot ?Id-a \otimes x))$ 
  using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... =  $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, x, b] (\text{eval } a \ b \cdot (\text{exp } a \ b \cdot \tau a \cdot ?Id-a \otimes ?e' \cdot x))$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{seq } (\text{exp } a \ b) (\tau a \cdot \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, a, a] 1[a])$ 
    using assms ide-exp  $\tau$ .natural-transformation-axioms  $Id-a$  Curry-Uncurry
    ide-exp ide-in-hom
    by auto
  moreover have  $\text{seq } (\text{Uncurry}[x, a] e \cdot 1^{-1}[x]) x$ 
    using x  $e'$  by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms interchange by simp
qed
also have ... =  $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, x, b] (\text{eval } a \ b \cdot (\tau a \cdot ?Id-a \otimes ?e'))$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{exp } a \ b \cdot \tau a \cdot ?Id-a = \tau a \cdot ?Id-a$ 
    using assms(2-3)  $e'$  ide-exp comp-ide-arr  $\tau$ .preserves-hom cnt-Exp-ide
     $Id-a$ 
    by auto
  moreover have  $?e' \cdot x = ?e'$ 
    using  $e'$  comp-arr-dom by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using interchange by simp
qed
also have ... =  $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, x, b] (\text{eval } a \ b \cdot (\tau a \cdot ?Id-a \otimes a) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes ?e'))$ 

```

```

proof –
  have  $(\tau \ a \cdot ?Id-a) \cdot \mathcal{I} = \tau \ a \cdot ?Id-a$ 
    using assms(2) comp-arr-ide
    by (metis Id-a comp-arr-dom in-homE comp-assoc)
  moreover have  $a \cdot ?e' = ?e'$ 
    using e' comp-cod-arr by blast
  moreover have  $seq \ (\tau \ a \cdot Curry[\mathcal{I}, a, a] \ 1[a]) \ \mathcal{I}$ 
    using assms(2) cnt-Exp-ide Id-a by auto
  moreover have  $seq \ a \ (Uncurry[x, a] \ e \cdot 1^{-1}[x])$ 
    using calculation(2) e' by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using interchange [of  $\tau \ a \cdot ?Id-a \ \mathcal{I} \ a \ ?e'$ ] by simp
qed
also have  $\dots = Curry[\mathcal{I}, x, b] \ (eval \ a \ b \cdot (\tau \ a \cdot ?Id-a \otimes a) \cdot (1^{-1}[a] \cdot 1[a]) \cdot$ 
 $(\mathcal{I} \otimes eval \ x \ a \cdot (e \otimes x) \cdot 1^{-1}[x]))$ 

proof –
  have  $(\mathcal{I} \otimes eval \ x \ a) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes (e \otimes x) \cdot 1^{-1}[x]) =$ 
 $(\mathcal{I} \otimes a) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes eval \ x \ a) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes (e \otimes x) \cdot 1^{-1}[x])$ 
    using assms e' L.as-nat-trans.naturality2 comp-lunit-lunit'(2) comp-assoc
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) L.as-nat-trans.preserves-comp-2 in-homE)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms e' comp-assoc
    by (elim in-homE) auto
qed
also have  $\dots = Curry[\mathcal{I}, x, b] \ (?g \cdot 1[a] \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes eval \ x \ a \cdot (e \otimes x) \cdot 1^{-1}[x]))$ 
    using comp-assoc by simp
also have  $\dots = Curry[\mathcal{I}, x, b] \ (?g \cdot (eval \ x \ a \cdot (e \otimes x) \cdot 1^{-1}[x]) \cdot 1[x])$ 
    using lunit-naturality
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) e' in-homE comp-assoc)
also have  $\dots = Curry[\mathcal{I}, x, b] \ (?g \cdot eval \ x \ a \cdot (e \otimes x) \cdot 1^{-1}[x] \cdot 1[x])$ 
    using comp-assoc by simp
also have  $\dots = Curry[\mathcal{I}, x, b] \ (?g \cdot eval \ x \ a \cdot (e \otimes x))$ 
    using x comp-arr-dom e interchange by fastforce
also have  $\dots = Curry[\mathcal{I}, x, b] \ ((?g \cdot eval \ x \ a) \cdot (e \otimes x))$ 
    using comp-assoc by simp
also have  $\dots = Curry[exp \ x \ a, x, b] \ (?g \cdot eval \ x \ a) \cdot e$ 
    using assms(2) x e g comp-Curry-arr by auto
finally show  $\tau \ x \cdot e = Curry[exp \ x \ a, x, b] \ (?g \cdot eval \ x \ a) \cdot e$ 
    by blast
qed
show  $\bigwedge x \ e. \llbracket ide \ x; \langle e : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow exp \ x \ a \rangle \rrbracket \implies \tau \ x \cdot e = Exp \ x \ ?g \cdot e$ 
proof –
  fix  $x \ e$ 
  assume  $x: ide \ x$ 
  assume  $e: \langle e : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow exp \ x \ a \rangle$ 
  have  $\tau \ x \cdot e = Curry[exp \ x \ a, x, b] \ (?g \cdot eval \ x \ a) \cdot e$ 
    using x e *  $\tau$ .natural-transformation-axioms by blast
  also have  $\dots = (Curry[exp \ x \ a, x, cod \ ?g] \ (?g \cdot eval \ x \ a) \cdot$ 
 $Curry[exp \ x \ a, x, a] \ (Uncurry[x, a] \ (exp \ x \ a))) \cdot e$ 

```

```

proof –
  have Curry[exp x a, x, a] (Uncurry[x, a] (exp x a)) = exp x a
    using assms(2) x Curry-Uncurry ide-exp ide-in-hom by force
  thus ?thesis
    using g e comp-cod-arr comp-assoc by fastforce
qed
also have ... = Exp x ?g · e
  using x Exp-def cod-comp g by auto
finally show  $\tau x \cdot e = \text{Exp } x \cdot g \cdot e$  by blast
qed
qed

```

1.3 Enriched Structure

In this section we do the main work involved in showing that a closed monoidal category is “enriched in itself”. For this, we need to define, for each object a , an arrow $\text{Id } a : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{exp } a \ a$ to serve as the “identity at a ”, and for every three objects a , b , and c , a “compositor” $\text{Comp } a \ b \ c : \text{exp } b \ c \otimes \text{exp } a \ b \rightarrow \text{exp } a \ c$. We also need to prove that these satisfy the appropriate unit and associativity laws. Although essentially all the work is done here, the statement and proof of the the final result is deferred to a separate theory *EnrichedCategory* so that a mutual dependence between that theory and the present one is not introduced.

```

interpretation elementary-monoidal-category C tensor unity lunit runit assoc
  using induces-elementary-monoidal-category by blast

```

```

definition Id
where Id a  $\equiv$  Curry[ $\mathcal{I}$ , a, a] 1[a]

```

```

lemma Id-in-hom [intro]:
assumes ide a
shows «Id a :  $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{exp } a \ a$ »
  unfolding Id-def
  using assms Curry-in-hom lunit-in-hom by simp

```

```

lemma Id-simps [simp]:
assumes ide a
shows arr (Id a)
and dom (Id a) =  $\mathcal{I}$ 
and cod (Id a) = exp a a
  using assms Id-in-hom by blast+

```

The next definition follows Kelly [1], section 1.6.

```

definition Comp
where Comp a b c  $\equiv$ 
  Curry[exp b c  $\otimes$  exp a b, a, c]
  (eval b c · (exp b c  $\otimes$  eval a b) · a[exp b c, exp a b, a])

```

lemma *Comp-in-hom* [intro]:
assumes *ide a and ide b and ide c*
shows «*Comp a b c : exp b c $\otimes$ exp a b $\rightarrow$ exp a c*»
using *assms ide-exp ide-in-hom Comp-def Curry-in-hom tensor-preserves-ide*
by *auto*

lemma *Comp-simps* [simp]:
assumes *ide a and ide b and ide c*
shows *arr (Comp a b c)*
and *dom (Comp a b c) = exp b c $\otimes$ exp a b*
and *cod (Comp a b c) = exp a c*
using *assms Comp-in-hom in-homE* **by** *blast+*

lemma *Comp-unit-right*:
assumes *ide a and ide b and ide c*
shows «*Comp a a b $\cdot$ (exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) : exp a b $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$ $\rightarrow$ exp a b*»
and *Comp a a b $\cdot$ (exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) = r[exp a b]*
proof –
show 0: «*Comp a a b $\cdot$ (exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) : exp a b $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$ $\rightarrow$ exp a b*»
using *assms Id-in-hom tensor-in-hom ide-in-hom ide-exp* **by** *force*
show *Comp a a b $\cdot$ (exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) = r[exp a b]*
proof (*intro runit-eqI*)
show 1: «*Comp a a b $\cdot$ (exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) : exp a b $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$ $\rightarrow$ exp a b*»
by *fact*
show *Comp a a b $\cdot$ (exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$ = (exp a b $\otimes$ ι) $\cdot$ a[exp a b, $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I}$]
proof –
have *r[exp a b] $\cdot$ (Comp a a b $\cdot$ (exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$) $\cdot$*
inv a[exp a b, $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I}$] =
r[exp a b] $\cdot$ ((Comp a a b $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$) $\cdot$ ((exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$)) $\cdot$
inv a[exp a b, $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I}$]
using «*Comp a a b $\cdot$ (exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) : exp a b $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$ $\rightarrow$ exp a b*» *arrI*
by *force*
also have ... = *(r[exp a b] $\cdot$ (Comp a a b $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$)) $\cdot$*
((exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$) $\cdot$ inv a[exp a b, $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I}$]
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *(Comp a a b $\cdot$ r[exp a b $\otimes$ exp a a]) $\cdot$*
((exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$) $\cdot$ inv a[exp a b, $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I}$]
using *assms runit-naturality*
by (*metis Comp-simps(1–2) 1 cod-comp in-homE*)
also have ... = *Comp a a b $\cdot$*
(r[exp a b $\otimes$ exp a a] $\cdot$ ((exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$)) $\cdot$
inv a[exp a b, $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I}$]
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Comp a a b $\cdot$ ((exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) $\cdot$ r[exp a b $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$]) $\cdot$*
inv a[exp a b, $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I}$]
using *assms 1 runit-naturality*
by (*metis calculation in-homE comp-assoc*)
also have ... = *Comp a a b $\cdot$ (exp a b $\otimes$ Id a) $\cdot$ r[exp a b $\otimes$ $\mathcal{I}$]**

$inv\ a[exp\ a\ b,\ \mathcal{I},\ \mathcal{I}]$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = Comp\ a\ a\ b \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes Id\ a) \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes \iota)$
using *assms ide-unity runit-tensor' ide-exp runit-eqI unit-in-hom-ax*
 $unit-triangle(1)$
by *presburger*
also have $\dots = (Curry[exp\ a\ b \otimes exp\ a\ a,\ a,\ b]$
 $(eval\ a\ b \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes eval\ a\ a) \cdot a[exp\ a\ b,\ exp\ a\ a,\ a]) \cdot$
 $(exp\ a\ b \otimes Id\ a)) \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes \iota)$
using *Comp-def comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = Curry[exp\ a\ b \otimes \mathcal{I},\ a,\ b]$
 $((eval\ a\ b \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes eval\ a\ a) \cdot a[exp\ a\ b,\ exp\ a\ a,\ a]) \cdot$
 $((exp\ a\ b \otimes Id\ a) \otimes a)) \cdot$
 $(exp\ a\ b \otimes \iota)$
proof –
have $\langle\langle exp\ a\ b \otimes Id\ a : exp\ a\ b \otimes \mathcal{I} \rightarrow exp\ a\ b \otimes exp\ a\ a \rangle\rangle$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
moreover have $\langle\langle eval\ a\ b \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes eval\ a\ a) \cdot a[exp\ a\ b,\ exp\ a\ a,\ a] :$
 $(exp\ a\ b \otimes exp\ a\ a) \otimes a \rightarrow b \rangle\rangle$
using *assms tensor-in-hom ide-in-hom ide-exp eval-in-hom_{ECMC}*
by *force*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *assms comp-Curry-arr* **by** *simp*
qed
also have $\dots = r[exp\ a\ b] \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes \iota)$
proof –
have $1: Uncurry[a,\ b]$
 $(Curry[exp\ a\ b \otimes \mathcal{I},\ a,\ b]$
 $((eval\ a\ b \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes eval\ a\ a) \cdot a[exp\ a\ b,\ exp\ a\ a,\ a]) \cdot$
 $((exp\ a\ b \otimes Id\ a) \otimes a))) =$
 $(eval\ a\ b \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes eval\ a\ a) \cdot a[exp\ a\ b,\ exp\ a\ a,\ a]) \cdot$
 $((exp\ a\ b \otimes Id\ a) \otimes a)$
proof –
have $\langle\langle eval\ a\ b \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes eval\ a\ a) \cdot a[exp\ a\ b,\ exp\ a\ a,\ a] \cdot$
 $((exp\ a\ b \otimes Id\ a) \otimes a) : (exp\ a\ b \otimes \mathcal{I}) \otimes a \rightarrow b \rangle\rangle$
using *assms tensor-in-hom ide-in-hom eval-in-hom_{ECMC} ide-exp*
by *force*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms Uncurry-Curry* **by** *auto*
qed
also have $\dots = (eval\ a\ b \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes eval\ a\ a) \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes Id\ a \otimes a)) \cdot$
 $a[exp\ a\ b,\ \mathcal{I},\ a]$
using *assms ide-exp comp-assoc assoc-naturality [of exp a b Id a a]*
by *auto*
also have $\dots = (eval\ a\ b \cdot (exp\ a\ b \otimes Uncurry[a,\ a] (Id\ a))) \cdot$
 $a[exp\ a\ b,\ \mathcal{I},\ a]$
using *assms interchange*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) ide-exp lunit-in-hom Uncurry-Curry*
 $ide-unity comp-ide-self ideD(1) in-homE Id-def$)

also have ... = (eval a b · (exp a b ⊗ l[a])) · a[exp a b, $\mathcal{I}$, a]
by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) lunit-in-hom Uncurry-Curry
 ide-unity Id-def)
also have 2: ... = (eval a b · (exp a b ⊗ a) · (exp a b ⊗ l[a])) ·
 a[exp a b, $\mathcal{I}$, a]
using assms interchange l-ide-simp **by** auto
also have ... = Uncurry[a, b] (exp a b) · (exp a b ⊗ l[a]) · a[exp a b, $\mathcal{I}$, a]
using comp-assoc **by** simp
also have ... = Uncurry a b r[exp a b]
using assms triangle ide-exp 2 comp-assoc **by** auto
finally have Uncurry[a, b]
 (Curry[exp a b ⊗ $\mathcal{I}$, a, b]
 ((eval a b · (exp a b ⊗ eval a a) ·
 a[exp a b, exp a a, a]) ·
 ((exp a b ⊗ Id a) ⊗ a))) =
 Uncurry[a, b] r[exp a b]
by blast
hence Curry[exp a b ⊗ $\mathcal{I}$, a, b]
 ((eval a b · (exp a b ⊗ eval a a) · a[exp a b, exp a a, a]) ·
 ((exp a b ⊗ Id a) ⊗ a)) =
 r[exp a b]
using assms 1 Curry-Uncurry runit-in-hom **by** force
thus ?thesis
by presburger
qed
finally have r[exp a b] ·
 (Comp a a b · (exp a b ⊗ Id a) ⊗ $\mathcal{I}$) · inv a[exp a b, $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I}$] =
 r[exp a b] · (exp a b ⊗ ι)
by blast
hence (Comp a a b · (exp a b ⊗ Id a) ⊗ $\mathcal{I}$) · inv a[exp a b, $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I}$] =
 exp a b ⊗ ι
using assms ide-exp iso-cancel-left [of r[exp a b]] iso-runit **by** fastforce
thus ?thesis
by (metis assms(1-2) 0 R.as-nat-trans.naturality1 comp-assoc- assoc' (2)
 ide-exp ide-unity in-homE comp-assoc)
qed
qed
qed

lemma Comp-unit-left:

assumes ide a **and** ide b **and** ide c

shows «Comp a b b · (Id b ⊗ exp a b) : $\mathcal{I}$ ⊗ exp a b → exp a b»

and Comp a b b · (Id b ⊗ exp a b) = l[exp a b]

proof –

show 0: «Comp a b b · (Id b ⊗ exp a b) : $\mathcal{I}$ ⊗ exp a b → exp a b»

using assms ide-exp **by** simp

show Comp a b b · (Id b ⊗ exp a b) = l[exp a b]

proof (intro lunit-eqI)

show «Comp a b b · (Id b ⊗ exp a b) : $\mathcal{I}$ ⊗ exp a b → exp a b»

by *fact*
show $\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ b \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b) = (\iota \otimes \text{exp } a \ b) \cdot \mathbf{a}^{-1}[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \text{exp } a \ b]$
proof –
 have $\mathbf{l}[\text{exp } a \ b] \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ b \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)) \cdot \mathbf{a}[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \text{exp } a \ b] =$
 $\mathbf{l}[\text{exp } a \ b] \cdot ((\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ b) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)) \cdot \mathbf{a}[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \text{exp } a \ b]$
 using *assms 0 interchange [of $\mathcal{I} \ \mathcal{I} \ \text{Comp } a \ b \ b \ \text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b]$ by auto*
 also have $\dots = (\mathbf{l}[\text{exp } a \ b] \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ b)) \cdot$
 $(\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b) \cdot \mathbf{a}[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \text{exp } a \ b]$
 using *comp-assoc by simp*
 also have $\dots = (\text{Comp } a \ b \ b \cdot \mathbf{l}[\text{exp } b \ b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b]) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b) \cdot$
 $\mathbf{a}[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \text{exp } a \ b]$
 using *assms lunit-naturality*
 by (*metis 0 Comp-simps(1-2) cod-comp in-homE*)
 also have $\dots = \text{Comp } a \ b \ b \cdot$
 $(\mathbf{l}[\text{exp } b \ b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b] \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)) \cdot$
 $\mathbf{a}[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \text{exp } a \ b]$
 using *comp-assoc by simp*
 also have $\dots =$
 $(\text{Comp } a \ b \ b \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)) \cdot \mathbf{l}[\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{exp } a \ b] \cdot \mathbf{a}[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \text{exp } a \ b]$
 using *assms 0 lunit-naturality calculation in-homE comp-assoc by metis*
 also have $\dots = (\text{Comp } a \ b \ b \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)) \cdot (\iota \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)$
 using *assms(1-2) ide-exp ide-unity lunit-eqI lunit-tensor' unit-in-hom-ax*
unit-triangle(2)
 by *presburger*
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{l}[\text{exp } a \ b] \cdot (\iota \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)$
proof (*unfold Comp-def*)
 have $(\text{Curry}[\text{exp } b \ b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b, a, b])$
 $(\text{eval } b \ b \cdot (\text{exp } b \ b \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot \mathbf{a}[\text{exp } b \ b, \text{exp } a \ b, a]) \cdot$
 $(\text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)) \cdot$
 $(\iota \otimes \text{exp } a \ b) =$
 $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{exp } a \ b, a, b]$
 $((\text{eval } b \ b \cdot (\text{exp } b \ b \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot \mathbf{a}[\text{exp } b \ b, \text{exp } a \ b, a]) \cdot$
 $((\text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b) \otimes a)) \cdot$
 $(\iota \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)$
proof –
 have $\ll \text{eval } b \ b \cdot (\text{exp } b \ b \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot \mathbf{a}[\text{exp } b \ b, \text{exp } a \ b, a]$
 $: (\text{exp } b \ b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b) \otimes a \rightarrow b \gg$
 using *assms ide-exp tensor-in-hom ide-in-hom ide-exp eval-in-hom_{ECMC}*
 by *force*
 moreover have $\ll \text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b : \mathcal{I} \otimes \text{exp } a \ b \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b \gg$
 using *assms ide-exp by force*
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *assms comp-Curry-arr by force*
qed
 also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{exp } a \ b, a, b]$
 $(\text{eval } b \ b \cdot ((\text{exp } b \ b \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b \otimes a)) \cdot$
 $\mathbf{a}[\mathcal{I}, \text{exp } a \ b, a]) \cdot$
 $(\iota \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)$
 using *assms assoc-naturality [of $\text{Id } b \ \text{exp } a \ b \ a]$ ide-exp comp-assoc*

by *force*
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I} \otimes \exp a b, a, b]$
 $((\text{eval } b b \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes \text{Uncurry } a b (\exp a b))) \cdot$
 $a[\mathcal{I}, \exp a b, a]) \cdot$
 $(\iota \otimes \exp a b)$
 by (*simp add: assms Uncurry-exp comp-cod-arr comp-assoc interchange*)
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I} \otimes \exp a b, a, b]$
 $((\text{eval } b b \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes \text{eval } a b)) \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \exp a b, a]) \cdot$
 $(\iota \otimes \exp a b)$
 using *assms comp-arr-dom*
 by (*metis eval-in-hom_{ECMC} in-homE*)
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I} \otimes \exp a b, a, b]$
 $((\text{eval } b b \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes b)) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{eval } a b)) \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \exp a b, a]) \cdot$
 $(\iota \otimes \exp a b)$
 proof –
 have $\text{Id } b \otimes \text{eval } a b = (\text{Id } b \otimes b) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{eval } a b)$
 using *assms interchange*
 by (*metis Id-simps(1–2) comp-arr-dom comp-ide-arr eval-in-hom_{ECMC}*
 ide-in-hom seqI')
 thus ?thesis using *comp-assoc* by *simp*
 qed
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I} \otimes \exp a b, a, b]$
 $((l[b] \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{eval } a b)) \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \exp a b, a]) \cdot$
 $(\iota \otimes \exp a b)$
 using *assms Id-def Uncurry-Curry lunit-in-hom ide-unity* by *simp*
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I} \otimes \exp a b, a, b]$
 $(\text{eval } a b \cdot l[\exp a b \otimes a] \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \exp a b, a]) \cdot$
 $(\iota \otimes \exp a b)$
 using *assms lunit-naturality eval-in-hom_{ECMC} in-homE lunit-naturality*
 comp-assoc
 by *metis*
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I} \otimes \exp a b, a, b]$
 $(\text{Uncurry}[a, b] l[\exp a b]) \cdot (\iota \otimes \exp a b)$
 using *assms ide-exp lunit-tensor'* by *force*
 also have ... = $l[\exp a b] \cdot (\iota \otimes \exp a b)$
 using *assms Curry-Uncurry lunit-in-hom ide-exp* by *auto*
 finally show $(\text{Curry}[\exp b b \otimes \exp a b, a, b])$
 $(\text{eval } b b \cdot (\exp b b \otimes \text{eval } a b) \cdot a[\exp b b, \exp a b, a]) \cdot$
 $(\text{Id } b \otimes \exp a b)) \cdot$
 $(\iota \otimes \exp a b) =$
 $l[\exp a b] \cdot (\iota \otimes \exp a b)$
 by *blast*
 qed
 finally have 1: $l[\exp a b] \cdot$
 $(\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Comp } a b b \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes \exp a b)) \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \exp a b] =$
 $l[\exp a b] \cdot (\iota \otimes \exp a b)$
 by *blast*
 have $(\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Comp } a b b \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes \exp a b)) \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \exp a b] =$
 $(\text{inv } l[\exp a b] \cdot l[\exp a b]) \cdot$

$(\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ b \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)) \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \text{exp } a \ b]$
using *assms comp-cod-arr* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = (\text{inv } l[\text{exp } a \ b] \cdot l[\text{exp } a \ b]) \cdot (\iota \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)$
using *1 comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \iota \otimes \text{exp } a \ b$
using *assms comp-cod-arr* *[of $\iota \otimes \text{exp } a \ b$ $l^{-1}[\text{exp } a \ b] \cdot l[\text{exp } a \ b]$ *arrI*]*
by *auto*
finally have $(\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ b \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes \text{exp } a \ b)) \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \text{exp } a \ b] =$
 $\iota \otimes \text{exp } a \ b$
by *blast*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms(1-2) 0 L.as-nat-trans.naturality1 comp-assoc-assoc'(1)*
ide-exp ide-unity in-homE comp-assoc
by *metis*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *Comp-assoc_{ECMC}*:

assumes *ide a and ide b and ide c and ide d*

shows $\llbracket \text{Comp } a \ b \ d \cdot (\text{Comp } b \ c \ d \otimes \text{exp } a \ b) :$

$(\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c) \otimes \text{exp } a \ b \rightarrow \text{exp } a \ d \rrbracket$

and $\text{Comp } a \ b \ d \cdot (\text{Comp } b \ c \ d \otimes \text{exp } a \ b) =$

$\text{Comp } a \ c \ d \cdot (\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ c) \cdot a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b]$

proof –

show $\llbracket \text{Comp } a \ b \ d \cdot (\text{Comp } b \ c \ d \otimes \text{exp } a \ b) :$

$(\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c) \otimes \text{exp } a \ b \rightarrow \text{exp } a \ d \rrbracket$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

show $\text{Comp } a \ b \ d \cdot (\text{Comp } b \ c \ d \otimes \text{exp } a \ b) =$

$\text{Comp } a \ c \ d \cdot (\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ c) \cdot a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b]$

proof –

have *1*: $\text{Uncurry}[a, d] (\text{Comp } a \ c \ d \cdot (\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ c) \cdot$

$a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b]) =$

$\text{Uncurry}[a, d] (\text{Comp } a \ b \ d \cdot (\text{Comp } b \ c \ d \otimes \text{exp } a \ b))$

proof –

have $\text{Uncurry}[a, d]$

$(\text{Comp } a \ c \ d \cdot (\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ c) \cdot$

$a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b]) =$

$\text{Uncurry}[a, d]$

$(\text{Curry}[\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } a \ c, a, d]$

$(\text{eval } c \ d \cdot (\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{eval } a \ c) \cdot a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } a \ c, a]) \cdot$

$(\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ c) \cdot a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b])$

using *Comp-def* **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = \text{Uncurry}[a, d]$

$(\text{Curry}[(\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c) \otimes \text{exp } a \ b, a, d]$

$((\text{eval } c \ d \cdot (\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{eval } a \ c) \cdot a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } a \ c, a]) \cdot$

$((\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ c) \cdot$

$a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b] \otimes a))$

using *assms*

comp-Curry-arr

$$[of\ a\ (exp\ c\ d \otimes Comp\ a\ b\ c) \cdot a[exp\ c\ d, exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b]$$

$$(exp\ c\ d \otimes exp\ b\ c) \otimes exp\ a\ b\ exp\ c\ d \otimes exp\ a\ c$$

$$eval\ c\ d \cdot (exp\ c\ d \otimes eval\ a\ c) \cdot a[exp\ c\ d, exp\ a\ c, a]\ d]$$

by *auto*
also have $... = eval\ c\ d \cdot (exp\ c\ d \otimes eval\ a\ c) \cdot$

$$(a[exp\ c\ d, exp\ a\ c, a] \cdot ((exp\ c\ d \otimes Comp\ a\ b\ c) \otimes a)) \cdot$$

$$(a[exp\ c\ d, exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b] \otimes a)$$

using *assms Uncurry-Curry ide-exp interchange comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $... = eval\ c\ d \cdot$

$$(exp\ c\ d \otimes eval\ a\ c) \cdot$$

$$(a[exp\ c\ d, exp\ a\ c, a] \cdot$$

$$((exp\ c\ d \otimes$$

$$Curry[exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b, a, c]$$

$$(eval\ b\ c \cdot (exp\ b\ c \otimes eval\ a\ b) \cdot a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a]))$$

$$\otimes a)) \cdot$$

$$(a[exp\ c\ d, exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b] \otimes a)$$

unfolding *Comp-def* **by** *simp*
also have $... = eval\ c\ d \cdot$

$$(exp\ c\ d \otimes eval\ a\ c) \cdot$$

$$((exp\ c\ d \otimes$$

$$Curry[exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b, a, c]$$

$$(eval\ b\ c \cdot (exp\ b\ c \otimes eval\ a\ b) \cdot a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a])$$

$$\otimes a) \cdot$$

$$a[exp\ c\ d, exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b, a]) \cdot$$

$$(a[exp\ c\ d, exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b] \otimes a)$$

using *assms assoc-naturality [of exp c d - a] Comp-def Comp-simps(1-3)*
ide-exp ide-char
by *(metis (no-types, lifting) mem-Collect-eq)*
also have $... = eval\ c\ d \cdot$

$$((exp\ c\ d \otimes eval\ a\ c) \cdot$$

$$(exp\ c\ d \otimes$$

$$Curry[exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b, a, c]$$

$$(eval\ b\ c \cdot (exp\ b\ c \otimes eval\ a\ b) \cdot a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a])$$

$$\otimes a)) \cdot$$

$$a[exp\ c\ d, exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b, a] \cdot$$

$$(a[exp\ c\ d, exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b] \otimes a)$$

using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $... = eval\ c\ d \cdot$

$$(exp\ c\ d \otimes$$

$$Uncurry[a, c]$$

$$(Curry[exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b, a, c]$$

$$(eval\ b\ c \cdot (exp\ b\ c \otimes eval\ a\ b) \cdot$$

$$a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a])) \cdot$$

$$a[exp\ c\ d, exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b, a] \cdot$$

$$(a[exp\ c\ d, exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b] \otimes a)$$

using *assms Comp-def Comp-in-hom interchange* **by** *auto*
also have $... = eval\ c\ d \cdot$

$$(exp\ c\ d \otimes (eval\ b\ c \cdot (exp\ b\ c \otimes eval\ a\ b) \cdot$$

$a[\exp b\ c, \exp a\ b, a]) \cdot$
 $a[\exp c\ d, \exp b\ c \otimes \exp a\ b, a] \cdot$
 $(a[\exp c\ d, \exp b\ c, \exp a\ b] \otimes a)$
using *assms ide-exp tensor-in-hom ide-in-hom ide-exp eval-in-hom_{ECMC}*
assoc-in-hom Uncurry-Curry
by *force*
also have $\dots = \text{eval } c\ d \cdot$
 $((\exp c\ d \otimes \text{eval } b\ c) \cdot$
 $(\exp c\ d \otimes \exp b\ c \otimes \text{eval } a\ b) \cdot$
 $(\exp c\ d \otimes a[\exp b\ c, \exp a\ b, a])) \cdot$
 $a[\exp c\ d, \exp b\ c \otimes \exp a\ b, a] \cdot$
 $(a[\exp c\ d, \exp b\ c, \exp a\ b] \otimes a)$
using *assms ide-exp tensor-in-hom interchange* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{eval } c\ d \cdot$
 $(\exp c\ d \otimes \text{eval } b\ c) \cdot$
 $(\exp c\ d \otimes \exp b\ c \otimes \text{eval } a\ b) \cdot$
 $(\exp c\ d \otimes a[\exp b\ c, \exp a\ b, a]) \cdot$
 $a[\exp c\ d, \exp b\ c \otimes \exp a\ b, a] \cdot$
 $(a[\exp c\ d, \exp b\ c, \exp a\ b] \otimes a)$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
finally have $\ast: \text{Uncurry}[a, d] (\text{Comp } a\ c\ d \cdot (\exp c\ d \otimes \text{Comp } a\ b\ c) \cdot$
 $a[\exp c\ d, \exp b\ c, \exp a\ b]) =$
 $\text{eval } c\ d \cdot$
 $(\exp c\ d \otimes \text{eval } b\ c) \cdot$
 $(\exp c\ d \otimes \exp b\ c \otimes \text{eval } a\ b) \cdot$
 $(\exp c\ d \otimes a[\exp b\ c, \exp a\ b, a]) \cdot$
 $a[\exp c\ d, \exp b\ c \otimes \exp a\ b, a] \cdot$
 $(a[\exp c\ d, \exp b\ c, \exp a\ b] \otimes a)$
by *blast*
have $\text{Uncurry}[a, d] (\text{Comp } a\ b\ d \cdot (\text{Comp } b\ c\ d \otimes \exp a\ b)) =$
 $\text{Uncurry}[a, d]$
 $(\text{Curry}[\exp b\ d \otimes \exp a\ b, a, d]$
 $(\text{eval } b\ d \cdot (\exp b\ d \otimes \text{eval } a\ b) \cdot a[\exp b\ d, \exp a\ b, a]) \cdot$
 $(\text{Comp } b\ c\ d \otimes \exp a\ b))$
using *Comp-def* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{Uncurry}[a, d]$
 $(\text{Curry}[(\exp c\ d \otimes \exp b\ c) \otimes \exp a\ b, a, d]$
 $(\text{eval } b\ d \cdot (\exp b\ d \otimes \text{eval } a\ b) \cdot a[\exp b\ d, \exp a\ b, a] \cdot$
 $((\text{Comp } b\ c\ d \otimes \exp a\ b) \otimes a)))$
proof –
have $\ll \text{Comp } b\ c\ d \otimes \exp a\ b :$
 $(\exp c\ d \otimes \exp b\ c) \otimes \exp a\ b \rightarrow \exp b\ d \otimes \exp a\ b \gg$
using *assms ide-exp* **by** *force*
moreover have $\ll \text{eval } b\ d \cdot (\exp b\ d \otimes \text{eval } a\ b) \cdot a[\exp b\ d, \exp a\ b, a]$
 $: (\exp b\ d \otimes \exp a\ b) \otimes a \rightarrow d \gg$
using *assms ide-exp tensor-in-hom ide-in-hom ide-exp eval-in-hom_{ECMC}*
by *force*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *comp-Curry-arr assms comp-assoc* **by** *auto*

qed
also have ... = $\text{eval } b \ d \cdot (\text{exp } b \ d \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot a[\text{exp } b \ d, \text{exp } a \ b, a] \cdot$
 $((\text{Comp } b \ c \ d \otimes \text{exp } a \ b) \otimes a)$
using *assms ide-exp Uncurry-Curry* **by force**
also have ... = $\text{eval } b \ d \cdot$
 $((\text{exp } b \ d \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot (\text{Comp } b \ c \ d \otimes \text{exp } a \ b \otimes a)) \cdot$
 $a[\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b, a]$
using *assms ide-exp comp-assoc*
assoc-naturality [of Comp b c d exp a b a]
by force
also have ... = $\text{eval } b \ d \cdot (\text{Comp } b \ c \ d \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot$
 $a[\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b, a]$
by (*simp add: assms comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr interchange*)
also have ... = $\text{eval } b \ d \cdot$
 $(\text{Curry}[\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c, b, d]$
 $(\text{eval } c \ d \cdot (\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{eval } b \ c) \cdot a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } b \ c, b])$
 $\otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot$
 $a[\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b, a]$
unfolding *Comp-def* **by simp**
also have ... = $\text{eval } b \ d \cdot$
 $((\text{Curry}[\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c, b, d]$
 $(\text{eval } c \ d \cdot (\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{eval } b \ c) \cdot a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } b \ c, b])$
 $\otimes b) \cdot$
 $((\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c) \otimes \text{eval } a \ b)) \cdot$
 $a[\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b, a]$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) assms Comp-def Comp-simps(1-2)*
comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr eval-simps(1,3) interchange)
also have ... = $\text{Uncurry}[b, d]$
 $(\text{Curry}[\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c, b, d]$
 $(\text{eval } c \ d \cdot (\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{eval } b \ c) \cdot a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } b \ c, b])) \cdot$
 $((\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c) \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot$
 $a[\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b, a]$
using *comp-assoc* **by simp**
also have ... = $\text{eval } c \ d \cdot$
 $(\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{eval } b \ c) \cdot$
 $(a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } b \ c, b] \cdot$
 $((\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c) \otimes \text{eval } a \ b)) \cdot$
 $a[\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b, a]$
using *assms ide-exp Uncurry-Curry comp-assoc* **by auto**
also have ... = $\text{eval } c \ d \cdot$
 $(\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{eval } b \ c) \cdot$
 $((\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot$
 $a[\text{exp } c \ d, \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b \otimes a]) \cdot$
 $a[\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{exp } b \ c, \text{exp } a \ b, a]$
using *assoc-naturality [of exp c d exp b c eval a b]*
by (*metis assms arr-cod cod-cod Curry-in-hom dom-dom eval-in-hom_{ECMC}*
ide-exp in-homE)
also have ... = $\text{eval } c \ d \cdot$
 $(\text{exp } c \ d \otimes \text{eval } b \ c) \cdot$

```

      (exp c d ⊗ exp b c ⊗ eval a b) ·
      a[exp c d, exp b c, exp a b ⊗ a] ·
      a[exp c d ⊗ exp b c, exp a b, a]
    using comp-assoc by simp
  finally have **: Uncurry[a, d] (Comp a b d · (Comp b c d ⊗ exp a b)) =
    eval c d ·
    (exp c d ⊗ eval b c) ·
    (exp c d ⊗ exp b c ⊗ eval a b) ·
    a[exp c d, exp b c, exp a b ⊗ a] ·
    a[exp c d ⊗ exp b c, exp a b, a]

  by blast
  show ?thesis
    using * ** assms ide-exp pentagon by force
qed
have Comp a b d · (Comp b c d ⊗ exp a b) =
  Curry[(exp c d ⊗ exp b c) ⊗ exp a b, a, d]
  (Uncurry[a, d] (Comp a b d · (Comp b c d ⊗ exp a b)))
  using assms ide-exp Curry-Uncurry by fastforce
also have ... = Curry[(exp c d ⊗ exp b c) ⊗ exp a b, a, d]
  (Uncurry[a, d] (Comp a c d · (exp c d ⊗ Comp a b c) ·
    a[exp c d, exp b c, exp a b]))

  using 1 by simp
also have ... = Comp a c d · (exp c d ⊗ Comp a b c) ·
  a[exp c d, exp b c, exp a b]
  using assms ide-exp Curry-Uncurry by simp
finally show Comp a b d · (Comp b c d ⊗ exp a b) =
  Comp a c d · (exp c d ⊗ Comp a b c) · a[exp c d, exp b c, exp a b]
  by blast
qed
qed
end

end

```

1.4 Cartesian Closed Monoidal Categories

A *cartesian closed monoidal category* is a cartesian monoidal category that is a closed monoidal category with respect to a chosen product. This is not quite the same thing as a cartesian closed category, because a cartesian monoidal category (being a monoidal category) has chosen structure (the tensor, associators, and unitors), whereas we have defined a cartesian closed category to be an abstract category satisfying certain properties that are expressed without assuming any chosen structure.

```

theory CartesianClosedMonoidalCategory
imports Category3.CartesianClosedCategory MonoidalCategory.CartesianMonoidalCategory
  ClosedMonoidalCategory

```


begin

locale *cartesian-closed-monoidal-category* =
cartesian-monoidal-category +
closed-monoidal-category

locale *elementary-cartesian-closed-monoidal-category* =
cartesian-monoidal-category +
elementary-closed-monoidal-category

begin

lemmas *prod-eq-tensor* [*simp*]

end

The following is the main purpose for the current theory: to show that a cartesian closed category with chosen structure determines a cartesian closed monoidal category.

context *elementary-cartesian-closed-category*
begin

interpretation *CMC*: *cartesian-monoidal-category* *C* *Prod* α ι
using *extends-to-cartesian-monoidal-category*_{EC_C} **by** *blast*

interpretation *CMC*: *closed-monoidal-category* *C* *Prod* α ι
using *CMC.T.extensionality* *interchange* *left-adjoint-prod*
by *unfold-locales*
(*auto simp add: left-adjoint-functor.ex-terminal-arrow*)

lemma *extends-to-closed-monoidal-category*_{EC_{CC}}:
shows *closed-monoidal-category* *C* *Prod* α ι
..

lemma *extends-to-cartesian-closed-monoidal-category*_{EC_{CC}}:
shows *cartesian-closed-monoidal-category* *C* *Prod* α ι
..

interpretation *CMC*: *elementary-monoidal-category*
C *CMC.tensor* *CMC.unital* *CMC.lunit* *CMC.runit* *CMC.assoc*
using *CMC.induces-elementary-monoidal-category* **by** *blast*

interpretation *CMC*: *elementary-closed-monoidal-category*
C *Prod* α ι *exp* *eval* *curry*
using *eval-in-hom-ax* *curry-in-hom* *uncurry-curry-ax* *curry-uncurry-ax*
by *unfold-locales auto*

lemma *extends-to-elementary-closed-monoidal-category*_{EC_{CC}}:
shows *elementary-closed-monoidal-category* *C* *Prod* α ι *exp* *eval* *curry*
..

```

lemma extends-to-elementary-cartesian-closed-monoidal-categoryECCC:
shows elementary-cartesian-closed-monoidal-category C Prod  $\alpha$   $\iota$  exp eval curry
..

```

end

```

context elementary-cartesian-closed-monoidal-category
begin

```

```

interpretation elementary-monoidal-category C tensor unity lunit runit assoc
using induces-elementary-monoidal-category by blast

```

The following fact is not used in the present article, but it is a natural and likely useful lemma for which I constructed a proof at one point. The proof requires cartesianness; I suspect this is essential, but I am not absolutely certain of it.

```

lemma isomorphic-exp-prod:
assumes ide a and ide b and ide x
shows « $\langle \text{Curry}[\text{exp } x (a \otimes b), x, a] (\mathbf{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \text{eval } x (a \otimes b)),$ 
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x (a \otimes b), x, b] (\mathbf{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \text{eval } x (a \otimes b)) \rangle$ 
 $: \text{exp } x (a \otimes b) \rightarrow \text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b$ »
(is « $\langle ?C, ?D \rangle : \text{exp } x (a \otimes b) \rightarrow \text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b$ »)
and « $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b, x, a \otimes b]$ 
 $\langle \text{eval } x a \cdot \langle \mathbf{p}_1[\text{exp } x a, \text{exp } x b] \cdot \mathbf{p}_1[\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b, x],$ 
 $\mathbf{p}_0[\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b, x] \rangle,$ 
 $\text{eval } x b \cdot \langle \mathbf{p}_0[\text{exp } x a, \text{exp } x b] \cdot \mathbf{p}_1[\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b, x],$ 
 $\mathbf{p}_0[\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b, x] \rangle \rangle$ 
 $: \text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b \rightarrow \text{exp } x (a \otimes b)$ »
(is « $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle$ 
 $: \text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b \rightarrow \text{exp } x (a \otimes b)$ »)
and inverse-arrows
 $(\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b, x, a \otimes b]$ 
 $\langle \text{eval } x a \cdot \langle \mathbf{p}_1[\text{exp } x a, \text{exp } x b] \cdot \mathbf{p}_1[\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b, x],$ 
 $\mathbf{p}_0[\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b, x] \rangle,$ 
 $\text{eval } x b \cdot \langle \mathbf{p}_0[\text{exp } x a, \text{exp } x b] \cdot \mathbf{p}_1[\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b, x],$ 
 $\mathbf{p}_0[\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b, x] \rangle \rangle)$ 
 $\langle \text{Curry}[\text{exp } x (a \otimes b), x, a] (\mathbf{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \text{eval } x (a \otimes b)),$ 
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x (a \otimes b), x, b] (\mathbf{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \text{eval } x (a \otimes b)) \rangle$ 
and isomorphic  $(\text{exp } x (a \otimes b)) (\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b)$ 
proof –
have A: « $?A : (\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b) \otimes x \rightarrow a$ »
using assms by auto
have B: « $?B : (\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b) \otimes x \rightarrow b$ »
using assms by auto
have AB: « $\langle ?A, ?B \rangle : (\text{exp } x a \otimes \text{exp } x b) \otimes x \rightarrow a \otimes b$ »
by (metis A B ECC.tuple-in-hom prod-eq-tensor)
have C: « $?C : \text{exp } x (a \otimes b) \rightarrow \text{exp } x a$ »
using assms by auto

```

```

have D: « $?D : \exp x (a \otimes b) \rightarrow \exp x b$ »
  using assms by auto
show CD: « $\langle ?C, ?D \rangle : \exp x (a \otimes b) \rightarrow \exp x a \otimes \exp x b$ »
  using C D by fastforce
show 1: « $\text{Curry}[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle$ 
  :  $(\exp x a \otimes \exp x b) \rightarrow \exp x (a \otimes b)$ »
  by (simp add: AB assms(1-3) Curry-in-hom)
show inverse-arrows ( $\text{Curry}[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle$ )  $\langle ?C, ?D \rangle$ 
proof
  show ide ( $\text{Curry}[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle \cdot \langle ?C, ?D \rangle$ )
  proof -
    have  $\text{Curry}[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle \cdot \langle ?C, ?D \rangle =$ 
       $\text{Curry}[\exp x (a \otimes b), x, a \otimes b] (\langle ?A, ?B \rangle \cdot (\langle ?C, ?D \rangle \otimes x))$ 
    using assms AB CD comp-Curry-arr by presburger
    also have ... =  $\text{Curry}[\exp x (a \otimes b), x, a \otimes b]$ 
       $\langle ?A \cdot (\langle ?C, ?D \rangle \otimes x), ?B \cdot (\langle ?C, ?D \rangle \otimes x) \rangle$ 
  proof -
    have span  $?A ?B$ 
    using A B by fastforce
    moreover have arr  $(\langle ?C, ?D \rangle \otimes x)$ 
    using assms CD by auto
    moreover have dom  $?A = \text{cod } (\langle ?C, ?D \rangle \otimes x)$ 
    by (metis A CD assms(3) cod-tensor ide-char in-homE)
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms ECC.comp-tuple-arr by metis
  qed
  also have ... =  $\text{Curry}[\exp x (a \otimes b), x, a \otimes b]$ 
     $\langle \text{Uncurry}[x, a] ?C, \text{eval } x b \cdot (?D \otimes x) \rangle$ 
  proof -
    have  $?A \cdot (\langle ?C, ?D \rangle \otimes x) = \text{Uncurry}[x, a] ?C$ 
  proof -
    have  $?A \cdot (\langle ?C, ?D \rangle \otimes x) =$ 
       $\text{eval } x a \cdot$ 
       $\langle \mathbf{p}_1[\exp x a, \exp x b] \cdot \mathbf{p}_1[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x] \cdot (\langle ?C, ?D \rangle \otimes x),$ 
       $\mathbf{p}_0[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x] \cdot (\langle ?C, ?D \rangle \otimes x) \rangle$ 
    using assms ECC.comp-tuple-arr comp-assoc by simp
    also have ... =  $\text{eval } x a \cdot$ 
       $\langle ?C \cdot \mathbf{p}_1[\exp x (a \otimes b), x], x \cdot \mathbf{p}_0[\exp x (a \otimes b), x] \rangle$ 
    using assms ECC.pr-naturality(1-2) by auto
    also have ... =  $\text{eval } x a \cdot (?C \otimes x) \cdot$ 
       $\langle \mathbf{p}_1[\exp x (a \otimes b), x], \mathbf{p}_0[\exp x (a \otimes b), x] \rangle$ 
    using assms
      ECC.prod-tuple
       $[ \text{of } \mathbf{p}_1[\exp x (a \otimes b), x] \mathbf{p}_0[\exp x (a \otimes b), x] ?C x ]$ 
    by simp
    also have ... =  $\text{Uncurry}[x, a] ?C$ 
    using assms C ECC.tuple-pr comp-arr-ide comp-arr-dom by auto
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed

```

```

moreover have ?B · (⟨?C, ?D⟩ ⊗ x) = Uncurry[x, b] ?D
proof –
  have ?B · (⟨?C, ?D⟩ ⊗ x) =
    eval x b ·
      ⟨p₀[exp x a, exp x b] · p₁[exp x a ⊗ exp x b, x] · (⟨?C, ?D⟩ ⊗ x),
        p₀[exp x a ⊗ exp x b, x] · (⟨?C, ?D⟩ ⊗ x)⟩
    using assms ECC.comp-tuple-arr comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... = eval x b ·
    ⟨?D · p₁[exp x (a ⊗ b), x], x · p₀[exp x (a ⊗ b), x]⟩
    using assms C ECC.pr-naturality(1-2) by auto
  also have ... = eval x b · (?D ⊗ x) ·
    ⟨p₁[exp x (a ⊗ b), x], p₀[exp x (a ⊗ b), x]⟩
    using assms
      ECC.prod-tuple
      [of p₁[exp x (a ⊗ b), x] p₀[exp x (a ⊗ b), x] ?D x]
    by simp
  also have ... = Uncurry[x, b] ?D
    using assms C ECC.tuple-pr comp-arr-ide comp-arr-dom by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = Curry[exp x (a ⊗ b), x, a ⊗ b]
  (⟨p₁[a, b] · eval x (a ⊗ b), p₀[a, b] · eval x (a ⊗ b)⟩)
  using assms Uncurry-Curry by auto
also have ... = Curry[exp x (a ⊗ b), x, a ⊗ b]
  (⟨p₁[a, b], p₀[a, b]⟩ · eval x (a ⊗ b))
  using assms ECC.comp-tuple-arr [of p₁[a, b] p₀[a, b] eval x (a ⊗ b)]
  by simp
also have ... = Curry[exp x (a ⊗ b), x, a ⊗ b] (eval x (a ⊗ b))
  using assms comp-cod-arr by simp
also have ... = exp x (a ⊗ b)
  using assms Curry-Uncurry ide-exp ide-in-hom tensor-preserves-ide
    Uncurry-exp
  by metis
finally have Curry[exp x a ⊗ exp x b, x, a ⊗ b] ⟨?A, ?B⟩ · ⟨?C, ?D⟩ =
  exp x (a ⊗ b)
  by blast
thus ?thesis
  using assms ide-exp tensor-preserves-ide by presburger
qed
show ide (⟨?C, ?D⟩ · Curry[exp x a ⊗ exp x b, x, a ⊗ b] ⟨?A, ?B⟩)
proof –
  have 2: span p₁[exp x a ⊗ exp x b, x] p₀[exp x a ⊗ exp x b, x]
    using assms by simp
  have 3: seq x p₀[exp x a ⊗ exp x b, x]
    using assms by simp
  have ⟨?C, ?D⟩ · Curry[exp x a ⊗ exp x b, x, a ⊗ b] ⟨?A, ?B⟩ =
    ⟨?C · Curry[exp x a ⊗ exp x b, x, a ⊗ b] ⟨?A, ?B⟩,

```

$?D \cdot \text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle$
using *assms C D 1 ECC.comp-tuple-arr by (metis in-homE)*
also have $\dots = \langle \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{exp } x \ a, \text{exp } x \ b], \mathfrak{p}_0[\text{exp } x \ a, \text{exp } x \ b] \rangle$
proof –
have $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ (a \otimes b), x, a] (\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \text{eval } x \ (a \otimes b)) \cdot$
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle =$
 $\mathfrak{p}_1[\text{exp } x \ a, \text{exp } x \ b]$
proof –
have $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ (a \otimes b), x, a] (\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \text{eval } x \ (a \otimes b)) \cdot$
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle =$
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x, a]$
 $((\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \text{eval } x \ (a \otimes b)) \cdot$
 $(\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle \otimes x))$
using *assms 1 comp-Curry-arr by auto*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x, a]$
 $(\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \text{eval } x \ (a \otimes b) \cdot$
 $(\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle \otimes x))$
using *comp-assoc by simp*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x, a] (\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \langle ?A, ?B \rangle)$
using *assms AB Uncurry-Curry ide-exp tensor-preserves-ide by simp*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x, a]$
 $(\text{eval } x \ a \cdot$
 $\langle \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{exp } x \ a, \text{exp } x \ b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x],$
 $\mathfrak{p}_0[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x] \rangle)$
using *assms A B ECC.pr-tuple(1) by fastforce*
also have $\dots = \text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x, a]$
 $(\text{eval } x \ a \cdot (\mathfrak{p}_1[\text{exp } x \ a, \text{exp } x \ b] \otimes x) \cdot$
 $\langle \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x],$
 $\mathfrak{p}_0[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x] \rangle)$
proof –
have $\text{seq } \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{exp } x \ a, \text{exp } x \ b] \ \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x]$
using *assms by auto*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms 2 3 prod-eq-tensor comp-ide-arr ECC.prod-tuple*
by *metis*
qed
also have $\dots = \text{Curry} \ (\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b) \ x \ a$
 $(\text{eval } x \ a \cdot (\mathfrak{p}_1[\text{exp } x \ a, \text{exp } x \ b] \otimes x))$
using *assms comp-arr-dom by simp*
also have $\dots = \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{exp } x \ a, \text{exp } x \ b]$
using *assms Curry-Uncurry by simp*
finally show *?thesis by blast*
qed
moreover have $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ (a \otimes b), x, b] (\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \text{eval } x \ (a \otimes b)) \cdot$
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle =$
 $\mathfrak{p}_0[\text{exp } x \ a, \text{exp } x \ b]$
proof –
have $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ (a \otimes b), x, b] (\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \text{eval } x \ (a \otimes b)) \cdot$
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } x \ a \otimes \text{exp } x \ b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle =$

$$\text{Curry}[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x, b]$$

$$((\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \text{eval } x (a \otimes b)) \cdot$$

$$(\text{Curry}[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle \otimes x))$$

proof –

have « $\text{Curry}[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle$
 $: \exp x a \otimes \exp x b \rightarrow \exp x (a \otimes b)$ »

using 1 **by** blast

moreover have « $\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \text{eval } x (a \otimes b) : \exp x (a \otimes b) \otimes x \rightarrow b$ »

using *assms*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *ECC.pr0-in-hom'* *ECC.pr-simps*(2)
comp-in-homI *eval-in-hom*_{ECMC} *prod-eq-tensor* *tensor-preserves-ide*)

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *assms* *comp-Curry-arr* **by** *simp*

qed

also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x, b]$
 $(\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot$
 $\text{Uncurry}[x, a \otimes b]$
 $(\text{Curry}[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle))$

using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have ... = $\text{Curry} (\exp x a \otimes \exp x b) x b (\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \langle ?A, ?B \rangle)$

using *assms* *AB* *Uncurry-Curry* *ide-exp* *tensor-preserves-ide* **by** *simp*

also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x, b]$
 $(\text{eval } x b \cdot$
 $\langle \mathfrak{p}_0[\exp x a, \exp x b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x],$
 $\mathfrak{p}_0[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x] \rangle)$

using *assms* *A B* **by** *fastforce*

also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x, b]$
 $(\text{eval } x b \cdot (\mathfrak{p}_0[\exp x a, \exp x b] \otimes x) \cdot$
 $\langle \mathfrak{p}_1[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x],$
 $\mathfrak{p}_0[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x] \rangle)$

proof –

have *seq* $\mathfrak{p}_0[\exp x a, \exp x b] \mathfrak{p}_1[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x]$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms* 2 3 *prod-eq-tensor* *comp-ide-arr* *ECC.prod-tuple*
by *metis*

qed

also have ... = $\text{Curry} (\exp x a \otimes \exp x b) x b$
 $(\text{Uncurry}[x, b] \mathfrak{p}_0[\exp x a, \exp x b])$

proof –

have $(\mathfrak{p}_0[\exp x a, \exp x b] \otimes x) \cdot$
 $\langle \mathfrak{p}_1[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x], \mathfrak{p}_0[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x] \rangle =$
 $\mathfrak{p}_0[\exp x a, \exp x b] \otimes x$

using *assms* *comp-arr-ide* *ECC.tuple-pr* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

also have ... = $\mathfrak{p}_0[\exp x a, \exp x b]$

using *assms* *Curry-Uncurry* **by** *simp*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

```

      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis by simp
    qed
    also have ... =  $\exp x a \otimes \exp x b$ 
      using assms ECC.tuple-pr by simp
    finally have  $\langle ?C, ?D \rangle \cdot \text{Curry}[\exp x a \otimes \exp x b, x, a \otimes b] \langle ?A, ?B \rangle =$ 
       $\exp x a \otimes \exp x b$ 
      by blast
    thus ?thesis
      using assms tensor-preserves-ide by simp
  qed
qed
thus isomorphic ( $\exp x (a \otimes b)$ ) ( $\exp x a \otimes \exp x b$ )
  unfolding isomorphic-def
  using CD by blast
qed

end

end

```

Chapter 2

Enriched Categories

The notion of an enriched category [1] generalizes the concept of category by replacing the hom-sets of an ordinary category by objects of an arbitrary monoidal category M . The choice, for each object a , of a distinguished element $id\ a : a \rightarrow a$ as an identity, is replaced by an arrow $Id\ a : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow Hom\ a\ a$ of M . The composition operation is similarly replaced by a family of arrows $Comp\ a\ b\ c : Hom\ B\ C \otimes Hom\ A\ B \rightarrow Hom\ A\ C$ of M . The identity and composition are required to satisfy unit and associativity laws which are expressed as commutative diagrams in M .

```
theory EnrichedCategory
imports ClosedMonoidalCategory
begin
```

```
  context monoidal-category
  begin
```

```
    abbreviation  $\iota'$  ( $\langle \iota^{-1} \rangle$ )
    where  $\iota' \equiv inv\ \iota$ 
```

```
  end
```

```
  context elementary-symmetric-monoidal-category
  begin
```

```
    lemma sym-unit:
    shows  $\iota \cdot s[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}] = \iota$ 
    by (simp add:  $\iota$ -def unitor-coherence unitor-coincidence(2))
```

```
    lemma sym-inv-unit:
    shows  $s[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}] \cdot inv\ \iota = inv\ \iota$ 
    using sym-unit
    by (metis MC.unit-is-iso arr-inv cod-inv comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr)
```


iso-cancel-left iso-is-arr)

end

2.1 Basic Definitions

```

locale enriched-category =
  monoidal-category +
fixes Obj :: 'o set
and Hom :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  'o  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and Id :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and Comp :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  'o  $\Rightarrow$  'o  $\Rightarrow$  'a
assumes ide-Hom [intro, simp]:  $\llbracket a \in \text{Obj}; b \in \text{Obj} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ide } (\text{Hom } a \ b)$ 
  and Id-in-hom [intro]:  $a \in \text{Obj} \Longrightarrow \llbracket \text{Id } a : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{Hom } a \ a \rrbracket$ 
  and Comp-in-hom [intro]:  $\llbracket a \in \text{Obj}; b \in \text{Obj}; c \in \text{Obj} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\llbracket \text{Comp } a \ b \ c : \text{Hom } b \ c \otimes \text{Hom } a \ b \rightarrow \text{Hom } a \ c \rrbracket$ 
  and Comp-Hom-Id:  $\llbracket a \in \text{Obj}; b \in \text{Obj} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{Comp } a \ a \ b \cdot (\text{Hom } a \ b \otimes \text{Id } a) = \text{r}[\text{Hom } a \ b]$ 
  and Comp-Id-Hom:  $\llbracket a \in \text{Obj}; b \in \text{Obj} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{Comp } a \ b \ b \cdot (\text{Id } b \otimes \text{Hom } a \ b) = \text{l}[\text{Hom } a \ b]$ 
  and Comp-assoc:  $\llbracket a \in \text{Obj}; b \in \text{Obj}; c \in \text{Obj}; d \in \text{Obj} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{Comp } a \ b \ d \cdot (\text{Comp } b \ c \ d \otimes \text{Hom } a \ b) =$ 
     $\text{Comp } a \ c \ d \cdot (\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ c) \cdot$ 
     $\text{a}[\text{Hom } c \ d, \text{Hom } b \ c, \text{Hom } a \ b]$ 

```

A functor from an enriched category A to an enriched category B consists of an object map $F_o : \text{Obj}_A \rightarrow \text{Obj}_B$ and a map F_a that assigns to each pair of objects $a \ b$ in Obj_A an arrow $F_a \ a \ b : \text{Hom}_A \ a \ b \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b)$ of the underlying monoidal category, subject to equations expressing that identities and composition are preserved.

```

locale enriched-functor =
  monoidal-category C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$  +
  A: enriched-category C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$  ObjA HomA IdA CompA +
  B: enriched-category C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$  ObjB HomB IdB CompB
for C :: 'm  $\Rightarrow$  'm  $\Rightarrow$  'm (infixr  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  55)
and T :: 'm  $\times$  'm  $\Rightarrow$  'm
and  $\alpha$  :: 'm  $\times$  'm  $\times$  'm  $\Rightarrow$  'm
and  $\iota$  :: 'm
and ObjA :: 'a set
and HomA :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'm
and IdA :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'm
and CompA :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'm
and ObjB :: 'b set
and HomB :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'm
and IdB :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'm
and CompB :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'm
and Fo :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
and Fa :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'm +

```

assumes *extensionality*: $a \notin \text{Obj}_A \vee b \notin \text{Obj}_A \implies F_a a b = \text{null}$
assumes *preserves-Obj* [intro]: $a \in \text{Obj}_A \implies F_o a \in \text{Obj}_B$
and *preserves-Hom*: $\llbracket a \in \text{Obj}_A; b \in \text{Obj}_A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \langle F_a a b : \text{Hom}_A a b \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B (F_o a) (F_o b) \rangle$
and *preserves-Id*: $a \in \text{Obj}_A \implies F_a a a \cdot \text{Id}_A a = \text{Id}_B (F_o a)$
and *preserves-Comp*: $\llbracket a \in \text{Obj}_A; b \in \text{Obj}_A; c \in \text{Obj}_A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \text{Comp}_B (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot T (F_a b c, F_a a b) =$
 $\quad F_a a c \cdot \text{Comp}_A a b c$

locale *fully-faithful-enriched-functor* =
enriched-functor +
assumes *locally-iso*: $\llbracket a \in \text{Obj}_A; b \in \text{Obj}_A \rrbracket \implies \text{iso} (F_a a b)$

A natural transformation from an an enriched functor $F = (F_o, F_a)$ to an enriched functor $G = (G_o, G_a)$ consists of a map τ that assigns to each object $a \in \text{Obj}_A$ a “component at a ”, which is an arrow $\tau a : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B (F_o a) (G_o a)$, subject to an equation that expresses the naturality condition.

locale *enriched-natural-transformation* =
monoidal-category $C T \alpha \iota$ +
 A : *enriched-category* $C T \alpha \iota \text{Obj}_A \text{Hom}_A \text{Id}_A \text{Comp}_A$ +
 B : *enriched-category* $C T \alpha \iota \text{Obj}_B \text{Hom}_B \text{Id}_B \text{Comp}_B$ +
 F : *enriched-functor* $C T \alpha \iota$
 $\quad \text{Obj}_A \text{Hom}_A \text{Id}_A \text{Comp}_A \text{Obj}_B \text{Hom}_B \text{Id}_B \text{Comp}_B F_o F_a$ +
 G : *enriched-functor* $C T \alpha \iota$
 $\quad \text{Obj}_A \text{Hom}_A \text{Id}_A \text{Comp}_A \text{Obj}_B \text{Hom}_B \text{Id}_B \text{Comp}_B G_o G_a$
for $C :: 'm \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm$ (**infixr** $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 55)
and $T :: 'm \times 'm \Rightarrow 'm$
and $\alpha :: 'm \times 'm \times 'm \Rightarrow 'm$
and $\iota :: 'm$
and $\text{Obj}_A :: 'a \text{ set}$
and $\text{Hom}_A :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm$
and $\text{Id}_A :: 'a \Rightarrow 'm$
and $\text{Comp}_A :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm$
and $\text{Obj}_B :: 'b \text{ set}$
and $\text{Hom}_B :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'm$
and $\text{Id}_B :: 'b \Rightarrow 'm$
and $\text{Comp}_B :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'm$
and $F_o :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
and $F_a :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm$
and $G_o :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
and $G_a :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm$
and $\tau :: 'a \Rightarrow 'm$ +
assumes *extensionality*: $a \notin \text{Obj}_A \implies \tau a = \text{null}$
and *component-in-hom* [intro]: $a \in \text{Obj}_A \implies \langle \tau a : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B (F_o a) (G_o a) \rangle$
and *naturality*: $\llbracket a \in \text{Obj}_A; b \in \text{Obj}_A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \text{Comp}_B (F_o a) (F_o b) (G_o b) \cdot (\tau b \otimes F_a a b) \cdot \text{l}^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] =$
 $\quad \text{Comp}_B (F_o a) (G_o a) (G_o b) \cdot (G_a a b \otimes \tau a) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b]$

2.1.1 Self-Enrichment

context *elementary-closed-monoidal-category*
begin

Every closed monoidal category M admits a structure of enriched category, where the exponentials in M itself serve as the “hom-objects” (*cf.* [1] Section 1.6). Essentially all the work in proving this theorem has already been done in *EnrichedCategoryBasics.ClosedMonoidalCategory*.

interpretation *closed-monoidal-category*
using *is-closed-monoidal-category* **by** *blast*

interpretation *EC: enriched-category* $C\ T\ \alpha\ \iota\ \langle \text{Collect ide} \rangle\ \text{exp Id Comp}$
using *Id-in-hom Comp-in-hom Comp-unit-right Comp-unit-left Comp-assoc_{ECMC}(2)*
by *unfold-locales auto*

theorem *is-enriched-in-itself:*
shows *enriched-category* $C\ T\ \alpha\ \iota\ (\text{Collect ide})\ \text{exp Id Comp}$
..

The following mappings define a bijection between $\text{hom } a\ b$ and $\text{hom } \mathcal{I}$ ($\text{exp } a\ b$). These have functorial properties which are encountered repeatedly.

definition *UP* $(\langle \cdot^\uparrow \rangle\ [100]\ 100)$
where $t^\uparrow \equiv \text{if } \text{arr } t \text{ then } \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, \text{dom } t, \text{cod } t]\ (t \cdot 1[\text{dom } t]) \text{ else null}$

definition *DN*
where $\text{DN } a\ b\ t \equiv \text{if } \text{arr } t \text{ then } \text{Uncurry}[a, b]\ t \cdot 1^{-1}[a] \text{ else null}$

abbreviation *DN'* $(\langle \cdot^\downarrow[-, -] \rangle\ [100]\ 99)$
where $t^\downarrow[a, b] \equiv \text{DN } a\ b\ t$

lemma *UP-DN:*
shows $[\text{intro}]: \text{arr } t \implies \langle t^\uparrow : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{exp } (\text{dom } t)\ (\text{cod } t) \rangle$
and $[\text{intro}]: \llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{ide } b; \langle t : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{exp } a\ b \rangle \rrbracket \implies \langle t^\downarrow[a, b]: a \rightarrow b \rangle$
and $[\text{simp}]: \text{arr } t \implies (t^\uparrow)^\downarrow[\text{dom } t, \text{cod } t] = t$
and $[\text{simp}]: \llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{ide } b; \langle t : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{exp } a\ b \rangle \rrbracket \implies (t^\downarrow[a, b])^\uparrow = t$
using *UP-def DN-def Uncurry-Curry Curry-Uncurry [of $\mathcal{I}$ $a\ b\ t$]*
comp-assoc comp-arr-dom
by *auto*

lemma *UP-simps [simp]:*
assumes $\text{arr } t$
shows $\text{arr } (t^\uparrow)$ **and** $\text{dom } (t^\uparrow) = \mathcal{I}$ **and** $\text{cod } (t^\uparrow) = \text{exp } (\text{dom } t)\ (\text{cod } t)$
using *assms UP-DN* **by** *auto*

lemma *DN-simps [simp]:*
assumes $\text{ide } a$ **and** $\text{ide } b$ **and** $\text{arr } t$ **and** $\text{dom } t = \mathcal{I}$ **and** $\text{cod } t = \text{exp } a\ b$
shows $\text{arr } (t^\downarrow[a, b])$ **and** $\text{dom } (t^\downarrow[a, b]) = a$ **and** $\text{cod } (t^\downarrow[a, b]) = b$

using *assms UP-DN DN-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *UP-ide*:

assumes *ide a*

shows $a^\uparrow = Id\ a$

using *assms Id-def comp-cod-arr UP-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *DN-Id*:

assumes *ide a*

shows $(Id\ a)^\downarrow[a, a] = a$

using *assms Uncurry-Curry lunit-in-hom Id-def DN-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *UP-comp*:

assumes *seq t u*

shows $(t \cdot u)^\uparrow = Comp\ (dom\ u)\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \cdot (t^\uparrow \otimes u^\uparrow) \cdot \iota^{-1}$

proof $-$

have $Comp\ (dom\ u)\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \cdot (t^\uparrow \otimes u^\uparrow) \cdot \iota^{-1} =$
 $(Curry[exp\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \otimes exp\ (dom\ u)\ (cod\ u),\ dom\ u,\ cod\ t]$
 $(eval\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \cdot$
 $(exp\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \otimes eval\ (dom\ u)\ (cod\ u)) \cdot$
 $a[exp\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t),\ exp\ (dom\ u)\ (cod\ u),\ dom\ u]) \cdot$
 $(t^\uparrow \otimes u^\uparrow)) \cdot \iota^{-1}$

unfolding *Comp-def*

using *assms comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have $\dots =$

$(Curry[\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I},\ dom\ u,\ cod\ t]$
 $((eval\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \cdot$
 $(exp\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \otimes eval\ (dom\ u)\ (cod\ u)) \cdot$
 $a[exp\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t),\ exp\ (dom\ u)\ (cod\ u),\ dom\ u]) \cdot$
 $((t^\uparrow \otimes u^\uparrow) \otimes dom\ u))) \cdot \iota^{-1}$

using *assms*

comp-Curry-arr

$[of\ dom\ u\ t^\uparrow \otimes u^\uparrow$

$\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I}\ exp\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \otimes exp\ (dom\ u)\ (cod\ u)$

$eval\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \cdot$

$(exp\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \otimes eval\ (dom\ u)\ (cod\ u)) \cdot$

$a[exp\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t),\ exp\ (dom\ u)\ (cod\ u),\ dom\ u]$

$cod\ t]$

by *fastforce*

also have $\dots =$

$Curry[\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I},\ dom\ u,\ cod\ t]$
 $(eval\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \cdot$
 $((exp\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \otimes eval\ (dom\ u)\ (cod\ u)) \cdot$
 $(t^\uparrow \otimes u^\uparrow \otimes dom\ u)) \cdot a[\mathcal{I},\ \mathcal{I},\ dom\ u]) \cdot \iota^{-1}$

using *assms assoc-naturality* $[of\ t^\uparrow\ u^\uparrow\ dom\ u]$ *comp-assoc* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots =$

$Curry[\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I},\ dom\ u,\ cod\ t]$
 $(eval\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \cdot$
 $(exp\ (cod\ u)\ (cod\ t) \cdot t^\uparrow \otimes Uncurry[dom\ u,\ cod\ u]\ (u^\uparrow)) \cdot$

```

      a[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u] ·  $\iota^{-1}$ 
    using assms comp-cod-arr UP-DN interchange by auto
  also have ... =
    Curry[ $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I}$ , dom u, cod t]
      (eval (cod u) (cod t) ·
        (exp (cod u) (cod t) ·  $t^\dagger \otimes u \cdot l[dom u]$ ) ·
        a[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u] ·  $\iota^{-1}$ )
    using assms Uncurry-Curry UP-def by auto
  also have ... =
    Curry[ $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I}$ , dom u, cod t]
      (eval (cod u) (cod t) ·
        ( $t^\dagger \otimes u \cdot l[dom u]$ ) · a[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u] ·  $\iota^{-1}$ )
    using assms comp-cod-arr by auto
  also have ... =
    Curry[ $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I}$ , dom u, cod t]
      (eval (cod u) (cod t) ·
        (( $t^\dagger \otimes cod u$ ) · ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes u \cdot l[dom u]$ )) ·
        a[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u] ·  $\iota^{-1}$ )
    using assms comp-arr-dom [of  $t^\dagger \mathcal{I}$ ] comp-cod-arr [of  $u \cdot l[dom u]$  cod u]
      interchange [of  $t^\dagger \mathcal{I}$  cod u  $u \cdot l[dom u]$ ]
    by auto
  also have ... =
    Curry[ $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u, cod t]
      ((eval (cod u) (cod t) ·
        (( $t^\dagger \otimes cod u$ ) · ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes u \cdot l[dom u]$ )) ·
        a[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u] · ( $\iota^{-1} \otimes dom u$ ))
  proof -
    have « $\iota^{-1} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I}$ »
      using inv-in-hom unit-is-iso by blast
    thus ?thesis
      using assms comp-Curry-arr by fastforce
  qed
  also have ... =
    Curry[ $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u, cod t]
      ((Uncurry[cod u, cod t] ( $t^\dagger$ )) · ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes u \cdot l[dom u]$ ) ·
        a[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u] · ( $\iota^{-1} \otimes dom u$ ))
    using comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... = Curry[ $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u, cod t] (Uncurry[cod u, cod t] ( $t^\dagger$ ) · ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes u$ ))
  proof -
    have ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes u \cdot l[dom u]$ ) · a[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u] · ( $\iota^{-1} \otimes dom u$ ) =
      (( $\mathcal{I} \otimes u$ ) · ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes l[dom u]$ )) · a[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u] · ( $\iota^{-1} \otimes dom u$ )
      using assms by auto
    also have ... = ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes u$ ) · ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes l[dom u]$ ) · a[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u] · ( $\iota^{-1} \otimes dom u$ )
      using comp-assoc by simp
    also have ... = ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes u$ ) · ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes l[dom u]$ ) · ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes l^{-1}[dom u]$ )
  proof -
    have a[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u] · ( $\iota^{-1} \otimes dom u$ ) =  $\mathcal{I} \otimes l^{-1}[dom u]$ 
  proof -
    have a[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u] · ( $\iota^{-1} \otimes dom u$ ) =

```

```

      inv ((ι ⊗ dom u) · a-1[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u])
    using assms inv-inv inv-comp [of a-1[ $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ , dom u] ι ⊗ dom u]
      inv-tensor [of ι dom u]
    by (metis ide-dom ide-is-iso ide-unity inv-ide iso-assoc iso-inv-iso
      iso-is-arr lunit-char(2) seqE tensor-preserves-iso triangle
      unit-is-iso unitor-coincidence(2))
    also have ... = inv (I ⊗ l[dom u])
      using assms lunit-char [of dom u] by auto
    also have ... = I ⊗ l-1[dom u]
      using assms inv-tensor by auto
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = (I ⊗ u) · (I ⊗ dom u)
  using assms
  by (metis comp-ide-self comp-lunit-lunit'(1) dom-comp ideD(1)
    ide-dom ide-unity interchange)
also have ... = I ⊗ u
  using assms by blast
finally have (I ⊗ u · l[dom u]) · a[I, I, dom u] · (ι-1 ⊗ dom u) = I ⊗ u
  by blast
thus ?thesis by argo
qed
also have ... = Curry[I, dom u, cod t] ((t · l[cod u]) · (I ⊗ u))
  using assms Uncurry-Curry UP-def by auto
also have ... = Curry[I, dom u, cod t] (t · u · l[dom u])
  using assms comp-assoc lunit-naturality by auto
also have ... = (t · u)↑
  using assms comp-assoc UP-def by simp
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
end

```

2.2 Underlying Category, Functor, and Natural Transformation

2.2.1 Underlying Category

The underlying category (*cf.* [1] Section 1.3) of an enriched category has as its arrows from a to b the arrows $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{Hom } a \ b$ of M (*i.e.* the points of $\text{Hom } a \ b$). The identity at a is $\text{Id } a$. The composition of arrows f and g is given by the formula: $\text{Comp } a \ b \ c \cdot (g \otimes f) \cdot \iota^{-1}$.

```

locale underlying-category =
  M: monoidal-category +
  A: enriched-category
begin

```

```

sublocale concrete-category Obj  $\langle \lambda a\ b.\ M.hom\ \mathcal{I}\ (Hom\ a\ b) \rangle \langle Id \rangle$ 
   $\langle \lambda c\ b\ a\ g\ f.\ Comp\ a\ b\ c \cdot (g \otimes f) \cdot \iota^{-1} \rangle$ 
proof
  show  $\bigwedge a.\ a \in Obj \implies Id\ a \in M.hom\ \mathcal{I}\ (Hom\ a\ a)$ 
    using A.Id-in-hom by blast
  show  $1: \bigwedge a\ b\ c\ f\ g.$ 
     $\llbracket a \in Obj; b \in Obj; c \in Obj;$ 
     $f \in M.hom\ \mathcal{I}\ (Hom\ a\ b); g \in M.hom\ \mathcal{I}\ (Hom\ b\ c) \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies Comp\ a\ b\ c \cdot (g \otimes f) \cdot \iota^{-1} \in M.hom\ \mathcal{I}\ (Hom\ a\ c)$ 
  using A.Comp-in-hom M.inv-in-hom M.unit-is-iso M.comp-in-homI
    M.unit-in-hom
  apply auto[1]
  apply (intro M.comp-in-homI)
  by auto
  show  $\bigwedge a\ b\ f.\ \llbracket a \in Obj; b \in Obj; f \in M.hom\ \mathcal{I}\ (Hom\ a\ b) \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies Comp\ a\ a\ b \cdot (f \otimes Id\ a) \cdot \iota^{-1} = f$ 
proof –
  fix a b f
  assume a: a  $\in Obj$  and b: b  $\in Obj$  and f: f  $\in M.hom\ \mathcal{I}\ (Hom\ a\ b)$ 
  show  $Comp\ a\ a\ b \cdot (f \otimes Id\ a) \cdot \iota^{-1} = f$ 
proof –
  have  $Comp\ a\ a\ b \cdot (f \otimes Id\ a) \cdot \iota^{-1} = (Comp\ a\ a\ b \cdot (f \otimes Id\ a)) \cdot \iota^{-1}$ 
    using M.comp-assoc by simp
  also have  $\dots = (Comp\ a\ a\ b \cdot (Hom\ a\ b \otimes Id\ a) \cdot (f \otimes \mathcal{I})) \cdot \iota^{-1}$ 
    using a f M.comp-arr-dom M.comp-cod-arr A.Id-in-hom
    M.in-homE M.interchange mem-Collect-eq
  by metis
  also have  $\dots = (r[Hom\ a\ b] \cdot (f \otimes \mathcal{I})) \cdot \iota^{-1}$ 
    using a b f A.Comp-Hom-Id M.comp-assoc by metis
  also have  $\dots = (f \cdot r[\mathcal{I}]) \cdot \iota^{-1}$ 
    using f M.runit-naturality by fastforce
  also have  $\dots = f \cdot \iota \cdot \iota^{-1}$ 
    by (simp add: M.unitor-coincidence(2) M.comp-assoc)
  also have  $\dots = f$ 
    using f M.comp-arr-dom M.comp-arr-inv' M.unit-is-iso by auto
  finally show  $Comp\ a\ a\ b \cdot (f \otimes Id\ a) \cdot \iota^{-1} = f$  by blast
qed
qed
show  $\bigwedge a\ b\ f.\ \llbracket a \in Obj; b \in Obj; f \in M.hom\ \mathcal{I}\ (Hom\ a\ b) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies Comp\ a\ b\ b \cdot (Id\ b \otimes f) \cdot \iota^{-1} = f$ 
proof –
  fix a b f
  assume a: a  $\in Obj$  and b: b  $\in Obj$  and f: f  $\in M.hom\ \mathcal{I}\ (Hom\ a\ b)$ 
  show  $Comp\ a\ b\ b \cdot (Id\ b \otimes f) \cdot \iota^{-1} = f$ 
proof –
  have  $Comp\ a\ b\ b \cdot (Id\ b \otimes f) \cdot \iota^{-1} = (Comp\ a\ b\ b \cdot (Id\ b \otimes f)) \cdot \iota^{-1}$ 
    using M.comp-assoc by simp
  also have  $\dots = (Comp\ a\ b\ b \cdot (Id\ b \otimes Hom\ a\ b) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes f)) \cdot \iota^{-1}$ 

```

```

    using a b f M.comp-arr-dom M.comp-cod-arr A.Id-in-hom
      M.in-homE M.interchange mem-Collect-eq
    by metis
  also have ... = (l[Hom a b] · (I ⊗ f)) · ι-1
    using a b A.Comp-Id-Hom M.comp-assoc by metis
  also have ... = (f · l[I]) · ι-1
    using a b f M.lunit-naturality [of f] by auto
  also have ... = f · ι · ι-1
    by (simp add: M.unitor-coincidence(1) M.comp-assoc)
  also have ... = f
    using M.comp-arr-dom M.comp-arr-inv' M.unit-is-iso f by auto
  finally show Comp a b b · (Id b ⊗ f) · ι-1 = f by blast
qed
qed
show ∧ a b c d f g h.
  [a ∈ Obj; b ∈ Obj; c ∈ Obj; d ∈ Obj;
   f ∈ M.hom I (Hom a b); g ∈ M.hom I (Hom b c);
   h ∈ M.hom I (Hom c d)]
  ⇒ Comp a c d · (h ⊗ Comp a b c · (g ⊗ f) · ι-1) · ι-1 =
    Comp a b d · (Comp b c d · (h ⊗ g) · ι-1 ⊗ f) · ι-1
proof -
  fix a b c d f g h
  assume a: a ∈ Obj and b: b ∈ Obj and c: c ∈ Obj and d: d ∈ Obj
  assume f: f ∈ M.hom I (Hom a b) and g: g ∈ M.hom I (Hom b c)
  and h: h ∈ M.hom I (Hom c d)
  have Comp a c d · (h ⊗ Comp a b c · (g ⊗ f) · ι-1) · ι-1 =
    Comp a c d ·
      ((Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c) · (h ⊗ (g ⊗ f) · ι-1)) · ι-1
  using a b c d f g h 1 M.interchange A.ide-Hom M.comp-ide-arr M.comp-cod-arr
    M.in-homE mem-Collect-eq
  by metis
  also have ... = Comp a c d ·
    ((Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c) ·
      (a[Hom c d, Hom b c, Hom a b] ·
        a-1[Hom c d, Hom b c, Hom a b])) ·
      (h ⊗ (g ⊗ f) · ι-1) · ι-1
proof -
  have (Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c) ·
    (a[Hom c d, Hom b c, Hom a b] ·
      a-1[Hom c d, Hom b c, Hom a b]) =
    Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c
  using a b c d
  by (metis A.Comp-in-hom A.ide-Hom M.comp-arr-ide
    M.comp-assoc-assoc'(1) M.ide-in-hom M.interchange M.seqI'
    M.tensor-preserves-ide)
  thus ?thesis
    using M.comp-assoc by force
qed
also have ... = (Comp a c d · (Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c) ·

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& a[Hom\ c\ d,\ Hom\ b\ c,\ Hom\ a\ b]) \cdot \\
& (a^{-1}[Hom\ c\ d,\ Hom\ b\ c,\ Hom\ a\ b] \cdot \\
& (h \otimes (g \otimes f) \cdot \iota^{-1})) \cdot \\
& \iota^{-1} \\
& \text{using } M.comp\text{-}assoc \text{ by } auto \\
\text{also have } \dots &= (Comp\ a\ b\ d \cdot (Comp\ b\ c\ d \otimes Hom\ a\ b)) \cdot \\
& (a^{-1}[Hom\ c\ d,\ Hom\ b\ c,\ Hom\ a\ b] \cdot (h \otimes (g \otimes f) \cdot \iota^{-1})) \cdot \iota^{-1} \\
& \text{using } a\ b\ c\ d\ A.Comp\text{-}assoc \text{ by } auto \\
\text{also have } \dots &= (Comp\ a\ b\ d \cdot (Comp\ b\ c\ d \otimes Hom\ a\ b)) \cdot \\
& (a^{-1}[Hom\ c\ d,\ Hom\ b\ c,\ Hom\ a\ b] \cdot (h \otimes (g \otimes f))) \cdot \\
& (\mathcal{I} \otimes \iota^{-1}) \cdot \iota^{-1} \\
\text{proof } - & \\
& \text{have } h \otimes (g \otimes f) \cdot \iota^{-1} = (h \otimes (g \otimes f)) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \iota^{-1}) \\
& \text{proof } - \\
& \text{have } M.seq\ h\ \mathcal{I} \\
& \text{using } h \text{ by } auto \\
& \text{moreover have } M.seq\ (g \otimes f)\ \iota^{-1} \\
& \text{using } f\ g\ M.inv\text{-}in\text{-}hom\ M.unit\text{-}is\text{-}iso \text{ by } blast \\
& \text{ultimately show } ?thesis \\
& \text{using } a\ b\ c\ d\ f\ g\ h\ M.interchange\ M.comp\text{-}arr\text{-}ide\ M.ide\text{-}unity \text{ by } metis \\
& \text{qed} \\
& \text{thus } ?thesis \\
& \text{using } M.comp\text{-}assoc \text{ by } simp \\
& \text{qed} \\
\text{also have } \dots &= (Comp\ a\ b\ d \cdot (Comp\ b\ c\ d \otimes Hom\ a\ b)) \cdot \\
& ((h \otimes g) \otimes f) \cdot a^{-1}[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}] \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \iota^{-1}) \cdot \iota^{-1} \\
& \text{using } f\ g\ h\ M.assoc'\text{-}naturality \\
& \text{by } (metis\ M.comp\text{-}assoc\ M.in\text{-}homE\ mem\text{-}Collect\text{-}eq) \\
\text{also have } \dots &= (Comp\ a\ b\ d \cdot (Comp\ b\ c\ d \otimes Hom\ a\ b)) \cdot \\
& (((h \otimes g) \otimes f) \cdot (\iota^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{I})) \cdot \iota^{-1} \\
\text{proof } - & \\
& \text{have } a^{-1}[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}] \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \iota^{-1}) \cdot \iota^{-1} = (\iota^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{I}) \cdot \iota^{-1} \\
& \text{using } M.unitor\text{-}coincidence \\
& \text{by } (metis\ (full\text{-}types)\ M.L.preserves\text{-}inv\ M.L.preserves\text{-}iso \\
& \quad M.R.preserves\text{-}inv\ M.arrI\ M.arr\text{-}tensor\ M.comp\text{-}assoc\ M.ideD(1) \\
& \quad M.ide\text{-}unity\ M.inv\text{-}comp\ M.iso\text{-}assoc\ M.unit\text{-}in\text{-}hom\text{-}ax \\
& \quad M.unit\text{-}is\text{-}iso\ M.unit\text{-}triangle(1)) \\
& \text{thus } ?thesis \\
& \text{using } M.comp\text{-}assoc \text{ by } simp \\
& \text{qed} \\
\text{also have } \dots &= Comp\ a\ b\ d \cdot \\
& ((Comp\ b\ c\ d \otimes Hom\ a\ b) \cdot ((h \otimes g) \cdot \iota^{-1} \otimes f)) \cdot \iota^{-1} \\
\text{proof } - & \\
& \text{have } ((h \otimes g) \otimes f) \cdot (\iota^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{I}) = (h \otimes g) \cdot \iota^{-1} \otimes f \\
& \text{proof } - \\
& \text{have } M.seq\ (h \otimes g)\ \iota^{-1} \\
& \text{using } g\ h\ M.inv\text{-}in\text{-}hom\ M.unit\text{-}is\text{-}iso \text{ by } blast \\
& \text{moreover have } M.seq\ f\ \mathcal{I} \\
& \text{using } M.ide\text{-}in\text{-}hom\ M.ide\text{-}unity\ f \text{ by } blast
\end{aligned}$$

```

ultimately show ?thesis
  using f g h M.interchange M.comp-arr-ide M.ide-unity by metis
qed
thus ?thesis
  using M.comp-assoc by auto
qed
also have ... = Comp a b d · (Comp b c d · (h ⊗ g) · ι-1 ⊗ f) · ι-1
  using b c d f g h 1 M.in-homE mem-Collect-eq M.comp-cod-arr
    M.interchange A.ide-Hom M.comp-ide-arr
  by metis
finally show Comp a c d · (h ⊗ Comp a b c · (g ⊗ f) · ι-1) · ι-1 =
  Comp a b d · (Comp b c d · (h ⊗ g) · ι-1 ⊗ f) · ι-1
  by blast
qed
qed

abbreviation comp (infixr ⟨·⟩ 55)
where comp ≡ COMP

lemma hom-char:
  assumes a ∈ Obj and b ∈ Obj
  shows hom (MkIde a) (MkIde b) = MkArr a b ‘ M.hom I (Hom a b)
proof
  show hom (MkIde a) (MkIde b) ⊆ MkArr a b ‘ M.hom I (Hom a b)
  proof
    fix t
    assume t: t ∈ hom (MkIde a) (MkIde b)
    have t = MkArr a b (Map t)
      using t MkArr-Map dom-char cod-char by fastforce
    moreover have Map t ∈ M.hom I (Hom a b)
      using t arr-char dom-char cod-char by fastforce
    ultimately show t ∈ MkArr a b ‘ M.hom I (Hom a b) by simp
  qed
  show MkArr a b ‘ M.hom I (Hom a b) ⊆ hom (MkIde a) (MkIde b)
    using assms MkArr-in-hom by blast
qed

end

```

2.2.2 Underlying Functor

The underlying functor of an enriched functor $F : A \longrightarrow B$ takes an arrow $\langle f : a \rightarrow a' \rangle$ of the underlying category A_0 (i.e. an arrow $\langle \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{Hom } a \ a' \rangle$ of M) to the arrow $\langle F_a \ a \ a' \cdot f : F_o \ a \rightarrow F_o \ a' \rangle$ of B_0 (i.e. the arrow $\langle F_a \ a \ a' \cdot f : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{Hom } (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ a') \rangle$ of M).

```

locale underlying-functor =
  enriched-functor
begin

```

sublocale A_0 : *underlying-category* $C \ T \ \alpha \ \iota \ \text{Obj}_A \ \text{Hom}_A \ \text{Id}_A \ \text{Comp}_A \ ..$
sublocale B_0 : *underlying-category* $C \ T \ \alpha \ \iota \ \text{Obj}_B \ \text{Hom}_B \ \text{Id}_B \ \text{Comp}_B \ ..$

notation $A_0.\text{comp}$ (**infixr** $\langle \cdot_{A_0} \rangle$ 55)

notation $B_0.\text{comp}$ (**infixr** $\langle \cdot_{B_0} \rangle$ 55)

definition map_0

where $\text{map}_0 \ f =$ (if $A_0.\text{arr} \ f$
 then $B_0.\text{MkArr} \ (F_o \ (A_0.\text{Dom} \ f)) \ (F_o \ (A_0.\text{Cod} \ f))$
 $(F_a \ (A_0.\text{Dom} \ f) \ (A_0.\text{Cod} \ f) \cdot A_0.\text{Map} \ f)$
 else $B_0.\text{null}$)

sublocale *functor* $A_0.\text{comp} \ B_0.\text{comp} \ \text{map}_0$

proof

fix f

show $\neg A_0.\text{arr} \ f \implies \text{map}_0 \ f = B_0.\text{null}$

using $\text{map}_0\text{-def}$ **by** simp

show $1: \bigwedge f. A_0.\text{arr} \ f \implies B_0.\text{arr} \ (\text{map}_0 \ f)$

proof –

fix f

assume $f: A_0.\text{arr} \ f$

have $B_0.\text{arr} \ (B_0.\text{MkArr} \ (F_o \ (A_0.\text{Dom} \ f)) \ (F_o \ (A_0.\text{Cod} \ f))$
 $(F_a \ (A_0.\text{Dom} \ f) \ (A_0.\text{Cod} \ f) \cdot A_0.\text{Map} \ f))$

using $f \text{ preserves-Hom} \ A_0.\text{Dom-in-Obj} \ A_0.\text{Cod-in-Obj} \ A_0.\text{arrE}$

by ($\text{metis} \ (\text{mono-tags}, \text{lifting}) \ B_0.\text{arr-MkArr} \ \text{comp-in-homI}$
 $\text{mem-Collect-eq} \ \text{preserves-Obj}$)

thus $B_0.\text{arr} \ (\text{map}_0 \ f)$

using $f \ \text{map}_0\text{-def}$ **by** simp

qed

show $A_0.\text{arr} \ f \implies B_0.\text{dom} \ (\text{map}_0 \ f) = \text{map}_0 \ (A_0.\text{dom} \ f)$

using $1 \ A_0.\text{dom-char} \ B_0.\text{dom-char} \ \text{preserves-Id} \ A_0.\text{arr-dom-iff-arr}$
 $\text{map}_0\text{-def} \ A_0.\text{Dom-in-Obj}$

by auto

show $A_0.\text{arr} \ f \implies B_0.\text{cod} \ (\text{map}_0 \ f) = \text{map}_0 \ (A_0.\text{cod} \ f)$

using $1 \ A_0.\text{cod-char} \ B_0.\text{cod-char} \ \text{preserves-Id} \ A_0.\text{arr-cod-iff-arr}$
 $\text{map}_0\text{-def} \ A_0.\text{Cod-in-Obj}$

by auto

fix g

assume $fg: A_0.\text{seq} \ g \ f$

show $\text{map}_0 \ (g \cdot_{A_0} \ f) = \text{map}_0 \ g \cdot_{B_0} \ \text{map}_0 \ f$

proof –

have $B_0.\text{MkArr} \ (F_o \ (A_0.\text{Dom} \ (g \cdot_{A_0} \ f))) \ (F_o \ (B_0.\text{Cod} \ (g \cdot_{A_0} \ f)))$
 $(F_a \ (A_0.\text{Dom} \ (g \cdot_{A_0} \ f))$
 $(B_0.\text{Cod} \ (g \cdot_{A_0} \ f)) \cdot B_0.\text{Map} \ (g \cdot_{A_0} \ f)) =$
 $B_0.\text{MkArr} \ (F_o \ (A_0.\text{Dom} \ g)) \ (F_o \ (B_0.\text{Cod} \ g))$
 $(F_a \ (A_0.\text{Dom} \ g) \ (B_0.\text{Cod} \ g) \cdot B_0.\text{Map} \ g) \cdot_{B_0}$
 $B_0.\text{MkArr} \ (F_o \ (A_0.\text{Dom} \ f)) \ (F_o \ (B_0.\text{Cod} \ f))$
 $(F_a \ (A_0.\text{Dom} \ f) \ (B_0.\text{Cod} \ f) \cdot B_0.\text{Map} \ f)$

proof –

have 2: $B_0.\text{arr} (B_0.\text{MkArr} (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} f)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} g)))$
 $(F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} f) (A_0.\text{Cod} f) \cdot A_0.\text{Map} f))$
using *fg 1 A₀.seq-char map₀-def* **by** *auto*
have 3: $B_0.\text{arr} (B_0.\text{MkArr} (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} g)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Cod} g)))$
 $(F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} g) (A_0.\text{Cod} g) \cdot A_0.\text{Map} g))$
using *fg 1 A₀.seq-char map₀-def* **by** *metis*
have $B_0.\text{MkArr} (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} g)) (F_o (B_0.\text{Cod} g))$
 $(F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} g) (B_0.\text{Cod} g) \cdot B_0.\text{Map} g) \cdot_{B_0}$
 $B_0.\text{MkArr} (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} f)) (F_o (B_0.\text{Cod} f))$
 $(F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} f) (B_0.\text{Cod} f) \cdot B_0.\text{Map} f) =$
 $B_0.\text{MkArr} (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} f)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Cod} g))$
 $(\text{Comp}_B (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} f)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} g)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Cod} g)) \cdot$
 $(F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} g) (A_0.\text{Cod} g) \cdot A_0.\text{Map} g \otimes$
 $F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} f) (A_0.\text{Cod} f) \cdot A_0.\text{Map} f) \cdot$
 $\iota^{-1})$
using *fg 2 3 A₀.seq-char B₀.comp-MkArr* **by** *simp*
moreover
have $\text{Comp}_B (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} f)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} g)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Cod} g)) \cdot$
 $(F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} g) (A_0.\text{Cod} g) \cdot A_0.\text{Map} g \otimes$
 $F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} f) (A_0.\text{Cod} f) \cdot A_0.\text{Map} f) \cdot \iota^{-1} =$
 $F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} (g \cdot_{A_0} f)) (B_0.\text{Cod} (g \cdot_{A_0} f)) \cdot B_0.\text{Map} (g \cdot_{A_0} f)$
proof –
have $\text{Comp}_B (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} f)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} g)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Cod} g)) \cdot$
 $(F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} g) (A_0.\text{Cod} g) \cdot A_0.\text{Map} g \otimes$
 $F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} f) (A_0.\text{Cod} f) \cdot A_0.\text{Map} f) \cdot \iota^{-1} =$
 $\text{Comp}_B (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} f)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} g)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Cod} g)) \cdot$
 $((F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} g) (A_0.\text{Cod} g) \otimes F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} f) (A_0.\text{Cod} f)) \cdot$
 $(A_0.\text{Map} g \otimes A_0.\text{Map} f)) \cdot \iota^{-1}$
using *fg preserves-Hom*
 $\text{interchange [of } F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} g) (A_0.\text{Cod} g) A_0.\text{Map} g$
 $F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} f) (A_0.\text{Cod} f) A_0.\text{Map} f]$
by (*metis A₀.arrE A₀.seqE seqI' mem-Collect-eq*)
also have ... =
 $(\text{Comp}_B (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} f)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom} g)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Cod} g)) \cdot$
 $(F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} g) (A_0.\text{Cod} g) \otimes F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} f) (A_0.\text{Cod} f))) \cdot$
 $(A_0.\text{Map} g \otimes A_0.\text{Map} f) \cdot \iota^{-1}$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *auto*
also have ... = $(F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} f) (B_0.\text{Cod} g) \cdot$
 $\text{Comp}_A (A_0.\text{Dom} f) (A_0.\text{Dom} g) (B_0.\text{Cod} g)) \cdot$
 $(A_0.\text{Map} g \otimes A_0.\text{Map} f) \cdot \iota^{-1}$
using *fg A₀.seq-char preserves-Comp A₀.Dom-in-Obj A₀.Cod-in-Obj*
by *auto*
also have ... = $F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} (g \cdot_{A_0} f)) (B_0.\text{Cod} (g \cdot_{A_0} f)) \cdot$
 $\text{Comp}_A (A_0.\text{Dom} f) (A_0.\text{Dom} g) (B_0.\text{Cod} g) \cdot$
 $(A_0.\text{Map} g \otimes A_0.\text{Map} f) \cdot \iota^{-1}$
using *fg comp-assoc A₀.seq-char* **by** *simp*
also have ... = $F_a (A_0.\text{Dom} (g \cdot_{A_0} f)) (B_0.\text{Cod} (g \cdot_{A_0} f)) \cdot$
 $B_0.\text{Map} (g \cdot_{A_0} f)$
using *A₀.Map-comp A₀.seq-char fg* **by** *presburger*

```

      finally show ?thesis by blast
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using A0.seq-char fg by auto
    qed
    thus ?thesis
      using fg map0-def B0.comp-MkArr by auto
    qed
  qed

  proposition is-functor:
  shows functor A0.comp B0.comp map0
  ..

end

```

2.2.3 Underlying Natural Transformation

The natural transformation underlying an enriched natural transformation τ has components that are essentially those of τ , except that we have to bother ourselves about coercions between types.

```

locale underlying-natural-transformation =
  enriched-natural-transformation
begin

  sublocale A0: underlying-category C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$  ObjA HomA IdA CompA ..
  sublocale B0: underlying-category C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$  ObjB HomB IdB CompB ..
  sublocale F0: underlying-functor C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$ 
    ObjA HomA IdA CompA ObjB HomB IdB CompB Fo Fa ..
  sublocale G0: underlying-functor C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$ 
    ObjA HomA IdA CompA ObjB HomB IdB CompB Go Ga ..

  definition mapobj
  where mapobj a  $\equiv$ 
    B0.MkArr (B0.Dom (F0.map0 a)) (B0.Dom (G0.map0 a))
    ( $\tau$  (A0.Dom a))

  sublocale  $\tau$ : NaturalTransformation.transformation-by-components
    A0.comp B0.comp F0.map0 G0.map0 mapobj

  proof
    show  $\bigwedge a. A_0.\text{ide } a \implies B_0.\text{in-hom } (\text{map}_{obj} a) (F_0.\text{map}_0 a) (G_0.\text{map}_0 a)$ 
      unfolding mapobj-def
      using A0.Dom-in-Obj B0.ide-charCC F0.map0-def G0.map0-def
        F0.preserves-ide G0.preserves-ide component-in-hom
      by auto
    show  $\bigwedge f. A_0.\text{arr } f \implies$ 
      mapobj (A0.cod f)  $\cdot_{B_0}$  F0.map0 f =
      G0.map0 f  $\cdot_{B_0}$  mapobj (A0.dom f)
  proof -

```

```

fix f
assume f: A0.arr f
show mapobj (A0.cod f) ·B0 F0.map0 f =
  G0.map0 f ·B0 mapobj (A0.dom f)
proof (intro B0.arr-eqI)
  show 1: B0.seq (mapobj (A0.cod f)) (F0.map0 f)
    using A0.ide-cod
    ⟨ $\wedge$  a. A0.ide a  $\implies$ 
      B0.in-hom (mapobj a) (F0.map0 a) (G0.map0 a)⟩ f
  by blast
  show 2: B0.seq (G0.map0 f) (mapobj (A0.dom f))
    using A0.ide-dom
    ⟨ $\wedge$  a. A0.ide a  $\implies$ 
      B0.in-hom (mapobj a) (F0.map0 a) (G0.map0 a)⟩ f
  by blast
  show B0.Dom (mapobj (A0.cod f) ·B0 F0.map0 f) =
    B0.Dom (G0.map0 f ·B0 mapobj (A0.dom f))
    using f 1 2 B0.comp-char [of mapobj (A0.cod f) F0.map0 f]
      B0.comp-char [of G0.map0 f mapobj (A0.dom f)]
      F0.map0-def G0.map0-def mapobj-def
  by simp
  show B0.Cod (mapobj (A0.cod f) ·B0 F0.map0 f) =
    B0.Cod (G0.map0 f ·B0 mapobj (A0.dom f))
    using f 1 2 B0.comp-char [of mapobj (A0.cod f) F0.map0 f]
      B0.comp-char [of G0.map0 f mapobj (A0.dom f)]
      F0.map0-def G0.map0-def mapobj-def
  by simp
  show B0.Map (mapobj (A0.cod f) ·B0 F0.map0 f) =
    B0.Map (G0.map0 f ·B0 mapobj (A0.dom f))
  proof -
    have CompB (Fo (A0.Dom f)) (Fo (A0.Cod f)) (Go (A0.Cod f)) ·
      (τ (A0.Cod f) ⊗ Fa (A0.Dom f) (A0.Cod f) · A0.Map f) · ι-1 =
      CompB (Fo (A0.Dom f)) (Go (A0.Dom f)) (Go (A0.Cod f)) ·
      (Ga (A0.Dom f) (A0.Cod f) · A0.Map f ⊗ τ (A0.Dom f)) · ι-1
    proof -
      have CompB (Fo (A0.Dom f)) (Fo (A0.Cod f)) (Go (A0.Cod f)) ·
        (τ (A0.Cod f) ⊗ Fa (A0.Dom f) (A0.Cod f) · A0.Map f) · ι-1 =
        CompB (Fo (A0.Dom f)) (Fo (A0.Cod f)) (Go (A0.Cod f)) ·
        ((τ (A0.Cod f) ⊗ Fa (A0.Dom f) (A0.Cod f)) · (I ⊗ A0.Map f)) ·
          ι-1
    proof -
      have τ (A0.Cod f) ⊗ Fa (A0.Dom f) (A0.Cod f) · A0.Map f =
        (τ (A0.Cod f) ⊗ Fa (A0.Dom f) (A0.Cod f)) · (I ⊗ A0.Map f)
    proof -
      have seq (τ (A0.Cod f)) I
        using f seqI component-in-hom
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) A0.Cod-in-Obj ide-char
        ide-unity in-homE)
    moreover have seq (Fa (A0.Dom f) (B0.Cod f)) (B0.Map f)

```

using f $A_0.$ *Map-in-Hom* $A_0.$ *Cod-in-Obj* $A_0.$ *Dom-in-Obj*
 $F.$ *preserves-Hom in-homE*
by *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using f *component-in-hom interchange comp-arr-dom* **by** *auto*
qed
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Comp}_B (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom } f)) (F_o (A_0.\text{Cod } f)) (G_o (A_0.\text{Cod } f)) \cdot \\ & ((\tau (B_0.\text{Cod } f) \otimes F_a (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f)) \cdot \\ & (1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f)] \cdot \\ & \quad 1[\text{Hom}_A (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f)]) \cdot \\ & (\mathcal{I} \otimes B_0.\text{Map } f)) \cdot \iota^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

proof –
have $(1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f)] \cdot$
 $1[\text{Hom}_A (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f)]) \cdot$
 $(\mathcal{I} \otimes B_0.\text{Map } f) =$
 $\mathcal{I} \otimes B_0.\text{Map } f$
using f *comp-lunit-lunit'(2)*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) A.ide-Hom A_0.arrE comp-cod-arr*
comp-ide-self ideD(1) ide-unity interchange in-homE
mem-Collect-eq)
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Comp}_B (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom } f)) (F_o (B_0.\text{Cod } f)) (G_o (B_0.\text{Cod } f)) \cdot \\ & (\tau (B_0.\text{Cod } f) \otimes F_a (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f)) \cdot \\ & 1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f)]) \cdot \\ & 1[\text{Hom}_A (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f)] \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes B_0.\text{Map } f) \cdot \iota^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Comp}_B (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom } f)) (G_o (A_0.\text{Dom } f)) (G_o (B_0.\text{Cod } f)) \cdot \\ & (G_a (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f) \otimes \tau (A_0.\text{Dom } f)) \cdot \\ & r^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f)] \cdot \\ & (1[\text{Hom}_A (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f)] \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes B_0.\text{Map } f)) \cdot \iota^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

using f $A_0.$ *Cod-in-Obj* $A_0.$ *Dom-in-Obj* *naturality comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Comp}_B (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom } f)) (G_o (A_0.\text{Dom } f)) (G_o (B_0.\text{Cod } f)) \cdot \\ & (G_a (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f) \otimes \tau (A_0.\text{Dom } f)) \cdot \\ & r^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f)] \cdot (B_0.\text{Map } f \cdot 1[\mathcal{I}]) \cdot \iota^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

using f *lunit-naturality* $A_0.$ *Map-in-Hom* **by** *force*
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Comp}_B (F_o (A_0.\text{Dom } f)) (G_o (A_0.\text{Dom } f)) (G_o (B_0.\text{Cod } f)) \cdot \\ & (G_a (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f) \otimes \tau (A_0.\text{Dom } f)) \cdot \\ & r^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A (A_0.\text{Dom } f) (B_0.\text{Cod } f)] \cdot B_0.\text{Map } f \end{aligned}$$

proof –
have $\iota \cdot \iota^{-1} = \mathcal{I}$
using *comp-arr-inv' unit-is-iso* **by** *blast*

```

    moreover have « $B_0.Map\ f : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow Hom_A\ (A_0.Dom\ f)\ (B_0.Cod\ f)$ »
      using  $f\ A_0.Map\text{-in-Hom}$  by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using  $f\ comp\text{-arr-dom}\ unitor\text{-coincidence}(1)\ comp\text{-assoc}$  by auto
  qed
  also have ... =
     $Comp_B\ (F_o\ (A_0.Dom\ f))\ (G_o\ (A_0.Dom\ f))\ (G_o\ (B_0.Cod\ f)) \cdot$ 
     $(G_a\ (A_0.Dom\ f)\ (B_0.Cod\ f) \otimes \tau\ (A_0.Dom\ f)) \cdot$ 
     $(B_0.Map\ f \otimes \mathcal{I}) \cdot r^{-1}[\mathcal{I}]$ 
    using  $f\ runit'\text{-naturality}\ A_0.Map\text{-in-Hom}$  by force
  also have ... =
     $Comp_B\ (F_o\ (A_0.Dom\ f))\ (G_o\ (A_0.Dom\ f))\ (G_o\ (B_0.Cod\ f)) \cdot$ 
     $((G_a\ (A_0.Dom\ f)\ (B_0.Cod\ f) \otimes \tau\ (A_0.Dom\ f)) \cdot$ 
     $(B_0.Map\ f \otimes \mathcal{I})) \cdot \iota^{-1}$ 
    using  $unitor\text{-coincidence}\ comp\text{-assoc}$  by simp
  also have ... =
     $Comp_B\ (F_o\ (A_0.Dom\ f))\ (G_o\ (A_0.Dom\ f))\ (G_o\ (B_0.Cod\ f)) \cdot$ 
     $(G_a\ (A_0.Dom\ f)\ (B_0.Cod\ f) \cdot A_0.Map\ f \otimes \tau\ (A_0.Dom\ f)) \cdot \iota^{-1}$ 
  proof -
    have  $seq\ (G_a\ (A_0.Dom\ f)\ (B_0.Cod\ f))\ (B_0.Map\ f)$ 
  using  $f\ A_0.Map\text{-in-Hom}\ A_0.Cod\text{-in-Obj}\ A_0.Dom\text{-in-Obj}\ G.preserves\text{-Hom}$ 
    by fast
    moreover have  $seq\ (\tau\ (A_0.Dom\ f))\ \mathcal{I}$ 
      using  $f\ seqI\ component\text{-in-hom}$ 
      by ( $metis\ (no\text{-types},\ lifting)\ A_0.Dom\text{-in-Obj}\ ide\text{-char}\ ide\text{-unity}\ in\text{-hom}E$ )
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using  $f\ comp\text{-arr-dom}\ interchange$  by auto
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
thus ?thesis
  using  $f\ 1\ 2\ B_0.comp\text{-char}\ [of\ map_{obj}\ (A_0.cod\ f)\ F_0.map_0\ f]$ 
     $B_0.comp\text{-char}\ [of\ G_0.map_0\ f\ map_{obj}\ (A_0.dom\ f)]$ 
     $F_0.map_0\text{-def}\ G_0.map_0\text{-def}\ map_{obj}\text{-def}$ 
  by simp
qed
qed
qed
qed

proposition is-natural-transformation:
shows natural-transformation  $A_0.comp\ B_0.comp\ F_0.map_0\ G_0.map_0\ \tau.map$ 
..

end

```


2.2.4 Self-Enriched Case

Here we show that a closed monoidal category C , regarded as a category enriched in itself, it is isomorphic to its own underlying category. This is useful, because it is somewhat less cumbersome to work directly in the category C than in the higher-type version that results from the underlying category construction. Kelly often regards these two categories as identical.

```

locale self-enriched-category =
  elementary-closed-monoidal-category +
  enriched-category C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$   $\langle$ Collect ide $\rangle$  exp Id Comp
begin

  sublocale UC: underlying-category C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$   $\langle$ Collect ide $\rangle$  exp Id Comp ..

  abbreviation toUC
  where toUC g  $\equiv$  if arr g
    then UC.MkArr (dom g) (cod g) (g $^\dagger$ )
    else UC.null

  lemma toUC-simps [simp]:
  assumes arr f
  shows UC.arr (toUC f)
  and UC.dom (toUC f) = toUC (dom f)
  and UC.cod (toUC f) = toUC (cod f)
    using assms UC.arr-char UC.dom-char UC.cod-char UP-def
    comp-cod-arr Id-def
  by auto

  lemma toUC-in-hom [intro]:
  assumes arr f
  shows UC.in-hom (toUC f) (UC.MkIde (dom f)) (UC.MkIde (cod f))
    using assms toUC-simps by fastforce

  sublocale toUC: functor C UC.comp toUC
    using toUC-simps UP-comp UC.COMP-def
    by unfold-locales auto

  abbreviation frmUC
  where frmUC g  $\equiv$  if UC.arr g
    then (UC.Map g) $^\dagger$ [UC.Dom g, UC.Cod g]
    else null

  lemma frmUC-simps [simp]:
  assumes UC.arr f
  shows arr (frmUC f)
  and dom (frmUC f) = frmUC (UC.dom f)
  and cod (frmUC f) = frmUC (UC.cod f)
    using assms UC.arr-char UC.dom-char UC.cod-char Uncurry-Curry
    Id-def lunit-in-hom DN-def

```

by auto

lemma *frmUC-in-hom* [intro]:
assumes *UC.in-hom f a b*
shows «*frmUC f : frmUC a → frmUC b*»
using *assms frmUC-simps* **by** *blast*

lemma *DN-Map-comp*:
assumes *UC.seq g f*
shows $(UC.Map (UC.comp g f))^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod g] =$
 $(UC.Map g)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom g, UC.Cod g] \cdot$
 $(UC.Map f)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod f]$
proof –
have $(UC.Map (UC.comp g f))^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod g] =$
 $((UC.Map (UC.comp g f))^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod g])^{\uparrow}$
 $\downarrow[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod g]$
using *assms UC.arr-char UC.seq-char [of g f]* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = ((UC.Map g)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom g, UC.Cod g] \cdot$
 $(UC.Map f)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod f])^{\uparrow}$
 $\downarrow[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod g]$
proof –
have $((UC.Map (UC.comp g f))^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod g])^{\uparrow} =$
 $UC.Map (UC.comp g f)$
using *assms UC.arr-char UC.seq-char [of g f]* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = Comp (UC.Dom f) (UC.Dom g) (UC.Cod g) \cdot$
 $(UC.Map g \otimes UC.Map f) \cdot \iota^{-1}$
using *assms UC.Map-comp UC.seq-char* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = Comp (UC.Dom f) (UC.Dom g) (UC.Cod g) \cdot$
 $((UC.Map g)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom g, UC.Cod g])^{\uparrow} \otimes$
 $((UC.Map f)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod f])^{\uparrow} \cdot \iota^{-1}$
using *assms UC.seq-char UC.arr-char* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = ((UC.Map g)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom g, UC.Cod g] \cdot$
 $(UC.Map f)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod f])^{\uparrow}$
proof –
have $dom ((UC.Map f)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod f]) = UC.Dom f$
using *assms DN-Id UC.Dom-in-Obj frmUC-simps(2)* **by** *auto*
moreover have $cod ((UC.Map f)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod f]) = UC.Cod f$
using *assms DN-Id UC.Cod-in-Obj frmUC-simps(3)* **by** *auto*
moreover have $seq ((UC.Map g)^{\downarrow}[UC.Cod f, UC.Cod g])$
 $((UC.Map f)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom f, UC.Cod f])$
using *assms frmUC-simps(1–3) UC.seq-char*
apply (*intro seqI*)
apply *auto[3]*
by *metis+*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *assms UP-comp UP-DN(2) UC.arr-char UC.seq-char*
in-homE seqI
by *auto*
qed

finally show *?thesis* by simp
 qed
 also have ... = $(UC.Map\ g)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom\ g,\ UC.Cod\ g] \cdot$
 $(UC.Map\ f)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom\ f,\ UC.Cod\ f]$
 proof –
 have 2: seq $((UC.Map\ g)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom\ g,\ UC.Cod\ g])$
 $((UC.Map\ f)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom\ f,\ UC.Cod\ f])$
 using assms frmUC-simps(1-3) UC.seq-char
 apply (elim UC.seqE, intro seqI)
 apply auto[3]
 by metis+
 moreover have dom $((UC.Map\ g)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom\ g,\ UC.Cod\ g] \cdot$
 $(UC.Map\ f)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom\ f,\ UC.Cod\ f]) =$
 $UC.Dom\ f$
 using assms 2 UC.Dom-comp UC.arr-char [of f] by auto
 moreover have cod $((UC.Map\ g)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom\ g,\ UC.Cod\ g] \cdot$
 $(UC.Map\ f)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom\ f,\ UC.Cod\ f]) =$
 $UC.Cod\ g$
 using assms 2 UC.Cod-comp UC.arr-char [of g] by auto
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 using assms
 $UP-DN(3)$ [of $(UC.Map\ g)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom\ g,\ UC.Cod\ g] \cdot$
 $(UC.Map\ f)^{\downarrow}[UC.Dom\ f,\ UC.Cod\ f]$]
 by simp
 qed
 finally show *?thesis* by blast
 qed

sublocale frmUC: functor UC.comp C frmUC
 proof
 show $\bigwedge f. \neg UC.arr\ f \implies frmUC\ f = null$
 by simp
 show $\bigwedge f. UC.arr\ f \implies arr\ (frmUC\ f)$
 using UC.arr-char frmUC-simps(1) by blast
 show $\bigwedge f. UC.arr\ f \implies dom\ (frmUC\ f) = frmUC\ (UC.dom\ f)$
 using frmUC-simps(2) by blast
 show $\bigwedge f. UC.arr\ f \implies cod\ (frmUC\ f) = frmUC\ (UC.cod\ f)$
 using frmUC-simps(3) by blast
 fix f g
 assume fg: UC.seq g f
 show $frmUC\ (UC.comp\ g\ f) = frmUC\ g \cdot frmUC\ f$
 using fg UC.seq-char DN-Map-comp by auto
 qed

sublocale inverse-functors UC.comp C toUC frmUC
 proof
 show $frmUC \circ toUC = map$
 using extensionality comp-arr-dom comp-assoc Uncurry-Curry by auto
 interpret to-frm: composite-functor UC.comp C UC.comp frmUC toUC ..

```

show  $toUC \circ frmUC = UC.map$ 
proof
  fix  $f$ 
  show  $(toUC \circ frmUC) f = UC.map f$ 
  proof (cases  $UC.arr f$ )
    show  $\neg UC.arr f \implies ?thesis$ 
      using  $UC.extensionality$  by auto
    assume  $f: UC.arr f$ 
    show  $?thesis$ 
    proof (intro  $UC.arr-eqI$ )
      show  $UC.arr ((toUC \circ frmUC) f)$ 
        using  $f$  by blast
      show  $UC.arr (UC.map f)$ 
        using  $f$  by blast
      show  $UC.Dom ((toUC \circ frmUC) f) = UC.Dom (UC.map f)$ 
        using  $f UC.Dom-in-Obj frmUC.preserves-arr UC.arr-char [of f]$ 
        by auto
      show  $UC.Cod (to-frm.map f) = UC.Cod (UC.map f)$ 
        using  $f UC.arr-char [of f]$  by auto
      show  $UC.Map (to-frm.map f) = UC.Map (UC.map f)$ 
        using  $f UP-DN UC.arr-char [of f]$  by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma inverse-functors-toUC-frmUC:
shows inverse-functors  $UC.comp C toUC frmUC$ 
  ..

corollary enriched-category-isomorphic-to-underlying-category:
shows isomorphic-categories  $UC.comp C$ 
  using inverse-functors-toUC-frmUC
  by unfold-locales blast

end

```

2.3 Opposite of an Enriched Category

Construction of the opposite of an enriched category (*cf.* [1] (1.19)) requires that the underlying monoidal category be symmetric, in order to introduce the required “twist” in the definition of composition.

```

locale opposite-enriched-category =
  symmetric-monoidal-category +
  EC: enriched-category
begin

```

```

  interpretation elementary-symmetric-monoidal-category

```

C tensor unity lunit runit assoc sym
using *induces-elementary-symmetric-monoidal-category_{CMC}* **by** *blast*

abbreviation *(input)* Hom_{op}
where $Hom_{op} a b \equiv Hom b a$

abbreviation $Comp_{op}$
where $Comp_{op} a b c \equiv Comp c b a \cdot s[Hom c b, Hom b a]$

sublocale *enriched-category* $C T \alpha \iota Obj Hom_{op} Id Comp_{op}$
proof

show $\wedge a b. \llbracket a \in Obj; b \in Obj \rrbracket \implies ide (Hom b a)$
using *EC.ide-Hom* **by** *blast*
show $\wedge a. a \in Obj \implies \llbracket Id a : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow Hom a a \rrbracket$
using *EC.Id-in-hom* **by** *blast*
show $\mathbf{**} : \wedge a b c. \llbracket a \in Obj; b \in Obj; c \in Obj \rrbracket \implies$
 $\llbracket Comp_{op} a b c : Hom c b \otimes Hom b a \rightarrow Hom c a \rrbracket$
using *sym-in-hom EC.ide-Hom EC.Comp-in-hom* **by** *auto*
show $\wedge a b. \llbracket a \in Obj; b \in Obj \rrbracket \implies$
 $Comp_{op} a a b \cdot (Hom b a \otimes Id a) = r[Hom b a]$

proof –
fix $a b$
assume $a : a \in Obj$ **and** $b : b \in Obj$
have $Comp_{op} a a b \cdot (Hom b a \otimes Id a) =$
 $Comp b a a \cdot s[Hom b a, Hom a a] \cdot (Hom b a \otimes Id a)$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = Comp b a a \cdot (Id a \otimes Hom b a) \cdot s[Hom b a, \mathcal{I}]$
using $a b$ *sym-naturality* [of $Hom b a Id a$] *sym-in-hom*
 $EC.Id-in-hom EC.ide-Hom$
by *fastforce*
also have $\dots = (Comp b a a \cdot (Id a \otimes Hom b a)) \cdot s[Hom b a, \mathcal{I}]$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = l[Hom b a] \cdot s[Hom b a, \mathcal{I}]$
using $a b$ *EC.Comp-Id-Hom* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = r[Hom b a]$
using $a b$ *unitor-coherence EC.ide-Hom* **by** *presburger*
finally show $Comp_{op} a a b \cdot (Hom b a \otimes Id a) = r[Hom b a]$
by *blast*

qed
show $\wedge a b. \llbracket a \in Obj; b \in Obj \rrbracket \implies$
 $Comp_{op} a b b \cdot (Id b \otimes Hom b a) = l[Hom b a]$

proof –
fix $a b$
assume $a : a \in Obj$ **and** $b : b \in Obj$
have $Comp_{op} a b b \cdot (Id b \otimes Hom b a) =$
 $Comp b b a \cdot s[Hom b b, Hom b a] \cdot (Id b \otimes Hom b a)$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = Comp b b a \cdot (Hom b a \otimes Id b) \cdot s[\mathcal{I}, Hom b a]$

```

using  $a\ b$  sym-naturality [of  $Id\ b\ Hom\ b\ a$ ] sym-in-hom
       $EC.Id-in-hom\ EC.ide-Hom$ 
by force
also have  $\dots = (Comp\ b\ b\ a \cdot (Hom\ b\ a \otimes Id\ b)) \cdot s[\mathcal{I}, Hom\ b\ a]$ 
      using comp-assoc by simp
also have  $\dots = r[Hom\ b\ a] \cdot s[\mathcal{I}, Hom\ b\ a]$ 
      using  $a\ b\ EC.Comp-Hom-Id$  by simp
also have  $\dots = l[Hom\ b\ a]$ 
proof –

  have  $r[Hom\ b\ a] \cdot s[\mathcal{I}, Hom\ b\ a] =$ 
     $(l[Hom\ b\ a] \cdot s[Hom\ b\ a, \mathcal{I}]) \cdot s[\mathcal{I}, Hom\ b\ a]$ 
    using  $a\ b$  unitor-coherence  $EC.ide-Hom$  by simp
  also have  $\dots = l[Hom\ b\ a] \cdot s[Hom\ b\ a, \mathcal{I}] \cdot s[\mathcal{I}, Hom\ b\ a]$ 
    using comp-assoc by simp
  also have  $\dots = l[Hom\ b\ a]$ 
    using  $a\ b$  comp-arr-dom comp-arr-inv sym-inverse by simp
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
finally show  $Comp_{op}\ a\ b\ b \cdot (Id\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a) = l[Hom\ b\ a]$ 
  by blast
qed
show  $\bigwedge a\ b\ c\ d. \llbracket a \in Obj; b \in Obj; c \in Obj; d \in Obj \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $Comp_{op}\ a\ b\ d \cdot (Comp_{op}\ b\ c\ d \otimes Hom\ b\ a) =$ 
 $Comp_{op}\ a\ c\ d \cdot (Hom\ d\ c \otimes Comp_{op}\ a\ b\ c) \cdot$ 
 $a[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a]$ 
proof –
  fix  $a\ b\ c\ d$ 
  assume  $a: a \in Obj$  and  $b: b \in Obj$  and  $c: c \in Obj$  and  $d: d \in Obj$ 
  have  $Comp_{op}\ a\ b\ d \cdot (Comp_{op}\ b\ c\ d \otimes Hom\ b\ a) =$ 
 $Comp_{op}\ a\ b\ d \cdot (Comp\ d\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a) \cdot$ 
 $(s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b] \otimes Hom\ b\ a)$ 
    using  $a\ b\ c\ d$  ** interchange comp-ide-arr ide-in-hom seqI'
       $EC.ide-Hom$ 
    by metis
  also have  $\dots = (Comp\ d\ b\ a \cdot$ 
 $(s[Hom\ d\ b, Hom\ b\ a] \cdot (Comp\ d\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a))) \cdot$ 
 $(s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b] \otimes Hom\ b\ a))$ 
    using comp-assoc by simp
  also have  $\dots = (Comp\ d\ b\ a \cdot$ 
 $((Hom\ b\ a \otimes Comp\ d\ c\ b) \cdot$ 
 $s[Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ b\ a]) \cdot$ 
 $(s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b] \otimes Hom\ b\ a))$ 
    using  $a\ b\ c\ d$  sym-naturality  $EC.Comp-in-hom\ ide-char$ 
       $in-homE\ EC.ide-Hom$ 
    by metis
  also have  $\dots = (Comp\ d\ b\ a \cdot (Hom\ b\ a \otimes Comp\ d\ c\ b)) \cdot$ 
 $(s[Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ b\ a] \cdot$ 
 $(s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b] \otimes Hom\ b\ a))$ 

```

using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
 also have ... = $(\text{Comp } d \ c \ a \cdot (\text{Comp } c \ b \ a \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c) \cdot$
 $a^{-1}[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c]) \cdot$
 $(s[\text{Hom } c \ b \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } b \ a] \cdot$
 $(s[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } c \ b] \otimes \text{Hom } b \ a))$
 proof –
 have $\text{Comp } d \ b \ a \cdot (\text{Hom } b \ a \otimes \text{Comp } d \ c \ b) =$
 $(\text{Comp } d \ b \ a \cdot (\text{Hom } b \ a \otimes \text{Comp } d \ c \ b)) \cdot$
 $(\text{Hom } b \ a \otimes \text{Hom } c \ b \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c)$
 using *a b c d EC.Comp-in-hom arrI comp-in-homI ide-in-hom*
tensor-in-hom EC.ide-Hom
 proof –
 have *seq* $(\text{Comp } d \ b \ a) (\text{Hom } b \ a \otimes \text{Comp } d \ c \ b)$
 using *a b c d EC.Comp-in-hom arrI comp-in-homI ide-in-hom*
tensor-in-hom EC.ide-Hom
 by *meson*
 moreover have $\text{dom } (\text{Comp } d \ b \ a \cdot (\text{Hom } b \ a \otimes \text{Comp } d \ c \ b)) =$
 $(\text{Hom } b \ a \otimes \text{Hom } c \ b \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c)$
 using *a b c d EC.Comp-in-hom dom-comp dom-tensor ideD(1-2)*
in-homE calculation EC.ide-Hom
 by *metis*
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *a b c d EC.Comp-in-hom comp-arr-dom* **by** *metis*
 qed
 also have ... =
 $(\text{Comp } d \ b \ a \cdot (\text{Hom } b \ a \otimes \text{Comp } d \ c \ b)) \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot a^{-1}[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c]$
 using *a b c d comp-assoc-assoc'(1) EC.ide-Hom* **by** *simp*
 also have ... = $(\text{Comp } d \ b \ a \cdot (\text{Hom } b \ a \otimes \text{Comp } d \ c \ b) \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c]) \cdot$
 $a^{-1}[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c]$
 using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
 also have ... = $(\text{Comp } d \ c \ a \cdot (\text{Comp } c \ b \ a \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c)) \cdot$
 $a^{-1}[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c]$
 using *a b c d EC.Comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
 also have ... = $\text{Comp } d \ c \ a \cdot (\text{Comp } c \ b \ a \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c) \cdot$
 $a^{-1}[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c]$
 using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
 finally have $\text{Comp } d \ b \ a \cdot (\text{Hom } b \ a \otimes \text{Comp } d \ c \ b) =$
 $\text{Comp } d \ c \ a \cdot (\text{Comp } c \ b \ a \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c) \cdot$
 $a^{-1}[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c]$
 by *blast*
 thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
 qed
 also have ... = $(\text{Comp } d \ c \ a \cdot (\text{Comp } c \ b \ a \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c)) \cdot$
 $(a^{-1}[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot$
 $s[\text{Hom } c \ b \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } b \ a] \cdot$
 $(s[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } c \ b] \otimes \text{Hom } b \ a))$
 using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

finally have $LHS: (Comp\ d\ b\ a \cdot s[Hom\ d\ b, Hom\ b\ a]) \cdot$
 $(Comp\ d\ c\ b \cdot s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b] \otimes Hom\ b\ a) =$
 $(Comp\ d\ c\ a \cdot (Comp\ c\ b\ a \otimes Hom\ d\ c)) \cdot$
 $(a^{-1}[Hom\ b\ a, Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ d\ c] \cdot$
 $s[Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ b\ a] \cdot$
 $(s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b] \otimes Hom\ b\ a))$
by *blast*
have $Comp_{op}\ a\ c\ d \cdot (Hom\ d\ c \otimes Comp_{op}\ a\ b\ c) \cdot$
 $a[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a] =$
 $Comp\ d\ c\ a \cdot$
 $(s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ a] \cdot$
 $(Hom\ d\ c \otimes Comp\ c\ b\ a \cdot s[Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a])) \cdot$
 $a[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a]$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots =$
 $Comp\ d\ c\ a \cdot$
 $((Comp\ c\ b\ a \cdot s[Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a] \otimes Hom\ d\ c) \cdot$
 $s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a]) \cdot$
 $a[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a]$
using $a\ b\ c\ d$ *** sym-naturality ide-char in-homE EC.ide-Hom*
by *metis*
also have $\dots =$
 $Comp\ d\ c\ a \cdot$
 $((Comp\ c\ b\ a \otimes Hom\ d\ c) \cdot (s[Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a] \otimes Hom\ d\ c)) \cdot$
 $s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a]) \cdot$
 $a[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a]$
using $a\ b\ c\ d$ *** interchange comp-arr-dom ideD(1-2)*
 $in-homE\ EC.ide-Hom$
by *metis*
also have $\dots = (Comp\ d\ c\ a \cdot (Comp\ c\ b\ a \otimes Hom\ d\ c)) \cdot$
 $((s[Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a] \otimes Hom\ d\ c) \cdot$
 $s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a] \cdot$
 $a[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a])$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = (Comp\ d\ c\ a \cdot (Comp\ c\ b\ a \otimes Hom\ d\ c)) \cdot$
 $(a^{-1}[Hom\ b\ a, Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ d\ c] \cdot$
 $s[Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ b\ a] \cdot$
 $(s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b] \otimes Hom\ b\ a))$
proof –
have $(s[Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a] \otimes Hom\ d\ c) \cdot$
 $s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a] \cdot$
 $a[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a] =$
 $a^{-1}[Hom\ b\ a, Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ d\ c] \cdot$
 $s[Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ b\ a] \cdot$
 $(s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b] \otimes Hom\ b\ a)$
proof –
have $1: s[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a] \cdot$
 $a[Hom\ d\ c, Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a] =$
 $a^{-1}[Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a, Hom\ d\ c] \cdot$

$(\text{Hom } c \ b \otimes s[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } b \ a]) \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } b \ a] \cdot$
 $(s[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } c \ b] \otimes \text{Hom } b \ a)$

proof –

have $s[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } c \ b \otimes \text{Hom } b \ a] \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a] =$
 $(a^{-1}[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c]) \cdot$
 $s[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } c \ b \otimes \text{Hom } b \ a] \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a]$

using $a \ b \ c \ d \text{ comp-assoc-assoc' } (2) \text{ comp-cod-arr}$ **by** *simp*

also have ... =

$a^{-1}[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot$
 $s[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } c \ b \otimes \text{Hom } b \ a] \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a]$

using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have ... =

$a^{-1}[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot$
 $(\text{Hom } c \ b \otimes s[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } b \ a]) \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } b \ a] \cdot$
 $(s[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } c \ b] \otimes \text{Hom } b \ a)$

using $a \ b \ c \ d \text{ assoc-coherence EC.ide-Hom}$ **by** *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

have 2: $(s[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a] \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c) \cdot$
 $a^{-1}[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot$
 $(\text{Hom } c \ b \otimes s[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } b \ a]) =$
 $a^{-1}[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot$
 $s[\text{Hom } c \ b \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } b \ a] \cdot$
 $\text{inv } a[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } b \ a]$

proof –

have $(s[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a] \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c) \cdot$
 $a^{-1}[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot$
 $(\text{Hom } c \ b \otimes s[\text{Hom } d \ c, \text{Hom } b \ a]) =$
 $\text{inv } ((\text{Hom } c \ b \otimes s[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c]) \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot$
 $(s[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b] \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c))$

proof –

have $\text{inv } ((\text{Hom } c \ b \otimes s[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c]) \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot$
 $(s[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b] \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c)) =$
 $\text{inv } (a[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot$
 $(s[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b] \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c)) \cdot$
 $\text{inv } (\text{Hom } c \ b \otimes s[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c])$

using $a \ b \ c \ d \text{ EC.ide-Hom}$

$\text{inv-comp [of } a[\text{Hom } c \ b, \text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c] \cdot$
 $(s[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } c \ b] \otimes \text{Hom } d \ c)$
 $\text{Hom } c \ b \otimes s[\text{Hom } b \ a, \text{Hom } d \ c]]$

by *fastforce*
 also have ... =

$$(inv\ (s[Hom\ b\ a,\ Hom\ c\ b] \otimes Hom\ d\ c) \cdot$$

$$a^{-1}[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ b\ a,\ Hom\ d\ c]) \cdot$$

$$inv\ (Hom\ c\ b \otimes s[Hom\ b\ a,\ Hom\ d\ c]))$$
 using *a b c d EC.ide-Hom inv-comp* by *simp*
 also have ... =

$$((s[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ b\ a] \otimes Hom\ d\ c) \cdot$$

$$a^{-1}[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ b\ a,\ Hom\ d\ c]) \cdot$$

$$(Hom\ c\ b \otimes s[Hom\ d\ c,\ Hom\ b\ a]))$$

 using *a b c d sym-inverse inverse-unique*
 apply *auto[1]*
 by (*metis **)
 finally show *?thesis*
 using *comp-assoc* by *simp*
 qed
 also have ... =

$$inv\ (a[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ d\ c,\ Hom\ b\ a] \cdot$$

$$s[Hom\ b\ a,\ Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ d\ c] \cdot$$

$$a[Hom\ b\ a,\ Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ d\ c]))$$
 using *a b c d assoc-coherence EC.ide-Hom* by *auto*
 also have ... =

$$a^{-1}[Hom\ b\ a,\ Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ d\ c] \cdot$$

$$inv\ s[Hom\ b\ a,\ Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ d\ c] \cdot$$

$$a^{-1}[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ d\ c,\ Hom\ b\ a])$$
 using *a b c d EC.ide-Hom inv-comp inv-tensor comp-assoc*
isos-compose
 by *auto*
 also have ... =

$$a^{-1}[Hom\ b\ a,\ Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ d\ c] \cdot$$

$$s[Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ d\ c,\ Hom\ b\ a] \cdot$$

$$a^{-1}[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ d\ c,\ Hom\ b\ a])$$
 using *a b c d sym-inverse inv-is-inverse inverse-unique*
 by (*metis tensor-preserves-ide EC.ide-Hom*)
 finally show *?thesis* by *blast*
 qed
 hence $(s[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ b\ a] \otimes Hom\ d\ c) \cdot$
 $a^{-1}[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ b\ a,\ Hom\ d\ c] \cdot$
 $(Hom\ c\ b \otimes s[Hom\ d\ c,\ Hom\ b\ a]) \cdot$
 $a[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ d\ c,\ Hom\ b\ a] =$
 $a^{-1}[Hom\ b\ a,\ Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ d\ c] \cdot$
 $s[Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ d\ c,\ Hom\ b\ a] \cdot$
 $inv\ a[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ d\ c,\ Hom\ b\ a] \cdot$
 $a[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ d\ c,\ Hom\ b\ a])$
 by (*metis comp-assoc*)
 hence 3: $(s[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ b\ a] \otimes Hom\ d\ c) \cdot$
 $a^{-1}[Hom\ c\ b,\ Hom\ b\ a,\ Hom\ d\ c] \cdot$
 $(Hom\ c\ b \otimes s[Hom\ d\ c,\ Hom\ b\ a]) \cdot$

```

      a[Hom c b, Hom d c, Hom b a] =
      a-1[Hom b a, Hom c b, Hom d c] ·
      s[Hom c b ⊗ Hom d c, Hom b a]
    using a b c comp-arr-dom d by fastforce
  have (s[Hom c b, Hom b a] ⊗ Hom d c) ·
    s[Hom d c, Hom c b ⊗ Hom b a] ·
    a[Hom d c, Hom c b, Hom b a] =
    (s[Hom c b, Hom b a] ⊗ Hom d c) ·
    a-1[Hom c b, Hom b a, Hom d c] ·
    (Hom c b ⊗ s[Hom d c, Hom b a]) ·
    a[Hom c b, Hom d c, Hom b a] ·
    (s[Hom d c, Hom c b] ⊗ Hom b a)
  using 1 by simp
  also have ... =
    ((s[Hom c b, Hom b a] ⊗ Hom d c) ·
    a-1[Hom c b, Hom b a, Hom d c] ·
    (Hom c b ⊗ s[Hom d c, Hom b a]) ·
    a[Hom c b, Hom d c, Hom b a]) ·
    (s[Hom d c, Hom c b] ⊗ Hom b a)
  using comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... =
    (a-1[Hom b a, Hom c b, Hom d c] ·
    s[Hom c b ⊗ Hom d c, Hom b a]) ·
    (s[Hom d c, Hom c b] ⊗ Hom b a)
  using 3 by simp
  also have ... =
    a-1[Hom b a, Hom c b, Hom d c] ·
    s[Hom c b ⊗ Hom d c, Hom b a] ·
    (s[Hom d c, Hom c b] ⊗ Hom b a)
  using comp-assoc by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
finally have RHS: Compop a c d ·
  (Hom d c ⊗ Compop a b c) ·
  a[Hom d c, Hom c b, Hom b a] =
  (Comp d c a · (Comp c b a ⊗ Hom d c)) ·
  (a-1[Hom b a, Hom c b, Hom d c] ·
  s[Hom c b ⊗ Hom d c, Hom b a] ·
  (s[Hom d c, Hom c b] ⊗ Hom b a))
  by blast
show Compop a b d · (Compop b c d ⊗ Hom b a) =
  Compop a c d · (Hom d c ⊗ Compop a b c) ·
  a[Hom d c, Hom c b, Hom b a]
  using LHS RHS by simp
qed
qed

```

end

2.3.1 Relation between $(-^{op})_0$ and $(-_0)^{op}$

Kelly (comment before (1.22)) claims, for a category A enriched in a symmetric monoidal category, that we have $(A^{op})_0 = (A_0)^{op}$. This point becomes somewhat confusing, as it depends on the particular formalization one adopts for the notion of “category”.

As we can see from the next two facts (*Op-UC-hom-char* and *UC-Op-hom-char*), the hom-sets *Op.UC.hom a b* and *UC.Op.hom a b* are both obtained by using *UC.MkArr* to “tag” elements of *hom I (Hom (UC.Dom b) (UC.Dom a))* with *UC.Dom a* and *UC.Dom b*. These two hom-sets are formally distinct if (as is the case for us), the arrows of a category are regarded as containing information about their domain and codomain, so that the hom-sets are disjoint. On the other hand, if one regards a category as a collection of mappings that assign to each pair of objects a and b a corresponding set *hom a b*, then the hom-sets *Op.UC.hom a b* and *UC.Op.hom a b* could be arranged to be equal, as Kelly suggests.

locale *category-enriched-in-symmetric-monoidal-category* =
symmetric-monoidal-category +
enriched-category

begin

interpretation *elementary-symmetric-monoidal-category*
C tensor unity lunit runit assoc sym

using *induces-elementary-symmetric-monoidal-category_{CMC}* **by** *blast*

interpretation *Op: opposite-enriched-category C T α ι σ Obj Hom Id Comp ..*

interpretation *Op₀: underlying-category C T α ι Obj Op.Hom_{op} Id Op.Comp_{op}*
..

interpretation *UC: underlying-category C T α ι Obj Hom Id Comp ..*

interpretation *UC.Op: dual-category UC.comp ..*

lemma *Op-UC-hom-char:*

assumes *UC.ide a and UC.ide b*

shows *Op₀.hom a b =*

UC.MkArr (UC.Dom a) (UC.Dom b) ‘

hom I (Hom (UC.Dom b) (UC.Dom a))

using *assms Op₀.hom-char [of UC.Dom a UC.Dom b]*

UC.ide-char [of a] UC.ide-char [of b] UC.arr-char

by *force*

lemma *UC-Op-hom-char:*

assumes *UC.ide a and UC.ide b*

shows *UC.Op.hom a b =*

UC.MkArr (UC.Dom b) (UC.Dom a) ‘

$hom \mathcal{I} (Hom (UC.Dom b) (UC.Dom a))$
using *assms* $UC.Op.hom-char \ UC.hom-char$ [*of* $UC.Dom b \ UC.Dom a$]
 $UC.ide-char_{CC}$
by *simp*

abbreviation $toUCOp$

where $toUCOp f \equiv$ *if* $Op_0.arr f$
 $\quad$ *then* $UC.MkArr (Op_0.Cod f) (Op_0.Dom f) (Op_0.Map f)$
 $\quad$ *else* $UC.Op.null$

sublocale $toUCOp$: *functor* $Op_0.comp \ UC.Op.comp \ toUCOp$

proof

show $\bigwedge f. \neg Op_0.arr f \implies toUCOp f = UC.Op.null$
by *simp*
show $1: \bigwedge f. Op_0.arr f \implies UC.Op.arr (toUCOp f)$
using $Op_0.arr-char$ **by** *auto*
show $\bigwedge f. Op_0.arr f \implies UC.Op.dom (toUCOp f) = toUCOp (Op_0.dom f)$
using 1 **by** *simp*
show $\bigwedge f. Op_0.arr f \implies UC.Op.cod (toUCOp f) = toUCOp (Op_0.cod f)$
using 1 **by** *simp*
show $\bigwedge g f. Op_0.seq g f \implies$
 $\quad toUCOp (Op_0.comp g f) = UC.Op.comp (toUCOp g) (toUCOp f)$

proof –

fix $f g$
assume $fg: Op_0.seq g f$
show $toUCOp (Op_0.comp g f) = UC.Op.comp (toUCOp g) (toUCOp f)$
proof (*intro* $UC.arr-eqI$)
show $UC.arr (toUCOp (Op_0.comp g f))$
using $1 fg UC.Op.arr-char$ **by** *blast*
show $2: UC.arr (UC.Op.comp (toUCOp g) (toUCOp f))$
using $1 Op_0.seq-char UC.seq-char fg$ **by** *force*
show $Op_0.Dom (toUCOp (Op_0.comp g f)) =$
 $\quad Op_0.Dom (UC.Op.comp (toUCOp g) (toUCOp f))$
using $1 2 fg Op_0.seq-char$ **by** *fastforce*
show $Op_0.Cod (toUCOp (Op_0.comp g f)) =$
 $\quad Op_0.Cod (UC.Op.comp (toUCOp g) (toUCOp f))$
using $1 2 fg Op_0.seq-char$ **by** *fastforce*
show $Op_0.Map (toUCOp (Op_0.comp g f)) =$
 $\quad Op_0.Map (UC.Op.comp (toUCOp g) (toUCOp f))$
proof –
have $Op_0.Map (toUCOp (Op_0.comp g f)) =$
 $\quad Op.Comp_{op} (UC.Dom f) (UC.Dom g) (UC.Cod g) \cdot$
 $\quad (UC.Map g \otimes UC.Map f) \cdot \iota^{-1}$
using $1 2 fg Op_0.seq-char$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = Comp (Op_0.Cod g) (Op_0.Dom g) (Op_0.Dom f) \cdot$
 $\quad (s[Hom (Op_0.Cod g) (Op_0.Dom g),$
 $\quad \quad Hom (Op_0.Dom g) (Op_0.Dom f)] \cdot$
 $\quad (Op_0.Map g \otimes Op_0.Map f)) \cdot \iota^{-1}$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

```

also have ... = Comp (Op0.Cod g) (Op0.Dom g) (Op0.Dom f) ·
               ((Op0.Map f ⊗ Op0.Map g) · s[I, I]) · ι-1
  using fg Op0.seq-char Op0.arr-char sym-naturality
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) in-homE mem-Collect-eq)
also have ... = Comp (Op0.Cod g) (Op0.Dom g) (Op0.Dom f) ·
               (Op0.Map f ⊗ Op0.Map g) · s[I, I] · ι-1
  using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... = Comp (Op0.Cod g) (Op0.Dom g) (Op0.Dom f) ·
               (Op0.Map f ⊗ Op0.Map g) · ι-1
  using sym-inv-unit ι-def monoidal-category-axioms
  by (simp add: monoidal-category.unitor-coincidence(1))
finally have Op0.Map (toUCOp (Op0.comp g f)) =
          Comp (Op0.Cod g) (Op0.Dom g) (Op0.Dom f) ·
          (Op0.Map f ⊗ Op0.Map g) · ι-1
  by blast
also have ... = Op0.Map (UC.Op.comp (toUCOp g) (toUCOp f))
  using fg 2 by auto
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma functor-toUCOp:
shows functor Op0.comp UC.Op.comp toUCOp
..

```

```

abbreviation toOp0
  where toOp0 f ≡ if UC.Op.arr f
                    then Op0.MkArr (UC.Cod f) (UC.Dom f) (UC.Map f)
                    else Op0.null

```

```

sublocale toOp0: functor UC.Op.comp Op0.comp toOp0
proof
  show ∧f. ¬ UC.Op.arr f ⇒ toOp0 f = Op0.null
    by simp
  show 1: ∧f. UC.Op.arr f ⇒ Op0.arr (toOp0 f)
    using UC.arr-char by simp
  show ∧f. UC.Op.arr f ⇒ Op0.dom (toOp0 f) = toOp0 (UC.Op.dom f)
    using 1 by auto
  show ∧f. UC.Op.arr f ⇒ Op0.cod (toOp0 f) = toOp0 (UC.Op.cod f)
    using 1 by auto
  show ∧g f. UC.Op.seq g f ⇒
    toOp0 (UC.Op.comp g f) = Op0.comp (toOp0 g) (toOp0 f)
proof -
  fix f g
  assume fg: UC.Op.seq g f
  show toOp0 (UC.Op.comp g f) = Op0.comp (toOp0 g) (toOp0 f)
  proof (intro Op0.arr-eqI)

```

```

show Op0.arr (toOp0 (UC.Op.comp g f))
  using fg 1 by blast
show 2: Op0.seq (toOp0 g) (toOp0 f)
  using fg 1 UC.seq-char UC.arr-char Op0.seq-char by fastforce
show Op0.Dom (toOp0 (UC.Op.comp g f)) =
  Op0.Dom (Op0.comp (toOp0 g) (toOp0 f))
  using fg 1 2 Op0.dom-char Op0.cod-char UC.seq-char Op0.seq-char
  by auto
show Op0.Cod (toOp0 (UC.Op.comp g f)) =
  Op0.Cod (Op0.comp (toOp0 g) (toOp0 f))
  using fg 1 2 Op0.dom-char Op0.cod-char UC.seq-char Op0.seq-char
  by auto
show Op0.Map (toOp0 (UC.Op.comp g f)) =
  Op0.Map (Op0.comp (toOp0 g) (toOp0 f))
proof -
  have Op0.Map (Op0.comp (toOp0 g) (toOp0 f)) =
    Op.Compop (Op0.Dom (toOp0 f)) (Op0.Dom (toOp0 g))
    (Op0.Cod (toOp0 g)) ·
    (Op0.Map (toOp0 g) ⊗ Op0.Map (toOp0 f)) · inv ι
    using fg 1 2 UC.seq-char by auto
  also have ... =
    Comp (Op0.Dom g) (Op0.Cod g) (Op0.Cod f) ·
    (s[Hom (Op0.Dom g) (Op0.Cod g),
      Hom (Op0.Cod g) (Op0.Cod f)] ·
    (Op0.Map g ⊗ Op0.Map f)) · inv ι
    using fg comp-assoc by auto
  also have ... =
    Comp (Op0.Dom g) (Op0.Cod g) (Op0.Cod f) ·
    ((Op0.Map f ⊗ Op0.Map g) · s[unity, unity]) · inv ι
    using fg UC.seq-char UC.arr-char sym-naturality
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) in-homE UC.Op.arr-char
      UC.Op.comp-def mem-Collect-eq)
  also have ... =
    Comp (Op0.Dom g) (Op0.Cod g) (Op0.Cod f) ·
    (Op0.Map f ⊗ Op0.Map g) · s[unity, unity] · inv ι
    using comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... =
    Comp (Op0.Dom g) (Op0.Cod g) (Op0.Cod f) ·
    (Op0.Map f ⊗ Op0.Map g) · inv ι
    using sym-inv-unit ι-def monoidal-category-axioms
    by (simp add: monoidal-category.unitor-coincidence(1))
  also have ... = Op0.Map (toOp0 (UC.Op.comp g f))
    using fg UC.seq-char by simp
  finally show ?thesis by argo
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma functor-toOp0:
shows functor UC.Op.comp Op0.comp toOp0
..

sublocale inverse-functors UC.Op.comp Op0.comp toUCOp toOp0
using Op0.MkArr-Map toUCOp.preserves-reflects-arr Op0.extensionality
      UC.MkArr-Map toOp0.preserves-reflects-arr UC.Op.extensionality
by unfold-locales auto

lemma inverse-functors-toUCOp-toOp0:
shows inverse-functors UC.Op.comp Op0.comp toUCOp toOp0
..

end

```

2.4 Enriched Hom Functors

Here we exhibit covariant and contravariant hom functors as enriched functors, as in [1] Section 1.6. We don't bother to exhibit them as partial functors of a single two-argument functor, as to do so would require us to define the tensor product of enriched categories; something that would require more technology for proving coherence conditions than we have developed at present.

2.4.1 Covariant Case

```

locale covariant-Hom =
  monoidal-category +

  C: elementary-closed-monoidal-category +
  enriched-category +
fixes x :: 'o
assumes x: x ∈ Obj
begin

  interpretation C: enriched-category C T α ι ⟨Collect ide⟩ exp C.Id C.Comp
    using C.is-enriched-in-itself by simp
  interpretation C: self-enriched-category C T α ι exp eval Curry ..

  abbreviation homo
  where homo ≡ Hom x

  abbreviation homa
  where homa ≡ λb c. if b ∈ Obj ∧ c ∈ Obj
      then Curry[Hom b c, Hom x b, Hom x c] (Comp x b c)
      else null

  sublocale enriched-functor C T α ι

```



```

Obj Hom Id Comp
⟨Collect ide⟩ exp C.Id C.Comp
homo homa

proof
show  $\bigwedge a\ b. a \notin \text{Obj} \vee b \notin \text{Obj} \implies \text{hom}_a\ a\ b = \text{null}$ 
  by auto
show  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Obj} \implies \text{hom}_o\ y \in \text{Collect ide}$ 
  using x ide-Hom by auto
show *:  $\bigwedge a\ b. \llbracket a \in \text{Obj}; b \in \text{Obj} \rrbracket \implies$ 
       $\llbracket \text{hom}_a\ a\ b : \text{Hom}\ a\ b \rightarrow \text{exp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ a)\ (\text{hom}_o\ b) \rrbracket$ 
  using x by auto
show  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Obj} \implies \text{hom}_a\ a\ a \cdot \text{Id}\ a = \text{C.Id}\ (\text{hom}_o\ a)$ 
  using x Comp-Id-Hom Comp-in-hom Id-in-hom C.Id-def C.comp-Curry-arr
  apply auto[1]
  by (metis ide-Hom)
show  $\bigwedge a\ b\ c. \llbracket a \in \text{Obj}; b \in \text{Obj}; c \in \text{Obj} \rrbracket \implies$ 
       $\text{C.Comp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ a)\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)\ (\text{hom}_o\ c) \cdot$ 
       $(\text{hom}_a\ b\ c \otimes \text{hom}_a\ a\ b) =$ 
       $\text{hom}_a\ a\ c \cdot \text{Comp}\ a\ b\ c$ 

proof -
fix a b c
assume a:  $a \in \text{Obj}$  and b:  $b \in \text{Obj}$  and c:  $c \in \text{Obj}$ 
have Uncurry[ $\text{hom}_o\ a, \text{hom}_o\ c$ ]
   $(\text{C.Comp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ a)\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)\ (\text{hom}_o\ c) \cdot (\text{hom}_a\ b\ c \otimes \text{hom}_a\ a\ b)) =$ 
   $\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o\ a, \text{hom}_o\ c]\ (\text{hom}_a\ a\ c \cdot \text{Comp}\ a\ b\ c)$ 
proof -
have Uncurry[ $\text{hom}_o\ a, \text{hom}_o\ c$ ]
   $(\text{C.Comp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ a)\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)\ (\text{hom}_o\ c) \cdot (\text{hom}_a\ b\ c \otimes \text{hom}_a\ a\ b)) =$ 
   $\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o\ a, \text{hom}_o\ c]$ 
   $(\text{Curry}[\text{exp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)\ (\text{hom}_o\ c) \otimes \text{exp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ a)\ (\text{hom}_o\ b), \text{hom}_o\ a,$ 
   $\text{hom}_o\ c]$ 
   $(\text{eval}\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)\ (\text{hom}_o\ c) \cdot$ 
   $(\text{exp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)\ (\text{hom}_o\ c) \otimes \text{eval}\ (\text{hom}_o\ a)\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)) \cdot$ 
   $\text{a}[\text{exp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)\ (\text{hom}_o\ c), \text{exp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ a)\ (\text{hom}_o\ b),$ 
   $\text{hom}_o\ a]) \cdot$ 
   $(\text{hom}_a\ b\ c \otimes \text{hom}_a\ a\ b))$ 
  using C.Comp-def by simp
also have ... =
   $\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o\ a, \text{hom}_o\ c]$ 
   $(\text{Curry}[\text{Hom}\ b\ c \otimes \text{Hom}\ a\ b, \text{hom}_o\ a, \text{hom}_o\ c]$ 
   $((\text{eval}\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)\ (\text{hom}_o\ c) \cdot$ 
   $(\text{exp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)\ (\text{hom}_o\ c) \otimes \text{eval}\ (\text{hom}_o\ a)\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)) \cdot$ 
   $\text{a}[\text{exp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)\ (\text{hom}_o\ c), \text{exp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ a)\ (\text{hom}_o\ b),$ 
   $\text{hom}_o\ a]) \cdot$ 
   $((\text{hom}_a\ b\ c \otimes \text{hom}_a\ a\ b) \otimes \text{hom}_o\ a)))$ 

proof -
have  $\llbracket \text{hom}_a\ b\ c \otimes \text{hom}_a\ a\ b : \text{Hom}\ b\ c \otimes \text{Hom}\ a\ b \rightarrow$ 
   $\text{exp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ b)\ (\text{hom}_o\ c) \otimes \text{exp}\ (\text{hom}_o\ a)\ (\text{hom}_o\ b) \rrbracket$ 

```

using $x\ a\ b\ c$ **by** *force*
moreover have $\langle\langle eval\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \cdot$
 $(exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \otimes eval\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b)) \cdot$
 $a[exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c), exp\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b), hom_o\ a]$
 $: (exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \otimes exp\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b))$
 $\otimes hom_o\ a$
 $\rightarrow hom_o\ c \rangle$
using $x\ a\ b\ c$ **by** *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using $x\ a\ b\ c$ *C.comp-Curry-arr* **by** *simp*
qed
also have $\dots =$
 $(eval\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \cdot$
 $(exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \otimes eval\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b)) \cdot$
 $a[exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c), exp\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b), hom_o\ a]) \cdot$
 $((hom_a\ b\ c \otimes hom_a\ a\ b) \otimes hom_o\ a)$
using $x\ a\ b\ c$
C.Uncurry-Curry
 $[of\ Hom\ b\ c \otimes Hom\ a\ b\ hom_o\ a\ hom_o\ c$
 $(eval\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \cdot$
 $(exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \otimes eval\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b)) \cdot$
 $a[exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c), exp\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b), hom_o\ a]) \cdot$
 $((Curry[Hom\ b\ c, hom_o\ b, hom_o\ c] (Comp\ x\ b\ c) \otimes$
 $Curry[Hom\ a\ b, hom_o\ a, hom_o\ b] (Comp\ x\ a\ b))$
 $\otimes hom_o\ a)]$
by *fastforce*
also have $\dots =$
 $eval\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \cdot$
 $(exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \otimes eval\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b)) \cdot$
 $a[exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c), exp\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b), hom_o\ a] \cdot$
 $((hom_a\ b\ c \otimes hom_a\ a\ b) \otimes hom_o\ a)$
by (*simp add: comp-assoc*)
also have $\dots =$
 $eval\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \cdot$
 $((exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \otimes eval\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b)) \cdot$
 $(hom_a\ b\ c \otimes hom_a\ a\ b \otimes hom_o\ a)) \cdot$
 $a[Hom\ b\ c, Hom\ a\ b, hom_o\ a]$
using $x\ a\ b\ c$ *Comp-in-hom*
assoc-naturality
 $[of\ Curry[Hom\ b\ c, hom_o\ b, hom_o\ c] (Comp\ x\ b\ c)$
 $Curry[Hom\ a\ b, hom_o\ a, hom_o\ b] (Comp\ x\ a\ b)$
 $hom_o\ a]$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots =$
 $eval\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \cdot$
 $(exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \cdot$
 $hom_a\ b\ c \otimes Uncurry[hom_o\ a, hom_o\ b] (hom_a\ a\ b)) \cdot$
 $a[Hom\ b\ c, Hom\ a\ b, hom_o\ a]$
using $x\ a\ b\ c$ *Comp-in-hom interchange* **by** *simp*

also have ... =

$$\text{eval } (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \cdot$$

$$(\text{exp } (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \cdot \text{hom}_a b c \otimes \text{Comp } x a b) \cdot$$

$$\text{a}[\text{Hom } b c, \text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a]$$
using $x a b c$ *C.Uncurry-Curry Comp-in-hom* **by** *auto*
also have ... =

$$\text{eval } (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \cdot (\text{hom}_a b c \otimes \text{Comp } x a b) \cdot$$

$$\text{a}[\text{Hom } b c, \text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a]$$
using $x a b c$
by (*simp add: Comp-in-hom comp-ide-arr*)
also have ... =

$$\text{eval } (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \cdot$$

$$((\text{hom}_a b c \otimes \text{hom}_o b) \cdot (\text{Hom } b c \otimes \text{Comp } x a b)) \cdot$$

$$\text{a}[\text{Hom } b c, \text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a]$$
proof –
have $\text{seq } (\text{hom}_a b c) (\text{Hom } b c)$
using $x a b c$ *Comp-in-hom C.Curry-in-hom ide-Hom* **by** *simp*
moreover have $\text{seq } (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{Comp } x a b)$
using $x a b c$ *Comp-in-hom* **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using $x a b c$ *Comp-in-hom C.Curry-in-hom comp-arr-ide*
comp-ide-arr ide-Hom interchange
by *metis*
qed
also have ... =

$$\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o b, \text{hom}_o c] (\text{hom}_a b c) \cdot$$

$$(\text{Hom } b c \otimes \text{Comp } x a b) \cdot$$

$$\text{a}[\text{Hom } b c, \text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a]$$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have ... = $\text{Comp } x a c \cdot (\text{Comp } a b c \otimes \text{hom}_o a)$
using $x a b c$ *C.Uncurry-Curry Comp-in-hom Comp-assoc* **by** *auto*
also have ... = $\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o a, \text{hom}_o c]$

$$(\text{Curry}[\text{Hom } b c \otimes \text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a, \text{hom}_o c]$$

$$(\text{Comp } x a c \cdot (\text{Comp } a b c \otimes \text{hom}_o a)))$$
using $x a b c$ *Comp-in-hom comp-assoc*
C.Uncurry-Curry

$$[\text{of } \text{Hom } b c \otimes \text{Hom } a b \text{ hom}_o a \text{ hom}_o c$$

$$\text{Comp } x a c \cdot (\text{Comp } a b c \otimes \text{hom}_o a)]$$
by *fastforce*
also have ... = $\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o a, \text{hom}_o c] (\text{hom}_a a c \cdot \text{Comp } a b c)$
using $x a b c$ *Comp-in-hom*
C.comp-Curry-arr

$$[\text{of } \text{hom}_o a \text{ Comp } a b c \text{ Hom } b c \otimes \text{Hom } a b$$

$$\text{Hom } a c \text{ Comp } x a c \text{ hom}_o c]$$
by *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
hence $\text{Curry}[\text{Hom } b c \otimes \text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a, \text{hom}_o c]$

$$(\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o a, \text{hom}_o c])$$

```

      (C.Comp (homo a) (homo b) (homo c) ·
        (homa b c ⊗ homa a b))) =
    Curry[Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b, homo a, homo c]
      (Uncurry[homo a, homo c] (homa a c · Comp a b c))
  by simp
thus C.Comp (homo a) (homo b) (homo c) · (homa b c ⊗ homa a b) =
  homa a c · Comp a b c
using x a b c Comp-in-hom
  C.Curry-Uncurry
  [of Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b homo a homo c homa a c · Comp a b c]
  C.Curry-Uncurry
  [of Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b homo a homo c
    C.Comp (homo a) (homo b) (homo c) · (homa b c ⊗ homa a b)]
  by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *is-enriched-functor*:
shows *enriched-functor* C T α ι
 Obj Hom Id Comp
 (Collect ide) exp C.Id C.Comp
 hom_o hom_a

..

sublocale C₀: *underlying-category* C T α ι ⟨Collect ide⟩ exp C.Id C.Comp ..
sublocale UC: *underlying-category* C T α ι Obj Hom Id Comp ..
sublocale UF: *underlying-functor* C T α ι
 Obj Hom Id Comp
 ⟨Collect ide⟩ exp C.Id C.Comp
 hom_o hom_a

..

The following is Kelly's formula (1.31), for the result of applying the ordinary functor underlying the covariant hom functor, to an arrow $g : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{Hom } b \ c$ of C_0 , resulting in an arrow $\text{Hom}^{\rightarrow} x \ g : \text{Hom } x \ b \rightarrow \text{Hom } x \ c$ of C . The point of the result is that this can be expressed explicitly as $\text{Comp } x \ b \ c \cdot (g \otimes \text{hom}_o b) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{hom}_o b]$. This is all very confusing at first, because Kelly identifies C with the underlying category C_0 of C regarded as a self-enriched category, whereas here we cannot ignore the fact that they are merely isomorphic via $C.\text{frmUC} : UC.\text{comp} \rightarrow C_0.\text{comp}$. There is also the bother that, for an arrow $g : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{Hom } b \ c$ of C , the corresponding arrow of the underlying category UC has to be formally constructed using $UC.\text{MkArr}$, i.e. as $UC.\text{MkArr } b \ c \ g$.

lemma *Kelly-1-31*:
assumes $b \in \text{Obj}$ **and** $c \in \text{Obj}$ **and** $\langle g : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{Hom } b \ c \rangle$
shows $C.\text{frmUC} (UF.\text{map}_0 (UC.\text{MkArr } b \ c \ g)) =$
 $\text{Comp } x \ b \ c \cdot (g \otimes \text{hom}_o b) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{hom}_o b]$
proof –

```

have  $C.frmUC \ (UF.map_0 \ (UC.MkArr \ b \ c \ g)) =$ 
   $(Curry[Hom \ b \ c, hom_o \ b, hom_o \ c] \ (Comp \ x \ b \ c) \cdot g) \downarrow [hom_o \ b, hom_o \ c]$ 
using  $assms \ x \ ide-Hom \ UF.map_0-def$ 
   $C.UC.arr-MkArr$ 
   $[of \ Hom \ x \ b \ Hom \ x \ c$ 
     $Curry[Hom \ b \ c, Hom \ x \ b, Hom \ x \ c] \ (Comp \ x \ b \ c) \cdot g]$ 
by  $fastforce$ 
also have  $\dots = C.Uncurry \ (Hom \ x \ b) \ (Hom \ x \ c)$ 
   $(Curry[\mathcal{I}, Hom \ x \ b, Hom \ x \ c]$ 
     $(Comp \ x \ b \ c \cdot (g \otimes Hom \ x \ b))) \cdot 1^{-1}[hom_o \ b]$ 
using  $assms \ x \ C.comp-Curry-arr \ C.DN-def$ 
by  $(metis \ Comp-in-hom \ C.Curry-simps(1-2) \ in-homE \ seqI \ ide-Hom)$ 
also have  $\dots = (Comp \ x \ b \ c \cdot (g \otimes Hom \ x \ b)) \cdot 1^{-1}[hom_o \ b]$ 
using  $assms \ x \ ide-Hom \ ide-unity$ 
   $C.Uncurry-Curry$ 
   $[of \ \mathcal{I} \ Hom \ x \ b \ Hom \ x \ c \ Comp \ x \ b \ c \cdot (g \otimes Hom \ x \ b)]$ 
by  $fastforce$ 
also have  $\dots = Comp \ x \ b \ c \cdot (g \otimes Hom \ x \ b) \cdot 1^{-1}[hom_o \ b]$ 
using  $comp-assoc \ by \ simp$ 
finally show  $?thesis \ by \ blast$ 
qed

```

abbreviation map_0
where $map_0 \ b \ c \ g \equiv Comp \ x \ b \ c \cdot (g \otimes Hom \ x \ b) \cdot 1^{-1}[hom_o \ b]$

end

context $elementary-closed-monoidal-category$
begin

```

lemma  $cov-Exp-DN$ :
assumes  $\langle g : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow exp \ a \ b \rangle$ 
and  $ide \ a$  and  $ide \ b$  and  $ide \ x$ 
shows  $Exp^{\rightarrow} \ x \ (g \downarrow [a, b]) =$ 
   $(Curry[exp \ a \ b, exp \ x \ a, exp \ x \ b] \ (Comp \ x \ a \ b) \cdot g) \downarrow [exp \ x \ a, exp \ x \ b]$ 
proof  $-$ 
have  $(Curry[exp \ a \ b, exp \ x \ a, exp \ x \ b] \ (Comp \ x \ a \ b) \cdot g) \downarrow [exp \ x \ a, exp \ x \ b] =$ 
   $Uncurry[exp \ x \ a, exp \ x \ b]$ 
   $(Curry[\mathcal{I}, exp \ x \ a, exp \ x \ b] \ (Comp \ x \ a \ b \cdot (g \otimes exp \ x \ a))) \cdot 1^{-1}[exp \ x \ a]$ 
using  $assms \ DN-def$ 
   $comp-Curry-arr$ 
   $[of \ exp \ x \ a \ g \ \mathcal{I} \ exp \ a \ b \ Comp \ x \ a \ b \ exp \ x \ b]$ 
by  $force$ 
also have  $\dots = (Comp \ x \ a \ b \cdot (g \otimes exp \ x \ a)) \cdot 1^{-1}[exp \ x \ a]$ 
using  $assms \ Uncurry-Curry \ by \ auto$ 
also have  $\dots = Curry[exp \ a \ b \otimes exp \ x \ a, x, b]$ 
   $(eval \ a \ b \cdot (exp \ a \ b \otimes eval \ x \ a) \cdot a[exp \ a \ b, exp \ x \ a, x]) \cdot$ 

```

```

      (g ⊗ exp x a) · l-1[exp x a]
    unfolding Comp-def
    using assms comp-assoc by auto
  also have ... = Curry[exp x a, x, b]
    ((eval a b · (exp a b ⊗ eval x a) · a[exp a b, exp x a, x]) ·
     ((g ⊗ exp x a) · l-1[exp x a] ⊗ x))
    using assms comp-Curry-arr by auto
  also have ... = Curry[exp x a, x, b]
    (eval a b · (exp a b ⊗ eval x a) ·
     (a[exp a b, exp x a, x] · ((g ⊗ exp x a) ⊗ x)) ·
     (l-1[exp x a] ⊗ x))
    using assms comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr interchange comp-assoc by fastforce
  also have ... = Curry[exp x a, x, b]
    (eval a b · (exp a b ⊗ eval x a) ·
     ((g ⊗ exp x a ⊗ x) · a[ℐ, exp x a, x]) ·
     (l-1[exp x a] ⊗ x))
    using assms assoc-naturality [of g exp x a x] by auto
  also have ... = Curry[exp x a, x, b]
    (eval a b · ((exp a b ⊗ eval x a) · (g ⊗ exp x a ⊗ x)) ·
     a[ℐ, exp x a, x] · (l-1[exp x a] ⊗ x))
    using assms comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... = Curry[exp x a, x, b]
    (eval a b · ((g ⊗ a) · (ℐ ⊗ eval x a)) ·
     a[ℐ, exp x a, x] · (l-1[exp x a] ⊗ x))
    using assms comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr interchange by auto
  also have ... = Curry[exp x a, x, b]
    (Uncurry[a, b] g · (ℐ ⊗ eval x a) · l-1[exp x a ⊗ x])
    using assms lunit-tensor inv-comp comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... = Exp→ x (g ↓[a, b])
    using assms lunit'-naturality [of eval x a] comp-assoc DN-def by auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

end

```

2.4.2 Contravariant Case

```

locale contravariant-Hom =
  symmetric-monoidal-category +
  C: elementary-closed-symmetric-monoidal-category +
  enriched-category +
fixes y :: 'o
assumes y: y ∈ Obj
begin

  interpretation C: enriched-category C T α ι ⟨Collect ide⟩ exp C.Id C.Comp
  using C.is-enriched-in-itself by simp
  interpretation C: self-enriched-category C T α ι exp eval Curry ..

```

abbreviation hom_o
where $hom_o \equiv \lambda a. Hom\ a\ y$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{sublocale enriched-functor } C \ T \ \alpha \ \iota \\ \text{Obj } Op. Hom_{op} \ Id \ Op. Comp_{op} \\ \langle Collect \ ide \rangle \ exp \ C. Id \ C. Comp \\ hom_o \ hom_a \end{array}$$

```

proof –
  fix  $a\ b\ c$ 
  assume  $a: a \in \text{Obj}$  and  $b: b \in \text{Obj}$  and  $c: c \in \text{Obj}$ 
  have  $C.\text{Comp}\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \cdot (hom_a\ b\ c \otimes hom_a\ a\ b) =$ 
     $Curry[exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \otimes exp\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b),$ 
       $hom_o\ a, hom_o\ c]$ 
     $(eval\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \cdot$ 
       $(exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \otimes eval\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b)) \cdot$ 
       $a[exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c), exp\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b), hom_o\ a]) \cdot$ 
       $(hom_a\ b\ c \otimes hom_a\ a\ b)$ 
  using  $y\ a\ b\ c\ comp\text{-}assoc\ C.\text{Comp}\text{-}def$  by  $simp$ 
  also have  $\dots = Curry[Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a, hom_o\ a, hom_o\ c]$ 
     $((eval\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \cdot$ 
       $(exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \otimes eval\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b)) \cdot$ 
       $a[exp\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c), exp\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b),$ 
         $hom_o\ a]) \cdot$ 
       $((hom_a\ b\ c \otimes hom_a\ a\ b) \otimes hom_o\ a))$ 
  using  $y\ a\ b\ c$ 
     $C.\text{comp}\text{-}Curry\text{-}arr$ 
     $[of\ Hom\ a\ y\ hom_a\ b\ c \otimes hom_a\ a\ b\ Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \otimes \exp (\text{hom}_o a) (\text{hom}_o b) \\ & \text{eval} (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \cdot \\ & (\exp (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \otimes \text{eval} (\text{hom}_o a) (\text{hom}_o b)) \cdot \\ & \text{a}[\exp (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c), \exp (\text{hom}_o a) (\text{hom}_o b), \text{hom}_o a] \\ & \text{hom}_o c] \end{aligned}$$

by *fastforce*

also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\text{Hom } c \text{ } b \otimes \text{Hom } b \text{ } a, \text{hom}_o a, \text{hom}_o c]$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{eval} (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \cdot \\ & (\exp (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \otimes \text{eval} (\text{hom}_o a) (\text{hom}_o b)) \cdot \\ & (\text{hom}_a b \text{ } c \otimes \text{hom}_a a \text{ } b \otimes \text{hom}_o a) \cdot \\ & \text{a}[\text{Hom } c \text{ } b, \text{Hom } b \text{ } a, \text{hom}_o a]) \end{aligned}$$

using $y \text{ } a \text{ } b \text{ } c$ *Op.Comp-in-hom comp-assoc*

C.assoc-naturality

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{of } \text{Curry}[\text{Hom } c \text{ } b, \text{hom}_o b, \text{hom}_o c] (\text{Op.Comp}_{op} y \text{ } b \text{ } c) \\ & \text{Curry}[\text{Hom } b \text{ } a, \text{hom}_o a, \text{hom}_o b] (\text{Op.Comp}_{op} y \text{ } a \text{ } b) \\ & \text{hom}_o a] \end{aligned}$$

by *auto*

also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\text{Hom } c \text{ } b \otimes \text{Hom } b \text{ } a, \text{hom}_o a, \text{hom}_o c]$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{eval} (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \cdot \\ & (\exp (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \cdot \text{hom}_a b \text{ } c \otimes \\ & \text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o a, \text{hom}_o b] (\text{hom}_a a \text{ } b)) \cdot \\ & \text{a}[\text{Hom } c \text{ } b, \text{Hom } b \text{ } a, \text{hom}_o a]) \end{aligned}$$

proof –

have $\text{seq} (\exp (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c)) (\text{hom}_a b \text{ } c)$

using $y \text{ } a \text{ } b \text{ } c$ by *force*

moreover have $\text{seq} (\text{eval} (\text{hom}_o a) (\text{hom}_o b)) (\text{hom}_a a \text{ } b \otimes \text{hom}_o a)$

using $y \text{ } a \text{ } b \text{ } c$ by *fastforce*

ultimately show *?thesis*

using $y \text{ } a \text{ } b \text{ } c$ *comp-assoc*

C.interchange

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{of } \exp (\text{Hom } b \text{ } y) (\text{Hom } c \text{ } y) \text{hom}_a b \text{ } c \\ & \text{eval} (\text{Hom } a \text{ } y) (\text{Hom } b \text{ } y) \text{hom}_a a \text{ } b \otimes \text{hom}_o a] \end{aligned}$$

by *metis*

qed

also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\text{Hom } c \text{ } b \otimes \text{Hom } b \text{ } a, \text{hom}_o a, \text{hom}_o c]$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{eval} (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \cdot \\ & (\exp (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \cdot \text{hom}_a b \text{ } c \otimes \text{Op.Comp}_{op} y \text{ } a \text{ } b) \cdot \\ & \text{a}[\text{Hom } c \text{ } b, \text{Hom } b \text{ } a, \text{hom}_o a]) \end{aligned}$$

using $y \text{ } a \text{ } b \text{ } c$ *C.Uncurry-Curry Op.Comp-in-hom* by *auto*

also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\text{Hom } c \text{ } b \otimes \text{Hom } b \text{ } a, \text{hom}_o a, \text{hom}_o c]$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{eval} (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \cdot \\ & (\text{hom}_a b \text{ } c \otimes \text{Op.Comp}_{op} y \text{ } a \text{ } b) \cdot \\ & \text{a}[\text{Hom } c \text{ } b, \text{Hom } b \text{ } a, \text{hom}_o a]) \end{aligned}$$

using $y \text{ } a \text{ } b \text{ } c$

by (*simp add: comp-ide-arr Op.Comp-in-hom*)

also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\text{Hom } c \text{ } b \otimes \text{Hom } b \text{ } a, \text{hom}_o a, \text{hom}_o c]$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{eval} (\text{hom}_o b) (\text{hom}_o c) \cdot \\ & (\text{hom}_a b \text{ } c \cdot \text{Hom } c \text{ } b \otimes \text{hom}_o b \cdot \text{Op.Comp}_{op} y \text{ } a \text{ } b) \cdot \\ & \text{a}[\text{Hom } c \text{ } b, \text{Hom } b \text{ } a, \text{hom}_o a]) \end{aligned}$$


```

using  $y\ a\ b\ c\ *$ 
by (metis  $Op.Comp\text{-}in\text{-}hom\ comp\text{-}cod\text{-}arr\ comp\text{-}arr\text{-}dom\ in\text{-}homE$ )
also have ... =  $Curry[Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a, hom_o\ a, hom_o\ c]$ 
               ( $eval\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \cdot$ 
                ( $(hom_a\ b\ c \otimes hom_o\ b) \cdot (Hom\ c\ b \otimes Op.Comp_{op}\ y\ a\ b)$ )  $\cdot$ 
                 $a[Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a, hom_o\ a]$ )

using  $y\ a\ b\ c\ *$ 
                $C.interchange\ [of\ hom_a\ b\ c\ Hom\ c\ b\ hom_o\ b\ Op.Comp_{op}\ y\ a\ b]$ 
by (metis  $Op.Comp\text{-}in\text{-}hom\ ide\text{-}Hom\ ide\text{-}char\ in\text{-}homE\ seqI$ )
also have ... =  $Curry[Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a, hom_o\ a, hom_o\ c]$ 
               ( $Uncurry[hom_o\ b, hom_o\ c]\ (hom_a\ b\ c) \cdot$ 
                ( $Hom\ c\ b \otimes Op.Comp_{op}\ y\ a\ b$ )  $\cdot$ 
                 $a[Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a, hom_o\ a]$ )

using  $comp\text{-}assoc$  by simp
also have ... =  $Curry[Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a, hom_o\ a, hom_o\ c]$ 
               ( $Op.Comp_{op}\ y\ b\ c \cdot$ 
                ( $Hom\ c\ b \otimes Op.Comp_{op}\ y\ a\ b$ )  $\cdot$ 
                 $a[Hom\ c\ b, Hom\ b\ a, hom_o\ a]$ )

using  $y\ a\ b\ c\ C.Uncurry\text{-}Curry$ 
by (simp add:  $Op.Comp\text{-}in\text{-}hom$ )
also have ... =  $Curry[Hom\ c\ b \otimes Hom\ b\ a, hom_o\ a, hom_o\ c]$ 
               ( $Op.Comp_{op}\ y\ a\ c \cdot (Op.Comp_{op}\ a\ b\ c \otimes hom_o\ a)$ )

using  $y\ a\ b\ c\ Op.Comp\text{-}assoc\ [of\ y\ a\ b\ c]$  by simp
also have ... =  $hom_a\ a\ c \cdot Op.Comp_{op}\ a\ b\ c$ 
using  $y\ a\ b\ c\ C.comp\text{-}Curry\text{-}arr\ [of\ Hom\ a\ y\ Op.Comp_{op}\ a\ b\ c]$ 
                $ide\text{-}Hom\ Op.Comp\text{-}in\text{-}hom$ 
by fastforce
finally
show  $C.Comp\ (hom_o\ a)\ (hom_o\ b)\ (hom_o\ c) \cdot (hom_a\ b\ c \otimes hom_a\ a\ b) =$ 
                $hom_a\ a\ c \cdot Op.Comp_{op}\ a\ b\ c$ 
by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma *is-enriched-functor*:

shows *enriched-functor* $C\ T\ \alpha\ \iota$
 $Obj\ Op.Hom_{op}\ Id\ Op.Comp_{op}$
 $(Collect\ ide)\ exp\ C.Id\ C.Comp$
 $hom_o\ hom_a$

..

sublocale C_0 : *underlying-category* $C\ T\ \alpha\ \iota\ \langle Collect\ ide \rangle\ exp\ C.Id\ C.Comp$..

sublocale Op_0 : *underlying-category* $C\ T\ \alpha\ \iota\ Obj\ Op.Hom_{op}\ Id\ Op.Comp_{op}$..

sublocale UF : *underlying-functor* $C\ T\ \alpha\ \iota$
 $Obj\ Op.Hom_{op}\ Id\ Op.Comp_{op}$
 $\langle Collect\ ide \rangle\ exp\ C.Id\ C.Comp$
 $hom_o\ hom_a$

..

The following is Kelly's formula (1.32) for $Hom^{\leftarrow} f\ y : Hom\ b\ y \rightarrow Hom$

$a \ y.$

lemma *Kelly-1-32*:

assumes $a \in \text{Obj}$ **and** $b \in \text{Obj}$ **and** $\langle f : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{Hom } a \ b \rangle$

shows $C.\text{frmUC} \ (UF.\text{map}_0 \ (Op_0.\text{MkArr } b \ a \ f)) =$
 $\text{Comp } a \ b \ y \cdot (\text{Hom } b \ y \otimes f) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o \ b]$

proof –

have $C.\text{frmUC} \ (UF.\text{map}_0 \ (Op_0.\text{MkArr } b \ a \ f)) =$
 $(\text{Curry}[\text{Hom } a \ b, \text{hom}_o \ b, \text{hom}_o \ a] \ (Op.\text{Comp}_{op} \ y \ b \ a) \cdot f)$
 $\downarrow[\text{hom}_o \ b, \text{hom}_o \ a]$

proof –

have $C.\text{UC}.\text{arr} \ (Op_0.\text{MkArr} \ (\text{Hom } b \ y) \ (\text{Hom } a \ y))$
 $(\text{Curry}[\text{Hom } a \ b, \text{Hom } b \ y, \text{Hom } a \ y] \ (Op.\text{Comp}_{op} \ y \ b \ a) \cdot f)$
using *assms y ide-Hom*
apply *auto[1]*
using $C.\text{UC}.\text{arr-MkArr}$
 $[of \ \text{Hom } b \ y \ \text{Hom } a \ y$
 $\text{Curry}[\text{Hom } a \ b, \text{hom}_o \ b, \text{hom}_o \ a] \ (Op.\text{Comp}_{op} \ y \ b \ a) \cdot f]$

by *blast*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms UF.map₀-def Op₀.arr-MkArr UF.preserves-arr* **by** *auto*

qed

also have $1: \dots = \text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, \text{hom}_o \ b, \text{hom}_o \ a]$
 $(Op.\text{Comp}_{op} \ y \ b \ a \cdot (f \otimes \text{hom}_o \ b)) \downarrow[\text{hom}_o \ b, \text{hom}_o \ a]$

proof –

have $\text{Curry}[\text{Hom } a \ b, \text{Hom } b \ y, \text{Hom } a \ y] \ (Op.\text{Comp}_{op} \ y \ b \ a) \cdot f =$
 $\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, \text{Hom } b \ y, \text{Hom } a \ y] \ (Op.\text{Comp}_{op} \ y \ b \ a \cdot (f \otimes \text{Hom } b \ y))$
using *assms y C.comp-Curry-arr* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

also have $\dots = (Op.\text{Comp}_{op} \ y \ b \ a \cdot (f \otimes \text{Hom } b \ y)) \cdot \text{l}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o \ b]$

proof –

have $\text{arr} \ (\text{Curry}[\mathcal{I}, \text{Hom } b \ y, \text{Hom } a \ y]$
 $(Op.\text{Comp}_{op} \ y \ b \ a \cdot (f \otimes \text{Hom } b \ y)))$
using *assms y ide-Hom C.ide-unity*
by *(metis 1 C.Curry-simps(1-3) C.DN-def C.DN-simps(1) cod-comp*
 $\text{dom-comp in-homE not-arr-null seqI Op.Comp-in-hom})$

thus *?thesis*

unfolding $C.\text{DN-def}$

using *assms y ide-Hom C.ide-unity*

$C.\text{Uncurry-Curry}$

$[of \ \mathcal{I} \ \text{Hom } b \ y \ \text{Hom } a \ y \ Op.\text{Comp}_{op} \ y \ b \ a \cdot (f \otimes \text{Hom } b \ y)]$

apply *auto[1]*

by *fastforce*

qed

also have $\dots =$

$\text{Comp } a \ b \ y \cdot (\text{s}[\text{Hom } a \ b, \text{Hom } b \ y] \cdot (f \otimes \text{Hom } b \ y)) \cdot \text{l}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o \ b]$

using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = \text{Comp } a \ b \ y \cdot ((\text{Hom } b \ y \otimes f) \cdot \text{s}[\mathcal{I}, \text{Hom } b \ y]) \cdot \text{l}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o \ b]$

using *assms y C.sym-naturality [of f Hom b y]* **by** *auto*

```

also have ... = Comp a b y · (Hom b y ⊗ f) · s[I, Hom b y] · l-1[homo b]
using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... = Comp a b y · (Hom b y ⊗ f) · r-1[homo b]
proof –
  have r-1[homo b] = inv (l[homo b] · s[Hom b y, I])
    using assms y unitors-coherence by simp
  also have ... = s[I, Hom b y] · l-1[homo b]
    using assms y
  by (metis C.ide-unity inv-comp-left(1) inverse-unique
    C.iso-lunit C.iso-runit C.sym-inverse C.unitors-coherence
    Op.ide-Hom)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

abbreviation map0
where map0 a b f ≡ Comp a b y · (Hom b y ⊗ f) · r-1[homo b]

end

context elementary-closed-symmetric-monoidal-category
begin

interpretation enriched-category C T α ι ⟨Collect ide⟩ exp Id Comp
using is-enriched-in-itself by simp
interpretation self-enriched-category C T α ι exp eval Curry ..

sublocale Op: opposite-enriched-category C T α ι σ ⟨Collect ide⟩ exp Id Comp
..

lemma cnt-Exp-DN:
assumes «f : I → exp a b»
and ide a and ide b and ide y
shows Exp← (f ↓[a, b]) y =
  (Curry[exp a b, exp b y, exp a y] (Op.Compop y b a) · f)
  ↓[exp b y, exp a y]
proof –
  have (Curry[exp a b, exp b y, exp a y] (Op.Compop y b a) · f)
    ↓[exp b y, exp a y] =
    Uncurry[exp b y, exp a y]
    (Curry[I, exp b y, exp a y] (Op.Compop y b a · (f ⊗ exp b y))) ·
    l-1[exp b y]
  using assms Op.Comp-in-hom DN-def comp-Curry-arr by force
  also have ... = (Op.Compop y b a · (f ⊗ exp b y)) · l-1[exp b y]
    using assms Uncurry-Curry by auto
  also have ... = Comp a b y · (s[exp a b, exp b y] · (f ⊗ exp b y)) ·
    l-1[exp b y]
  using comp-assoc by simp

```

also have ... = $\text{Comp } a \ b \ y \cdot ((\text{exp } b \ y \otimes f) \cdot s[\mathcal{I}, \text{exp } b \ y]) \cdot l^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y]$
 using *assms sym-naturality* [of $f \text{ exp } b \ y$] **by** *auto*
 also have ... = $\text{Comp } a \ b \ y \cdot (\text{exp } b \ y \otimes f) \cdot s[\mathcal{I}, \text{exp } b \ y] \cdot l^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y]$
 using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
 also have ... = $\text{Comp } a \ b \ y \cdot (\text{exp } b \ y \otimes f) \cdot r^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y]$
proof –
 have $r^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y] = \text{inv } (l[\text{exp } b \ y] \cdot s[\text{exp } b \ y, \mathcal{I}])$
 using *assms unitor-coherence* **by** *auto*
 also have ... = $\text{inv } s[\text{exp } b \ y, \mathcal{I}] \cdot l^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y]$
 using *assms inv-comp* **by** *simp*
 also have ... = $s[\mathcal{I}, \text{exp } b \ y] \cdot l^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y]$
 using *assms*
by (*metis ide-exp ide-unity inverse-unique sym-inverse*)
 finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } b \ y \otimes \text{exp } a \ b, a, y]$
 $(\text{eval } b \ y \cdot (\text{exp } b \ y \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot a[\text{exp } b \ y, \text{exp } a \ b, a]) \cdot$
 $(\text{exp } b \ y \otimes f) \cdot r^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y]$
 unfolding *Comp-def* **by** *simp*
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } b \ y, a, y]$
 $((\text{eval } b \ y \cdot (\text{exp } b \ y \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot a[\text{exp } b \ y, \text{exp } a \ b, a]) \cdot$
 $((\text{exp } b \ y \otimes f) \cdot r^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y] \otimes a))$
 using *assms*
comp-Curry-arr
 $[of \ a \ (\text{exp } b \ y \otimes f) \cdot r^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y] \ \text{exp } b \ y \ \text{exp } b \ y \otimes \text{exp } a \ b$
 $\text{eval } b \ y \cdot (\text{exp } b \ y \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot a[\text{exp } b \ y, \text{exp } a \ b, a] \ y]$
by *auto*
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } b \ y, a, y]$
 $((\text{eval } b \ y \cdot (\text{exp } b \ y \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot a[\text{exp } b \ y, \text{exp } a \ b, a]) \cdot$
 $((\text{exp } b \ y \otimes f) \otimes a) \cdot (r^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y] \otimes a)))$
 using *assms comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr interchange* **by** *auto*
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } b \ y, a, y]$
 $(\text{eval } b \ y \cdot (\text{exp } b \ y \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot$
 $(a[\text{exp } b \ y, \text{exp } a \ b, a] \cdot ((\text{exp } b \ y \otimes f) \otimes a)) \cdot$
 $(r^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y] \otimes a))$
 using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } b \ y, a, y]$
 $(\text{eval } b \ y \cdot (\text{exp } b \ y \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot$
 $((\text{exp } b \ y \otimes f \otimes a) \cdot a[\text{exp } b \ y, \mathcal{I}, a]) \cdot$
 $(r^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y] \otimes a))$
 using *assms assoc-naturality* [of $\text{exp } b \ y \ f \ a$] **by** *auto*
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } b \ y, a, y]$
 $(\text{eval } b \ y \cdot$
 $((\text{exp } b \ y \otimes \text{eval } a \ b) \cdot (\text{exp } b \ y \otimes f \otimes a)) \cdot$
 $a[\text{exp } b \ y, \mathcal{I}, a] \cdot (r^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y] \otimes a))$
 using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
 also have ... = $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } b \ y, a, y]$
 $(\text{eval } b \ y \cdot (\text{exp } b \ y \otimes \text{Uncurry}[a, b] \ f) \cdot$
 $a[\text{exp } b \ y, \mathcal{I}, a] \cdot (r^{-1}[\text{exp } b \ y] \otimes a))$

```

    using assms comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr interchange by simp
  also have ... = Curry[exp b y, a, y]
    (eval b y · (exp b y ⊗ Uncurry[a, b] f) · (exp b y ⊗ 1-1[a]))
  proof -
    have exp b y ⊗ 1-1[a] = inv ((r[exp b y] ⊗ a) · a-1[exp b y,  $\mathcal{I}$ , a])
      using assms triangle' inv-inv iso-inv-iso
      by (metis ide-exp ide-is-iso inv-ide inv-tensor iso-lunit)
    also have ... = a[exp b y,  $\mathcal{I}$ , a] · (r-1[exp b y] ⊗ a)
      using assms inv-comp by simp
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = Curry[exp b y, a, y]
    (eval b y · (exp b y ⊗ Uncurry[a, b] f · 1-1[a]))
    using assms comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr interchange by fastforce
  also have ... = Exp← (f↓[a, b]) y
    using assms DN-def by auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

end

```

2.5 Enriched Yoneda Lemma

In this section we prove the (weak) Yoneda lemma for enriched categories, as in Kelly, Section 1.9. The weakness is due to the fact that the lemma asserts only a bijection between sets, rather than an isomorphism of objects of the underlying base category.

2.5.1 Preliminaries

The following gives conditions under which τ defined as $\tau x = (\mathcal{T} x)^\uparrow$ yields an enriched natural transformation between enriched functors F and G to the self-enriched base category.

```

context elementary-closed-monoidal-category
begin

```

```

  lemma transformation-lam-UP:

```

```

  assumes enriched-functor C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$ 

```

```

    ObjA HomA IdA CompA (Collect ide) exp Id Comp Fo Fa

```

```

  assumes enriched-functor C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$ 

```

```

    ObjA HomA IdA CompA (Collect ide) exp Id Comp Go Ga

```

```

  and  $\bigwedge x. x \notin \text{Obj}_A \implies \mathcal{T} x = \text{null}$ 

```

```

  and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Obj}_A \implies \llbracket \mathcal{T} x : F_o x \rightarrow G_o x \rrbracket$ 

```

```

  and  $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket a \in \text{Obj}_A; b \in \text{Obj}_A \rrbracket \implies$ 

```

```

     $\mathcal{T} b \cdot \text{Uncurry}[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b) =$ 

```

```

    eval (Go a) (Go b) · (Ga a b ⊗  $\mathcal{T} a$ )

```

```

  shows enriched-natural-transformation C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$ 

```

```

      ObjA HomA IdA CompA (Collect ide) exp Id Comp
      Fo Fa Go Ga (λx. (T x)†)
proof —
interpret F: enriched-functor C T α ι
      ObjA HomA IdA CompA ‹Collect ide› exp Id Comp Fo Fa
using assms(1) by blast
interpret G: enriched-functor C T α ι
      ObjA HomA IdA CompA ‹Collect ide› exp Id Comp Go Ga
using assms(2) by blast
show ?thesis
proof
show ∧x. x ∉ ObjA ⇒ (T x)† = null
  unfolding UP-def
  using assms(3) by auto
show ∧x. x ∈ ObjA ⇒ «(T x)† : I → exp (Fo x) (Go x)»
  unfolding UP-def
  using assms(4)
  apply auto[1]
  by force
fix a b
assume a: a ∈ ObjA and b: b ∈ ObjA
have 1: «(T b)† ⊗ Fa a b · l-1[HomA a b]
      : HomA a b → exp (Fo b) (Go b) ⊗ exp (Fo a) (Fo b)»
  using assms(4) [of b] a b UP-DN F.preserves-Hom
  apply (intro comp-in-homI tensor-in-homI)
  apply auto[5]
  by fastforce
have 2: «(Ga a b ⊗ (T a)†) · r-1[HomA a b]
      : HomA a b → exp (Go a) (Go b) ⊗ exp (Fo a) (Go a)»
  using assms(4) [of a] a b UP-DN F.preserves-Obj G.preserves-Hom
  apply (intro comp-in-homI tensor-in-homI)
  apply auto[5]
  by fastforce
have 3: «Comp (Fo a) (Fo b) (Go b) · ((T b)† ⊗ Fa a b) · l-1[HomA a b]
      : HomA a b → exp (Fo a) (Go b)»
  using a b 1 F.preserves-Obj G.preserves-Obj by blast
have 4: «Comp (Fo a) (Go a) (Go b) · (Ga a b ⊗ (T a)†) · r-1[HomA a b]
      : HomA a b → exp (Fo a) (Go b)»
  using a b 2 F.preserves-Obj G.preserves-Obj by blast

have Uncurry[Fo a, Go b]
  (Comp (Fo a) (Fo b) (Go b) ·
    ((T b)† ⊗ Fa a b) · l-1[HomA a b]) =
  Uncurry[Fo a, Go b]
  (Curry[exp (Fo b) (Go b) ⊗ exp (Fo a) (Fo b), Fo a, Go b]
    (eval (Fo b) (Go b) ·
      (exp (Fo b) (Go b) ⊗ eval (Fo a) (Fo b)) ·
      a[exp (Fo b) (Go b), exp (Fo a) (Fo b), Fo a]) ·
    ((T b)† ⊗ Fa a b) · l-1[HomA a b])

```

using $a\ b\ \text{Comp-def comp-assoc}$ **by** *auto*
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Uncurry}[F_o\ a,\ G_o\ b] \\ & (\text{Curry}[\text{Hom}_A\ a\ b,\ F_o\ a,\ G_o\ b] \\ & ((\text{eval}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b)) \cdot \\ & (\text{exp}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b) \otimes \text{eval}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)) \cdot \\ & \text{a}[\text{exp}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b), \text{exp}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b), F_o\ a]) \cdot \\ & (((\mathcal{T}\ b)^\uparrow \otimes F_a\ a\ b) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A\ a\ b] \otimes F_o\ a))) \end{aligned}$$
using $a\ b\ 1\ F.\text{preserves-Obj}\ G.\text{preserves-Obj}\ \text{comp-Curry-arr}$ **by** *auto*
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{eval}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b)) \cdot \\ & (\text{exp}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b) \otimes \text{eval}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)) \cdot \\ & \text{a}[\text{exp}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b), \text{exp}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b), F_o\ a]) \cdot \\ & (((\mathcal{T}\ b)^\uparrow \otimes F_a\ a\ b) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A\ a\ b] \otimes F_o\ a) \end{aligned}$$
using $a\ b\ 1\ F.\text{preserves-Obj}\ G.\text{preserves-Obj}\ \text{Uncurry-Curry}$ **by** *auto*
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{eval}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b)) \cdot \\ & (\text{exp}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b) \otimes \text{eval}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)) \cdot \\ & \text{a}[\text{exp}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b), \text{exp}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b), F_o\ a]) \cdot \\ & (((\mathcal{T}\ b)^\uparrow \otimes F_a\ a\ b) \otimes F_o\ a) \cdot (1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A\ a\ b] \otimes F_o\ a) \end{aligned}$$
proof –
have $\text{seq}\ ((\mathcal{T}\ b)^\uparrow \otimes F_a\ a\ b)\ 1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A\ a\ b]$
using $\text{assms}(4)\ a\ b\ 1\ F.\text{preserves-Hom}\ [\text{of}\ a\ b]\ \text{UP-DN}$
apply (*intro seqI*)
apply *auto*[2]
by (*metis F.A.ide-Hom arrI cod-inv dom-lunit iso-lunit seqE*)
thus *?thesis*
using $\text{assms}(3)\ a\ b\ F.\text{preserves-Obj}\ F.\text{preserves-Hom}\ \text{interchange}$
by *simp*
qed
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{eval}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b) \cdot \\ & (\text{exp}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b) \otimes \text{eval}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)) \cdot \\ & \text{a}[\text{exp}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b), \text{exp}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b), F_o\ a] \cdot \\ & (((\mathcal{T}\ b)^\uparrow \otimes F_a\ a\ b) \otimes F_o\ a) \cdot (1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A\ a\ b] \otimes F_o\ a) \end{aligned}$$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{eval}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b) \cdot \\ & (\text{exp}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b) \otimes \text{eval}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)) \cdot \\ & (((\mathcal{T}\ b)^\uparrow \otimes F_a\ a\ b \otimes F_o\ a) \cdot \\ & \text{a}[\mathcal{I}, \text{Hom}_A\ a\ b, F_o\ a]) \cdot \\ & (1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A\ a\ b] \otimes F_o\ a) \end{aligned}$$
using $\text{assms}(4)\ a\ b\ F.\text{preserves-Obj}\ F.\text{preserves-Hom}$
assoc-naturality [*of* $(\mathcal{T}\ b)^\uparrow\ F_a\ a\ b\ F_o\ a]$
by *force*
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{eval}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b) \cdot \\ & ((\text{exp}\ (F_o\ b)\ (G_o\ b) \otimes \text{eval}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)) \cdot \\ & ((\mathcal{T}\ b)^\uparrow \otimes F_a\ a\ b \otimes F_o\ a)) \cdot \end{aligned}$$

$$a[\mathcal{I}, \text{Hom}_A a b, F_o a] \cdot (1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have ... =
$$\text{eval } (F_o b) (G_o b) \cdot ((\mathcal{T} b)^\uparrow \otimes \text{Uncurry}[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b)) \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \text{Hom}_A a b, F_o a] \cdot (1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$$

proof –
have *seq* (*exp* ($F_o b$) ($G_o b$)) (*UP* ($\mathcal{T} b$))
using *assms*(4) *b F.preserves-Obj G.preserves-Obj* **by** *fastforce*
moreover have *seq* (*eval* ($F_o a$) ($F_o b$)) ($F_a a b \otimes F_o a$)
using *a b F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom* **by** *force*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *assms*(4) [*of b*] *a b UP-DN(1) comp-cod-arr interchange* **by** *auto*
qed

also have ... =
$$\text{eval } (F_o b) (G_o b) \cdot (((\mathcal{T} b)^\uparrow \otimes F_o b) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Uncurry}[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b))) \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \text{Hom}_A a b, F_o a] \cdot (1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$$
using *assms*(4) [*of b*] *a b F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom [of a b]*
comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr [of Uncurry[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b)]
interchange [of (\mathcal{T} b)^\uparrow \mathcal{I} F_o b Uncurry[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b)]
by *auto*

also have ... =
$$\text{Uncurry}[F_o b, G_o b] ((\mathcal{T} b)^\uparrow) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Uncurry}[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b)) \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \text{Hom}_A a b, F_o a] \cdot (1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have ... = ($\mathcal{T} b \cdot 1[F_o b]$) ·
$$(\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Uncurry}[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b)) \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \text{Hom}_A a b, F_o a] \cdot (1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$$

proof –
have $\text{Uncurry}[F_o b, G_o b] ((\mathcal{T} b)^\uparrow) = \mathcal{T} b \cdot 1[F_o b]$
unfolding *UP-def*
using *assms*(4) *a b Uncurry-Curry*
apply *simp*
by (*metis F.preserves-Obj arr-lunit cod-lunit comp-in-homI' dom-lunit ide-cod ide-unity in-homE mem-Collect-eq*)
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

also have ... = $\mathcal{T} b \cdot (1[F_o b] \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Uncurry}[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b))) \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, \text{Hom}_A a b, F_o a] \cdot (1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have ... = $\mathcal{T} b \cdot (\text{Uncurry}[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b) \cdot 1[\text{Hom}_A a b \otimes F_o a])$

$$a[\mathcal{I}, \text{Hom}_A a b, F_o a] \cdot (1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$$
using *a b lunit-naturality [of Uncurry[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b)]*
F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom [of a b]
by *auto*

also have ... = $\mathcal{T} b \cdot \text{Uncurry}[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b) \cdot$

$$l[Hom_A a b \otimes F_o a] \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, Hom_A a b, F_o a] \cdot$$

$$(l^{-1}[Hom_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = \mathcal{T} b \cdot Uncurry[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b)$

proof –

have $l[Hom_A a b \otimes F_o a] \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, Hom_A a b, F_o a] \cdot$

$$(l^{-1}[Hom_A a b] \otimes F_o a) =$$

$$Hom_A a b \otimes F_o a$$

proof –

have $l[Hom_A a b \otimes F_o a] \cdot a[\mathcal{I}, Hom_A a b, F_o a] \cdot$

$$(l^{-1}[Hom_A a b] \otimes F_o a) =$$

$$(l[Hom_A a b] \otimes F_o a) \cdot (l^{-1}[Hom_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$$
using *a b lunit-tensor'* [of *Hom_A a b F_o a*]

by (*metis F.A.ide-Hom F.preserves-Obj comp-assoc mem-Collect-eq*)

also have $\dots = l[Hom_A a b] \cdot l^{-1}[Hom_A a b] \otimes F_o a \cdot F_o a$
using *a b interchange F.preserves-Obj* **by** *force*

also have $\dots = Hom_A a b \otimes F_o a$
using *a b F.preserves-Obj* **by** *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

thus *?thesis*

using *a b F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom [of a b] comp-arr-dom*

by *auto*

qed

finally have *LHS: Uncurry[F_o a, G_o b]*

$$(Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (G_o b) \cdot ((\mathcal{T} b)^\dagger \otimes F_a a b) \cdot$$

$$l^{-1}[Hom_A a b]) =$$

$$\mathcal{T} b \cdot Uncurry[F_o a, F_o b] (F_a a b)$$

by *blast*

have $Uncurry[F_o a, G_o b] (Comp (F_o a) (G_o a) (G_o b) \cdot$

$$(G_a a b \otimes (\mathcal{T} a)^\dagger) \cdot r^{-1}[Hom_A a b]) =$$

$$Uncurry[F_o a, G_o b]$$

$$(Curry[exp (G_o a) (G_o b) \otimes exp (F_o a) (G_o a), F_o a, G_o b]$$

$$(eval (G_o a) (G_o b) \cdot$$

$$(exp (G_o a) (G_o b) \otimes eval (F_o a) (G_o a)) \cdot$$

$$a[exp (G_o a) (G_o b), exp (F_o a) (G_o a), F_o a]) \cdot$$

$$(G_a a b \otimes (\mathcal{T} a)^\dagger) \cdot r^{-1}[Hom_A a b])$$

using *a b Comp-def comp-assoc* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots =$

$$Uncurry[F_o a, G_o b]$$

$$(Curry[Hom_A a b, F_o a, G_o b]$$

$$((eval (G_o a) (G_o b) \cdot$$

$$(exp (G_o a) (G_o b) \otimes eval (F_o a) (G_o a)) \cdot$$

$$a[exp (G_o a) (G_o b), exp (F_o a) (G_o a), F_o a]) \cdot$$

$$((G_a a b \otimes (\mathcal{T} a)^\dagger) \cdot r^{-1}[Hom_A a b] \otimes F_o a)))$$

using *assms(3) a b 2 F.preserves-Obj G.preserves-Obj comp-Curry-arr*

by *auto*

also have $\dots =$

```

      (eval (Go a) (Go b) ·
        (exp (Go a) (Go b) ⊗ eval (Fo a) (Go a)) ·
        a[exp (Go a) (Go b), exp (Fo a) (Go a), Fo a]) ·
        ((Ga a b ⊗ (T a)†) · r-1[HomA a b] ⊗ Fo a)
    using assms(3) a b 2 F.preserves-Obj G.preserves-Obj Uncurry-Curry
    by auto
  also have ... =
    (eval (Go a) (Go b) ·
      (exp (Go a) (Go b) ⊗ eval (Fo a) (Go a)) ·
      a[exp (Go a) (Go b), exp (Fo a) (Go a), Fo a]) ·
      (((Ga a b ⊗ (T a)†) ⊗ Fo a) · (r-1[HomA a b] ⊗ Fo a))
    using assms(4) a b F.preserves-Obj G.preserves-Hom
      interchange [of Ga a b ⊗ (T a)† r-1[HomA a b] Fo a Fo a]
    by fastforce
  also have ... =
    eval (Go a) (Go b) ·
      (exp (Go a) (Go b) ⊗ eval (Fo a) (Go a)) ·
      (a[exp (Go a) (Go b), exp (Fo a) (Go a), Fo a] ·
        ((Ga a b ⊗ (T a)†) ⊗ Fo a)) · (r-1[HomA a b] ⊗ Fo a)
    using comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... =
    eval (Go a) (Go b) ·
      (exp (Go a) (Go b) ⊗ eval (Fo a) (Go a)) ·
      ((Ga a b ⊗ (T a)† ⊗ Fo a) ·
        a[HomA a b, I, Fo a]) ·
        (r-1[HomA a b] ⊗ Fo a)
    using assms(4) a b F.preserves-Obj G.preserves-Hom
      assoc-naturality [of Ga a b (T a)† Fo a]
    by fastforce
  also have ... =
    eval (Go a) (Go b) ·
      ((exp (Go a) (Go b) ⊗ eval (Fo a) (Go a)) ·
        (Ga a b ⊗ (T a)† ⊗ Fo a)) ·
        a[HomA a b, I, Fo a] · (r-1[HomA a b] ⊗ Fo a)
    using comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... =
    eval (Go a) (Go b) ·
      (Ga a b ⊗ Uncurry[Fo a, Go a] ((T a)†)) ·
      a[HomA a b, I, Fo a] · (r-1[HomA a b] ⊗ Fo a)
    using assms(4) a b F.preserves-Obj G.preserves-Obj
      G.preserves-Hom [of a b] comp-cod-arr interchange
    by fastforce
  also have ... =
    eval (Go a) (Go b) ·
      ((Ga a b ⊗ Go a) · (HomA a b ⊗ Uncurry[Fo a, Go a] ((T a)†))) ·
      a[HomA a b, I, Fo a] · (r-1[HomA a b] ⊗ Fo a)
  proof -
    have seq (Go a) (Uncurry[Fo a, Go a] ((T a)†))
      using assms(4) [of a] a b F.preserves-Obj G.preserves-Obj by auto

```

moreover have $G_o a \cdot \text{Uncurry}[F_o a, G_o a] ((\mathcal{T} a)^\dagger) =$
 $\text{Uncurry}[F_o a, G_o a] ((\mathcal{T} a)^\dagger)$
using $a b F.\text{preserves-Obj } G.\text{preserves-Obj calculation}(1)$
 comp-ide-arr
by *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using $\text{assms}(3) a b G.\text{preserves-Hom [of } a b \text{] interchange}$
 comp-arr-dom
by *auto*
qed
also have $\dots =$
 $\text{Uncurry}[G_o a, G_o b] (G_a a b) \cdot$
 $(\text{Hom}_A a b \otimes \text{Uncurry}[F_o a, G_o a] ((\mathcal{T} a)^\dagger)) \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom}_A a b, \mathcal{I}, F_o a] \cdot (r^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$
using comp-assoc **by** *simp*
also have $\dots =$
 $\text{Uncurry}[G_o a, G_o b] (G_a a b) \cdot$
 $(\text{Hom}_A a b \otimes \mathcal{T} a \cdot l[F_o a]) \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom}_A a b, \mathcal{I}, F_o a] \cdot (r^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$
using $\text{assms}(4) \text{ [of } a] a b F.\text{preserves-Obj } G.\text{preserves-Obj UP-def}$
 Uncurry-Curry
by *auto*
also have $\dots =$
 $\text{Uncurry}[G_o a, G_o b] (G_a a b) \cdot$
 $((\text{Hom}_A a b \otimes \mathcal{T} a) \cdot (\text{Hom}_A a b \otimes l[F_o a])) \cdot$
 $a[\text{Hom}_A a b, \mathcal{I}, F_o a] \cdot (r^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$
using $\text{assms}(4) \text{ [of } a] a b F.\text{preserves-Obj } G.\text{preserves-Obj interchange}$
by *auto*
also have $\dots =$
 $\text{Uncurry}[G_o a, G_o b] (G_a a b) \cdot (\text{Hom}_A a b \otimes \mathcal{T} a) \cdot$
 $(\text{Hom}_A a b \otimes l[F_o a]) \cdot a[\text{Hom}_A a b, \mathcal{I}, F_o a] \cdot$
 $(r^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$
using comp-assoc **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{Uncurry}[G_o a, G_o b] (G_a a b) \cdot (\text{Hom}_A a b \otimes \mathcal{T} a)$
proof –
have $(\text{Hom}_A a b \otimes l[F_o a]) \cdot a[\text{Hom}_A a b, \mathcal{I}, F_o a] \cdot$
 $(r^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a) =$
 $\text{Hom}_A a b \otimes F_o a$
proof –
have $(\text{Hom}_A a b \otimes l[F_o a]) \cdot a[\text{Hom}_A a b, \mathcal{I}, F_o a] \cdot$
 $(r^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a) =$
 $(r[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a) \cdot (r^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a)$
using $a b \text{ triangle [of } \text{Hom}_A a b F_o a]$
by $(\text{metis } F.A.\text{ide-Hom } F.\text{preserves-Obj comp-assoc mem-Collect-eq})$
also have $\dots = r[\text{Hom}_A a b] \cdot r^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b] \otimes F_o a \cdot F_o a$
using $a b \text{ interchange } F.\text{preserves-Obj}$ **by** *force*
also have $\dots = \text{Hom}_A a b \otimes F_o a$
using $a b F.\text{preserves-Obj}$ **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

```

qed
thus ?thesis
  using assms(4) [of a] a b comp-arr-dom by auto
qed
also have ... = eval (Go a) (Go b) · (Ga a b ⊗ Go a) · (HomA a b ⊗ T a)
  using comp-assoc by auto
also have ... = eval (Go a) (Go b) · (Ga a b ⊗ T a)
  using assms(4) a b G.preserves-Hom comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr
    interchange
  by (metis in-homE)
finally have RHS: Uncurry[Fo a, Go b]
  (Comp (Fo a) (Go a) (Go b) · (Ga a b ⊗ (T a)†) ·
    r-1[HomA a b]) =
  eval (Go a) (Go b) · (Ga a b ⊗ T a)
  by blast

have Uncurry[Fo a, Go b]
  (Comp (Fo a) (Fo b) (Go b) · ((T b)† ⊗ Fa a b) · l-1[HomA a b]) =
  Uncurry[Fo a, Go b]
  (Comp (Fo a) (Go a) (Go b) · (Ga a b ⊗ (T a)†) · r-1[HomA a b])
  using a b assms(5) LHS RHS by simp
moreover have «Comp (Fo a) (Fo b) (Go b) ·
  ((T b)† ⊗ Fa a b) · l-1[HomA a b]
  : HomA a b → exp (Fo a) (Go b)»
  using assms(4) a b 1 F.preserves-Obj G.preserves-Obj
    F.preserves-Hom G.preserves-Hom
  apply (intro comp-in-homI' seqI)
  apply auto[1]
  by fastforce+
moreover have «Comp (Fo a) (Go a) (Go b) ·
  (Ga a b ⊗ (T a)†) · r-1[HomA a b]
  : HomA a b → exp (Fo a) (Go b)»
  using assms(4) a b 2 UP-DN(1) F.preserves-Obj G.preserves-Obj
    F.preserves-Hom G.preserves-Hom [of a b]
  apply (intro comp-in-homI' seqI)
  apply auto[7]
  by fastforce
ultimately show Comp (Fo a) (Fo b) (Go b) ·
  ((T b)† ⊗ Fa a b) · l-1[HomA a b] =
  Comp (Fo a) (Go a) (Go b) ·
  (Ga a b ⊗ (T a)†) · r-1[HomA a b]
  using a b Curry-Uncurry F.A.ide-Hom F.preserves-Obj
    G.preserves-Obj mem-Collect-eq
  by metis
qed
qed
end

```

Kelly (1.39) expresses enriched naturality in an alternate form, using

the underlying functors of the covariant and contravariant enriched hom functors.

```

locale Kelly-1-39 =
  symmetric-monoidal-category +
  elementary-closed-monoidal-category +
  enriched-natural-transformation
  for  $a :: 'a$ 
  and  $b :: 'a +$ 
  assumes  $a: a \in \text{Obj}_A$ 
  and  $b: b \in \text{Obj}_A$ 
begin

  interpretation enriched-category  $C \ T \ \alpha \ \iota \ \langle \text{Collect ide} \rangle \ \exp \ \text{Id} \ \text{Comp}$ 
    using is-enriched-in-itself by blast
  interpretation self-enriched-category  $C \ T \ \alpha \ \iota \ \exp \ \text{eval} \ \text{Curry}$ 
  ..

```

```

sublocale cov-Hom: covariant-Hom  $C \ T \ \alpha \ \iota$ 
   $\exp \ \text{eval} \ \text{Curry} \ \text{Obj}_B \ \text{Hom}_B \ \text{Id}_B \ \text{Comp}_B \ \langle F_o \ a \rangle$ 
  using  $a \ F.\text{preserves-Obj}$  by unfold-locale
sublocale cnt-Hom: contravariant-Hom  $C \ T \ \alpha \ \iota \ \sigma$ 
   $\exp \ \text{eval} \ \text{Curry} \ \text{Obj}_B \ \text{Hom}_B \ \text{Id}_B \ \text{Comp}_B \ \langle G_o \ b \rangle$ 
  using  $b \ G.\text{preserves-Obj}$  by unfold-locale

```

lemma *Kelly-1-39*:

shows $\text{cov-Hom.map}_0 \ (F_o \ b) \ (G_o \ b) \ (\tau \ b) \cdot F_a \ a \ b =$
 $\text{cnt-Hom.map}_0 \ (F_o \ a) \ (G_o \ a) \ (\tau \ a) \cdot G_a \ a \ b$

proof –

have $\text{cov-Hom.map}_0 \ (F_o \ b) \ (G_o \ b) \ (\tau \ b) \cdot F_a \ a \ b =$
 $\text{Comp}_B \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \ (G_o \ b) \cdot (\tau \ b \otimes F_a \ a \ b) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A \ a \ b]$

proof –

have $\text{cov-Hom.map}_0 \ (F_o \ b) \ (G_o \ b) \ (\tau \ b) \cdot F_a \ a \ b =$
 $\text{Comp}_B \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \ (G_o \ b) \cdot$
 $(\tau \ b \otimes \text{Hom}_B \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b)) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_B \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b)] \cdot F_a \ a \ b$

using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = \text{Comp}_B \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \ (G_o \ b) \cdot$
 $(\tau \ b \otimes \text{Hom}_B \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b)) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes F_a \ a \ b) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A \ a \ b]$

using $a \ b \ \text{lunit'-naturality} \ F.\text{preserves-Hom} \ [\text{of } a \ b]$ **by** *fastforce*

also have $\dots = \text{Comp}_B \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \ (G_o \ b) \cdot$
 $((\tau \ b \otimes \text{Hom}_B \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b)) \cdot (\mathcal{I} \otimes F_a \ a \ b)) \cdot$
 $1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A \ a \ b]$

using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = \text{Comp}_B \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \ (G_o \ b) \cdot (\tau \ b \otimes F_a \ a \ b) \cdot$
 $1^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A \ a \ b]$

using $a \ b \ \text{component-in-hom} \ [\text{of } b] \ F.\text{preserves-Hom} \ [\text{of } a \ b]$

comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr $[\text{of } F_a \ a \ b \ \text{Hom}_B \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b)]$

interchange

by *fastforce*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed
moreover have $\text{cnt-Hom.map}_0 (F_o a) (G_o a) (\tau a) \cdot G_a a b =$
 $\text{Comp}_B (F_o a) (G_o a) (G_o b) \cdot (G_a a b \otimes \tau a) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b]$
proof –
have $\text{cnt-Hom.map}_0 (F_o a) (G_o a) (\tau a) \cdot G_a a b =$
 $\text{Comp}_B (F_o a) (G_o a) (G_o b) \cdot (\text{Hom}_B (G_o a) (G_o b) \otimes \tau a) \cdot$
 $\text{r}^{-1}[\text{Hom}_B (G_o a) (G_o b)] \cdot G_a a b$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{Comp}_B (F_o a) (G_o a) (G_o b) \cdot$
 $(\text{Hom}_B (G_o a) (G_o b) \otimes \tau a) \cdot (G_a a b \otimes \mathcal{I}) \cdot$
 $\text{r}^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b]$
using *a b runit'-naturality* *G.preserves-Hom [of a b]* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = \text{Comp}_B (F_o a) (G_o a) (G_o b) \cdot$
 $((\text{Hom}_B (G_o a) (G_o b) \otimes \tau a) \cdot (G_a a b \otimes \mathcal{I})) \cdot$
 $\text{r}^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b]$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{Comp}_B (F_o a) (G_o a) (G_o b) \cdot (G_a a b \otimes \tau a) \cdot$
 $\text{r}^{-1}[\text{Hom}_A a b]$
using *a b interchange component-in-hom [of a]* *G.preserves-Hom [of a b]*
comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr [of G_a a b Hom_B (G_o a) (G_o b)]
by *fastforce*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *a b naturality* **by** *simp*
qed
end

2.5.2 Covariant Case

locale *covariant-yoneda-lemma* =
symmetric-monoidal-category +
C: closed-symmetric-monoidal-category +
covariant-Hom +
F: enriched-functor C T α ι Obj Hom Id Comp <Collect ide> exp C.Id C.Comp
begin

interpretation *C: elementary-closed-symmetric-monoidal-category C T α ι σ*
exp eval Curry ..

interpretation *C: self-enriched-category C T α ι exp eval Curry ..*

Every element $e : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow F_o x$ of $F_o x$ determines an enriched natural transformation $\tau_e : \text{hom } x \rightarrow F$. The formula here is Kelly (1.47): $\tau_e y : \text{hom } x y \rightarrow F y$ is obtained as the composite:

$$\text{hom } x y \xrightarrow{F_a x y} \text{exp } (F x) (F y) \xrightarrow{\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow e} (F y)} \text{exp } \mathcal{I} (F y) \longrightarrow F y$$

where the third component is a canonical isomorphism. This basically amounts to evaluating $F_a x y$ on element e of $F_o x$ to obtain an element of

$F_o y$.

Note that the above composite gives an arrow $\tau_e y: \text{hom } x \ y \rightarrow F \ y$, whereas the definition of enriched natural transformation formally requires $\tau_e y: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{exp } (\text{hom } x \ y) \ (F \ y)$. So we need to transform the composite to achieve that.

abbreviation *generated-transformation*

where *generated-transformation* $e \equiv$

$$\lambda y. (\text{eval } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ y) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ y)] \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ y) \cdot F_a \ x \ y)^{\uparrow}$$

lemma *enriched-natural-transformation-generated-transformation:*

assumes $\langle e : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow F_o \ x \rangle$

shows *enriched-natural-transformation* $C \ T \ \alpha \ \iota$

$$\text{Obj Hom Id Comp } (\text{Collect ide}) \ \text{exp } C.\text{Id } C.\text{Comp}$$

$$\text{hom}_o \ \text{hom}_a \ F_o \ F_a \ (\text{generated-transformation } e)$$

proof (*intro C.transformation-lam-UP*)

show $\bigwedge y. y \notin \text{Obj} \implies$

$$\text{eval } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ y) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ y)] \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ y) \cdot F_a \ x \ y = \text{null}$$

by (*simp add: F.extensionality*)

show *enriched-functor* $(\cdot) \ T \ \alpha \ \iota \ \text{Obj Hom Id Comp}$

$$(\text{Collect ide}) \ \text{exp } C.\text{Id } C.\text{Comp} \ \text{hom}_o \ \text{hom}_a$$

..

show *enriched-functor* $(\cdot) \ T \ \alpha \ \iota \ \text{Obj Hom Id Comp}$

$$(\text{Collect ide}) \ \text{exp } C.\text{Id } C.\text{Comp} \ F_o \ F_a$$

..

show $\ast: \bigwedge y. y \in \text{Obj} \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \text{eval } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ y) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ y)] \cdot \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ y) \cdot F_a \ x \ y \\ & : \text{hom}_o \ y \rightarrow F_o \ y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

using *assms x F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom*

apply (*intro in-homI seqI*)

apply *auto[6]*

by *fastforce+*

show $\bigwedge a \ b. \llbracket a \in \text{Obj}; b \in \text{Obj} \rrbracket \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{eval } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ b) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ b)] \cdot \\ & \quad \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ b) \cdot F_a \ x \ b) \cdot \\ & \quad \text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o \ a, \text{hom}_o \ b] \ (\text{hom}_a \ a \ b) = \\ & \text{eval } (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \cdot \\ & \quad (F_a \ a \ b \otimes \text{eval } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ a) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ a)] \cdot \\ & \quad \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ a) \cdot F_a \ x \ a) \end{aligned}$$

proof –

fix $a \ b$

assume $a: a \in \text{Obj}$ **and** $b: b \in \text{Obj}$

have $(\text{eval } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ b) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ b)] \cdot$

$$\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ b) \cdot F_a \ x \ b) \cdot \text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o \ a, \text{hom}_o \ b] \ (\text{hom}_a \ a \ b) =$$

$$\text{eval } (F_o \ x) \ (F_o \ b) \cdot (F_a \ x \ b \cdot \text{Comp } x \ a \ b \otimes e) \cdot$$

$$\text{r}^{-1}[\text{Hom } a \ b \otimes \text{hom}_o \ a]$$

proof –

have $(\text{eval } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ b) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ b)] \cdot$

$$\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ b) \cdot F_a \ x \ b) \cdot \text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o \ a, \text{hom}_o \ b] \ (\text{hom}_a \ a \ b) =$$

$eval \mathcal{I} (F_o b) \cdot$
 $(r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (F_o b)] \cdot Exp^{\leftarrow} e (F_o b) \cdot F_a x b) \cdot$
 $Uncurry[hom_o a, hom_o b] (hom_a a b)$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $... = eval \mathcal{I} (F_o b) \cdot$
 $(r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (F_o b)] \cdot Exp^{\leftarrow} e (F_o b) \cdot F_a x b) \cdot$
 $Comp x a b$
using $a b x C.Uncurry-Curry [of - hom_o a hom_o b]$ *Comp-in-hom*
by *auto*
also have $... = eval \mathcal{I} (F_o b) \cdot$
 $((Exp^{\leftarrow} e (F_o b) \cdot F_a x b \otimes \mathcal{I})) \cdot$
 $r^{-1}[hom_o b]) \cdot Comp x a b$
proof –
have $\ll Exp^{\leftarrow} e (F_o b) \cdot F_a x b : hom_o b \rightarrow exp \mathcal{I} (F_o b) \gg$
using *assms* $a b x F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom [of x b]$ **by** *force*
thus *?thesis*
using $a b F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom$
 $runit'-naturality [of Exp^{\leftarrow} e (F_o b) \cdot F_a x b]$
by *auto*
qed
also have $... = eval \mathcal{I} (F_o b) \cdot$
 $(((Exp^{\leftarrow} e (F_o b) \otimes \mathcal{I}) \cdot (F_a x b \otimes \mathcal{I})) \cdot$
 $r^{-1}[hom_o b]) \cdot$
 $Comp x a b$
using *assms* $a b x F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom [of x b]$
 $interchange [of Exp^{\leftarrow} e (F_o b) F_a x b \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}]$
by *fastforce*
also have $... = Uncurry[\mathcal{I}, F_o b] (Exp^{\leftarrow} e (F_o b)) \cdot (F_a x b \otimes \mathcal{I}) \cdot$
 $r^{-1}[hom_o b] \cdot Comp x a b$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $... = (eval (F_o x) (F_o b) \cdot (exp (F_o x) (F_o b) \otimes e)) \cdot$
 $(F_a x b \otimes \mathcal{I}) \cdot r^{-1}[hom_o b] \cdot Comp x a b$
using *assms* $a b x F.preserves-Obj C.Uncurry-Curry$ **by** *auto*
also have $... = eval (F_o x) (F_o b) \cdot$
 $((exp (F_o x) (F_o b) \otimes e) \cdot (F_a x b \otimes \mathcal{I})) \cdot$
 $r^{-1}[hom_o b] \cdot Comp x a b$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $... = eval (F_o x) (F_o b) \cdot (F_a x b \otimes e) \cdot r^{-1}[hom_o b] \cdot$
 $Comp x a b$
using *assms* $a b x F.preserves-Hom [of x b]$
 $comp-cod-arr [of F_a x b exp (F_o x) (F_o b)]$ *comp-arr-dom*
 $interchange$
by *fastforce*
also have $... = eval (F_o x) (F_o b) \cdot (F_a x b \otimes e) \cdot$
 $(Comp x a b \otimes \mathcal{I}) \cdot r^{-1}[Hom a b \otimes hom_o a]$
using *assms* $a b x runit'-naturality [of Comp x a b]$
 $Comp-in-hom [of x a b]$
by *auto*
also have $... = eval (F_o x) (F_o b) \cdot ((F_a x b \otimes e) \cdot (Comp x a b \otimes \mathcal{I})) \cdot$

$$\text{r}^{-1}[\text{Hom } a \ b \otimes \text{hom}_o \ a]$$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{eval } (F_o \ x) \ (F_o \ b) \cdot (F_a \ x \ b \cdot \text{Comp } x \ a \ b \otimes e) \cdot$

$$\text{r}^{-1}[\text{Hom } a \ b \otimes \text{hom}_o \ a]$$
using *assms* $a \ b \ x \ F.\text{preserves-Hom} \ [\text{of } x \ b] \ \text{Comp-in-hom comp-arr-dom}$
interchange $[\text{of } F_a \ x \ b \ \text{Comp } x \ a \ b \ e \ \mathcal{I}]$
by *fastforce*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
also have $\dots = \text{eval } (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \cdot$

$$(F_a \ a \ b \otimes \text{eval } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ a) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ a)]) \cdot$$

$$\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ a) \cdot F_a \ x \ a)$$
proof –
have $\text{eval } (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \cdot$

$$(F_a \ a \ b \otimes \text{eval } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ a) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ a)]) \cdot$$

$$\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ a) \cdot F_a \ x \ a) =$$

$$\text{eval } (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \cdot$$

$$(F_a \ a \ b \otimes \text{eval } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ a) \cdot (\text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ a)]) \cdot$$

$$\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ a)) \cdot F_a \ x \ a)$$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots =$

$$\text{eval } (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \cdot$$

$$(F_a \ a \ b \otimes \text{eval } \mathcal{I} \ (F_o \ a) \cdot$$

$$((\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ a) \otimes \mathcal{I}) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } (F_o \ x) \ (F_o \ a)]) \cdot$$

$$F_a \ x \ a)$$
using *assms* $a \ b \ x \ F.\text{preserves-Obj } F.\text{preserves-Hom}$
runit'-naturality $[\text{of } \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ a)]$
by *auto*
also have $\dots =$

$$\text{eval } (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \cdot$$

$$(F_a \ a \ b \otimes$$

$$\text{Uncurry}[\mathcal{I}, F_o \ a] \ (\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} e \ (F_o \ a)) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } (F_o \ x) \ (F_o \ a)]) \cdot$$

$$F_a \ x \ a)$$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots =$

$$\text{eval } (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \cdot$$

$$(F_a \ a \ b \otimes$$

$$(\text{eval } (F_o \ x) \ (F_o \ a) \cdot (\text{exp } (F_o \ x) \ (F_o \ a) \otimes e)) \cdot$$

$$\text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } (F_o \ x) \ (F_o \ a)] \cdot F_a \ x \ a)$$
using *assms* $a \ b \ x \ F.\text{preserves-Obj } C.\text{Uncurry-Curry}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots =$

$$\text{eval } (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \cdot$$

$$(F_a \ a \ b \otimes$$

$$(\text{eval } (F_o \ x) \ (F_o \ a) \cdot (\text{exp } (F_o \ x) \ (F_o \ a) \otimes e)) \cdot$$

$$(F_a \ x \ a \otimes \mathcal{I}) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o \ a])$$
using $a \ b \ x \ F.\text{preserves-Hom} \ [\text{of } x \ a] \ \text{runit'-naturality}$ **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots =$

$$\text{eval } (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ b) \cdot$$

$$(F_a \ a \ b \otimes$$

$$\text{eval } (F_o x) (F_o a) \cdot (\text{exp } (F_o x) (F_o a) \otimes e) \cdot$$

$$(F_a x a \otimes \mathcal{I}) \cdot r^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a]$$

using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have ... =

$$\text{eval } (F_o a) (F_o b) \cdot$$

$$(F_a a b \otimes \text{eval } (F_o x) (F_o a) \cdot (F_a x a \otimes e) \cdot r^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$$

using *assms a b x F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom F.preserves-Hom*

$$\text{comp-arr-dom [of } e \mathcal{I}]$$

$$\text{comp-cod-arr [of } F_a x a \text{ exp } (F_o x) (F_o a)]$$

$$\text{interchange [of exp } (F_o x) (F_o a) F_a x a e \mathcal{I}] \text{ comp-assoc}$$

by (*metis in-homE*)

also have ... =

$$\text{eval } (F_o a) (F_o b) \cdot$$

$$(\text{exp } (F_o a) (F_o b) \otimes \text{eval } (F_o x) (F_o a)) \cdot$$

$$(F_a a b \otimes (F_a x a \otimes e) \cdot r^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$$

using *assms a b x F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom [of x a]*

$$F.\text{preserves-Hom [of } a b]$$

$$\text{comp-cod-arr [of } F_a a b \text{ exp } (F_o a) (F_o b)]$$

$$\text{interchange$$

$$[\text{of exp } (F_o a) (F_o b) F_a a b$$

$$\text{eval } (F_o x) (F_o a) (F_a x a \otimes e) \cdot r^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a]]$$

by *fastforce*

also have ... = $(\text{eval } (F_o a) (F_o b) \cdot$

$$(\text{exp } (F_o a) (F_o b) \otimes \text{eval } (F_o x) (F_o a))) \cdot$$

$$(F_a a b \otimes (F_a x a \otimes e) \cdot r^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$$

using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have ... = $(\text{eval } (F_o a) (F_o b) \cdot$

$$(\text{exp } (F_o a) (F_o b) \otimes \text{eval } (F_o x) (F_o a))) \cdot$$

$$(F_a a b \otimes (F_a x a \otimes e)) \cdot (\text{Hom } a b \otimes r^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$$

using *assms a b x F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom [of a b]*

$$F.\text{preserves-Hom [of } x a] \text{ comp-arr-dom [of } F_a a b \text{ Hom } a b]$$

$$\text{interchange [of } F_a a b \text{ Hom } a b F_a x a \otimes e r^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a]]$$

by *fastforce*

also have ... = $(\text{eval } (F_o a) (F_o b) \cdot$

$$(\text{exp } (F_o a) (F_o b) \otimes \text{eval } (F_o x) (F_o a))) \cdot$$

$$(\text{exp } (F_o a) (F_o b) \otimes \text{exp } (F_o x) (F_o a) \otimes F_o x) \cdot$$

$$(F_a a b \otimes F_a x a \otimes e) \cdot (\text{Hom } a b \otimes r^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$$

using *assms a b x F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom [of a b]*

$$F.\text{preserves-Hom [of } x a]$$

$$\text{comp-cod-arr [of } (F_a a b \otimes F_a x a \otimes e) \cdot (\text{Hom } a b \otimes r^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$$

$$\text{exp } (F_o a) (F_o b) \otimes \text{exp } (F_o x) (F_o a) \otimes F_o x]$$

by *fastforce*

also have ... = $(\text{eval } (F_o a) (F_o b) \cdot$

$$(\text{exp } (F_o a) (F_o b) \otimes \text{eval } (F_o x) (F_o a))) \cdot$$

$$a[\text{exp } (F_o a) (F_o b), \text{exp } (F_o x) (F_o a), F_o x] \cdot$$

$$a^{-1}[\text{exp } (F_o a) (F_o b), \text{exp } (F_o x) (F_o a), F_o x]] \cdot$$

$$(F_a a b \otimes (F_a x a \otimes e)) \cdot (\text{Hom } a b \otimes r^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$$

using *assms a b x F.preserves-Obj comp-assoc-assoc'* **by** *simp*

also have ... = $(\text{eval } (F_o a) (F_o b) \cdot$

$(\exp (F_o a) (F_o b) \otimes \text{eval} (F_o x) (F_o a)) \cdot$
 $\text{a}[\exp (F_o a) (F_o b), \exp (F_o x) (F_o a), F_o x] \cdot$
 $(\text{a}^{-1}[\exp (F_o a) (F_o b), \exp (F_o x) (F_o a), F_o x] \cdot$
 $(F_a a b \otimes F_a x a \otimes e)) \cdot (\text{Hom } a b \otimes \text{r}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$
using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... = $\text{Uncurry}[F_o x, F_o b] (C.\text{Comp} (F_o x) (F_o a) (F_o b)) \cdot$
 $(\text{a}^{-1}[\exp (F_o a) (F_o b), \exp (F_o x) (F_o a), F_o x] \cdot$
 $(F_a a b \otimes F_a x a \otimes e)) \cdot (\text{Hom } a b \otimes \text{r}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$
using assms a b x F.preserves-Obj C.Uncurry-Curry C.Comp-def
by auto
also have ... = $\text{Uncurry}[F_o x, F_o b] (C.\text{Comp} (F_o x) (F_o a) (F_o b)) \cdot$
 $((F_a a b \otimes F_a x a \otimes e) \cdot \text{a}^{-1}[\text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a, \mathcal{I}]) \cdot$
 $(\text{Hom } a b \otimes \text{r}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$
using assms a b x F.preserves-Hom [of a b] F.preserves-Hom [of x a]
assoc'-naturality [of F_a a b F_a x a e]
by fastforce
also have ... = $\text{eval} (F_o x) (F_o b) \cdot$
 $((C.\text{Comp} (F_o x) (F_o a) (F_o b) \otimes F_o x) \cdot$
 $((F_a a b \otimes F_a x a \otimes e)) \cdot$
 $\text{a}^{-1}[\text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a, \mathcal{I}] \cdot (\text{Hom } a b \otimes \text{r}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$
using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... = $\text{eval} (F_o x) (F_o b) \cdot$
 $(C.\text{Comp} (F_o x) (F_o a) (F_o b) \cdot (F_a a b \otimes F_a x a \otimes e) \cdot$
 $\text{a}^{-1}[\text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a, \mathcal{I}] \cdot (\text{Hom } a b \otimes \text{r}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$
using assms a b x F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom [of a b]
F.preserves-Hom [of x a] comp-cod-arr [of e F_o x]
interchange
 $[of C.\text{Comp} (F_o x) (F_o a) (F_o b) F_a a b \otimes F_a x a F_o x e]$
by fastforce
also have ... = $\text{eval} (F_o x) (F_o b) \cdot (F_a x b \cdot \text{Comp } x a b \otimes e) \cdot$
 $\text{a}^{-1}[\text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a, \mathcal{I}] \cdot (\text{Hom } a b \otimes \text{r}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a])$
using assms a b x F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom F.preserves-Comp
by simp
also have ... = $\text{eval} (F_o x) (F_o b) \cdot (F_a x b \cdot \text{Comp } x a b \otimes e) \cdot$
 $\text{r}^{-1}[\text{Hom } a b \otimes \text{hom}_o a]$
proof –
have $\text{a}^{-1}[\text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a, \mathcal{I}] \cdot (\text{Hom } a b \otimes \text{r}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a]) =$
 $\text{r}^{-1}[\text{Hom } a b \otimes \text{hom}_o a]$
proof –
have $\text{a}^{-1}[\text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a, \mathcal{I}] \cdot (\text{Hom } a b \otimes \text{r}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a]) =$
 $\text{inv} ((\text{Hom } a b \otimes \text{r}[\text{hom}_o a]) \cdot \text{a}[\text{Hom } a b, \text{hom}_o a, \mathcal{I}])$
using assms a b x inv-comp by auto
also have ... = $\text{r}^{-1}[\text{Hom } a b \otimes \text{hom}_o a]$
using assms a b x runit-tensor by auto
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
finally show ?thesis by simp

qed
finally show $(eval \mathcal{I} (F_o b) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (F_o b)] \cdot Exp^{\leftarrow} e (F_o b) \cdot F_a x b) \cdot$
 $Uncurry[hom_o a, hom_o b] (hom_a a b) =$
 $eval (F_o a) (F_o b) \cdot (F_a a b \otimes eval \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot$
 $Exp^{\leftarrow} e (F_o a) \cdot F_a x a)$
by argo
qed
qed

If $\tau: hom\ x \rightarrow F$ is an enriched natural transformation, then there exists an element $e_\tau: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow F\ x$ that generates τ via the preceding formula. The idea (Kelly 1.46) is to take:

$$e_\tau = \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{Id\ x} hom_o\ x \xrightarrow{\tau\ x} F\ x$$

This amounts to the “evaluation of $\tau\ x$ at the identity on x ”.

However, note once again that, according to the formal definition of enriched natural transformation, we have $\tau\ x: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow exp\ (hom_o\ x)\ (F_o\ x)$, so it is necessary to transform this to an arrow: $(\tau\ x) \downarrow [hom_o\ x, F_o\ x]: hom_o\ x \rightarrow F\ x$.

abbreviation *generating-elem*

where *generating-elem* $\tau \equiv (\tau\ x) \downarrow [hom_o\ x, F_o\ x] \cdot Id\ x$

lemma *generating-elem-in-hom*:

assumes *enriched-natural-transformation* $C\ T\ \alpha\ \iota$

$Obj\ Hom\ Id\ Comp\ (Collect\ ide)\ exp\ C.Id\ C.Comp$

$hom_o\ hom_a\ F_o\ F_a\ \tau$

shows $\langle\langle\text{generating-elem } \tau : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow F_o\ x\rangle\rangle$

proof –

interpret τ : *enriched-natural-transformation* $C\ T\ \alpha\ \iota$

$Obj\ Hom\ Id\ Comp\ \langle Collect\ ide \rangle\ exp\ C.Id\ C.Comp$

$hom_o\ hom_a\ F_o\ F_a\ \tau$

using *assms* **by** *blast*

show $\langle\langle\text{generating-elem } \tau : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow F_o\ x\rangle\rangle$

using $x\ Id\text{-in-hom}\ \tau.component\text{-in-hom}\ [of\ x]\ F.preserves\ Obj\ C.DN\text{-def}$

by *auto fastforce*

qed

Now we have to verify the elements of the diagram after Kelly (1.47):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \text{hom}_o a & & & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & & & \\
 \text{hom}_o a & \xrightarrow{\text{hom}_a x a} & [\text{hom}_o x, \text{hom}_o a] & \xrightarrow{[Id x, \text{hom}_o a]} & [\mathcal{I}, \text{hom}_o a] & \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} & \text{hom}_o a \\
 \downarrow F_a a & & \downarrow [\text{hom}_o x, \tau a] & & \downarrow [\mathcal{I}, \tau a] & & \downarrow \tau a \\
 [F_o x, F_o a] & \xrightarrow{[\tau_e x, F_o a]} & [\text{hom}_o x, F_o a] & \xrightarrow{[Id x, F_o a]} & [\mathcal{I}, F_o a] & \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} & F_o a \\
 & \searrow & & \nearrow & & & \\
 & & [\tau_e x \cdot Id x, F_o a] & & & &
 \end{array}$$

The left square is enriched naturality of τ (Kelly (1.39)). The middle square commutes trivially. The right square commutes by the naturality of the canonical isomorphism from $[\mathcal{I}, \text{hom}_o a]$ to $\text{hom}_o a$. The top edge composes to $\text{hom}_o a$ (an identity). The commutativity of the entire diagram shows that τa is recovered from e_τ . Note that where τa appears, what is actually meant formally is $(\tau a) \downarrow [\text{hom}_o a, F_o a]$.

lemma *center-square:*

assumes *enriched-natural-transformation* $C \ T \ \alpha \ \iota$

Obj Hom Id Comp (Collect ide) exp C.Id C.Comp

hom_o hom_a F_o F_a \tau

and $a \in \text{Obj}$

shows $C.\text{Exp } \mathcal{I} \ (\tau a \downarrow [\text{hom}_o a, F_o a]) \cdot C.\text{Exp } (Id \ x) \ (\text{hom}_o a) =$
 $C.\text{Exp } (Id \ x) \ (F_o a) \cdot C.\text{Exp } (\text{hom}_o x) \ (\tau a \downarrow [\text{hom}_o a, F_o a])$

proof –

interpret τ : *enriched-natural-transformation* $C \ T \ \alpha \ \iota$

Obj Hom Id Comp (Collect ide) exp C.Id C.Comp

hom_o hom_a F_o F_a \tau

using *assms* **by** *blast*

let $? \tau_a = \tau a \downarrow [\text{hom}_o a, F_o a]$

show $C.\text{Exp } \mathcal{I} \ ? \tau_a \cdot C.\text{Exp } (Id \ x) \ (\text{hom}_o a) =$
 $C.\text{Exp } (Id \ x) \ (F_o a) \cdot C.\text{Exp } (\text{hom}_o x) \ ? \tau_a$

by (*metis* *assms*(2) x *C.Exp-comp F.preserves-Obj Id-in-hom*

C.DN-simps(1–3) comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr in-homE \tau.component-in-hom
ide-Hom mem-Collect-eq)

qed

lemma *right-square:*

assumes *enriched-natural-transformation* $C \ T \ \alpha \ \iota$

Obj Hom Id Comp (Collect ide) exp C.Id C.Comp

$hom_o hom_a F_o F_a \tau$
and $a \in Obj$
shows $\tau a \downarrow [hom_o a, F_o a] \cdot C.Dn (hom_o a) =$
 $C.Dn (F_o a) \cdot C.Exp \mathcal{I} (\tau a \downarrow [hom_o a, F_o a])$
proof –
interpret τ : *enriched-natural-transformation* $C \ T \ \alpha \ \iota$
 $Obj \ Hom \ Id \ Comp \ \langle Collect \ ide \rangle \ exp \ C.Id \ C.Comp$
 $hom_o \ hom_a \ F_o \ F_a \ \tau$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
show *?thesis*
using *assms*(2) *C.Up-Dn-naturality C.DN-simps* $\tau.component-in-hom$
apply *auto*[1]
by (*metis C.Exp-ide-y C.UP-DN(2) F.preserves-Obj ide-Hom ide-unity*
in-homE mem-Collect-eq x)
qed

lemma *top-path*:
assumes $a \in Obj$
shows $eval \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a)] \cdot C.Exp \ (Id \ x) \ (hom_o a) \cdot$
 $hom_a \ x \ a =$
 $hom_o a$
proof –
have $eval \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a)] \cdot C.Exp \ (Id \ x) \ (hom_o a) \cdot$
 $hom_a \ x \ a =$
 $eval \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a)] \cdot$
 $(Curry[exp \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a), \ \mathcal{I}, \ hom_o a] \ (hom_o a \cdot eval \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a)) \cdot$
 $Curry[exp \ (hom_o x) \ (hom_o a), \ \mathcal{I}, \ hom_o a]$
 $(eval \ (hom_o x) \ (hom_o a) \cdot (exp \ (hom_o x) \ (hom_o a) \otimes Id \ x))) \cdot$
 $hom_a \ x \ a$
using *assms* $C.Exp-def \ Id-in-hom$ [of x] **by** *auto*
also have $\dots =$
 $eval \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a)] \cdot$
 $Curry[exp \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a), \ \mathcal{I}, \ hom_o a] \ (hom_o a \cdot eval \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a)) \cdot$
 $Curry[exp \ (hom_o x) \ (hom_o a), \ \mathcal{I}, \ hom_o a]$
 $(eval \ (hom_o x) \ (hom_o a) \cdot (exp \ (hom_o x) \ (hom_o a) \otimes Id \ x)) \cdot$
 $hom_a \ x \ a$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots =$
 $eval \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a)] \cdot$
 $Curry[exp \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a), \ \mathcal{I}, \ hom_o a] \ (hom_o a \cdot eval \ \mathcal{I} \ (hom_o a)) \cdot$
 $Curry[hom_o a, \ \mathcal{I}, \ hom_o a]$
 $((eval \ (hom_o x) \ (hom_o a) \cdot (exp \ (hom_o x) \ (hom_o a) \otimes Id \ x)) \cdot$
 $(hom_a \ x \ a \otimes \mathcal{I}))$
proof –
have $\ll eval \ (hom_o x) \ (hom_o a) \cdot (exp \ (hom_o x) \ (hom_o a) \otimes Id \ x)$
 $: exp \ (hom_o x) \ (hom_o a) \otimes \mathcal{I} \rightarrow hom_o a \gg$
using *assms* x
by (*meson Id-in-hom comp-in-homI C.eval-in-hom-ax C.ide-exp*
ide-in-hom tensor-in-hom ide-Hom)

thus ?thesis
 using assms x preserves-Hom [of x a] C.comp-Curry-arr by simp
 qed
 also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{eval } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a)] \cdot \\ & \text{Curry}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a), \mathcal{I}, \text{hom}_o a] (\text{hom}_o a \cdot \text{eval } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a)) \cdot \\ & \text{Curry}[\text{hom}_o a, \mathcal{I}, \text{hom}_o a] \\ & (\text{eval } (\text{hom}_o x) (\text{hom}_o a) \cdot \\ & (\text{exp } (\text{hom}_o x) (\text{hom}_o a) \otimes \text{Id } x) \cdot (\text{hom}_a x a \otimes \mathcal{I})) \end{aligned}$$

 using comp-assoc by simp
 also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{eval } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a)] \cdot \\ & \text{Curry}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a), \mathcal{I}, \text{hom}_o a] (\text{hom}_o a \cdot \text{eval } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a)) \cdot \\ & \text{Curry}[\text{hom}_o a, \mathcal{I}, \text{hom}_o a] \\ & (\text{eval } (\text{hom}_o x) (\text{hom}_o a) \cdot (\text{hom}_a x a \otimes \text{Id } x)) \end{aligned}$$

 proof –
 have seq (Id x) $\mathcal{I} \wedge$ seq (hom_o x) (Id x)
 using x Id-in-hom ide-in-hom ide-unity by blast
 thus ?thesis
 using assms x preserves-Hom comp-arr-dom [of Id x $\mathcal{I}$]
 interchange [of exp (hom_o x) (hom_o a) hom_a x a Id x $\mathcal{I}$]
 by (metis comp-cod-arr comp-ide-arr dom-eqI ide-unity
 in-homE ide-Hom)
 qed
 also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{eval } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a)] \cdot \\ & \text{Curry}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a), \mathcal{I}, \text{hom}_o a] (\text{eval } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a)) \cdot \\ & \text{Curry}[\text{hom}_o a, \mathcal{I}, \text{hom}_o a] \\ & (\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o x, \text{hom}_o a] (\text{hom}_a x a) \cdot (\text{hom}_o a \otimes \text{Id } x)) \end{aligned}$$

 proof –
 have eval (hom_o x) (hom_o a) · (hom_a x a ⊗ Id x) =
 eval (hom_o x) (hom_o a) · (hom_a x a · hom_o a ⊗ hom_o x · Id x)
 using assms x Id-in-hom comp-cod-arr comp-arr-dom Comp-in-hom
 by (metis in-homE preserves-Hom)
 also have ... = eval (hom_o x) (hom_o a) · (hom_a x a ⊗ hom_o x) ·
 (hom_o a ⊗ Id x)
 using assms x Id-in-hom Comp-in-hom
 interchange [of hom_a x a hom_o a hom_o x Id x]
 by (metis comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr in-homE preserves-Hom)
 also have ... = Uncurry[hom_o x, hom_o a] (hom_a x a) · (hom_o a ⊗ Id x)
 using comp-assoc by simp
 finally show ?thesis
 using assms x comp-cod-arr ide-Hom ide-unity C.eval-simps(1,3) by metis
 qed
 also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{eval } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (\text{hom}_o a)] \cdot \\ & \text{Curry}[\text{hom}_o a, \mathcal{I}, \text{hom}_o a] \\ & (\text{Uncurry}[\mathcal{I}, \text{hom}_o a] \\ & (\text{Curry}[\text{hom}_o a, \mathcal{I}, \text{hom}_o a] \end{aligned}$$

```

      (Uncurry[homo x, homo a] (homa x a) · (homo a ⊗ Id x)))
using assms x
      C.comp-Curry-arr
      [of I
        Curry[homo a, I, homo a]
        (Uncurry[homo x, homo a] (homa x a) · (homo a ⊗ Id x))
        homo a exp I (homo a)
        eval I (homo a) homo a]
apply auto[1]
by (metis Comp-Hom-Id Comp-in-hom C.Uncurry-Curry C.eval-in-hom-ax
      ide-unity C.isomorphic-exp-unity(1) ide-Hom)
also have ... =
      eval I (homo a) · r-1[exp I (homo a)] ·
      Curry[homo a, I, homo a]
      (Uncurry[homo x, homo a] (homa x a) · (homo a ⊗ Id x))
using assms x C.Uncurry-Curry
by (simp add: Comp-Hom-Id Comp-in-hom C.Curry-Uncurry
      C.isomorphic-exp-unity(1))
also have ... =
      eval I (homo a) · r-1[exp I (homo a)] ·
      Curry[homo a, I, homo a]
      (eval (homo x) (homo a) · (homa x a ⊗ homo x) · (homo a ⊗ Id x))
using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... =
      eval I (homo a) · r-1[exp I (homo a)] ·
      Curry[homo a, I, homo a]
      (eval (homo x) (homo a) · (homa x a ⊗ Id x))
using assms x comp-cod-arr [of Id x homo x] comp-arr-dom
      interchange [of homa x a homo a homo x Id x]
      preserves-Hom [of x a] Id-in-hom
apply auto[1]
by fastforce
also have ... =
      eval I (homo a) ·
      (Curry[homo a, I, homo a]
      (eval (homo x) (homo a) · (homa x a ⊗ Id x)) ⊗ I) ·
      r-1[homo a]
proof –
have «Curry[homo a, I, homo a]
      (eval (homo x) (homo a) · (homa x a ⊗ Id x))
      : homo a → exp I (homo a)»
using assms x preserves-Hom [of x a] Id-in-hom [of x] by force
thus ?thesis
using assms x runit'-naturality by fastforce
qed
also have ... =
      Uncurry[I, homo a]
      (Curry[homo a, I, homo a]
      (eval (homo x) (homo a) · (homa x a ⊗ Id x))) · r-1[homo a]

```



```

using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... = (eval (homo x) (homo a) ·
  (Curry[homo a, homo x, homo a] (Comp x x a) ⊗ Id x)) ·
  r-1[homo a]
using assms x C.Uncurry-Curry preserves-Hom [of x] Id-in-hom [of x]
by fastforce
also have ... = (eval (homo x) (homo a) ·
  ((Curry[homo a, homo x, homo a] (Comp x x a) ⊗ homo x) ·
  (homo a ⊗ Id x))) · r-1[homo a]
using assms x Id-in-hom [of x] Comp-in-hom comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr
  interchange
by auto
also have ... = Uncurry[homo x, homo a]
  (Curry[homo a, homo x, homo a] (Comp x x a)) ·
  (homo a ⊗ Id x) · r-1[homo a]
using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... = Comp x x a · (homo a ⊗ Id x) · r-1[homo a]
using assms x C.Uncurry-Curry Comp-in-hom by simp
also have ... = (Comp x x a · (homo a ⊗ Id x)) · r-1[homo a]
using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... = r[homo a] · r-1[homo a]
using assms x Comp-Hom-Id by auto
also have ... = homo a
using assms x comp-runit-runit' by blast
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

The left square is an instance of Kelly (1.39), so we can get that by instantiating that result. The confusing business is that the target enriched category is the base category C .

lemma left-square:

```

assumes enriched-natural-transformation C T α ι
  Obj Hom Id Comp (Collect ide) exp C.Id C.Comp
  homo homa Fo Fa τ
and a ∈ Obj
shows Exp→ (homo x) ((τ a) ↓[homo a, Fo a]) · homa x a =
  Exp← ((τ x) ↓[homo x, Fo x]) (Fo a) · Fa x a
proof –
interpret τ: enriched-natural-transformation C T α ι
  Obj Hom Id Comp ⟨Collect ide⟩ exp C.Id C.Comp
  homo homa Fo Fa τ
using assms(1) by blast

interpret cov-Hom: covariant-Hom C T α ι exp eval Curry
  ⟨Collect ide⟩ exp C.Id C.Comp ⟨homo x⟩
using x by unfold-locales auto
interpret cnt-Hom: contravariant-Hom C T α ι σ exp eval Curry
  ⟨Collect ide⟩ exp C.Id C.Comp ⟨Fo a⟩
using assms(2) F.preserves-Obj by unfold-locales

```

```

interpret Kelly: Kelly-1-39 C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$   $\sigma$  exp eval Curry
              Obj Hom Id Comp  $\langle \text{Collect ide} \rangle$  exp C.Id C.Comp
              homo homa Fo Fa  $\tau$  x a
using assms(2) x
by unfold-locales

```

The following is the enriched naturality of τ , expressed in the alternate form involving the underlying ordinary functors of the enriched hom functors.

```

have 1: cov-Hom.map0 (homo a) (Fo a) ( $\tau$  a)  $\cdot$  homa x a =
          cnt-Hom.map0 (homo x) (Fo x) ( $\tau$  x)  $\cdot$  Fa x a
using Kelly.Kelly-1-39 by simp

```

Here we have the underlying ordinary functor of the enriched covariant hom, expressed in terms of the covariant endofunctor $\text{Exp}^\rightarrow (\text{hom}_o x)$ on the base category.

```

have 2: cov-Hom.map0 (homo a) (Fo a) ( $\tau$  a) =
          Exp→ (homo x) (( $\tau$  a)  $\downarrow$  [homo a, Fo a])
proof –
  have cov-Hom.map0 (homo a) (Fo a) ( $\tau$  a) =
        (Curry[cnt-Hom.homo (homo a), cov-Hom.homo (homo a),
              cnt-Hom.homo (homo x)]
        (C.Comp (homo x) (homo a) (Fo a))  $\cdot$   $\tau$  a)
         $\downarrow$  [cov-Hom.homo (homo a), cnt-Hom.homo (homo x)]
  proof –
    have cov-Hom.map0 (homo a) (Fo a) ( $\tau$  a) =
          cnt-Hom.Op0.Map
          (cov-Hom.UF.map0 (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (homo a) (Fo a) ( $\tau$  a)))
           $\downarrow$  [cnt-Hom.Op0.Dom
                (cov-Hom.UF.map0
                  (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (homo a) (Fo a) ( $\tau$  a))),
                cnt-Hom.Op0.Cod
                  (cov-Hom.UF.map0
                    (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (homo a) (Fo a) ( $\tau$  a)))
          using assms x preserves-Obj F.preserves-Obj  $\tau$ .component-in-hom
                cov-Hom.Kelly-1-31 cov-Hom.UF.preserves-arr
          by force
    moreover
    have cnt-Hom.Op0.Dom
          (cov-Hom.UF.map0
            (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (homo a) (Fo a) ( $\tau$  a))) =
          exp (homo x) (homo a)
    using assms x cov-Hom.UF.map0-def
    apply auto[1]
    using cnt-Hom.y  $\tau$ .component-in-hom by force
  moreover
  have cnt-Hom.Op0.Cod
        (cov-Hom.UF.map0
          (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (homo a) (Fo a) ( $\tau$  a))) =

```

```

      exp (homo x) (Fo a)
    using assms x cov-Hom.UF.map0-def
    apply auto[1]
    using cnt-Hom.y τ.component-in-hom by fastforce
  moreover
  have cnt-Hom.Op0.Map
    (cov-Hom.UF.map0
      (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (homo a) (Fo a) (τ a))) =
    cov-Hom.homa (homo a) (Fo a) · τ a
  using assms x cov-Hom.UF.map0-def
  apply auto[1]
  using cnt-Hom.y τ.component-in-hom by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using assms x ide-Hom F.preserves-Obj by simp
qed
also have ... = Exp→ (homo x) ((τ a) ↓[homo a, Fo a])
  using assms(2) x C.cov-Exp-DN τ.component-in-hom F.preserves-Obj
  by simp
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

Here we have the underlying ordinary functor of the enriched contravariant hom, expressed in terms of the contravariant endofunctor $\lambda f. \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} f$ ($F_o a$) on the base category.

```

  have 3: cnt-Hom.map0 (homo x) (Fo x) (τ x) =
    Exp← (τ x ↓[homo x, Fo x]) (Fo a)
  proof -
    have cnt-Hom.map0 (homo x) (Fo x) (τ x) =
      Uncurry[exp (Fo x) (Fo a), exp (homo x) (Fo a)]
      (cnt-Hom.homa (Fo x) (homo x) · τ x) ·
      1-1[exp (Fo x) (Fo a)]
    proof -
      have cnt-Hom.map0 (homo x) (Fo x) (τ x) =
        Uncurry[cnt-Hom.Op0.Dom
          (cnt-Hom.UF.map0
            (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (Fo x) (homo x) (τ x))),
          cnt-Hom.Op0.Cod
            (cnt-Hom.UF.map0
              (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (Fo x) (homo x) (τ x)))]
          (cnt-Hom.Op0.Map
            (cnt-Hom.UF.map0
              (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (Fo x) (Hom x x) (τ x)))) ·
          1-1[cnt-Hom.Op0.Dom
            (cnt-Hom.UF.map0
              (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (Fo x) (homo x) (τ x)))]
        using assms x 1 2 cnt-Hom.Kelly-1-32 [of homo x Fo x τ x]
        C.Curry-simps(1-3) C.DN-def C.UP-DN(2) C.eval-simps(1-3)
        C.ide-exp Comp-in-hom F.preserves-Obj comp-in-homI'
        not-arr-null preserves-Obj τ.component-in-hom in-homE
    qed
  qed

```

```

      mem-Collect-eq seqE
    by (smt (verit))
  moreover have cnt-Hom.Op0.Dom
    (cnt-Hom.UF.map0
      (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (Fo x) (homo x) (τ x))) =
      exp (Fo x) (Fo a)
  using assms x cnt-Hom.UF.map0-def
  apply auto[1]
  using F.preserves-Obj cnt-Hom.Op0.arr-MkArr τ.component-in-hom
  by blast
  moreover have cnt-Hom.Op0.Cod
    (cnt-Hom.UF.map0
      (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (Fo x) (homo x) (τ x))) =
      exp (homo x) (Fo a)
  using assms x cnt-Hom.UF.map0-def
  apply auto[1]
  using F.preserves-Obj cnt-Hom.Op0.arr-MkArr τ.component-in-hom
  by blast
  moreover have cnt-Hom.Op0.Map
    (cnt-Hom.UF.map0
      (cnt-Hom.Op0.MkArr (Fo x) (homo x) (τ x))) =
      cnt-Hom.homa (Fo x) (homo x) · τ x
  using assms x cnt-Hom.UF.map0-def F.preserves-Obj
  by (simp add: τ.component-in-hom)
  ultimately show ?thesis by argo
qed
also have ... = Exp← (τ x ↓[homo x, Fo x]) (Fo a)
  using assms(2) x τ.component-in-hom [of x] F.preserves-Obj
  C.DN-def C.cnt-Exp-DN
  by fastforce
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 3 by auto
qed

```

lemma *transformation-generated-by-element:*

assumes *enriched-natural-transformation* $C\ T\ \alpha\ \iota$
 $Obj\ Hom\ Id\ Comp\ (Collect\ ide)\ exp\ C.Id\ C.Comp$
 $hom_o\ hom_a\ F_o\ F_a\ \tau$

and $a \in Obj$

shows $\tau\ a = generated-transformation\ (generating-elem\ \tau)\ a$

proof –

interpret τ : *enriched-natural-transformation* $C\ T\ \alpha\ \iota$
 $Obj\ Hom\ Id\ Comp\ \langle Collect\ ide \rangle\ exp\ C.Id\ C.Comp$
 $hom_o\ hom_a\ F_o\ F_a\ \tau$

using *assms(1)* **by** *blast*

have $\tau\ a\ \downarrow[hom_o\ a,\ F_o\ a] =$
 $\tau\ a\ \downarrow[hom_o\ a,\ F_o\ a] \cdot$

$eval \mathcal{I} (hom_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (hom_o a)] \cdot C.Exp (Id x) (hom_o a) \cdot$
 $Curry[hom_o a, hom_o x, hom_o a] (Comp x x a)$
using *assms(2) x top-path $\tau.component-in-hom$ [of a] $F.preserves-Obj$*
comp-arr-dom C.UP-DN(2)
by auto
also have ... =
 $(\tau a \downarrow [hom_o a, F_o a] \cdot eval \mathcal{I} (hom_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (hom_o a)]) \cdot$
 $C.Exp (Id x) (hom_o a) \cdot$
 $Curry[hom_o a, hom_o x, hom_o a] (Comp x x a)$
using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... =
 $(eval \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot$
 $C.Exp \mathcal{I} (Uncurry[hom_o a, F_o a] (\tau a) \cdot l^{-1}[hom_o a])) \cdot$
 $C.Exp (Id x) (hom_o a) \cdot$
 $Curry[hom_o a, hom_o x, hom_o a] (Comp x x a)$
using assms right-square C.DN-def $\tau.component-in-hom$ comp-assoc
by auto blast
also have ... =
 $eval \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot$
 $(C.Exp \mathcal{I} (Uncurry[hom_o a, F_o a] (\tau a) \cdot l^{-1}[hom_o a])) \cdot$
 $C.Exp (Id x) (hom_o a) \cdot$
 $Curry[hom_o a, hom_o x, hom_o a] (Comp x x a)$
using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... =
 $eval \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot$
 $(C.Exp (Id x) (F_o a) \cdot$
 $C.Exp (hom_o x) (Uncurry[hom_o a, F_o a] (\tau a) \cdot l^{-1}[hom_o a])) \cdot$
 $Curry[hom_o a, hom_o x, hom_o a] (Comp x x a)$
using assms center-square C.DN-def
enriched-natural-transformation.component-in-hom
by fastforce
also have ... =
 $eval \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot C.Exp (Id x) (F_o a) \cdot$
 $C.Exp (hom_o x) (Uncurry[hom_o a, F_o a] (\tau a) \cdot l^{-1}[hom_o a]) \cdot$
 $Curry[hom_o a, hom_o x, hom_o a] (Comp x x a)$
using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... =
 $eval \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot C.Exp (Id x) (F_o a) \cdot$
 $Exp^{\leftarrow} (Uncurry[hom_o x, F_o x] (\tau x) \cdot l^{-1}[hom_o x]) (F_o a) \cdot F_a x a$
proof –
have $eval \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot C.Exp (Id x) (F_o a) \cdot$
 $C.Exp (hom_o x) (Uncurry[hom_o a, F_o a] (\tau a) \cdot l^{-1}[hom_o a]) \cdot$
 $Curry[hom_o a, hom_o x, hom_o a] (Comp x x a) =$
 $eval \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot C.Exp (Id x) (F_o a) \cdot$
 $C.Exp (hom_o x) (Uncurry[hom_o a, F_o a] (\tau a) \cdot l^{-1}[hom_o a]) \cdot$
 $hom_a x a$
using assms(2) x by force
also have ... =
 $eval \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot r^{-1}[exp \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot C.Exp (Id x) (F_o a) \cdot$

```

      
$$\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{hom}_o x) (\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o a, F_o a] (\tau a) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{hom}_o a]) \cdot$$

      
$$\text{hom}_a x a$$

    using assms x C.Exp-def C.cnt-Exp-ide comp-arr-dom by auto
  also have ... =
      
$$\text{eval } \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot C.\text{Exp} (\text{Id } x) (F_o a) \cdot$$

      
$$\text{Exp}^{\rightarrow} (\text{hom}_o x) (\tau a^{\downarrow}[\text{hom}_o a, F_o a]) \cdot \text{hom}_a x a$$

    using assms x C.DN-def by fastforce
  also have ... =
      
$$\text{eval } \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot C.\text{Exp} (\text{Id } x) (F_o a) \cdot$$

      
$$\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} (\tau x^{\downarrow}[\text{hom}_o x, F_o x]) (F_o a) \cdot F_a x a$$

    using assms(2) left-square  $\tau$ .enriched-natural-transformation-axioms
    by fastforce
  also have ... =
      
$$\text{eval } \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot C.\text{Exp} (\text{Id } x) (F_o a) \cdot$$

      
$$\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} (\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o x, F_o x] (\tau x) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{hom}_o x]) (F_o a) \cdot F_a x a$$

    using C.DN-def by fastforce
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
also have ... =
      
$$\text{eval } \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot$$

      
$$(C.\text{Exp} (\text{Id } x) (F_o a) \cdot$$

      
$$\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} (\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o x, F_o x] (\tau x) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{hom}_o x]) (F_o a)) \cdot$$

      
$$F_a x a$$

    using comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... =
      
$$\text{eval } \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot$$

      
$$(\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} (\text{Id } x) (F_o a) \cdot$$

      
$$\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} (\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o x, F_o x] (\tau x) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{hom}_o x]) (F_o a)) \cdot$$

      
$$F_a x a$$

    using assms x F.preserves-Obj C.Exp-def C.cov-Exp-ide
    comp-cod-arr [of  $\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} (\text{Id } x) (\text{dom } (F_o a))$ ]
    by auto
  also have ... =
      
$$\text{eval } \mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot$$

      
$$\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} ((\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o x, F_o x] (\tau x) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{hom}_o x]) \cdot \text{Id } x) (F_o a) \cdot$$

      
$$F_a x a$$

  proof -
    have seq ( $\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o x, F_o x] (\tau x) \cdot 1^{-1}[\text{hom}_o x]) (\text{Id } x)$ 
      using assms x F.preserves-Obj Id-in-hom  $\tau$ .component-in-hom
      apply (intro seqI)
      apply auto[1]
      by force+
    thus ?thesis
      using assms x F.preserves-Obj C.cnt-Exp-comp by simp
  qed
  also have ... = eval  $\mathcal{I} (F_o a) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (F_o a)] \cdot$ 
      
$$\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} (\text{generating-elem } \tau) (F_o a) \cdot F_a x a$$

    using x C.DN-def comp-assoc  $\tau$ .component-in-hom by fastforce
  also have 1: ... =

```

$(\text{generated-transformation } (\text{generating-elem } \tau) a) \downarrow [\text{hom}_o a, F_o a]$
using *assms* $x F.\text{preserves-Obj } C.UP-DN(4) \tau.\text{component-in-hom calculation}$
ide-Hom
by *(metis (no-types, lifting) mem-Collect-eq)*
finally have $\ast: (\tau a) \downarrow [\text{hom}_o a, F_o a] =$
 $(\text{generated-transformation } (\text{generating-elem } \tau) a)$
 $\downarrow [\text{hom}_o a, F_o a]$
by *blast*
have $\tau a = ((\tau a) \downarrow [\text{hom}_o a, F_o a])^\uparrow$
using *assms* $x \tau.\text{component-in-hom ide-Hom } F.\text{preserves-Obj}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = ((\text{generated-transformation } (\text{generating-elem } \tau) a)$
 $\downarrow [\text{hom}_o a, F_o a])^\uparrow$
using $\ast$ **by** *argo*
also have $\dots = \text{generated-transformation } (\text{generating-elem } \tau) a$
using *assms* $x 1 \text{ ide-Hom}$ **by** *presburger*
finally show $\tau a = \text{generated-transformation } (\text{generating-elem } \tau) a$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *element-of-generated-transformation:*

assumes $e \in \text{hom } \mathcal{I} (F_o x)$

shows $\text{generating-elem } (\text{generated-transformation } e) = e$

proof –

have $\text{generating-elem } (\text{generated-transformation } e) =$
 $\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o x, F_o x]$
 $((\text{eval } \mathcal{I} (F_o x) \cdot \text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (F_o x)]) \cdot$
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } (F_o x) (F_o x), \mathcal{I}, F_o x]$
 $(\text{eval } (F_o x) (F_o x) \cdot (\text{exp } (F_o x) (F_o x) \otimes e)) \cdot F_a x x)^\uparrow) \cdot$
 $\text{l}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o x] \cdot \text{Id } x$

proof –

have $\text{arr } ((\text{eval } \mathcal{I} (F_o x) \cdot$
 $\text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (F_o x)]) \cdot$
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } (F_o x) (F_o x), \mathcal{I}, F_o x]$
 $(\text{eval } (F_o x) (F_o x) \cdot (\text{exp } (F_o x) (F_o x) \otimes e)) \cdot F_a x x)^\uparrow)$

using *assms* $x F.\text{preserves-Hom } F.\text{preserves-Obj}$

apply *(intro C.UP-simps seqI)*

apply *auto[1]*

by *fastforce+*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms* $x C.DN-def \text{ comp-assoc}$ **by** *auto*

qed

also have $\dots =$

$\text{Uncurry}[\text{hom}_o x, F_o x]$
 $((\text{eval } \mathcal{I} (F_o x) \cdot$
 $(\text{r}^{-1}[\text{exp } \mathcal{I} (F_o x)]) \cdot$
 $\text{Curry}[\text{exp } (F_o x) (F_o x), \mathcal{I}, F_o x]$
 $(\text{eval } (F_o x) (F_o x) \cdot (\text{exp } (F_o x) (F_o x) \otimes e))) \cdot F_a x x)^\uparrow) \cdot$
 $\text{l}^{-1}[\text{hom}_o x] \cdot \text{Id } x$

using *comp-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have $\dots =$

```

    Uncurry[homo x, Fo x]
      ((eval  $\mathcal{I}$  (Fo x) ·
        (Curry[exp (Fo x) (Fo x),  $\mathcal{I}$ , Fo x]
          (eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (exp (Fo x) (Fo x) ⊗ e)) ⊗  $\mathcal{I}$ ) ·
          r-1[exp (Fo x) (Fo x)]) ·
          Fa x x)†) ·
      l-1[homo x] · Id x
  proof -
    have «Curry[exp (Fo x) (Fo x),  $\mathcal{I}$ , Fo x]
      (eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (exp (Fo x) (Fo x) ⊗ e))
      : exp (Fo x) (Fo x) → exp  $\mathcal{I}$  (Fo x)»
    using assms x F.preserves-Obj C.ide-exp
    by (intro C.Curry-in-hom) auto
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
      runit'-naturality
      [of Curry[exp (Fo x) (Fo x),  $\mathcal{I}$ , Fo x]
        (eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (exp (Fo x) (Fo x) ⊗ e))]
    by force
qed
also have ... =
  Uncurry[homo x, Fo x]
    ((Uncurry[ $\mathcal{I}$ , Fo x]
      (Curry[exp (Fo x) (Fo x),  $\mathcal{I}$ , Fo x]
        (eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (exp (Fo x) (Fo x) ⊗ e))) ·
        r-1[exp (Fo x) (Fo x)] · Fa x x)†) ·
    l-1[homo x] · Id x
  using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... =
  Uncurry[homo x, Fo x]
    (((eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (exp (Fo x) (Fo x) ⊗ e)) ·
      r-1[exp (Fo x) (Fo x)] · Fa x x)†) ·
    l-1[homo x] · Id x
  using assms x F.preserves-Obj C.Uncurry-Curry by auto
also have ... =
  Uncurry[homo x, Fo x]
    (((eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (exp (Fo x) (Fo x) ⊗ e)) ·
      (Fa x x ⊗  $\mathcal{I}$ ) · r-1[homo x])†) ·
    l-1[homo x] · Id x
  using assms x runit'-naturality F.preserves-Hom [of x x] by fastforce
also have ... =
  Uncurry[homo x, Fo x]
    (((eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (exp (Fo x) (Fo x) ⊗ e) · (Fa x x ⊗  $\mathcal{I}$ )) ·
      r-1[homo x])†) ·
    l-1[homo x] · Id x
  using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... =
  Uncurry[homo x, Fo x]
    (((eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (Fa x x ⊗ e)) · r-1[homo x])†) ·

```



```

      1-1[homo x] · Id x
using assms x F.preserves-Hom [of x x] comp-arr-dom [of e I] comp-cod-arr
      interchange
by fastforce
also have ... =
      Uncurry[homo x, Fo x]
      (Curry[I, homo x, Fo x]
      (((eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (Fa x x ⊗ e)) · r-1[homo x]) · l[homo x])) ·
      1-1[homo x] · Id x
proof –
  have seq (eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (Fa x x ⊗ e)) r-1[Hom x x]
  using assms x F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom by blast
  thus ?thesis
  using assms x C.UP-def F.preserves-Obj by auto
qed
also have ... =
  (((eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (Fa x x ⊗ e)) · r-1[Hom x x]) · l[Hom x x]) ·
  1-1[Hom x x] · Id x
  using assms x C.Uncurry-Curry F.preserves-Obj F.preserves-Hom by force
also have ... =
  eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (Fa x x ⊗ e) · r-1[Hom x x] ·
  (l[Hom x x] · 1-1[Hom x x]) · Id x
  using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... = eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (Fa x x ⊗ e) · r-1[Hom x x] · Id x
  using assms x ide-Hom Id-in-hom comp-lunit-lunit'(1) comp-cod-arr
  by fastforce
also have ... = eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (Fa x x ⊗ e) · (Id x ⊗ I) · r-1[I]
  using x Id-in-hom runit'-naturality by fastforce
also have ... = eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · ((Fa x x ⊗ e) · (Id x ⊗ I)) · r-1[I]
  using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... = eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (Fa x x · Id x ⊗ e) · r-1[I]
  using assms x interchange [of Fa x x Id x e I] F.preserves-Hom
      comp-arr-dom Id-in-hom
  by fastforce
also have ... = eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · (C.Id (Fo x) ⊗ e) · r-1[I]
  using x F.preserves-Id by auto
also have ... =
  eval (Fo x) (Fo x) · ((C.Id (Fo x) ⊗ Fo x) · (I ⊗ e)) · r-1[I]
  using assms x interchange C.Id-in-hom F.preserves-Obj comp-arr-dom
      comp-cod-arr
  by (metis in-homE mem-Collect-eq)
also have ... = Uncurry[Fo x, Fo x] (C.Id (Fo x)) · (I ⊗ e) · r-1[I]
  using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... = l[Fo x] · (I ⊗ e) · r-1[I]
  using x F.preserves-Obj C.Id-def C.Uncurry-Curry by fastforce
also have ... = l[Fo x] · (I ⊗ e) · 1-1[I]
  using unitor-coincidence by simp
also have ... = l[Fo x] · 1-1[Fo x] · e
  using assms lunit'-naturality by fastforce

```

```

also have ... = (l[Fo x] · l-1[Fo x]) · e
  using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... = e
  using assms x comp-lunit-lunit' F.preserves-Obj comp-cod-arr by auto
finally show generating-elem (generated-transformation e) = e
  by blast
qed

```

We can now state and prove the (weak) covariant Yoneda lemma (Kelly, Section 1.9) for enriched categories.

```

theorem covariant-yoneda:
shows bij-betw generated-transformation
  (hom  $\mathcal{I}$  (Fo x))
  (Collect (enriched-natural-transformation C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$ 
    Obj Hom Id Comp (Collect ide) exp C.Id C.Comp
    homo homa Fo Fa))
proof (intro bij-betwI)
  show generated-transformation ∈
    hom  $\mathcal{I}$  (Fo x) → Collect
      (enriched-natural-transformation C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$ 
        Obj Hom Id Comp (Collect ide) exp C.Id C.Comp
        homo homa Fo Fa)
  using enriched-natural-transformation-generated-transformation by blast
  show generating-elem ∈
    Collect (enriched-natural-transformation C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$ 
      Obj Hom Id Comp (Collect ide) exp C.Id C.Comp
      homo homa Fo Fa)
      → hom  $\mathcal{I}$  (Fo x)
  using generating-elem-in-hom by blast
  show  $\bigwedge e. e \in \text{hom } \mathcal{I} (F_o x) \implies$ 
    generating-elem (generated-transformation e) = e
  using element-of-generated-transformation by blast
  show  $\bigwedge \tau. \tau \in \text{Collect (enriched-natural-transformation C T } \alpha \ \iota$ 
    Obj Hom Id Comp (Collect ide) exp C.Id C.Comp
    homo homa Fo Fa)
     $\implies$  generated-transformation (generating-elem  $\tau$ ) =  $\tau$ 
proof –
  fix  $\tau$ 
  assume  $\tau: \tau \in \text{Collect (enriched-natural-transformation C T } \alpha \ \iota$ 
    Obj Hom Id Comp (Collect ide) exp C.Id C.Comp
    homo homa Fo Fa)
  interpret  $\tau: \text{enriched-natural-transformation C T } \alpha \ \iota$ 
    Obj Hom Id Comp (Collect ide) exp C.Id C.Comp
    homo homa Fo Fa  $\tau$ 
  using  $\tau$  by blast
  show generated-transformation (generating-elem  $\tau$ ) =  $\tau$ 
proof
  fix  $a$ 
  show generated-transformation (generating-elem  $\tau$ )  $a = \tau a$ 

```

```

        using  $\tau$  transformation-generated-by-element  $\tau$ .extensionality
            F.extensionality C.UP-def not-arr-null null-is-zero(2)
        by (cases a  $\in$  Obj) auto
    qed
  qed
end

```

2.5.3 Contravariant Case

The (weak) contravariant Yoneda lemma is obtained by just replacing the enriched category by its opposite in the covariant version.

```

locale contravariant-yoneda-lemma =
  opposite-enriched-category C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$   $\sigma$  Obj Hom Id Comp +
  covariant-yoneda-lemma C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$   $\sigma$  exp eval Curry Obj Homop Id Compop y Fo
Fa
for C :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infixr <·> 55)
and T :: 'a  $\times$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and  $\alpha$  :: 'a  $\times$  'a  $\times$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and  $\iota$  :: 'a
and  $\sigma$  :: 'a  $\times$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and exp :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and eval :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and Curry :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and Obj :: 'b set
and Hom :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and Id :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and Comp :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and y :: 'b
and Fo :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a
and Fa :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a
begin

  corollary contravariant-yoneda:
  shows bij-betw generated-transformation
    (hom  $\mathcal{I}$  (Fo y))
    (Collect
      (enriched-natural-transformation
        C T  $\alpha$   $\iota$  Obj Homop Id Compop (Collect ide) exp C.Id C.Comp
        homo homa Fo Fa))
  using covariant-yoneda by blast

end
end

```

Bibliography

- [1] G. M. Kelly. Basic concepts of enriched category theory. *Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories*, 10, 2005. <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/reprints/articles/10/tr10.pdf>.
- [2] nLab. internal hom. *nLab (various contributors)*, 2009 – 2024. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/internal+hom>, [Online; accessed 22-May-2024].
- [3] E. W. Stark. Monoidal categories. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, May 2017. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/MonoidalCategory.html>, Formal proof development.
- [4] E. W. Stark. Residuated transition systems II: Categorical properties. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, June 2024. (submitted for publication).